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## PREFACE

The Verilog language provides a means to model a digital system at many levels of abstraction from a logic gate, to a complex digital system, to a mainframe computer. The purpose of this book is to present the Verilog language together with a wide variety of examples so that the reader can gain a firm foundation in the design of digital systems using Verilog HDL. The different modeling constructs supported by Verilog are described in detail.

Numerous examples are designed in each chapter. The examples include logical operations, counters of different moduli, half adders, full adders, a carry lookahead adder, array multipliers, the Booth multiply algorithm, different types of Moore and Mealy machines, including sequence detectors, arithmetic and logic units (ALUs). Also included are synchronous sequential machines and asynchronous sequential machines, including pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines.

Emphasis is placed on the detailed design of various Verilog projects. The projects include the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs obtained from the simulator that illustrate the complete functional operation of the design. Where applicable, a detailed review of the theory of the topic is presented together with the logic design principles. This includes state diagrams, Karnaugh maps, equations, and the logic diagram.

The book is intended to be tutorial, and as such, is comprehensive and self-contained. All designs are carried through to completion - nothing is left unfinished or partially designed. Each chapter includes numerous problems of varying complexity to be designed by the reader.

Chapter 1 presents an overview of the Verilog HDL language and discusses the different design methodologies used in designing a project. The chapter is intended to introduce the reader to the basic concepts of Verilog modeling techniques, including dataflow modeling, behavioral modeling, and structural modeling. Examples are presented to illustrate the different modeling techniques. There are also sections that incorporate more than one modeling construct in a mixed-design model. The concept of ports and modules is introduced in conjunction with the use of test benches for module design verification.

The chapter introduces gate-level modeling using built-in primitive gates. Verilog has a profuse set of built-in primitive gates that are used to model nets, including and, nand, or, nor, xor, xnor, and not, among others. This chapter presents a design methodology that is characterized by a low level of abstraction, in which the logic hardware is described in terms of gates. This is similar to designing logic by drawing logic gate symbols.

The chapter also describes different techniques used to design logic circuits using dataflow modeling. These techniques include the continuous assignment statement, reduction operators, the conditional operator, relational operators, logical operators, bitwise operators, and shift operators.

This chapter also presents behavioral modeling, which describes the behavior of a digital system and is not concerned with the direct implementation of logic gates, but more on the architecture of the system. This is an algorithmic approach to hardware implementation and represents a higher level of abstraction than previous modeling methods.

Also included in this chapter is structural modeling, which consists of instantiating one or more of the following design objects into the module:

- Built-in primitives
- User-defined primitives (UDPs)
- Design modules

Instantiation means to use one or more lower-level modules - including logic primitives - that are interconnected in the construction of a higher-level structural module.

Chapter 2 presents combinational logic design using Verilog HDL. Verilog is used to design multiplexers, comparators, programmable logic devices, and a variety of logic equations in this chapter. A combinational logic circuit is one in which the outputs are a function of the present inputs only. This chapter also includes number systems and Boolean algebra. The number systems are binary, octal, decimal, and hexadecimal. Boolean algebra is a systematic treatment of the logic operations AND, OR, NOT, exclusive-OR, and exclusive-NOR. The axioms and theorems of Boolean algebra are also presented. The programmable logic devices include programmable read-only memories, programmable array logic devices, and programmable logic array devices.

Chapter 3 presents the design of sequential logic using Verilog HDL. The examples include both Moore and Mealy sequential machines. Moore machines are synchronous sequential machines in which the output function produces an output vector which is determined by the present state only, and is not a function of the present inputs. This is in contrast to Mealy synchronous sequential machines in which the output function produces an output vector which is determined by both the present input vector and the present state of the machine.

This chapter describes three types of sequential machines: synchronous sequential machines which use a system clock and generally require a state diagram or a state table for its precise description; asynchronous sequential machines in which there is no system clock - state changes occur on the application of input signals only; and pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines in which state changes occur on the application of input pulses which trigger the storage elements, rather than on a system clock signal.

Chapter 4 presents arithmetic operations for the three primary number representations: fixed-point, binary-coded decimal (BCD), and floating-point. For fixedpoint, the radix point is placed to the immediate right of the number for integers or to
the immediate left of the number for fractions. For binary-coded decimal, each decimal digit can be encoded into a corresponding binary number; however, only ten decimal digits are valid. For floating-point, the numbers consist of the following three fields: a sign bit, an exponent $e$, and a fraction $f$, as shown below for radix $r$. Addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division will be applied to all three number representations.

$$
A=f \times r^{e}
$$

For fixed-point addition, the two operands are the augend and the addend. The addend is added to the augend to produce the sum. Addition of two binary operands treats both signed and unsigned operands the same - there is no distinction between the two types of numbers during the add operation. If the numbers are signed, then the sign bit can be extended to the left indefinitely without changing the value of the number.

For fixed-point subtraction, the two operands are the minuend and the subtrahend. The subtrahend is subtracted from the minuend to produce the difference. Subtraction can be performed in all three number representations: sign magnitude, diminished-radix complement, and radix complement; however, radix complement is the easiest and most widely used method for subtraction in any radix.

For fixed-point multiplication, the two operands are the multiplicand and the multiplier. The $n$-bit multiplicand is multiplied by the $n$-bit multiplier to generate the $2 n$-bit product. In all methods of multiplication the product is usually $2 n$ bits in length. The operands can be either unsigned or signed numbers in 2 s complement representation.

For fixed-point division, the two operands are the dividend and the divisor. The $2 n$-bit dividend is divided by the $n$-bit divisor to produce an $n$-bit quotient and an $n$ bit remainder, as shown below.

$$
2 n \text {-bit dividend }=(n \text {-bit divisor } \times n \text {-bit quotient })+n \text {-bit remainder }
$$

For binary-coded decimal addition, and other BCD calculations, the highest-valued decimal digit is 9 , which requires four bits in the binary representation (1001). Therefore, each operand is represented by a 4-bit BCD code. Since four binary bits have sixteen combinations $(0000-1111)$ and the range for a single decimal digit is 0 -9 , six of the sixteen combinations ( $1010-1111$ ) are invalid for BCD. These invalid BCD digits must be converted to valid digits by adding six to the digit. This is the concept for addition with sum correction. The adder must include correction logic for intermediate sums that are greater than or equal to 1010 in radix 2.

For binary-coded decimal subtraction, the BCD code is not self-complementing as is the radix 2 fixed-point number representation; that is, the $r-1$ complement cannot be acquired by inverting each bit of the 4 -bit BCD digit. Therefore, a 9 s complementer must be designed that provides the same function as the diminished-radix complement for the fixed-point number representation. Thus, subtraction in BCD is essentially the same as in fixed-point binary.

For binary-coded decimal multiplication, the algorithms for BCD multiplication are more complex than those for fixed-point multiplication. This is because decimal digits consist of four binary bits and have values in the range of 0 to 9 , whereas fixed-point digits have values of 0 or 1 . One method that is commonly used is to perform the multiplication in the fixed-point number representation; then convert the product to the BCD number representation. This is accomplished by utilizing a binary-to-decimal converter, which is used to convert a fixed-point multiplication product to the decimal number representation.

For binary-coded decimal division, the division process is first reviewed by using examples of the restoring division method. Then a mixed-design (behavioral/ dataflow) module is presented. The dividend is an 8-bit vector, $a[7: 0]$; the divisor is a 4-bit vector, $b[3: 0]$; and the result is an 8-bit quotient/remainder vector, $r$ slt [7:0].

For floating-point addition, the material presented is based on the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard for Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic IEEE Std 754-1985 (Reaffirmed 1990). Floating-point numbers consist of the following three fields: a sign bit $s$, an exponent $e$, and a fraction $f$. Unbiased and biased exponents are explained. Numerical examples are given that clarify the technique for adding floating-point numbers. The floating-point addition algorithm is given in a step-by-step procedure. A floating-point adder is implemented using behavioral modeling.

For floating-point subtraction, several numerical examples are presented that graphically portray the steps required for true addition and true subtraction for float-ing-point operands. True addition produces a result that is the sum of the two operands disregarding the signs; true subtraction produces a result that is the difference of the two operands disregarding the signs. A behavioral module is presented that illustrates subtraction operations which yield results that are either true addition or true subtraction.

For floating-point multiplication, numerical examples are presented that illustrate the operation of floating-point multiplication. In floating-point multiplication, the fractions are multiplied and the exponents are added. The fractions are multiplied by any of the methods previously used in fixed-point multiplication. The operands are two normalized floating-point operands. Fraction multiplication and exponent addition are two independent operations and can be done in parallel. Floating-point multiplication is defined as follows:

$$
A \times B=\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} \times f_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \times r^{(e \mathrm{~A}+e \mathrm{~B})}
$$

For floating-point division, the operation is accomplished by dividing the fractions and subtracting the exponents. The fractions are divided by any of the methods presented in the section on fixed-point division and overflow is checked in the same manner. Fraction division and exponent subtraction are two independent operations and can be done in parallel. Floating-point division is defined as follows:

$$
A / B=\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} / f_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \times r^{(e \mathrm{~A}-e \mathrm{~B})}
$$

Appendix A presents a brief discussion on event handling using the event queue. Operations that occur in a Verilog module are typically handled by an event queue.

Appendix B presents a procedure to implement a Verilog project.
Appendix C contains the solutions to selected problems in each chapter.
The material presented in this book represents more than two decades of computer equipment design by the author. The book is not intended as a text on logic design, although this subject is reviewed where applicable. It is assumed that the reader has an adequate background in combinational and sequential logic design. The book presents the Verilog HDL with numerous design examples to help the reader thoroughly understand this popular HDL.

This book is designed for practicing electrical engineers, computer engineers, and computer scientists; for graduate students in electrical engineering, computer engineering, and computer science; and for senior-level undergraduate students.

A special thanks to David Dutton, CEO of Silvaco Incorporated, for allowing use of the SILOS Simulation Environment software for the examples in this book. SILOS is an intuitive, easy-to-use, yet powerful Verilog HDL simulator for logic verification.

I would like to express my appreciation and thanks to the following people who gave generously of their time and expertise to review the manuscript and submit comments: Professor Daniel W. Lewis, Department of Computer Engineering, Santa Clara University who supported me in all my endeavors; Geri Lamble; and Steve Midford. Thanks also to Nora Konopka and the staff at Taylor \& Francis for their support.
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## Introduction to Logic Design Using Verilog HDL

This chapter provides an introduction to the design methodologies and modeling constructs of the Verilog hardware description language (HDL). Modules, ports, and test benches will be presented. This chapter introduces Verilog in conjunction with combinational logic and sequential logic. The Verilog simulator used in this book is easy to learn and use, yet powerful enough for any application. It is a logic simulator called SILOS - developed by Silvaco Incorporated for use in the design and verification of digital systems. The SILOS simulation environment is a method to quickly prototype and debug any application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC), field-programmable gate array (FPGA), or complex programmable logic device (CPLD) design.

Language elements will be described, which consist of comments, logic gates, logic macro functions, parameters, procedural control statements which modify the flow of control in a program, and data types. Also presented will be expressions consisting of operands and operators. Built-in primitives are discussed which are used to describe a net. In addition to built-in primitives, user-defined primitives (UDPs) are presented which are at a higher-level logic function than built-in primitives.

This chapter also presents dataflow modeling which is at a higher level of abstraction than built-in primitives or user-defined primitives. Dataflow modeling corresponds one-to-one with conventional logic design at the gate level. Also introduced is behavioral modeling which describes the behavior of the system and is not concerned with the direct implementation of the logic gates but more on the architecture of the machine. Structural modeling is presented which instantiates one or more lower-level modules into the design. The objects that are instantiated are called instances. A
module can be a logic gate, an adder, a multiplexer, a counter, or some other logical function. Structural modeling is described by the interconnection of these lower-level logic primitives of modules.

Tasks and functions are also included in this chapter. These constructs allow a behavioral module to be partitioned into smaller segments. Tasks and functions permit modules to execute common code segments that are written once then called when required, thus reducing the amount of code needed.

### 1.1 Logic Elements

Logic elements are the constituent parts of the Verilog language. They consist of comments, logic gates, parameters, procedural control statements which modify the flow of control in a behavior, and data types.

### 1.1.1 Comments

Comments can be inserted into a Verilog module to explain the function of a particular block of code or a line of code. There are two types of comments: single line and multiple lines. A single-line comment is indicated by a double forward slash (//) and may be placed on a separate line or at the end of a line of code, as shown below.

```
//This is a single-line comment on a dedicated line
assign z}\mp@subsup{z}{1}{}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}|\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mathrm{ //This is a comment on a line of code
```

A single-line comment usually explains the function of the following block of code. A comment on a line of code explains the function of that particular line of code. All characters that follow the forward slashes are ignored by the compiler.

A multiple-line comment begins with a forward slash followed by an asterisk (/*) and ends with an asterisk followed by a forward slash ( $* /$ ), as shown below. Multipleline comments cannot be nested. All characters within a multiple-line comment are ignored by the compiler.

```
/*This is a multiple-line comment.
    More comments go here.
    More comments. */
```


### 1.1.2 Logic Gates

Figure 1.1 shows the logic gate distinctive-shape symbols. The polarity symbol "O" indicates an active-low assertion on either an input or an output of a logic symbol.


Figure 1.1 Logic gate symbols for logic design: (a) AND gate, (b) OR gate, (c) NOT function (inverter), (d) NAND gate, (e) NAND gate for the OR function, (f) NOR gate, (g) NOR gate for the AND function.

The AND gate can also be used for the OR function, as shown below.

AND gate for the AND function


AND gate for the OR function


The OR gate can also be used for the AND function, as shown below.

OR gate for the OR function


OR gate for the AND function


An exclusive-OR gate is shown below. The output of an exclusive-OR gate is a logical 1 whenever the two inputs are different.

## Exclusive-OR gate



An exclusive-NOR gate is shown below. An exclusive-NOR gate is also called an equality function because the output is a logical 1 whenever the two inputs are equal.

## Exclusive-NOR gate



Truth tables for the logic elements are shown in Table 1.1, Table 1.2, Table 1.3, Table 1.4, Table 1.5, and Table 1.6.

Table 1.1 Truth Table for the AND Gate

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1.3 Truth Table for the OR Gate

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1.2 Truth Table for the NAND Gate

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 1.4 Truth Table for the NOR Gate

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 1.5 Truth Table for the Exclusive-OR Function

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Table 1.6 Truth Table for the Exclusive-NOR Function

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $z_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Fan-In Logic gates for the AND and OR functions can be extended to accommodate more than two variables; that is, more than two inputs. The number of inputs available at a logic gate is called the fan-in.

Fan-Out The fan-out of a logic gate is the maximum number of inputs that the gate can drive and still maintain acceptable voltage and current levels. That is, the fan-out defines the maximum load that the gate can handle.

### 1.1.3 Logic Macro Functions

Logic macro functions are those circuits that consist of several logic primitives to form larger more complex functions. Combinational logic macros include circuits such as multiplexers, decoders, encoders, comparators, adders, subtractors, array multipliers, array dividers, and error detection and correction circuits. Sequential logic macros include circuits such as: $S R$ latches; $D$ and $J K$ flip-flops; counters of various moduli, including count-up and count-down counters; registers, including shift registers; and sequential multipliers and dividers. This section will present the functional operation of multiplexers, decoders, encoders, priority encoders, and comparators.

Multiplexers A multiplexer is a logic macro device that allows digital information from two or more data inputs to be directed to a single output. Data input selection is controlled by a set of select inputs that determine which data input is gated to the output. The select inputs are labeled $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{i}, \cdots, s_{n-1}$, where $s_{0}$ is the low-order select input with a binary weight of $2^{0}$ and $s_{n-1}$ is the high-order select input with a binary weight of $2^{n-1}$. The data inputs are labeled $d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{j}, \cdots, d_{n-1}$. Thus, if a multiplexer has $n$ select inputs, then the number of data inputs will be $2^{n}$ and will be labeled $d_{0}$ through $d_{n-1}$. For example, if $n=2$, then the multiplexer has two select inputs $s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$ and four data inputs $d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}$, and $d_{3}$.

The logic diagram for a $4: 1$ multiplexer is shown in Figure 1.2. There can also be an enable input which gates the selected data input to the output. Each of the four data inputs $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$ is connected to a separate 3 -input AND gate. The select inputs
$s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$ are decoded to select a particular AND gate. The output of each AND gate is applied to a 4 -input OR gate that provides the single output $z_{1}$. Input lines that are not selected cannot be transferred to the output and are treated as "don't cares."


Figure 1.2 Logic diagram for a 4:1 multiplexer.

Figure 1.3 shows a typical multiplexer drawn in the ANSI/IEEE Std. 91-1984 format. Consider the 4:1 multiplexer in Figure 1.3. If $s_{1} s_{0}=00$, then data input $d_{0}$ is selected and its value is propagated to the multiplexer output $z_{1}$. Similarly, if $s_{1} s_{0}=01$, then data input $d_{1}$ is selected and its value is directed to the multiplexer output.

The equation that represents output $z_{1}$ in the $4: 1$ multiplexer is shown in Equation 1.1. Output $z_{1}$ assumes the value of $d_{0}$ if $s_{1} s_{0}=00$, as indicated by the term $s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0}{ }^{\prime} d_{0}$. Likewise, $z_{1}$ assumes the value of $d_{1}$ when $s_{1} s_{0}=01$, as indicated by the term $s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0} d_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0}{ }^{\prime} d_{0}+s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0} d_{1}+s_{1} s_{0}{ }^{\prime} d_{2}+s_{1} s_{0} d_{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the data input numbers $d_{i}$ of a multiplexer and the minterm locations in a Karnaugh map. Equation 1.2 is plotted on the Karnaugh map shown in Figure 1.3(a) using $x_{3}$ as a map-entered variable. Minterm location 0 corresponds to data input $d_{0}$ of the multiplexer; minterm location 1 corresponds to data input $d_{1}$; minterm location 2 corresponds to data input $d_{2}$; and minterm location 3 corresponds to data input $d_{3}$. The Karnaugh map and the multiplexer implement Equation 1.2, where $x_{2}$ is the low-order variable in the Karnaugh map. Figure 1.3(b) shows the implementation using a 4:1 multiplexer.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{3}^{\prime}\right)+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.3 Multiplexer using a map-entered variable: (a) Karnaugh map and (b) a 4:1 multiplexer.

Linear-select multiplexers The multiplexer examples described thus far have been classified as linear-select multiplexers, because all of the variables of the Karnaugh map coordinates have been utilized as the select inputs for the multiplexer. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between the minterms of a Karnaugh map and the data inputs of a multiplexer, designing the input logic is relatively straightforward. Simply assign the values of the minterms in the Karnaugh map to the corresponding multiplexer data inputs with the same subscript.

Nonlinear-select multiplexers Although the logic functions correctly according to the equation using a linear-select multiplexer, the design may demonstrate an inefficient use of the $2^{p}: 1$ multiplexers. Smaller multiplexers with fewer data inputs could be effectively utilized with a corresponding reduction in machine cost.

For example, the Karnaugh map shown in Figure 1.4 can be implemented with a 4:1 nonlinear-select multiplexer for the function $z_{1}$ instead of an 8:1 linear-select multiplexer. Variables $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ will connect to select inputs $s_{1}$ and $s_{0}$, respectively. When select inputs $s_{1} s_{0}=x_{2} x_{3}=00$, data input $d_{0}$ is selected; therefore, $d_{0}=0$. When select inputs $s_{1} s_{0}=x_{2} x_{3}=01$, data input $d_{1}$ is selected and $d_{1}$ contains the complement of $x_{1}$; therefore, $d_{1}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. When select inputs $s_{1} s_{0}=x_{2} x_{3}=10$, data input $d_{2}$ is selected; therefore, $d_{2}=1$. When $s_{1} s_{0}=x_{2} x_{3}=11$, data input $d_{3}$ is selected and contains the same value as $x_{1}$; therefore, $d_{3}=x_{1}$. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.5

The multiplexer of Figure 1.5 can be checked to verify that it operates according to the Karnaugh map of Figure 1.4; that is, for every value of $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$, output $z_{1}$ should generate the same value as in the corresponding minterm location.


Figure 1.4 Karnaugh map for an example which will be implemented by a $4: 1$ nonlinear-select multiplexer.


Figure 1.5 A 4:1 nonlinear-select multiplexer to implement the Karnaugh map of Figure 1.4.

Decoders A decoder is a combinational logic macro that is characterized by the following property: For every valid combination of inputs, a unique output is generated. In general, a decoder has $n$ binary inputs and $m$ mutually exclusive outputs, where $2^{n} \geq m$. An $n: m$ ( $n$-to- $m$ ) decoder is shown in Figure 1.6, where the label DX specifies a demultiplexer. Each output represents a minterm that corresponds to the binary representation of the input vector. Thus, $z_{i}=m_{i}$, where $m_{i}$ is the $i$ th minterm of the $n$ input variables.

For example, if $n=3$ and $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=101$, then output $z_{5}$ is asserted. A decoder with $n$ inputs, therefore, has a maximum of $2^{n}$ outputs. Because the outputs are mutually exclusive, only one output is active for each different combination of the inputs. The decoder outputs may be asserted high or low. Decoders have many applications in digital engineering, ranging from instruction decoding to memory addressing to code conversion.

Figure 1.7 illustrates the logic symbol for a 2:4 decoder, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are the binary input variables and $z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $z_{3}$ are the output variables. Input $x_{2}$ is the low-order variable. Since there are two inputs, each output corresponds to a different minterm of two variables.


Figure 1.6 An $n: m$ decoder.


Figure 1.7 Logic symbol for a 2:4 decoder.

A 3:8 decoder is shown in Figure 1.8 which decodes a binary number into the corresponding octal number. The three inputs are $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$ with binary weights of $2^{2}, 2^{1}$, and $2^{0}$, respectively. The decoder generates an output that corresponds to the decimal value of the binary inputs. For example, if $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=110$, then output $z_{6}$ is asserted high. A decoder may also have an enable function which allows the selected output to be asserted.


Figure 1.8 A binary-to-octal decoder.

The internal logic for the binary-to-octal decoder of Figure 1.8 is shown in Figure 1.9. The Enable gate allows for additional logic functions to control the assertion of the active-high outputs.


Figure 1.9 Internal logic for the binary-to-octal decoder of Figure 1.8.

Encoders An encoder is a macro logic circuit with $n$ mutually exclusive inputs and $m$ binary outputs, where $n \leq 2^{m}$. The inputs are mutually exclusive to prevent errors from appearing on the outputs. The outputs generate a binary code that corresponds to the active input value. The function of an encoder can be considered to be the inverse of a decoder; that is, the mutually exclusive inputs are encoded into a corresponding binary number.

A general block diagram for an $n: m$ encoder is shown in Figure 1.10. An encoder is also referred to as a code converter. In the label of Figure 1.10, X corresponds to the
input code and Y corresponds to the output code. The general qualifying label $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}$ is replaced by the input and output codes, respectively, such as, OCT/BIN for an octal-to-binary code converter. Only one input $x_{i}$ is asserted at a time. The decimal value of $x_{i}$ is encoded as a binary number which is specified by the $m$ outputs.


Figure 1.10 An $n: m$ encoder or code converter.

An 8:3 octal-to-binary encoder is shown in Figure 1.11. Although there are $2^{8}$ possible input combinations of eight variables, only eight combinations are valid. The eight inputs each generate a unique octal code word in binary. If the outputs are to be enabled, then the gating can occur at the output gates.


Figure 1.11 An octal-to-binary encoder.

The low-order output $z_{3}$ is asserted when one of the following inputs are active: $x_{1}, x_{3}$, $x_{5}$, or $x_{7}$. Output $z_{2}$ is asserted when one of the following inputs are active: $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{6}$,
or $x_{7}$. Output $z_{1}$ is asserted when one of the following inputs are active: $x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}$, or $x_{7}$. The encoder can be implemented with OR gates whose inputs are established from Equation 1.3 and Figure 1.12.


Figure 1.12 Logic diagram for an 8:3 encoder.

Priority encoder It was stated previously that encoder inputs are mutually exclusive. There may be situations, however, where more than one input can be active at a time. Then a priority must be established to select and encode a particular input. This is referred to as a priority encoder.

Usually the input with the highest valued subscript is selected as highest priority for encoding. Thus, if $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are active simultaneously and $i<j$, then $x_{j}$ has priority over $x_{i}$. The truth table for an octal-to-binary priority encoder is shown in Table 1.7. The outputs $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}$ generate a binary number that is equivalent to the highest priority input. If $x_{3}=1$, the state of $x_{0}, x_{1}$, and $x_{2}$ is irrelevant ("don't care") and the output is the binary number 011.

Comparators A comparator is a logic macro circuit that compares the magnitude of two $n$-bit binary numbers $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Therefore, there are $2 n$ inputs and three outputs that indicate the relative magnitude of the two numbers. The outputs are mutually exclusive, specifying $X_{1}<X_{2}, X_{1}=X_{2}$, or $X_{1}>X_{2}$. Figure 1.13 shows a general block diagram of a comparator.

If two or more comparators are connected in cascade, then three additional inputs are required for each comparator. These additional inputs indicate the relative magnitude of the previous lower-order comparator inputs and specify $X_{1}<X_{2}, X_{1}=X_{2}$, or $X_{1}>X_{2}$ for the previous stage. Cascading comparators usually apply only to commercially available comparator integrated circuits.

Table 1.7 Octal-to-Binary Priority Encoder

| $x_{0}$ | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  | Outputs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |



Figure 1.13 General block diagram of a comparator.

Designing the hardware for a comparator is relatively straightforward - it consists of AND gates, OR gates, and exclusive-NOR circuits as shown in Equation 1.4. An alternative approach which may be used to minimize the amount of hardware is to eliminate the equation for $X_{1}=X_{2}$ and replace it with Equation 1.5. That is, if $X_{1}$ is neither less nor greater than $X_{2}$, then $X_{1}$ must equal $X_{2}$.
$\left(X_{1}<X_{2}\right)=x_{11} '^{\prime} x_{21}+\left(x_{11} \oplus x_{21}\right)^{\prime} x_{12}{ }^{\prime} x_{22}+\left(x_{11} \oplus x_{21}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{12} \oplus x_{22}\right)^{\prime} x_{13}{ }^{\prime} x_{23}$
$\left(X_{1}=X_{2}\right)=\left(x_{11} \oplus x_{21}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{12} \oplus x_{22}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{13} \oplus x_{23}\right)^{\prime}$
$\left(X_{1}>X_{2}\right)=x_{11} x_{21}{ }^{\prime}+\left(x_{11} \oplus x_{21}\right)^{\prime} x_{12} x_{22}{ }^{\prime}+\left(x_{11} \oplus x_{21}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{12} \oplus x_{22}\right)^{\prime} x_{13} x_{23}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{1}=X_{2}\right) \text { if }\left(X_{1}<X_{2}\right)^{\prime} \text { AND }\left(X_{1}>X_{2}\right)^{\prime} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.1.4 Procedural Flow Control

Procedural flow control statements modify the flow in a behavior by selecting branch options, repeating certain activities, selecting a parallel activity, or terminating an activity. The activity can occur in sequential blocks or in parallel blocks.
begin . . . end The begin . . end keywords are used to group multiple statements into sequential blocks. The statements in a sequential block execute in sequence; that is, a statement does not execute until the preceding statement has executed, except for nonblocking statements. If there is only one procedural statement in the block, then the begin . . . end keywords may be omitted.
disable The disable statement terminates a named block of procedural statements or a task and transfers control to the statement immediately following the block or task. The disable statement can also be used to exit a loop.
for The keyword for is used to specify a loop. The for loop repeats the execution of a procedural statement or a block of procedural statements a specified number of times. The for loop is used when there is a specified beginning and end to the loop. The format and function of a for loop is similar to the for loop used in the C programming language. The parentheses following the keyword for contain three expressions separated by semicolons, as shown below.

> for (register initialization; test condition; update register control variable) procedural statement or block of procedural statements
forever The forever loop statement executes the procedural statements continuously. The loop is primarily used for timing control constructs, such as clock pulse generation. The forever procedural statement must be contained within an initial or an always block. In order to exit the loop, the disable statement may be used to prematurely terminate the procedural statements. An always statement executes at the beginning of simulation; the forever statement executes only when it is encountered in a procedural block.
if . . . else These keywords are used as conditional statements to alter the flow of activity through a behavioral module. They permit a choice of alternative paths based upon a Boolean value obtained from a condition. The syntax is shown below.

```
if (condition)
    {procedural statement 1}
else
    {procedural statement 2}
```

If the result of the condition is true, then procedural statement 1 is executed; otherwise, procedural statement 2 is executed. The procedural statement following the if and else statements can be a single procedural statement or a block of procedural statements. Two uses for the if . . . else statement are to model a multiplexer or decode an instruction register operation code to select alternative paths depending on the instruction. The if statement can be nested to provide several alternative paths to execute procedural statements as shown in the syntax below for nested if statements.

```
if (condition 1)
    {procedural statement 1}
else if (condition 2)
    {procedural statement 2}
else if (condition 3)
    {procedural statement 3}
else
    {procedural statement 4)
```

repeat The repeat keyword is used to execute a loop a fixed number of times as specified by a constant contained within parentheses following the repeat keyword. The loop can be a single statement or a block of statements contained within begin . . . end keywords. The syntax is shown below.
repeat (expression)
statement or block of statements
When the activity flow reaches the repeat construct, the expression in parentheses is evaluated to determine the number of times that the loop is to be executed. The expression can be a constant, a variable, or a signal value. If the expression evaluates to $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then the value is treated as 0 and the loop is not executed.
while The while statement executes a statement or a block of statements while an expression is true. The syntax is shown below.
while (expression) statement
The expression is evaluated and a Boolean value, either true (a logical 1) or false (a logical 0) is returned. If the expression is true, then the procedural statement or block of statements is executed. The while loop executes until the expression becomes false, at which time the loop is exited and the next sequential statement is executed. If the expression is false when the loop is entered, then the procedural statement is not executed. If the value returned is $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then the value is treated as false. An example of the while statement is shown below where the initial count $=0$.

```
while (count < 16)
begin
    count = count + 1;
end
```


### 1.1.5 Net Data Types

Verilog defines two data types: nets and registers. These predefined data types are used to connect logical elements and to provide storage. A net is a physical wire or group of wires connecting hardware elements in a module or between modules.

An example of net data types is shown in Figure 1.14, where five internal nets are defined: net1, net 2 , net 3 , net 4 , and net 5 . The value of net 1 is determined by the inputs to the andl gate represented by the term $x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, where $x_{2}$ is active low; the value of net 2 is determined by the inputs to the and 2 gate represented by the term $x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}$, where $x_{1}$ is active low; the value of net 3 is determined by the input to the inverter represented by the term $x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$, where $x_{3}$ is active low. The equations for outputs $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are listed in Equation 1.6.


Figure 1.14 A logic diagram showing single-wire nets and one multiple-wire net.

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
& z_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{3} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.1.6 Register Data Types

A register data type represents a variable that can retain a value. Verilog registers are similar in function to hardware registers, but are conceptually different. Hardware registers are synthesized with storage elements such as $D$ flip-flops, $J K$ flip-flops, and $S R$ latches. Verilog registers are an abstract representation of hardware registers and are declared as reg.

The default size of a register is 1-bit; however, a larger width can be specified in the declaration. The general syntax to declare a width of more than 1-bit is as follows: reg [most significant bit:least significant bit] register_name.

To declare a one-byte register called data_register is reg [7:0] data_register.

Memories Memories can be represented in Verilog by an array of registers and are declared using a reg data type as follows:


A 32-word register with one byte per word would be declared as follows:
reg [7:0] memory_name [0:31];
An array can have only two dimensions. Memories must be declared as reg data types, not as wire data types. A register can be assigned a value using one statement, as shown below. Register buff_reg is assigned the 16-bit hexadecimal value of 7 ab 5 , which equates to the binary value of $01111010 \quad 1011 \quad 0101_{2}$.

```
reg [15:0] buff_reg;
buff_reg = 16'h7ab5;
```

Values can also be stored in memories by assigning a value to each word individually, as shown below for an instruction cache of eight registers with eight bits per register.

> reg [7:0] instr_cache [0:7];

| instr_cache [0] = | 8'h08; |
| :---: | :---: |
| instr_cache [1] = | 8'h09; |
| instr_cache [2] = | 8'h0a; |
| instr_cache [3] = | 8'h0b; |
| instr_cache [4] = | 8'h0c; |
| instr_cache [5] = | 8'h0d; |
| instr_cache [6] = | 8 h 0 e ; |
| instr_cache [7] = | 8'h0f; |

### 1.2 Expressions

Expressions consist of operands and operators, which are the basis of Verilog HDL. The result of a right-hand side expression can be assigned to a left-hand side net variable or register variable using the keyword assign. The value of an expression is determined from the combined operations on the operands. An expression can consist of a single operand or two or more operands in conjunction with one or more operators. The result of an expression is represented by one or more bits. Examples of expressions are as follows, where the symbol \& indicates an AND operation and the symbol | indicates an OR operation:

```
assign z
assign z
assign cout =(a& cin)|(b & cin)|(a& & b);
```


### 1.2.1 Operands

Operands can be any of the data types listed in Table 1.8.

Table 1.8 Operands

| Operands | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Constant | Signed or unsigned |
| Parameter | Similar to a constant |
| Net | Scalar or vector |
| Register | Scalar or vector |
| Bit-select | One bit from a vector |
| Part- | Contiguous bits of a |
| select | vector |
| Memory | One word of a mem- |
| element | ory |

Constant Constants can be signed or unsigned. A decimal integer is treated as a signed number. An integer that is specified by a base is interpreted as an unsigned number. Examples of both types are shown in Table 1.9.

Table 1.9 Signed and Unsigned Constants

| Constant | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| 127 | Signed decimal: Value $=8$-bit binary vector: $0111 \_1111$ |
| -1 | Signed decimal: Value $=8$-bit binary vector: $1111 \_1111$ |
| -128 | Signed decimal: Value $=8$-bit binary vector: $1000 \_0000$ |
| 4'b1110 | Binary base: Value $=$ unsigned decimal 14 |
| 8'b0011_1010 | Binary base: Value $=$ unsigned decimal 58 |
| 16'h1A3C | Hexadecimal base: Value $=$ unsigned decimal 6716 |
| 16'hBCDE | Hexadecimal base: Value $=$ unsigned decimal 48,350 |
| 9'o536 | Octal base: Value $=$ unsigned decimal 350 |
| -22 | Signed decimal: Value $=8$-bit binary vector: 1110_1010 |
| $-9 ' \mathrm{o} 352$ | Octal base: Value $=8$-bit binary vector: $1110 \_1010$ |
|  | $=$ unsigned decimal 234 |

The last two entries in Table 1.9 both evaluate to the same bit configuration, but represent different decimal values. The number $-22_{10}$ is a signed decimal value; the number - 9 'o352 is treated as an unsigned number with a decimal value of $234_{10}$.

Parameter A parameter is similar to a constant and is declared by the keyword parameter. Parameter statements assign values to constants; the values cannot be changed during simulation. Examples of parameters are shown in Table 1.10.

Table 1.10 Examples of Parameters

| Examples | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| parameter width $=8$ | Defines a bus width of 8 bits |
| parameter width $=16$, depth $=512$ | Defines a memory with two bytes per word <br> and 512 words |
| parameter out_port $=8$ | Defines an output port with an address of 8 |

Parameters are useful in defining the width of a bus. For example, the adder shown in Figure 1.15 contains two 8-bit vector inputs $a$ and $b$ and one scalar input cin. There is also one 9 -bit vector output sum comprised of an 8 -bit result and a scalar carry-out. The Verilog line of code shown below defines a bus width of eight bits. Wherever width appears in the code, it is replaced by the value eight.

```
parameter width = 8;
```



Figure 1.15 Eight-bit adder to illustrate the use of a parameter statement.

### 1.2.2 Operators

Verilog HDL contains a profuse set of operators that perform various operations on different types of data to yield results on nets and registers. Some operators are similar to those used in the C programming language. Table 1.11 lists the categories of operators in order of precedence, from highest to lowest.

Table 1.11 Verilog HDL Operators and Symbols

| Operator type | Operator Symbol | Operation | Number of Operands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic | + | Add | Two or one |
|  | - | Subtract | Two or one |
|  | * | Multiply | Two |
|  | ) | Divide | Two |
|  | \% | Modulus | Two |
| Logical | \&\& | Logical AND | Two |
|  | 11 | Logical OR | Two |
|  | ! | Logical negation | One |
| Relational | > | Greater than | Two |
|  | $<$ | Less than | Two |
|  | >= | Greater than or equal | Two |
|  | $<=$ | Less than or equal | Two |
| Equality | = $=$ | Logical equality | Two |
|  | ! = | Logical inequality | Two |
|  | $=$ = | Case equality | Two |
|  | ! = = | Case inequality | Two |
| Bitwise | \& | AND | Two |
|  | \| | OR | Two |
|  | $\sim$ | Negation | One |
|  | $\wedge$ | Exclusive-OR | Two |
|  | $\wedge \sim$ or $\wedge^{\wedge}$ | Exclusive-NOR | Two |
| Reduction | \& | AND | One |
|  | $\sim$ \& | NAND | One |
|  | \| | OR | One |
|  | $\sim 1$ | NOR | One |
|  | - | Exclusive-OR | One |
|  | $\sim^{\wedge}$ or ${ }^{\wedge} \sim$ | Exclusive-NOR | One |
| Shift | << | Left shift | One |
|  | >> | Right shift | One |
| Conditional | ? : | Conditional | Three |
| Concatenation | \{ \} | Concatenation | Two or more |
| Replication | \{ $\{$ \}\} | Replication | Two or more |

Arithmetic Arithmetic operations are performed on one (unary) operand or two (binary) operands in the following radices: binary, octal, decimal, or hexadecimal. The result of an arithmetic operation is interpreted as an unsigned value or as a signed value in 2 s complement representation on both scalar and vector nets and registers. The operands shown in Table 1.12 are used for the operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.

Table 1.12 Operands Used for Arithmetic Operations


The unary + and - operators change the sign of the operand and have higher precedence than the binary + and - operators. Examples of unary operators are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +45 \text { (Positive } 45_{10} \text { ) } \\
& -72\left(\text { Negative } 72_{10}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Unary operators treat net and register operands as unsigned values, and treat real and integer operands as signed values.

The binary add operator performs unsigned and signed addition on two operands. Register and net operands are treated as unsigned operands; thus, a value of

$$
1111 \_1111 \_1111 \_1111_{2}
$$

stored in a register has a value of $65,535_{10}$ unsigned, not $-1_{10}$ signed. Real and integer operands are treated as signed operands; thus, a value of
1111_1110_1010_01112
stored in an integer register has a value of $-345_{10}$ signed, not $65,191_{10}$ unsigned. The width of the result of an arithmetic operation is determined by the width of the largest operand.

Logical There are three logical operators: the binary logical AND operator (\&\&), the binary logical OR operator (||), and the unary logical negation operator (!). Logical operators evaluate to a logical 1 (true), a logical 0 (false), or an $\mathbf{x}$ (ambiguous). If a logical operation returns a nonzero value, then it is treated as a logical 1 (true); if a bit in an operand is $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then it is ambiguous and is normally treated as a false condition.

Let $a$ and $b$ be two 4-bit operands, where $a=0110$ and $b=1100$. Let $z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $z_{3}$ be the outputs of the logical operations shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=a \& \& b \\
& z_{2}=a| | b \\
& z_{3}=!a
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the operation $z_{1}=a \& \& b$ yields a value of $z_{1}=1$ because both $a$ and $b$ are nonzero. If a vector operand is nonzero, then it treated as a 1 (true). Output $z_{2}$ is also equal to 1 for the expression $z_{2}=a| | b$. Output $z_{3}$ is equal to 0 because $a$ is true.

Now let $a=0101$ and $b=0000$. Thus, $z_{1}=a \& \& b=1 \& \& 0=0$ because $a$ is true and $b$ is false. Output $z_{2}$, however, is equal to 1 because $z_{2}=a\|b=1\| 0=1$. In a similar manner, $z_{3}=!a=!1=0$, because $a$ is true.

As a final example, let $a=0000$ and $b=0000$; that is, both variables are false. Therefore, $z_{1}=a \& \& b=0 \& \& 0=0 ; z_{2}=a| | b=0| | 0=0 ; z_{3}=!a=!0=1$. If a bit in either operand is $\mathbf{x}$, then the result of a logical operation is $\mathbf{x}$. Also, ! $\mathbf{x}$ is $\mathbf{x}$.

Relational Relational operators compare operands and return a Boolean result, either 1 (true) or 0 (false) indicating the relationship between the two operands. There are four relational operators as follows: greater than $(>)$, less than $(<)$, greater than or equal ( $>=$ ), and less than or equal ( $<=$ ). These operators function the same as identical operators in the C programming language.

If the relationship is true, then the result is 1 ; if the relationship is false, then the result is 0 . Net or register operands are treated as unsigned values; real or integer operands are treated as signed values. An $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$ in any operand returns a result of $\mathbf{x}$. When the operands are of unequal size, the smaller operand is zero-extended to the left. Examples are shown below of relational operators, where the identifier $g t$ means greater than, lt means less than, gte means greater than or equal, and lte means less than or equal when comparing operand $a$ to operand $b$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a=0110, b=1100, & \mathrm{~g} t=0, l t=1, \text { gte }=0, \text { lte }=1 \\
\mathrm{a}=0101, b=0000, & & g t=1, \text { lt }=0, \text { gte }=1, \text { lte }=0 \\
a=1000, b=1001, & & g t=0, \text { lt }=1, \text { gte }=0, \text { lte }=1 \\
a=0000, b=0000, & & g t=0, \text { lt }=0, \text { gte }=1, \text { lte }=1 \\
a=1111, b=1111, & & g t=0, \text { lt }=0, \text { gte }=1, \text { lte }=1
\end{array}
$$

Equality There are four equality operators: logical equality $(==)$, logical inequality $(!=)$, case equality $(===)$, and case inequality $(!==)$.

Logical equality is used in expressions to determine if two values are identical. The result of the comparison is 1 if the two operands are equal, and 0 if they are not equal. The logical inequality operator is used to determine if two operands are unequal. A 1 is returned if the operands are unequal; otherwise a 0 is returned. If the result of the comparison is ambiguous for logical equality or logical inequality, then a value of $\mathbf{x}$ is returned. An $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$ in either operand will return a value of $\mathbf{x}$. If the operands are nets or registers, they are treated as unsigned values; real or integer operands are treated as signed values, but are compared as though they were unsigned operands.

The case equality operator compares both operands on a bit-by-bit basis, including $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$. The result is 1 if both operands are identical in the same bit positions, including those bit positions containing an $\mathbf{x}$ or a $\mathbf{z}$. The case inequality operator is used to determine if two operands are unequal by comparing them on a bit-by-bit basis, including those bit positions that contain $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$.

Examples of the equality operators are shown below, where the 4-bit variables are $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$, and $x_{5}$. The outputs are $z_{1}$ (logical equality), $z_{2}$ (logical inequality), $z_{3}$ (case equality), and $z_{4}$ (case inequality).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=1000, x_{2}=1101, x_{3}=01 x z, x_{4}=01 x z, x_{5}=x 1 x x \\
& z_{1}=0, z_{2}=1, z_{3}=1, z_{4}=1 \\
& x_{1}=1011, x_{2}=1011, x_{3}=x 1 x z, x_{4}=x 1 x z, x_{5}=11 x x \\
& z_{1}=1, z_{2}=1, z_{3}=1, z_{4}=1 \\
& x_{1}=1100, x_{2}=0101, x_{3}=x 01 z, x_{4}=11 x z, x_{5}=11 x x \\
& z_{1}=0, z_{2}=1, z_{3}=0, z_{4}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Referring to the above outputs for the first set of inputs, the logical equality $\left(z_{1}\right)$ of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is false because the operands are unequal. The logical inequality $\left(z_{2}\right)$ of $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ is true. The case equality $\left(z_{3}\right)$ of inputs $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ is 1 because both operands are identical in all bit positions, including the $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ bits. The case inequality $\left(z_{4}\right)$ of inputs $\boldsymbol{x}_{4}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{5}$ is also 1 because the operands differ in the high-order and low-order bit positions.

Bitwise The bitwise operators are: AND (\&), OR ( $\mid$ ), negation ( $\sim$ ), exclusive-OR $\left(^{\wedge}\right)$, and exclusive-NOR ( $\wedge \sim$ or $\left.\sim^{\wedge}\right)$. The bitwise operators perform logical operations on the operands on a bit-by-bit basis and produce a vector result. Except for negation, each bit in one operand is associated with the corresponding bit in the other operand. If one operand is shorter, then it is zero-extended to the left to match the length of the longer operand.

The bitwise $A N D$ operator performs the AND function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis as shown in the following example:

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll} 
& 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\&) & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

The bitwise $O R$ operator performs the OR function on the two operands on a bit-by-bit basis as shown in the following example:

1) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

The bitwise negation operator performs the negation function on one operand on a bit-by-bit basis. Each bit in the operand is inverted as shown in the following example:

$$
\text { ~) } \begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

The bitwise exclusive-OR operator performs the exclusive-OR function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis as shown in the following example:

$\left.{ }^{\wedge}\right)$| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

The bitwise exclusive-NOR operator performs the exclusive-NOR function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis as shown in the following example:

$\wedge \sim) \quad$| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Bitwise operators perform operations on operands on a bit-by-bit basis and produce a vector result. This is in contrast to logical operators, which perform operations on operands in such a way that the truth or falsity of the result is determined by the truth or falsity of the operands.

The logical AND operator returns a value of 1 (true) only if both operands are nonzero (true); otherwise, it returns a value of 0 (false). If the result is ambiguous, it returns a value of $\mathbf{x}$. The logical OR operator returns a value of 1 (true) if either or both operands are true; otherwise, it returns a value of 0 . The logical negation operator returns a value of 1 (true) if the operand has a value of zero and a value of 0 (false) if the operand is nonzero. Examples of the five bitwise operators are shown below. The logical negation operator performs the operation on operand $a$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=11000011, \\ & \mathrm{~b}=10011001, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=01001111, \\ & \mathrm{~b}=11011001, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and_rslt | $=10000001$, | and_rslt | $=01001001$, |
| or_rslt | $=11011011$, | or_rsit | $=11011111$, |
| neg_rslt | $=00111100$, | neg_rslt | $=10110000$, |
| xor_rslt | $=01011010$, | xor_rslt | = 10010110, |
| xnor_rslt | $=10100101$ | xnor_rslt | $=01101001$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10010011, \\ & \mathrm{~b}=11011001, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{a} & =11001111, \\ \mathrm{~b} & =11011001, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| and_rslt | $=10010001$, | and_rslt | $=11001001$, |
| or_rslt | $=11011011$, | or_rslt | $=11011111$, |
| neg_rslt | $=01101100$, | neg_rslt | $=00110000$, |
| xor_rslt | $=01001010$, | xor_rslt | $=00010110$, |
| xnor_rslt | $=10110101$ | xnor_rslt | $=11101001$ |

Reduction The reduction operators are: AND (\&), NAND ( \& \& , OR ( $\mid$ ), NOR $(\sim \mid)$, exclusive-OR $(\wedge)$, and exclusive-NOR $\left(\wedge \sim\right.$ or $\left.\sim^{\wedge}\right)$. Reduction operators are unary operators; that is, they operate on a single vector and produce a single-bit result. If any bit of the operand is $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, the result is $\mathbf{x}$. Reduction operators perform their respective operations on a bit-by-bit basis.

For the reduction $A N D$ operator, if any bit in the operand is 0 , then the result is 0 ; otherwise, the result is 1 . For example, let $x_{1}$ be the vector shown below.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The reduction AND ( \& $x_{1}$ ) operation is equivalent to the following operation:
$1 \& 1 \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 1$
which returns a result of 1 'b0.

For the reduction NAND operator, if any bit in the operand is 0 , then the result is 1 ; otherwise, the result is 0 . For a vector $x_{1}$, the reduction NAND $\left(\sim \& x_{1}\right)$ is the inverse of the reduction AND operator.

For the reduction $O R$ operator, if any bit in the operand is 1 , then the result is 1 ; otherwise, the result is 0 . For example, let $x_{1}$ be the vector shown below.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The reduction OR ( $\mid x_{1}$ ) operation is equivalent to the following operation:

$$
1|1| 1|0| 1|0| 1 \mid 1
$$

which returns a result of 1 ' b 1 .

For the reduction NOR operator, if any bit in the operand is 1 , then the result is 0 ; otherwise, the result is 1 . For a vector $x_{1}$, the reduction $\operatorname{NOR}\left(\sim \mid x_{1}\right)$ is the inverse of the reduction OR operator.

For the exclusive-OR operator, if there are an even number of 1s in the operand, then the result is 0 ; otherwise, the result is 1 . For example, let $x_{1}$ be the vector shown below.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The reduction exclusive-OR ( $\left.\wedge x_{1}\right)$ operation is equivalent to the following operation:

$$
1^{\wedge} 1^{\wedge} 1^{\wedge} 0^{\wedge} 1^{\wedge} 0^{\wedge} 1^{\wedge} 1
$$

which returns a result of 1 ' $b 0$. The reduction exclusive-OR operator can be used as an even parity generator.

For the exclusive-NOR operator, if there are an odd number of 1s in the operand, then the result is 0 ; otherwise, the result is 1 . For a vector $x_{1}$, the reduction exclusive$\operatorname{NOR}\left(\wedge \sim x_{1}\right)$ is the inverse of the reduction exclusive-OR operator. The reduction exclusive-NOR operator can be used as an odd parity generator.

Shift The shift operators shift a single vector operand left or right a specified number of bit positions. These are logical shift operations, not algebraic; that is, as bits are shifted left or right, zeroes fill in the vacated bit positions. The bits shifted out of the operand are lost; they do not rotate to the high-order or low-order bit positions of the shifted operand. If the shift amount evaluates to $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then the result of the operation is $\mathbf{x}$. There are two shift operators, as shown below. The value in parentheses is the number of bits that the operand is shifted.
$\ll$ (Left-shift amount)
$\gg$ (Right-shift amount)

When an operand is shifted left, this is equivalent to a multiply-by-two operation for each bit position shifted. When an operand is shifted right, this is equivalent to a divide-by-two operation for each bit position shifted. The shift operators are useful to model the sequential add-shift multiplication algorithm and the sequential shift-subtract division algorithm. Examples of shift left and shift right operations are shown below for 8-bit operands. Operand $a_{-}$reg is shifted left three bits with the low-order bits filled with zeroes. Operand $b_{-}$reg is shifted right two bits with the high-order bits filled with zeroes.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a_reg }=00000010, \quad \text { b_reg }=00001000, \\ & \text { rslt_a }=00010000, \end{aligned}$ | //shift a_reg left 3 <br> //shift b_reg right 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a_reg }=00000110, \quad \text { b_reg }=00011000, \\ & \text { rslt_a }=00110000, \\ & \text { rslt_b }=00000110 \end{aligned}$ | //shift a_reg left 3 <br> //shift b_reg right 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a_reg }=00001111, \quad \text { b_reg }=00111000, \\ & \text { rslt_a }=01111000, \\ & \text { rslt_b }=00001110 \end{aligned}$ | //shift a_reg left 3 <br> //shift b_reg right 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a_reg }=11100000, \quad \text { b_reg }=00000011, \\ & \text { rslt_a }=00000000, \quad \text { rslt_b }=00000000 \end{aligned}$ | //shift a_reg left 3 //shift b_reg right 2 |

Conditional The conditional operator (? :) has three operands, as shown in the syntax below. The conditional_expression is evaluated. If the result is true (1), then the true_expression is evaluated; if the result is false (0), then the false_expression is evaluated.
conditional_expression ? true_expression : false_expression;

The conditional operator can be used when one of two expressions is to be selected. For example, in the statement below, if $x_{1}$ is greater than or equal to $x_{2}$, then $z_{1}$ is assigned the value of $x_{3}$; if $x_{1}$ is less than $x_{2}$, then $z_{1}$ is assigned the value of $x_{4}$.

$$
\mathrm{z} 1=(\mathrm{x} 1>=\mathrm{x} 2) \text { ? x3 : x4; }
$$

If the operands have different lengths, then the shorter operand is zero-extended on the left. Since the conditional operator selects one of two values, depending on the result of the conditional_expression evaluation, the operator can be used in place of the if . . . else construct. The conditional operator is ideally suited to model a $2: 1 \mathrm{mul}-$ tiplexer. Conditional operators can be nested; that is, each true_expression and false_expression can be a conditional operation. This is useful for modeling a $4: 1 \mathrm{mul}$ tiplexer.

```
conditional_expression ? (cond_expr1 ? true_expr1 : false_expr1)
    : (cond_expr2? true_expr2 : false_expr2);
```

Concatenation The concatenation operator ( \{ \} ) forms a single operand from two or more operands by joining the different operands in sequence separated by commas. The operands to be appended are contained within braces. The size of the operands must be known before concatenation takes place.

The examples below show the concatenation of scalars and vectors of different sizes. Outputs $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$, and $z_{4}$ are ten bits in length.

```
\(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\), and \(z_{4}\) are 10 bits in length.
\(a=11, b=001, c=1100, \mathrm{~d}=1\)
\(z_{1}=0000 \_11 \_1100 \quad / / z_{1}=\{a, c\}\)
\(z_{2}=00000 \_001 \_11 \quad / / z_{2}=\{b, a\}\)
\(z_{3}=0 \_1100 \_001 \_11 \quad / / z_{3}=\{c, b, a\}\)
\(z_{4}=11 \_001 \_1100 \_1 \quad / / z_{4}=\{a, b, c, d\}\)
```

Replication Replication is a means of performing repetitive concatenation. Replication specifies the number of times to duplicate the expressions within the innermost braces. The syntax is shown below together with examples of replication.

```
{number_ of_repetitions {expression_1, expression_2, ..., expression_n}};
```

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=11, b=010, c=0011, & \\
& \\
z_{1}=11 \_0011 \_11 \_0011, & / / z_{1}=\{2\{a, c\}\} \\
z_{2}=010 \_0011 \_0111 \_010 \_0011 \_0111 & / / z_{2}=\left\{2\left\{b, c, 44^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0111\right\}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

### 1.3 Modules and Ports

A module is the basic unit of design in Verilog. It describes the functional operation of some logical entity and can be a stand-alone module or a collection of modules that are instantiated into a structural module. Instantiation means to use one or more lowerlevel modules in the construction of a higher-level structural module. A module can be a logic gate, an adder, a multiplexer, a counter, or some other logical function.

A module consists of declarative text which specifies the function of the module using Verilog constructs; that is, a Verilog module is a software representation of the physical hardware structure and behavior. The declaration of a module is indicated by the keyword module and is always terminated by the keyword endmodule.

Verilog has predefined logical elements called primitives. These built-in primitives are structural elements that can be instantiated into a larger design to form a more complex structure. Examples are: and, or, xor, and not. Built-in primitives are discussed in more detail in Section 1.4.

Modules contain ports which allow communication with the external environment or other modules. For example, the logic diagram for the full adder of Figure 1.16 has input ports $a, b$, and $\operatorname{cin}$ and output ports sum and cout. The general structure and syntax of a module is shown in Figure 1.17. An AND gate can be defined as shown in the module of Figure 1.18, where the input ports are $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and the output port is $z_{1}$.


Figure 1.16 Logic diagram for a full adder.

```
module <module name> (port list);
    declarations
        reg, wire, parameter,
        input, output, . . .
    <module internals>
        statements
        initial, always, module instantiation, . . .
endmodule
```

Figure 1.17 General structure of a Verilog module.

```
//dataflow and gate with two inputs
module and2 (x1, x2, z1);
input x1, x2;
output z1;
wire x1, x2;
wire z1;
assign z1 = x1 & x2;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.18 Verilog module for an AND gate with two inputs.

A Verilog module defines the information that describes the relationship between the inputs and outputs of a logic circuit. A structural module will have one or more instantiations of other modules or logic primitives. In Figure 1.18, the first line is a comment, indicated by (//). In the second line, and 2 is the module name; this is followed by left and right parentheses containing the module ports, which is followed by a semicolon. The inputs and outputs are defined by the keywords input and output. The ports are declared as wire in this dataflow module. Dataflow modeling is covered in detail in Section 1.6. The keyword assign describes the behavior of the circuit. Output $z_{1}$ is assigned the value of $x_{1}$ ANDed (\&) with $x_{2}$.

### 1.3.1 Designing a Test Bench for Simulation

This section describes the techniques for writing test benches in Verilog HDL. When a Verilog module is finished, it must be tested to ensure that it operates according to the machine specifications. The functionality of the module can be tested by applying stimulus to the inputs and checking the outputs. The test bench will display the inputs and outputs in a radix (binary, octal, hexadecimal, or decimal).

The test bench contains an instantiation of the unit under test and Verilog code to generate input stimulus and to monitor and display the response to the stimulus. Figure 1.19 shows a simple test bench to test the 2-input AND gate of Figure 1.18. Line 1 is a comment indicating that the module is a test bench for a 2 -input AND gate. Line 2 contains the keyword module followed by the module name, which includes $t b$ indicating a test bench module. The name of the module and the name of the module under test are the same for ease of cross-referencing.

Line 4 specifies that the inputs are reg type variables; that is, they contain their values until they are assigned new values. Outputs are assigned as type wire in test benches. Output nets are driven by the output ports of the module under test. Line 8 contains an initial statement, which executes only once.

Verilog provides a means to monitor a signal when its value changes. This is accomplished by the \$monitor task. The \$monitor continuously monitors the values of the variables indicated in the parameter list that is enclosed in parentheses. It will display the value of the variables whenever a variable changes state. The quoted string within the task is printed and specifies that the variables are to be shown in binary (\%b). The \$monitor is invoked only once. Line 12 is a second initial statement that allows the procedural code between the begin . . . end block statements to be executed only once.

```
    1 //and2 test bench
    module and2_tb;
    reg x1, x2;
    5 wire z1;
    //display variables
    initial
    $monitor ("x1 = %b, x2 = %b, z1 = %b", x1, x2, z1);
11 //apply input vectors
    initial
    begin
        #0 x1 = 1'b0;
            x2 = 1'b0;
1 6
        #10 x1 = 1'b0;
            x2 = 1'b1;
20 #10 x1 = 1'b1;
            x2 = 1'b0;
        #10 x1 = 1'b1;
            x2 = 1'b1;
26 #10 $stop;
    end
    //instantiate the module into the test bench
30 and2 inst1 (
        .x1(x1),
        .x2(x2),
        .z1(z1)
        );
    endmodule
```

Figure 1.19 Test bench for the 2-input AND gate of Figure 1.18.

Lines 14 and 15 specify that at time $0(\# 0)$, inputs $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are assigned values of 0 , where 1 is the width of the value (one bit), ' is a separator, b indicates binary, and 0 is the value. Line 17 specifies that 10 time units later, the inputs change to: $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{2}=1$. This process continues until all possible values of two variables have been applied to the inputs. Simulation stops at 10 time units after the last input vector has been applied (\$stop). The total time for simulation is 40 time units - the sum of all the time units. The time units can be specified for any duration.

Line 30 begins the instantiation of the module into the test bench. The name of the instantiation must be the same as the module under test, in this case, and 2 . This is followed by an instance name (instl) followed by a left parenthesis. The . $x_{1}$ variable in line 31 refers to a port in the module that corresponds to a port ( $x_{1}$ ) in the test bench. All the ports in the module under test must be listed. The keyword endmodule is the last line in the test bench.

The binary outputs for this test bench are shown in Figure 1.20. The output can be presented in binary (b or B), in octal (o or O), in hexadecimal (h or H), or in decimal (d or D).

The Verilog syntax will be covered in greater detail in subsequent sections. It is important at this point to concentrate on how the module under test is simulated and instantiated into the test bench.

```
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, z1 = 1
```

Figure 1.20 Binary outputs for the test bench of Figure 1.19 for a 2-input AND gate.

Several different methods to generate test benches will be shown in subsequent sections. Each design in the book will be tested for correct operation by means of a test bench. Test benches provide clock pulses that are used to control the operation of a synchronous sequential machine. An initial statement is an ideal method to generate a waveform at discrete intervals of time for a clock pulse. The Verilog code in Figure 1.21 illustrates the necessary statements to generate clock pulses that have a duty cycle of $20 \%$.

### 1.4 Built-In Primitives

Logic primitives such as and, nand, or, nor, and not gates, as well as xor (exclusiveOR), and xnor (exclusive_NOR) functions are part of the Verilog language and are classified as multiple-input gates. These are built-in primitives that can be instantiated into a module.

```
//generate clock pulses of 20% duty cycle
module clk_gen (clk);
output clk;
reg clk;
initial
begin
    #O clk = 0;
    #5 clk = 1;
    #5 clk = 0;
    #20 clk = 1;
    #5 clk = 0;
    #20 clk = 1;
    #5 clk = 0;
    #10 $stop;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.21 Verilog code to generate clock pulses with a $20 \%$ duty cycle.

These are built-in primitive gates used to describe a net and have one or more scalar inputs, but only one scalar output. The output signal is listed first, followed by the inputs in any order. The outputs are declared as wire; the inputs can be declared as either wire or reg. The gates represent a combinational logic function and can be instantiated into a module, as follows, where the instance name is optional:

```
gate_type inst1 (output, input_1, input_2, . . , input_n);
```

Two or more instances of the same type of gate can be specified in the same construct, as follows:

```
gate_type inst1 (output_1, input_11, input_12, . . ., input_1n),
    inst2 (output_2, input_21, input_22, . . , input_2n),
    instm (output_m, input_m1, input_m2, . . , input_mn);
```

and This is a multiple-input built-in primitive gate that performs the AND function for a multiple-input AND gate. If any input is an $\mathbf{x}$, then this represents an unknown logic value. If and entry is a $\mathbf{z}$, then this represents a high impedance state, which indicates that the driver of a net is disabled or not connected. AND gates can be represented by two symbols as shown below for the AND function and the OR function.

AND gate for the AND function


AND gate for the OR function

buf A buf gate is a noninverting primitive with one scalar input and one or more scalar outputs. The output terminals are listed first when instantiated; the input is listed last, as shown below. The instance name is optional.

```
buf inst1 (output, input); //one output
buf inst2 (output_1, output_2, . . , output_n, input); //multiple outputs
```

nand This is a multiple-input built-in primitive gate that operates as an AND function with a negative output. NAND gates can be represented by two symbols as shown below for the AND function and the OR function.

NAND gate for the AND function


NAND gate for the OR function


DeMorgan's theorems are associated with NAND and NOR gates and convert the complement of a sum term or a product term into a corresponding product or sum term, respectively. For every $x_{1}, x_{2} \in B$,
(a) $\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \quad$ Nand gate
(b) $\quad\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \quad$ NOR gate

DeMorgan's laws can be generalized for any number of variables.
nor This is a multiple-input built-in primitive gate that operates as an OR function with a negative output. NOR gates can be represented by two symbols as shown below for the OR function and the AND function.

NOR gate for the OR function


NOR gate for the AND function

not A not gate is an inverting built-in primitive with one scalar input and one or more scalar outputs. The output terminals are listed first when instantiated; the input is listed last, as shown below. The instance name is optional.
not inst1 (output, input); //one output
not inst2 (output_1, output_2,..., output_n, input); //multiple outputs
The NOT function can be represented by two symbols as shown below depending on the assertion levels required. The function of the inverters is identical; the low assertion is placed at the input or output for readability with associated logic.

NOT (inverter) function with low assertion output


NOT (inverter) function with low assertion input

or This is a multiple-input built-in primitive gate that operates as an OR function. OR gates can be represented by two symbols as shown below for the OR function and the AND function.

OR gate for the OR function


OR gate for the AND function

xnor This is a built-in primitive gate that functions as an exclusive-OR gate with a negative output. Exclusive-NOR gates can be represented by the symbol shown below. An exclusive-NOR gate is also called an equality function because the output is a logical 1 whenever the two inputs are equal.

Exclusive-NOR gate


The equation for the exclusive-NOR gate shown above is

$$
z_{1}=\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)+\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

xor This is a built-in primitive gate that functions as an exclusive-OR circuit. Exclusive-OR gates can be represented by the symbol shown below. The output of an exclusive-OR gate is a logical 1 whenever the two inputs are different.

Exclusive-OR gate


The equation for the exclusive-OR gate shown above is

$$
z_{1}=\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}\right)
$$

### 1.4.1 Built-In Primitive Design Examples

The best way to learn design methodologies using built-in primitives is by examples. Therefore, examples will be presented ranging from very simple to moderately complex. When necessary, the theory for the examples will be presented prior to the Verilog design. All examples are carried through to completion at the gate level. Nothing is left unfinished or partially designed.

Example 1.1 The Karnaugh map of Figure 1.22 will be implemented using only NOR gates in a product-of-sums format. Equation 1.7 shown the product-of-sums expression obtained from the Karnaugh map. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.23 which indicates the instantiation names and net names.


Figure 1.22 Karnaugh map for Example 1.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.23 Logic diagram for Example 1.1.

The design module is shown in Figure 1.24 using NOR gate built-in primitives. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.25 using a different approach to generate all 16 combinations of the four inputs. Several new modeling constructs are shown in the test bench. Since there are four inputs to the circuit, all 16 combinations of four variables must be applied to the circuit. This is accomplished by a for loop statement, which is similar in construction to a for loop in the C programming language.

```
//logic diagram using built-in primitives
module log_eqn_pos5 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//instantiate the nor built-in primitives
nor inst1 (net1, x1, x2, x4);
nor inst2 (net2, x2, x4, ~x3);
nor inst3 (net3, ~x3, ~x2, ~x4);
nor inst4 (z1, net1, net2, net3);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.24 Module for the product-of-sums logic diagram of Figure 1.23.

```
//test bench for log_eqn_pos5
module log_eqn_pos5_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect; //invect[4] terminates the loop
    for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect[4:0];
            #10 $display ("x1\times2\times3\times4 = %b, z1 = %b",
                                    {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
        end
end
//continued on next page
```

Figure 1.25 Test bench for the design module of Figure 1.24.

```
//instantiate the module into the test bench
log_eqn_pos5 inst1 (
    .x1(x1),
    .x2(x2),
    .x3(x3),
    .x4(x4),
    .z1(z1)
    );
endmodule
```

Figure 1.25 (Continued)

Referring to the test bench of Figure 1.25, following the keyword begin is the name of the block: apply_stimulus. In this block, a 5-bit reg variable is declared called invect. This guarantees that all combinations of the four inputs will be tested by the for loop, which applies input vectors of $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}=0000,0001,0010,0011 \ldots 1111$ to the circuit. The for loop stops when the pattern 10000 is detected by the test segment (invect $<16$ ). If only a 4-bit vector were applied, then the expression (invect $<16$ ) would always be true and the loop would never terminate. The increment segment of the for loop does not support an increment designated as invect ++ ; therefore, the long notation must be used: invect $=$ invect +1 .

The target of the first assignment within the for loop ( $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}=$ invect [4:0]) represents a concatenated target. The concatenation of inputs $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ is performed by positioning them within braces: $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. A vector of five bits ([4:0]) is then assigned to the inputs. This will apply inputs of $0000,0001,0010,0011$, $\ldots 1111$ and stop when the vector is 10000 .

The initial statement also contains a system task (\$display) which prints the argument values - within the quotation marks - in binary. The concatenated variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ are listed first; therefore, their values are obtained from the first argument to the right of the quotation marks: $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. The value for the second variable $z_{1}$ is obtained from the second argument to the right of the quotation marks. The variables to the right of the quotation marks are listed in the same order as the variables within the quotation marks.

The delay time (\#10) in the system task specifies that the task is to be executed after 10 time units; that is, the delay between the application of a vector and the response of the module. This delay represents the propagation delay of the logic. The simulation results are shown in binary format in Figure 1.26.

```
x1\times2\times3x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4=0001, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4 = 0010, z1 = 0
```

$x 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4=0011, z_{1}=1 \quad / / c o n t i n u e d$ on next page

Figure 1.26 Outputs generated by the test bench of Figure 1.25.

```
x1x2x3x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4 = 0110, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4 = 0111, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4 = 1000, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4 = 1010, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4 = 1011, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4 = 1100, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4 = 1110, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4=1111, z1 = 0
```

Figure 1.26 (Continued)

Example 1.2 Equation 1.8 will be minimized as a sum-of-products form and then implemented using built-in primitives of AND and OR with $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ as map-entered variables. Variables may be entered in a Karnaugh map as map-entered variables, together with 1 s and 0 s . A map of this type is more compact than a standard Karnaugh map, but contains the same information. A map containing map-entered variables is particularly useful in analyzing and synthesizing synchronous sequential machines. When variables are entered in a Karnaugh map, two or more squares can be combined only if the squares are adjacent and contain the same variable(s).

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1}= & x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4} x_{5}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4} x_{5} \\
& +x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The Karnaugh map is shown in Figure 1.27 in which the following minterm locations combine:

Minterm location $0=x_{4} x_{5}^{\prime}+x_{4} x_{5}=x_{4}$
Minterm location $2=1+x_{4}$
Combine minterm locations 0 and 2 to yield the sum term $x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}$
Combine minterm locations 2 and 3 to yield $x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}$
Minterm location $4=x_{4} x_{5}+x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{5}^{\prime}=1$
Minterm location $5=1$
Combine minterm locations 4 and 5 to yield $x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$


Figure 1.27 Karnaugh map for Example 1.2.

The minimized sum-of-products equation from the Karnaugh map is shown in Equation 1.9. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.28. The design module is shown in Figure 1.29 and the test bench is shown in Figure 1.30. Figure 1.31 lists the outputs obtained from the test bench.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.28 Logic diagram for Equation 1.9.

```
//logic equation using map-entered variables
module mev (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
and inst1 (net1, ~x1, ~x3, x4);
and inst2 (net2, ~x1, x2);
and inst3 (net3, x1, ~x2);
or inst4 (z1, net1, net2, net3);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.29 Design module to implement Equation 1.9 using built-in primitives.

```
//test bench for logic equation using map-entered variables
module mev_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect=0; invect<16; invect=invect+1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
                #10 $display ("x1x2x3x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
                        {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
    end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mev inst1 (
    .x1(x1),
    .x2(x2),
    .x3(x3),
    .x4(x4),
    .z1(z1)
    );
endmodule
```

Figure 1.30 Test bench for the design module of Figure 1.29.


Figure 1.31 Outputs for the test bench of Figure 1.30.

Example 1.3 A 4:1 multiplexer will be designed using built-in logic primitives. The $4: 1$ multiplexer of Figure 1.32 will be designed using built-in primitives of AND, OR, and NOT. The design is simpler and takes less code if a continuous assignment statement is used, but this section presents gate-level modeling only - continuous assignment statements are used in dataflow modeling.

The multiplexer has four data inputs: $d_{3}, d_{2}, d_{1}$, and $d_{0}$, which are specified as a 4-bit vector $d[3: 0]$, two select inputs: $s_{1}$ and $s_{0}$, specified as a 2-bit vector $s[1: 0]$, one scalar input Enable, and one scalar output $z_{1}$, as shown in the logic diagram of Figure 1.32.. Also, the system function $\$$ time will be used in the test bench to return the current simulation time measured in nanoseconds (ns). The design module is shown in Figure 1.33, the test bench in Figure 1.34, and the outputs in Figure 1.35.


Figure 1.32 Logic diagram of a 4:1 multiplexer to be designed using built-in primitives.

```
//a 4:1 multiplexer using built-in primitives
module mux_4tol (d, s, enbl, zl);
input [3:0] d;
input [1:0] s;
input enbl;
output z1;
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.33 Module for a 4:1 multiplexer with Enable using built-in primitives.

```
not inst1 (net1, s[0]),
    inst2 (net2, s[1]);
and inst3 (net3, d[0], net1, net2, enbl),
    inst4 (net4, d[1], s[0], net2, enbl),
    inst5 (net5, d[2], net1, s[1], enbl),
    inst6 (net6, d[3], s[0], s[1], enbl);
or inst7 (z1, net3, net4, net5, net6);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.33 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 4:1 multiplexer
module mux_4to1_tb;
reg [3:0] d;
reg [1:0] s;
reg enbl;
wire z1;
initial
$monitor ($time,"ns, select:s=%b, inputs:d=%b, output:z1=%b",
    s, d, z1);
initial
begin
    #0 s[0]=1'b0; s[1]=1'b0;
        d[0]=1'b0; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
        enbl=1'b1; //d[0]=0; z1=0
    #10 s[0]=1'b0; s[1]=1'b0;
        d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
        enbl=1'b1; //d[0]=1; z1=1
    #10 s[0]=1'b1; s[1]=1'b0;
        d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
        enbl=1'b1; //d[1]=1; z1=1
    #10 s[0]=1'b0; s[1]=1'b1;
        d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
        enbl=1'b1; //d[2]=0; z1=0
                                //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.34 Test bench for the 4:1 multiplexer of Figure 1.33.

```
    #10 s[0]=1'b1; s[1]=1'b0;
    d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b0; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
    enbl=1'b1; //d[1]=1; z1=0
    #10 s[0]=1'b1; s[1]=1'b1;
    d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b1;
    enbl=1'b1; //d[3]=1; z1=1
    #10 s[0]=1'b1; s[1]=1'b1;
    d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b0;
    enbl=1'b1; //d[3]=0; z1=0
    #10 s[0]=1'b1; s[1]=1'b1;
    d[0]=1'b1; d[1]=1'b1; d[2]=1'b0; d[3]=1'b0;
    enbl=1'b0; //d[3]=0; z1=0
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_4to1 inst1 (
    .d(d),
    .s(s),
    .z1(z1),
    .enbl (enbl)
    );
endmodule
```

Figure 1.34 (Continued)

```
0 ns, select:s=00, inputs:d=1010, output:z1=0
1 0 ~ n s , ~ s e l e c t : s = 0 0 , ~ i n p u t s : d = 1 0 1 1 , ~ o u t p u t : z 1 = 1
20 ns, select:s=01, inputs:d=1011, output:z1=1
30 ns, select:s=10, inputs:d=1011, output:z1=0
4 0 ~ n s , ~ s e l e c t : s = 0 1 , ~ i n p u t s : d = 1 0 0 1 , ~ o u t p u t : z 1 = 0
50 ns, select:s=11, inputs:d=1011, output:z1=1
6 0 ~ n s , ~ s e l e c t : s = 1 1 , ~ i n p u t s : d = 0 0 1 1 , ~ o u t p u t : z 1 = 0
```

Figure 1.35 Outputs for the $4: 1$ multiplexer test bench of Figure 1.34.

Example 1.4 This example illustrates the design of a majority circuit using built-in primitives. The output of a majority circuit is a logic 1 if the majority of the inputs is a logic 1 ; otherwise, the output is a logic 0 . Therefore, a majority circuit must have an odd number of inputs in order to have a majority of the inputs at the same logic level.

A 5-input majority circuit will be designed using the Karnaugh map of Figure 1.36, where a 1 entry indicates that the majority of the inputs is a logic 1.

| $x_{5}=0$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1} x_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 01 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 12 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 28 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 20 |
|  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |


| $x_{5}=1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1} x_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
|  | $0^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $1^{7}$ | $0^{5}$ |
| 01 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 13 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 125 | $1^{27}$ | $1^{31}$ | $1{ }^{29}$ |
| 10 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 21 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$z_{1}$
Figure 1.36 Karnaugh map for the majority circuit of Example 1.4.

Equation 1.10 represents the logic for output $z_{1}$ in a sum-of-products form. The design module is shown in Figure 1.37, which is designed directly from Equation 1.10 without the use of a logic diagram. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.38, and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.39.

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1}= & x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{5} \\
& +x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

```
//5-input majority circuit
module majority (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
output z1;
and inst1 (net1, x3, x4, x5),
    inst2 (net2, x2, x3, x5),
    inst3 (net3, x1, x3, x5),
    inst4 (net4, x2, x4, x5), //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.37 Design module for the majority circuit of Figure 1.36.

```
    inst5 (net5, x1, x4, x5),
    inst6 (net6, x1, x2, x5),
    inst7 (net7, x1, x2, x4),
    inst8 (net8, x2, x3, x4),
    inst9 (net9, x1, x3, x4);
or inst10 (z1, net1, net2, net3, net4, net5,
    net6, net7, net8, net9);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.37 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 5-input majority circuit
module majority_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [6:0] invect;
    for (invect=0; invect<32; invect=invect+1)
            begin
                                    {x1, x2, x3, x4, x5} = invect [6:0];
                                    #10 $display ("x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = %b, z1 = %b",
                                    {x1, x2, x3, x4, x5}, z1);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
majority inst1 (
    .x1(x1),
    .x2(x2),
    .x3(x3),
    .x4(x4),
    .x5(x5),
    .z1(z1)
    );
endmodule
```

Figure 1.38 Test bench for the majority circuit module of Figure 1.37.

```
x1\times2x3x4x5 = 00000, z1 = 0 x1x2x3x4x5 = 10000, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4x5 = 00001, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10001, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 00010, z1 = 0 x1x2x3x4x5 = 10010, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4x5 = 00011, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10011, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 00100, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10100, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 00101, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10101, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 00110, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10110, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 00111, z1 = 1 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 10111, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4x5 = 01000, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 11000, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3\times4x5 = 01001, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 11001, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4x5 = 01010, z1 = 0 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 11010, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 01011, z1 = 1 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 11011, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4x5 = 01100, z1 = 0 x1x2x3x4\times5 = 11100, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 01101, z1 = 1 x1x2x3x4\times5 = 11101, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4x5 = 01110, z1 = 1 x1x2x3x4x5 = 11110, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 01111, z1 = 1 x1\times2\times3\times4\times5 = 11111, z1 = 1
```

Figure 1.39 Outputs for the majority circuit of Figure 1.37.

Example 1.5 A code converter will be designed to convert a 4-bit binary number to the corresponding Gray code number. The inputs of the binary number $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ are available in both high and low assertion, where $x_{4}$ is the low-order bit. The outputs for the Gray code $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4}$ are asserted high, where $z_{4}$ is the low-order bit. The binary-toGray code conversion table is shown in Table 1.13.

Table 1.13 Binary-to-Gray Code Conversion

| Binary Code |  |  |  | Gray Code |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $/ / c o n t i n u e d ~ o n ~ n e x t ~ p a g e ~$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.13 Binary-to-Gray Code Conversion

| Binary Code |  |  |  |  | Gray Code |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

There are four Karnaugh maps shown in Figure 1.40, one map for each of the Gray code outputs. The equations obtained from the Karnaugh maps are shown in Equation 1.11. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.41. The design module is shown in Figure 1.42, the test bench module is shown in Figure 1.43, and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.44.

| $\begin{gathered} x_{3} x_{4} \\ x_{1} x_{2} \\ 00 \end{gathered} 01 \quad 11 \quad 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 00 | $0$ | $0^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $0{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $0^{4}$ | $0^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $0^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1{ }^{12}$ | $1{ }^{13}$ | $1^{15}$ | $1{ }^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $1^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |


| $\begin{gathered} x_{3} x_{4} \\ x_{1} x_{2} \quad{ }_{0} \quad 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | $0^{0}$ | $0^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $0^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $1{ }^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $0^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $0^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $1^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |


|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $0^{6}$ |
| 11 | 12 | $1{ }^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $0^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | $0{ }^{9}$ | $1^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |


| $\begin{equation*} x_{1} x_{2} \tag{00} \end{equation*}$ |  | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $0^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $0{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $0^{12}$ | $1{ }^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1{ }^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |

Figure 1.40 Karnaugh maps for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=x_{1} \\
& z_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \\
& z_{3}=x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}=x_{2} \oplus x_{3} \\
& z_{4}=x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}=x_{3} \oplus x_{4} \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1.41 Logic diagram for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

```
//binary-to-gray code converter
module bin_to_gray (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2, z3, z4);
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;
buf inst1 (z1, x1);
xor inst2 (z2, x1, x2);
xor inst3 (z3, x2, x3);
xor inst4 (z4, x3, x4);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.42 Design module for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

```
//test bench for binary-to-gray code converter
module bin_to_gray_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;

Figure 1.43 Test bench for the binary-to-Gray code converter.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect=0; invect<16; invect=invect+1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1x2x3x4}=%.b, {z1z2z3z4}=%.b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, {z1, z2, z3, z4});
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
bin_to_gray inst1 (
.x1(x1),
.x2(x2),
.x3(x3),
.x4(x4),
.z1(z1),
.z2(z2),
.z3(z3),
.z4(z4)
);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.43 (Continued)
```

{x1x2x3x4}=0000, {z1z2z3z4}=0000
{x1x2x3x4}=0001, {z1z2z3z4}=0001
{x1x2x3x4}=0010, {z1z2z3z4}=0011
{x1x2x3x4}=0011, {z1z2z3z4}=0010
{x1x2x3x4}=0100, {z1z2z3z4}=0110
{x1x2x3x4}=0101, {z1z2z3z4}=0111
{x1x2x3x4}=0110, {z1z2z3z4}=0101
{x1x2x3x4}=0111, {z1z2z3z4}=0100
{x1x2x3x4}=1000, {z1z2z3z4}=1100
{x1x2x3x4}=1001, {z1z2z3z4}=1101
{x1x2x3x4}=1010, {z1z2z3z4}=1111
{x1x2x3x4}=1011, {z1z2z3z4}=1110
{x1x2x3x4}=1100, {z1z2z3z4}=1010
{x1x2x3x4}=1101, {z1z2z3z4}=1011
{x1x2x3x4}=1110, {z1z2z3z4}=1001
{x1x2x3x4}=1111, {z1z2z3z4}=1000

```

Figure 1.44 Outputs for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

Example 1.6 A full adder is a combinational circuit that adds two operand bits: \(a\) and \(b\) plus a carry-in bit cin. The carry-in bit represents the carry-out of the previous lower-order stage. A full adder produces two outputs: a sum bit sum and carry-out bit cout. This example will use built-in primitives to design a full adder consisting of two half adders plus additional logic as shown in Figure 1.45.

The design module is shown in Figure 1.46, test bench module is shown in Figure 1.47 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.48.


Figure 1.45 Full adder to be designed with built-in primitives.
```

//full adder using built-in primitives
module full_adder_bip (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
xor inst1 (net1, a, b);
and inst2 (net2, a, b);
xor inst3 (sum, net1, cin);
and inst4 (net4, net1, cin);
or inst5 (cout, net4, net2);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.46 Module for a full adder using built-in primitives.
```

//test bench for full adder using built-in primitives
module full_adder_bip_tb;
reg a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout; //continued on next page

```

Figure 1.47 Test bench for the full adder of Figure 1.46.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg[3:0] invect; //invect[3] terminates the for loop
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{a, b, cin} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("abcin = %b, cout = %b, sum = %b",
{a, b, cin}, cout, sum);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
full_adder_bip inst1 (
.a(a),
.b (b),
.cin(cin),
.sum(sum),
.cout(cout)
) ;
endmodule

```

Figure 1.47 (Continued)
```

abcin = 000, cout = 0, sum = 0
abcin = 001, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 010, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 011, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 100, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 101, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 110, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 111, cout = 1, sum = 1

```

Figure 1.48 Outputs for the full adder of Figure 1.46.

\subsection*{1.5 User-Defined Primitives}

Verilog also provides the ability to design primitives according to user specifications. These are called user-defined primitives (UDPs) and are usually at a higher-level logic function than built-in primitives. They are independent primitives and do not instantiate other primitives or modules. UDPs are instantiated into a module the same way as built-in primitives; that is, the syntax for a UDP instantiation is the same as that for a built-in primitive instantiation. A UDP is defined outside the module into which it is
instantiated. There are two types of UDPs: combinational and sequential. Sequential primitives include level-sensitive and edge-sensitive circuits.

\subsection*{1.5.1 Defining a User-Defined Primitive}

The syntax for a UDP is similar to that for declaring a module. The definition begins with the keyword primitive and ends with the keyword endprimitive. The UDP contains a name and a list of ports, which are declared as input or output. For a sequential UDP, the output port is declared as reg. UDPs can have one or more scalar inputs, but only one scalar output. The output port is listed first in the terminal list followed by the input ports, in the same way that the terminal list appears in built-in primitives. UDPs do not support inout ports.

The UDP table is an essential part of the internal structure and defines the functionality of the circuit. It is a lookup table similar in concept to a truth table. The table begins with the keyword table and ends with the keyword endtable. The contents of the table define the value of the output with respect to the inputs. The syntax for a UDP is shown below.
```

primitive udp_name (output, input_1, input_2, ..., input_n);
output output;
input input_1, input_2,...., input_n;
reg sequential_output; //for sequential UDPs
initial //for sequential UDPs
table
state table entries
endtable
endprimitive

```

\subsection*{1.5.2 Combinational User-Defined Primitives}

To illustrate the method for defining and using combinational UDPs, examples will be presented ranging from simple designs to more complex designs. UDPs are not compiled separately. They are saved in the same project as the module with a .v extension; for example, udp_and.v.

Example 1.7 A 2-input OR gate \(u d p \_o r 2\) will be designed using a UDP. The module is shown in Figure 1.49. The inputs in the state table must be in the same order as in the input list. The table heading is a comment for readability. The inputs and output are separated by a colon and the table entry is terminated by a semicolon. All
combinations of the inputs must be entered in the table in order to obtain a correct output; otherwise, the output will be designated as \(\mathbf{x}\) (unknown). To completely specify all combinations of the inputs, a value of \(\mathbf{x}\) should be included in the input values where appropriate.
```

//used-defined primitive for a 2-input OR gate
primitive udp_or2 (z1, x1, x2);//list output first
//input/output declarations
input x1, x2;
output z1; //must be output (not reg)
//...for combinational logic
//state table definition
table
//inputs are in same order as input list
// x1 x2 : z1; comment is for readability
0 0 : 0;
0 1 : 1;
1 0 : 1;
1 1 : 1;
x 1 : 1;
1 x : 1;
endtable
endprimitive

```

Figure 1.49 A user-defined primitive for a 2-input OR gate.

Example 1.8 This example will use a combination of built-in primitives and UDPs to design a full adder from two half adders. The truth tables for a half adder and full adder are shown in Table 1.14 and Table 1.15, respectively. A half adder is a combinational circuit that performs the addition of two operand bits and produces two outputs: a sum bit and a carry-out bit. The half adder does not accommodate a carry-in bit. A full adder is a combinational circuit that performs the addition of two operand bits plus a carry-in bit. The carry-in represents the carry-out of the previous lower-order stage. The full adder produces two outputs: a sum bit and a carry-out bit.

The sum and carry-out equations for the half adder are shown in Equation 1.12. The sum and carry-out equations for the full adder are shown in Equation 1.13. The logic diagram for a full adder obtained from two half adders using Equation 1.13 is shown in Figure 1.50.

Table 1.14 Truth Table for a
Half Adder
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline\(a\) & \(b\) & sum & carry-out \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 1.15 Truth Table for a Full Adder
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline\(a\) & \(b\) & cin & sum & carry-out \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{align*}
\text { sum } & =a^{\prime} b+a b^{\prime} \\
& =a \oplus b \\
\text { carry-out } & =a b \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text { sum }=a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c i n+a^{\prime} b c i n^{\prime}+a b^{\prime} c i n^{\prime}+a b c i n
\]
\[
=a \oplus b \oplus \operatorname{cin}
\]
\[
\text { carry-out }=a^{\prime} b c i n+a b^{\prime} c i n+a b c i n^{\prime}+a b c i n
\]
\[
=\operatorname{cin}(a \oplus b)+a b
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
=a b+a \operatorname{cin}+b \operatorname{cin} \quad(\text { see Figure } 1.51) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
\]


Figure 1.50 Full adder designed from two half adders.

The equation for carry-out can also be obtained by plotting Table 1.15 on a Karnaugh map, as shown in Figure 1.51. The equation is then easily obtained in a sum-ofproducts notation as: \(a b+a c i n+b c i n\).


Figure 1.51 Karnaugh map for the carry-out of a full adder.

The full adder will be designed by means of a UDP for the exclusive-OR gates and built-in primitives for the AND gates and OR gate, all of which will be instantiated into the project \(f u l l \_a d d e r \_u d p\). The module for the \(u d p \_\)xor 2 is shown in Figure 1.52. The full_adder_udp module is shown in Figure 1.53, the test bench is shown in Figure 1.54, and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.55.
```

//UDP for a 2-input exclusive-OR
primitive udp_xor2 (z1, x1, x2);
input x1, x2;
output z1;
//define state table
table
//inputs are in the same order as the input list
// x1 x2 : z1; comment is for readability
0 0 : 0;
0 1 : 1;
1 0 : 1;
1 1 : 0;
endtable
endprimitive

```

Figure 1.52 Module for the \(u d p \_x o r 2\) to be instantiated into the full adder module full_adder_udp.
```

//full adder using a UDP and built-in primitives
module full_adder_udp (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
wire net1, net2, net3; //define internal nets
//instantiate the udps and built-in primitive
udp xor2 (net1, a, b);
and inst1 (net2, a, b);
udp_xor2 (sum, net1, cin);
and inst2 (net3, net1, cin);
or inst3 (cout, net3, net2);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.53 Module for a full adder using a UDP and built-in primitives.
```

//test bench for full adder
module full_adder_udp_tb;
reg a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [3:0] invect;
for (invect=0; invect<8; invect=invect+1)
begin
{a, b, cin} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("a b cin = %b, sum cout = %b",
{a, b, cin}, {sum, cout});
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
full_adder_udp inst1 (
.a(a),
.b (b),
.cin(cin),
.sum(sum),
.cout (cout)
);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.54 Test bench for the full adder of Figure 1.53.
```

a b cin = 000, sum cout = 00
a b cin = 001, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 010, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 011, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 100, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 101, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 110, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 111, sum cout = 11

```

Figure 1.55 Outputs for the full adder of Figure 1.53.

Example 1.9 This example will design a 4:1 multiplexer as a UDP. The multiplexer will then be checked for correct functional operation by means of a test bench which will generate the outputs. A block diagram of the multiplexer is shown in Figure 1.56 together with a table defining the output as a function of the two select inputs \(s_{1}\) and \(s_{0}\) and the four data inputs \(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}\), and \(d_{3}\). The equation for the output can be written directly from the table as shown in Equation 1.14. An Enable input may also be associated with a multiplexer to enable the output.


Figure 1.56 A 4:1 multiplexer to be designed as a UDP.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Out }=s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0}^{\prime} d_{0}+s_{1}{ }^{\prime} s_{0} d_{1}+s_{1} s_{0}{ }^{\prime} d_{2}+s_{1} s_{0} d_{3} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
\]

The \(4: 1\) multiplexer UDP is shown in Figure 1.57. Note the entries in the table that contain the symbol (?), which indicates a "don't care" condition. Referring to the first line in the table, if \(s_{1} s_{0}=00\), then it does not matter what the values are for inputs \(d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}\) because only input \(d_{0}\) is selected.

The test bench for the \(4: 1\) multiplexer is shown in Figure 1.58. The input lines are set to known values such that \(d_{0} d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}=1010\). The input values are then displayed using the \$display system task. The backslash \((\backslash)\) character is used to escape certain special characters such as \(\backslash \mathrm{n}\), which is a newline character.
```

//4:1 multiplexer as a UDP
primitive udp_mux4 (out, s1, s0, d0, d1, d2, d3);
input s1, s0, d0, d1, d2, d3;
output out;
table //define state table
//inputs are in the same order as the input list
// s1 s0 dO d1 d2 d3 : out comment is for readability
0 0 1 ? ? ? : 1; //? is "don't care"
0 0 0 ? ? ? : 0;
0 1 ? 1 ? ? : 1;
0 1 ? 0 ? ? : 0;
1 0 ? ? 1 ? : 1;
1 0 ? ? 0 ? : 0;
1 1 ? ? ? 1 : 1;
1 1 ? ? ? 0 : 0;
? ? 0 0 0 0 : 0;
? ? 1 1 1 1 : 1;
endtable
endprimitive

```

Figure 1.57 A UDP for a 4:1 multiplexer.
```

//test bench for the 4:1 multiplexer udp
module udp_mux4_tb;
reg s1, s0, d0, d1, d2, d3;
wire out;
initial
begin
//set the input lines to known values
dO = 1; d1 = 0; d2 = 1; d3 = 0;
//display the input values
\#10 \$display ("d0=%b, d1=%b, d2=%b, d3=%b \n",
d0, d1, d2, d3); // \n is new line
//continued on next page

```

Figure 1.58 Test bench for the UDP 4:1 multiplexer.
```

//select d0 = 1
s1 = 0; s0 = 0;
\#10 \$display ("s1=%b, s0=%b, output=%b \n",
s1, s0, out);
//select d1 = 0
s1 = 0; s0 = 1;
\#10 \$display ("s1=%.b, s0=%.b, output=%.b \n",
s1, s0, out);
//select d2 = 1
s1 = 1; s0 = 0;
\#10 \$display ("s1=%b, s0=%b, output=%b \n",
s1, s0, out);
//select d3 = 0
s1 = 1; s0 = 1;
\#10 \$display ("s1=%b, s0=%b, output=%b \n",
s1, s0, out);
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench.
//if instantiating only the primitive of USB with no module,
//then instantiation must be done using positional notation
udp_mux4 inst1 (out, s1, s0, d0, d1, d2, d3);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.58 (Continued)

Beginning at 10 time units, the select lines are rotated through all four combinations of the two variables, which in turn transmit the input values to the output. For example, if \(s_{1} s_{0}=11\), then the value of input line \(d_{3}\) is transmitted to the output. When instantiating a UDP module into a test bench - when there is no design module - the ports must be instantiated by position. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.59.
```

d0=1, d1=0, d2=1, d3=0
s1=0, s0=0, output=1
s1=0, s0=1, output=0
s1=1, s0=0, output=1
s1=1, s0=1, output=0

```

Figure 1.59 Outputs for the UDP 4:1 multiplexer.

Example 1.10 Variables may also be entered in a Karnaugh map as map-entered variables, together with 1 s and 0 s . A map of this type is more compact than a standard Karnaugh map, but contains the same information. A map containing map-entered variables is particularly useful in analyzing and designing synchronous sequential machines. When variables are entered in a Karnaugh map, two or more squares can be combined only if the squares are adjacent and contain the same variable(s).

The Karnaugh map of Figure 1.60 will be implemented using a \(4: 1\) multiplexer and any additional logic. First, the equations for the multiplexer data inputs, \(d_{0}, d_{1}\), \(d_{2}\), and \(d_{3}\) will be obtained using \(E\) as a map-entered variable, where the multiplexer select inputs are \(s_{1} s_{0}=x_{1} x_{2}\). Then the circuit will be designed using UDPs for the multiplexer and associated logic gates.


Figure 1.60 Karnaugh map for Example 1.10 using \(E\) as a map-entered variable.

To obtain the equation for data input \(d_{0}\), where \(s_{1} s_{0}=x_{1} x_{2}=00\), minterm locations 0 and 2 are adjacent and contain the same variable \(E\); therefore, the term is \(x_{4}{ }^{\prime} E\). Data input \(d_{1}\), where \(s_{1} s_{0}=x_{1} x_{2}=01\), contains 1 s in minterm locations 4 and 5 ; therefore, \(d_{1}=x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\). To obtain the equation for \(d_{3}\), where \(s_{1} s_{0}=x_{1} x_{2}=11\), minterm locations 13 and 15 combine to yield \(x_{4}\). Minterm location 15 is equivalent to \(1+E^{\prime}\); therefore, minterm locations 14 and 15 combine to yield the product term \(x_{3} E^{\prime}\). The equation for \(d_{3}\) is \(x_{4}+x_{3} E^{\prime}\). Data input \(d_{2}\) is obtained in a similar manner.

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.61 using a 4:1 multiplexer (udp_mux4), a 2 -input AND gate ( \(u d p \_a n d 2\) ), a 2 -input exclusive-OR function (udp_xor2) previously designed, and a 2 -input OR gate (udp_or2) previously designed.

The module for the logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.62 and the test bench is shown in Figure 1.63. The Karnaugh map of Figure 1.60 is expanded to the 5 -variable map of Figure 1.64 to better visualize the minterm entries when comparing them with the outputs of Figure 1.65.


Figure 1.61 Logic diagram for the Karnaugh map of Figure 1.60.
```

//logic circuit using a multiplexer udp
//together with other logic gate udps
module mux4_mev (x1, x2, x3, x4, E, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4, E;
output z1;
//instantiate the udps
udp_and2 inst1 (net1, ~x4, E);
udp_xor2 inst2 (net2, x3, x4);
udp_and2 inst3 (net3, x3, ~E);
udp_or2 inst4 (net4, x4, net3);
//the mux inputs are: s1, s0, d0, d1, d2, d3
udp_mux4 inst5 (z1, x1, x2, net1, ~x3, net2, net4);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.62 Module for the logic diagram of Figure 1.61.
```

//test bench for mux4_mev
module mux4_mev_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4, E;
wire z1;

```

Figure 1.63 Test bench for Figure 1.62 for the logic diagram of Figure 1.61.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [5:0] invect;
for (invect=0; invect<32; invect=invect+1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4, E} = invect [5:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1x2x3x4E = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4, E}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux4_mev inst1 (
.x1(x1),
.x2(x2),
.x3(x3),
.x4(x4),
.E(E),
.z1(z1)
);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.63 (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(E=0\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2} \quad 00\)} & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline , 00 & \(0^{0}\) & \(0^{2}\) & \(0^{6}\) & \(0{ }^{4}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(1{ }^{10}\) & & \(0^{12}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{24}\) & \(1^{26}\) & \(1{ }^{30}\) & \(1^{28}\) \\
\hline 10 & \[
0^{16}
\] & \[
18
\] & \(0^{22}\) & \(1^{20}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(E=1\)} \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(1{ }^{1}\) & \(0^{3}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \\
\hline 01 & 1 & \(1^{11}\) & \(0^{15}\) & \(0^{13}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{25}\) & \(1^{27}\) & \(1^{31}\) & \(0{ }^{29}\) \\
\hline 10 & \[
0^{17}
\] & \[
1^{19}
\] & \[
0^{23}
\] & \(1{ }^{21}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(z_{1}\)

Figure 1.64 Five-variable Karnaugh map equivalent to the 4-variable map of Figure 1.60 .
```

x1\times2\times3x4E = 00000, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E=00001, z1 = 1
x1\times2x3x4E = 00010, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 00011, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E=00100, z1 = 0
x1\times2x3x4E = 00101, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4E = 00110, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 00111, z1 = 0
x1\times2x3x4E = 01000, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 01001, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 01010, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 01011, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 01100, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 01101, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3x4E = 01110, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 01111, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 10000, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E=10001, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 10010, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 10011, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 10100, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4E = 10101, z1 = 1
x1\times2x3x4E = 10110, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 10111, z1 = 0
x1\times2\times3x4E = 11000, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 11001, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 11010, z1 = 1
x1\times2\times3x4E = 11011, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 11100, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E = 11101, z1 = 0
x1x2x3x4E = 11110, z1 = 1
x1x2x3x4E= 11111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 1.65 Outputs obtained from the test bench of Figure 1.63 for the module of Figure 1.62.

\subsection*{1.5.3 Sequential User-Defined Primitives}

Verilog provides a means to model sequential UDPs in much the same way as built-in primitives are modeled. Sequential UDPs can be used to model both level-sensitive and edge-sensitive sequential circuits. Level-sensitive behavior is controlled by the value of an input signal; edge-sensitive behavior is controlled by the edge of an input signal. The inputs are implied to be of type wire. Sequential devices have an internal
state that is a 1-bit register and must be modeled as a type reg variable, which is the output of the device and specifies the present state. One initial statement can be used to initialize the output of a sequential UDP.

Level-sensitive user-defined primitives The state - and thus the output of a level-sensitive device is a function of the input levels only, not on a low-to-high or a high-to-low transition. A latch is an example of a level-sensitive UDP.

Example 1.11 The logic diagram of a latch is shown in Figure 1.66. The UDP module is shown in Figure 1.67, the test bench module is shown in Figure 1.68, and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.69.


Figure 1.66 Logic diagram for a gated latch to be modeled as a sequential levelsensitive UDP.
```

//a gated latch as a level-sensitive udp
primitive udp_latch_level (q, data, clk, rst_n);
input data, clk, rst_n;
output q;
reg q; //q is internal storage
initial
q = 0; //initialize output q to 0
//define state table
table
//inputs are in the same order as the input list
// data clk rst_n : q : q+; q+ is next state
? ? 0 : ? : 0; //latch is reset
0 0 1 : ? : -; //- means no change
0 1 1 : ? : 0; //data=0; clk=1; q+=0
1 0 1 : ? : -;
1 1 1 : ? : 1; //data=1; clk=1; q+=1
? 0 1 : ? : -;
endtable
endprimitive

```

Figure 1.67 Design module for a level-sensitive gated latch UDP.
```

//test bench for level-sensitive latch
module udp_latch_level_tb;
reg data, clk, rst_n;
wire q;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("rst_n=%b, data=%b, clk=%b, q=%b",
rst_n, data, clk, q);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n=1'b0; data=1'b0; clk=1'b0;
\#10 rst_n=1'b1; data=1'b1; clk=1'b1;
\#10 rst_n=1'b1; data=1'b1; clk=1'b0;
\#10 rst_n=1'b1; data=1'b0; clk=1'b1;
\#10 rst_n=1'b1; data=1'b1; clk=1'b1;
end
//instantiation must be done by position, not by name
udp_latch_level inst1 (q, data, clk, rst_n);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.68 Test bench for the level-sensitive gated latch of Figure 1.67.
```

rst_n=0, data=0, clk=0, q=0
rst_n=1, data=1, clk=1, q=1
rst_n=1, data=1, clk=0, q=1
rst_n=1, data=0, clk=1, q=0
rst_n=1, data=1, clk=1, q=1

```

Figure 1.69 Outputs for the level-sensitive gated latch of Figure 1.67.

Edge-sensitive user-defined primitives Edge-sensitive UDPs can model behavior that is triggered by either a positive edge or a negative edge. The table entries in edge-sensitive circuits are similar to those in level-sensitive circuits. The difference is that a rising or falling edge must be specified on the clock input (or any other input that triggers the circuit).

Most counters count in either a count-up or count-down sequence. Still other counters can be designed for a unique application in which the counting sequence is neither entirely up nor entirely down. These have a nonsequential counting sequence that is prescribed by external requirements. Such a counter has a counting sequence as follows: \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}=0000,1000,1100,1110,1111,0111,0011,0001,0000\), and is
classified as a Johnson counter. The counter is reset initially to \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}=0000\). The unspecified states can be regarded as "don't care" states in order to minimize the \(\delta\) next-state logic. The inverted output of the last flip-flop is fed back to the \(D\) input of the first flip-flop.

The logic diagram for a 4-bit Johnson counter is shown in Figure 1.70 using pos-itive-edge-triggered \(D\) flip-flops. The \(D\) flip-flop will be designed as a user-defined primitive, then instantiated four times into the design module of the Johnson counter. The \(D\) flip-flop is shown in Figure 1.71 as a UDP.


Figure 1.70 Logic diagram for a 4-bit Johnson counter.
```

//a positive-edge-sensitive D flip-flop
primitive udp_dff_edge1 (q, d, clk, rst_n);
input d, clk, rst_n;
output q;
reg q; //q is internal storage
//initialize q to 0
initial
q = 0; //continued on next page

```

Figure 1.71 A user-defined primitive for a \(D\) flip-flop.
```

//define state table
table
//inputs are in the same order as the input list
// d clk rst_n : q : q+; q+ is the next state
0 (01) 1 : ? : 0; //(01) is rising edge
1 (01) 1 : ? : 1; //rst_n = 1 means no rst
1 (0x) 1 : 1 : 1; //(0x) is no change
0 (0x) 1 : 0 : 0;
? (?0) 1 : ? : -; //ignore negative edge
//reset case when rst_n is 0 and clk has any transition
? (??) 0 : ? : 0; //rst_n = 0 means reset
//reset case when rst_n is 0. d \& clk can be anything, q+=0
? ? 0 : ? : 0;
//reset case when 0 --> 1 transition on rst_n. Hold q+ state
? ? (01) : ? : -;
//non-reset case when d has any trans, but clk has no trans
(??) ? 1 : ? : -; //clk = ?, means no edge
endtable
endprimitive

```

Figure 1.71 (Continued)

The design module for the Johnson counter is shown in Figure 1.72 which instantiates the user-defined primitive \(u d p \_d f f\) _edgel four times to implement the Johnson counter. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.73. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.74.
```

//udp for a 4-bit johnson counter
module ctr_johnson4 (rst_n, clk, y1, y2, y3, y4);
input rst_n, clk;
output y1, y2, y3, y4;
//instantiate D flip-flop for y1
udp_dff_edge1 inst1 (y1, ~y4, clk, rst_n);
//instantiate D flip-flop for y2
udp_dff_edge1 inst2 (y2, y1, clk, rst_n);
//instantiate D flip-flop for y3
udp_dff_edge1 inst3 (y3, y2, clk, rst_n);
//instantiate D flip-flop for y4
udp_dff_edge1 inst4 (y4, y3, clk, rst_n);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.72 A Johnson counter designed using a UDP for a \(D\) flip-flop.
```

//test bench for the 4-bit johnson counter
module ctr_johnson4_tb;
reg clk, rst_n; //inputs are reg for tb
wire y1, y2, y3, y4; /loutputs are wire for tb
initial
\$monitor ("count = %b", {y1, y2, y3, y4});
initial //define clk
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
initial //define reset
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#200 \$stop;
end
ctr_johnson4 inst1 ( //instantiate the module
.rst_n(rst_n),
.clk(clk),
.y1(y1),
.y2(y2),
.y3(y3),
.y4(y4)
);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.73 Test bench for the 4-bit UDP Johnson counter.
```

count = 0000
count = 1000
count = 1100
count = 1110
count = 1111
count = 0111
count = 0011
count = 0001
count = 0000
count = 1000

```

Figure 1.74 Outputs for the 4-bit UDP Johnson counter.

\subsection*{1.6 Dataflow Modeling}

Gate-level modeling using built-in primitives is an intuitive approach to digital design because it corresponds one-to-one with traditional digital logic design at the gate level. Dataflow modeling, however, is at a higher level of abstraction than gate-level modeling. Design automation tools are used to create gate-level logic from dataflow modeling by a process called logic synthesis. Register transfer level (RTL) is a combination of dataflow modeling and behavioral modeling and characterizes the flow of data through logic circuits. The following sections describe different techniques used to design logic circuits using dataflow modeling. These techniques include the continuous assignment statement, reduction operators, the conditional operator, relational operators, logical operators, bitwise operators, and shift operators.

\subsection*{1.6.1 Continuous Assignment}

The continuous assignment statement models dataflow behavior and is used to design combinational logic without using gates and interconnecting nets. Continuous assignment statements provide a Boolean correspondence between the right-hand side expression and the left-hand side target. The continuous assignment statement uses the keyword assign and has the following syntax with optional drive strength and delay:
assign [drive_strength] [delay] left-hand side target \(=\) right-hand side expression

The continuous assignment statement assigns a value to a net (wire) that has been previously declared - it cannot be used to assign a value to a register. Therefore, the left-hand target must be a scalar or vector net or a concatenation of scalar and vector nets. The operands on the right-hand side can be registers, nets, or function calls. The registers and nets can be declared as either scalars or vectors. The following are examples of continuous assignment statements for scalar nets:
```

assign z}=\mp@subsup{z}{1}{}\&\mp@subsup{x}{2}{\&\& }\mp@subsup{x}{3}{}
assign z
assign z}=(\mp@subsup{x}{1}{\&\&}\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{x}}{2}{})|\mp@subsup{x}{3}{}

```
where the symbol "\&" is the AND operation, the symbol "^" is the exclusive-OR operation, and the symbol "|" is the OR operation.

The following are examples of continuous assignment statements for vector and scalar nets, where sum is a 9-bit vector to accommodate the sum and carry-out, \(a\) and \(b\) are 8-bit vectors, and \(\operatorname{cin}\) is a scalar:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { assign sum }=a+b+c i n \\
& \text { assign sum }=a^{\wedge} b^{\wedge} \text { cin }
\end{aligned}
\]
where the symbol " + " is the add operation.

Example 1.12 Figure 1.75 is an example of a continuous assignment statement utilized in the design of an exclusive-NOR circuit where \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\) are the inputs and \(z_{1}\) is the output. Figure 1.76 shows the test bench and Figure 1.77 shows the outputs. Recall that an exclusive-NOR circuit is defined as:
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline\(x_{1}\) & \(x_{2}\) & \(z_{1}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

//dataflow 2-input exclusive-nor
module xnor2_df (x1, x2, z1);
input x1, x2; //list all inputs and outputs
output z1;
wire x1, x2; //all signals are wire
wire zl;
assign z1 = ~(x1 ^ x2); //continuous assign used for
endmodule //...dataflow modeling

```

Figure 1.75 Continuous assignment statement used to design an exclusive-NOR circuit.
```

//dataflow xnor2 df test bench
module xnor2_tb;
reg x1, x2; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //apply input vectors and display variables
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [2:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 4; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2} = invect [2:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 = %b, z1 = %b", {x1, x2}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench as a single line
xnor2_df inst1 (x1, x2, z2);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.76 Test bench for the exclusive-NOR circuit.
```

x1 x2 = 00, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, z1 = 1

```

Figure 1.77 Outputs for the exclusive-NOR circuit.

\subsection*{1.6.2 Reduction Operators}

The reduction operators are: AND (\&), NAND ( \(\sim \&)\), OR ( \(\mid\) ), NOR ( \(\sim \mid\) ), exclusiveOR ( \(\wedge\) ), and exclusive-NOR ( \(\wedge \sim\) or \(\left.\sim^{\wedge}\right)\). Reduction operators are unary operators; that is, they operate on a single vector and produce a single-bit result. Reduction operators perform their respective operations on a bit-by-bit basis from right to left. If any bit in the operand is an \(\mathbf{x}\) or a \(\mathbf{z}\), then the result of the operation is an \(\mathbf{x}\). The reduction operators are defined as follows:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Reduction Operator } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Description } \\
\hline \hline\(\&\) (Reduction AND) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
If any bit is a 0, then the result is 0, other- \\
wise the result is 1.
\end{tabular} \\
\(\sim \&\) (Reduction NAND) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
This is the complement of the reduction \\
AND operation.
\end{tabular} \\
\(\mid\) (Reduction OR) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
If any bit is a 1, then the result is 1, other- \\
wise the result is 0.
\end{tabular} \\
\(\sim \mid\) (Reduction NOR) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
This is the complement of the reduction OR \\
operation.
\end{tabular} \\
\(\wedge\) (Reduction exclusive-OR) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
If there are an even number of 1s in the \\
operand, then the result is 0, otherwise the \\
result is 1.
\end{tabular} \\
\(\sim^{\wedge}\) (Reduction exclusive-NOR) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
This is the complement of the reduction \\
exclusive-OR operation.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Example 1.13 This example illustrates the continuous assignment statement to demonstrate the reduction operators. Figure 1.78 contains the design module to illustrate the operation of the six reduction operators using a 4 -bit operand \(a[3: 0]\). If no delays are specified for the continuous assignment statement, then only one assign keyword is required. Only the final statement is terminated by a semicolon; all other statements are terminated by a comma. The test bench and outputs are shown in Figure 1.79 and Figure 1.80, respectively.
```

//module to illustrate the use of reduction operators
module reduction3 (a, red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor,
red_xor, red_xnor);
//list inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a;
output red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor, red_xor, red_xnor;
//define signals
wire [3:0] a;
wire red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor, red_xor, red_xnor;
assign red_and = \&a, //reduction AND
red_nand = ~\&a, //reduction NAND
red_or = |a, //reduction OR
red_nor = ~|a, //reduction NOR
red_xor = ^a, //reduction exclusive-OR
red_xnor = ^~a; //reduction exclusive-NOR
endmodule

```

Figure 1.78 Design module for reduction operators.
```

//test bench for reduction2 module
module reduction3_tb;
reg [3:0] a; //inputs are reg for test bench; outputs are wire
wire red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor, red_xor, red_xnor;
initial
\$monitor ("a=%b, red_and=%b, red_nand=%b, red_or=%b,
red_nor=%b, red_xor=%.b, red_xnor=%b",
a, red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor, red_xor,
red_xnor);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 a = 4'b0001;
\#10 a = 4'b0010;
\#10 a = 4'b0011;
\#10 a = 4'b0100;
\#10 a = 4'b0101;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; //continued on next page

```

Figure 1.79 Test bench module for reduction operators.
```

    #10 a = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1000;
    #10 a = 4'b1001;
    #10 a = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1011;
    #10 a = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b1101;
    #10 a = 4'b1110;
    #10 a = 4'b1111;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench as a single line
reduction3 inst1 (a, red_and, red_nand, red_or, red_nor,
red_xor, red_xnor);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.79 (Continued)
```

a=0001,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=0010,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=0011,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
a=0100,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=0101,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
a=0110,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1

Figure 1.80 Outputs for reduction operators.

```
a=0111,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=1000,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=1001,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
a=1010,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
a=1011,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=1100,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
a=1101,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=1110,
red_and=0, red_nand=1, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=1, red_xnor=0
a=1111,
red_and=1, red_nand=0, red_or=1,
red_nor=0, red_xor=0, red_xnor=1
```

Figure 1.80 (Continued)

### 1.6.3 Conditional Operator

The conditional operator (? :) has three operands, as shown in the syntax below. The conditional_expression is evaluated. If the result is true (1), then the true_expression is evaluated; if the result is false (0), then the false_expression is evaluated.
conditional_expression ? true_expression : false_expression;

The conditional operator can be used when one of two expressions is to be selected. For example, in Equation 1.15 shown below, if $x_{1}$ is greater than or equal to $x_{2}$, then $z_{1}$ is assigned the value of $x_{3}$; if $x_{1}$ is less than $x_{2}$, then $z_{1}$ is assigned the value of $x_{4}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}>=x_{2}\right) ? x_{3}: x_{4} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditional operators can be nested; that is, each true_expression and false_expression can be a conditional operation, as shown below. This is useful for modeling a 4:1 multiplexer.

```
conditional_expression ? (cond_expr1 ? true_expr1 : false_expr1)
    :(cond_expr2? true_expr2 : false_expr2);
```

Example 1.14 Equation 1.15 will be implemented using the conditional operator. If $x_{1}$ is greater than or equal to $x_{2}$, then output $z_{1}$ will be assigned the value of $x_{3}$, otherwise $z_{1}$ will be assigned the value of $x_{4}$. The design module is shown in Figure 1.81. The test bench module is shown in Figure 1.82 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.83.

```
//conditional operator for the following equation:
//z1 = (x1 >= x2) ? x3 : x4;
module conditional_op (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
assign z1 = (x1 >= x2) ? x3 : x4;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.81 Design module for the conditional operator of Equation 1.15.

```
//test bench for conditional_op
module conditional_op_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench; outputs are wire
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1; //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.82 Test bench module for Figure 1.81.

```
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("x1 = %b, x2 = %b, x3 = %b, x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
        x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# 0 & x1=1'b0; & x2=1'b0; & x3=1'b0; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b0; & x2=1'b0; & x \(3=1 \mathrm{lb} 0\); & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b0; & x2=1'b0; & x3=1'b1; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{x} 1=1 \mathrm{~b} 0\); & x2=1'b0; & x3=1'b1; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b0; & \(\mathrm{x} 2=1 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{l}^{\text {; }}\) & x \(3=1\) 'b0; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b0; & x2=1'b1; & x \(3=1 \mathrm{lb} 0\); & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b0; & x2=1'b1; & x3=1'b1; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{x} 1=1 \mathrm{~b} 0\); & x2=1'b1; & x \(3=1\) 'b1; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b0; & x \(3=1\) 'b0; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b0; & x \(3=1\) 'b0; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b0; & x \(3=1\) 'b1; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b0; & x3=1'b1; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b1; & x \(3=1\) 'b0; & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b1; & x \(3=1\) 'b0; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b1; & \(\mathrm{x} 3=1 \mathrm{lb1}\); & x4=1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & x1=1'b1; & x2=1'b1; & x3=1'b1; & x4=1'b1; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
conditional_op inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.82 (Continued)

```
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, x4 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, x4 = 1, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, x4 = 0, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, x4 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, x4 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, x4 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, x4 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, x4 = 1, z1 = 1 //continued next pg
```

Figure 1.83 Outputs for the conditional operator of Figure 1.81.

```
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, x4 = 0, 
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, x4 = 1, 
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, x4 = 0, 
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, x4 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, x4 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, x4 = 1, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, x4 = 0, 
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, x4 = 1, m1 = 1
```

Figure 1.83 (Continued)

### 1.6.4 Relational Operators

Relational operators compare operands and return a Boolean result, either 1 (true) or 0 (false) indicating the relationship between the two operands. There are four relational operators: greater than $(>)$, less than $(<)$, greater than or equal $(>=)$, and less than or equal $(<=)$. These operators function the same as identical operators in the C programming language.

If the relationship is true, then the result is 1 ; if the relationship is false, then the result is 0 . Net or register operands are treated as unsigned values; real or integer operands are treated as signed values. An $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$ in any operand returns a result of $\mathbf{x}$. When the operands are of unequal size, the smaller operand is zero-extended to the left.

Example 1.15 Figure 1.84 shows examples of relational operators using dataflow modeling, where the identifier $g t$ means greater than, $l t$ means less than, gte means greater than or equal, and lte means less than or equal. The test bench, which applies several different values to the two operands, is shown in Figure 1.85. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.86.

```
//example of relational operands
module relational_opnds (x1, x2, gt, lt, gte, lte);
//define inputs and outputs
input [1:4] x1, x2;
output gt, lt, gte, lte;
//define outputs
assign gt = x1 > x2,
    lt = x1 < x2,
    gte = x1 >= x2,
    lte = x1 <= x2;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.84 Design module for relational operators.

```
//test bench for relational_opnds
module relational_opnds_tb;
reg [1:4] x1, x2; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire gt, lt, gte, lte; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 = %b, x2 = %b, gt = %b, lt = %b,
    gte = %b, lte = %b",
    x1, x2, gt, lt, gte, lte);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 x1 = 4'b0000;
        x2 = 4'b0000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0001;
        x2 = 4'b0010;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0011;
        x2 = 4'b0010;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0101;
        x2 = 4'b0101;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1000;
        x2 = 4'b0110;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1100;
        x2 = 4'b1110;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0111;
        x2 = 4'b0111;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0100;
        x2 = 4'b0010;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0010;
        x2 = 4'b0011;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench as a single line
relational_opnds inst1 (x1, x2, gt, lt, gte, lte);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.85 Test bench module for relational operators.

```
x1 = 0000, x2 = 0000, gt = 0, llt = 0,
x1 = 0001, x2 = 0010, gt = 0, lt = 1,
x1 = 0011, x2 = 0010, gt = 1, lt = 0,
x1 = 0101, x2 = 0101, gt = 0, lt = 0,
x1 = 1000, x2 = 0110, gt = 1, lt = 0,
x1 = 1100, x2 = 1110, gt = 0, ll = 1,
x1 = 0111, x2 = 0111, gt = 0, ll = 0,
x1 = 0100, x2 = 0010, gt = 1, lt = 0,
x1 = 0010, x2 = 0011, gt = 0, llt = 1,
```

```
gte = 1, lte = 1
```

gte = 1, lte = 1
gte = 0, lte = 1
gte = 0, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 1, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 0, lte = 1
gte = 0, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 1
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 1, lte = 0
gte = 0, lte = 1

```
gte = 0, lte = 1
```

Figure 1.86 Outputs for relational operators.

### 1.6.5 Logical Operators

There are three logical operators: the binary logical AND operator (\&\&), the binary logical OR operator ( $\|$ ), and the unary logical negation operator (!). Logical operators evaluate to a logical 1 (true), a logical 0 (false), or an $\mathbf{x}$ (ambiguous). If a logical operation returns a nonzero value, then it is treated as a logical 1 (true); if a bit in an operand is $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then it is ambiguous and is normally treated as a false condition. For vector operands, a nonzero vector is treated as a 1.

Example 1.16 Figure 1.87 shows examples of the logical operators using dataflow modeling. Figure 1.88 and Figure 1.89 show the test bench and outputs, respectively.

```
//dataflow for logical operators
module logical_operators (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3, z4);
//define inputs and outputs
input [1:4] x1, x2, x3;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;
//perform the logical operations
assign z1 = (x1 && x2) && x3,
    z2 = (x1 || x2) && x3,
    z3 = (x1 && x3) || x2,
    z4 = !(x1 || x3);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.87 Design module for examples of logical operators.

```
//test bench for logical operators
module logical_operators_tb;
reg [1:4] x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1, z2, z3, z4; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1=%b, x2 =% b, x 3 = %b, z1=%b, z2=%b, z3=%b, z4=%b",
    x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3, z4);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 x1 = 4'b0001; x2 = 4'b0001; x3 = 4'b0001;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0011; x2 = 4'b0011; x3 = 4'b0011;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1111; x2 = 4'b0000; x3 = 4'b1000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0000; x2 = 4'b1000; x3 = 4'b0000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0100; x2 = 4'b0110; x3 = 4'b0111;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0111; x2 = 4'b0000; x3 = 4'b1000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0000; x2 = 4'b0000; x3 = 4'b0000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1111; x2 = 4'b1111; x3 = 4'b1111;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0110; x2 = 4'b0110; x3 = 4'b0111;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1011; x2 = 4'b0000; x3 = 4'b1011;
    #10 x1 = 4'b0000; x2 = 4'b0000; x3 = 4'b0000;
    #10 x1 = 4'b1110; x2 = 4'b1011; x3 = 4'b1101;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
logical_operators inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.88 Test bench module for examples of logical operators.

```
z1 = (x1 && x2) && x3, z2 = (x1 || x2) && x3,
z3 = (x1 && x3) || x2, z4 = !(x1 || x3);
x1=0001, x2=0001, x3=0001, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0011, x2=0011, x3=0011, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=1111, x2=0000, x3=1000, z1=0, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0000, x2=1000, x3=0000, z1=0, z2=0, z3=1, z4=1
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.89 Outputs for examples of logical operators.

```
z1 = (x1 && x2) && x3, z2 = (x1 || x2) && x3,
z3 = (x1 && x3) || x2, z4 = !(x1 || x3);
x1=0100, x2=0110, x3=0111, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0111, x2=0000, x3=1000, z1=0, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0000, x2=0000, x3=0000, z1=0, z2=0, z3=0, z4=1
x1=1111, x2=1111, x3=1111, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0110, x2=0110, x3=0111, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=1011, x2=0000, x3=1011, z1=0, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
x1=0000, x2=0000, x3=0000, z1=0, z2=0, z3=0, z4=1
x1=1110, x2=1011, x3=1101, z1=1, z2=1, z3=1, z4=0
```

Figure 1.89 (Continued)

### 1.6.6 Bitwise Operators

The bitwise operators are: AND (\&), OR (|), negation ( $\sim$ ), exclusive-OR (^), and ex-clusive-NOR $(\wedge \sim$ or $\sim \wedge)$. The bitwise operators perform logical operations on the operands on a bit-by-bit basis and produce a vector result. Except for negation, each bit in one operand is associated with the corresponding bit in the other operand. If one operand is shorter, then it is zero-extended to the left to match the length of the longer operand.

The bitwise $A N D$ operator performs the AND function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis. An example of the bitwise AND operator is shown below.

\&) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

The bitwise $O R$ operator performs the OR function on the two operands on a bit-by-bit basis. An example of the bitwise OR operator is shown below.


The bitwise negation operator performs the negation function on one operand on a bit-by-bit basis. Each bit in the operand is inverted. An example of the bitwise negation operator is shown below.

~) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

The bitwise exclusive-OR operator performs the exclusive-OR function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis. An example of the bitwise exclusive-OR operator is shown below.

$\left.{ }^{\wedge}\right)$| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

The bitwise exclusive-NOR operator performs the exclusive-NOR function on two operands on a bit-by-bit basis. An example of the bitwise exclusive-NOR operator is shown below.

$$
\wedge \sim) \begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

Bitwise operators perform operations on operands on a bit-by-bit basis and produce a vector result. This is in contrast to logical operators, which perform operations on operands in such a way that the truth or falsity of the result is determined by the truth or falsity of the operands. That is, the logical AND operator returns a value of 1 (true) only if both operands are nonzero (true); otherwise, it returns a value of 0 (false). If the result is ambiguous, it returns a value of $\mathbf{x}$.

Example 1.17 Figure 1.90 shows a coding example to illustrate the use of the five bitwise operators. The test bench and outputs are in Figure 1.91 and Figure 1.92, respectively.

```
//dataflow bitwise operators
module bitwise4 (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
input [3:0] a, b, c; //define inputs and outputs
output [3:0] z1, z2, z3, z4;
assign z1 = (a & b) | c,
    z2 = (a ^ b) & c,
    z3 = (a | c) ^ b,
    z4 = (a ^~ c);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.90 Design module for the bitwise operators.

```
//test bench for bitwise operators
module bitwise4_tb;
reg [3:0] a, b, c; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [3:0] z1, z2, z3, z4; /loutputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a=%.b, b= %b, c=%.b, z1=%.b, z2=%.b, z3=%.b, z4=%.b",
    a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0001; c = 4'b0001;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0011; }\quad\textrm{c}=4'\textrm{b}0011
    #10 a = 4'b1111; }\textrm{b}=4'\textrm{b}0000; c = 4'b1000
    #10 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b1000; c = 4'b0000;
    #10 a = 4'b0100; b = 4'b0110; c = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0000; c = 4'b1000;
    #10 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0000; c = 4'b0000;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111; c = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0001; c = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0100; c = 4'b0101;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111; c = 4'b1000;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1010; c = 4'b1011;
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1101; c = 4'b1110;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
bitwise4 inst1 (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.91 Test bench module for bitwise operators.

```
z1 = (a & b) | c, z2 = (a ^ b) & c,
z3 = (a | c) ^ b, z4 = (a ^~ c);
a=0001, b=0001, c=0001, z1=0001, z2=0000, z3=0000, z4=1111
a=0011, b=0011, c=0011, z1=0011, z2=0000, z3=0000, z4=1111
a=1111, b=0000, c=1000, z1=1000, z2=1000, z3=1111, z4=1000
a=0000, b=1000, c=0000, z1=0000, z2=0000, z3=1000, z4=1111
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.92 Outputs for bitwise operators.


Figure 1.92 (Continued)

### 1.6.7 Shift Operators

The shift operators shift a single vector operand left or right a specified number of bit positions. These are logical shift operations, not algebraic; that is, as bits are shifted left or right, zeroes fill in the vacated bit positions. The bits shifted out of the operand are lost; they do not rotate to the high-order or low-order bit positions of the shifted operand. If the shift amount evaluates to $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then the result of the operation is $\mathbf{x}$. Algebraic shifters are presented in behavioral modeling, which is described in Section 1.7. There are two logical shift operators, as shown below. The value in parentheses is the number of bits that the operand is shifted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \text { (Left-shift amount) } \\
& \gg \text { (Right-shift amount) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When an operand is shifted left, this is equivalent to a multiply-by-two operation for each bit position shifted. When an operand is shifted right, this is equivalent to a divide-by-two operation for each bit position shifted. The shift operators are useful to model the sequential add-shift multiplication algorithm and the sequential shift-subtract division algorithm.

Example 1.18 Figure 1.93 shows examples of the shift-left and shift-right operators using dataflow modeling. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.94 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.95.

```
//dataflow for shift left and shift right
module shift3 (a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3, a1_rslt, a2_rslt,
    a3_rslt, b1_rslt, b2_rslt, b3_rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3;
output [7:0] a1_rslt, a2_rslt, a3_rslt,
        b1_rslt, b2_rslt, b3_rslt;
//define outputs
assign al_rslt = a1 << 2, //multiply by 4
    a2_rslt = a 2 << 3, //multiply by 8
    a3_rslt = a3 << 4, //multiply by 16
    b1_rslt = b1 >> 1, //divide by 2
    b2_rslt = b2 >> 2, //divide by 4
    b3_rslt = b3 >> 3; //divide by 8
endmodule
```

Figure 1.93 Design module for examples of the shift-left and shift-right operators

```
//test bench for shift operators
module shift3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
reg [7:0] a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3;
//outputs are wire for test bench
wire [7:0] a1_rslt, a2_rslt, a3_rslt,
    b1_rslt, b2_rslt, b3_rslt;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a1=%b, a 2 = % b, a 3 = % b, b1 = %b, b2=%b, b3 =%b,
        a1_rslt=%b, a2_rslt=%.b, a3_rslt=%b, b1_rslt=%.b,
        b2_rslt=%b, b3_rslt=%b",
            a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3, a1_rslt, a2_rslt,
                        a3_rslt, b1_rslt, b2_rslt, b3_rslt);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    a1 = 8'b0000_0011; //multiply by 4
    a2 = 8'b0000_1000; //multiply by 8
    a3 = 8'b0000_0011; //multiply by 16
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.94 Test bench module for the logical shift operators.

```
b1 = 8'b0011_0000; //divide by 2
b2 = 8'b0001_0000; //divide by 4
b3 = 8'b0011_0000; //divide by 8
    #10 a1 = 8'b0000_0010; //multiply by 4
    a2 = 8'b0000_0111; //multiply by 8
    a3 = 8'b0000_0010; //multiply by 16
b1 = 8'b0100_0000; //divide by 2
b2 = 8'b0010_0000; //divide by 4
b3 = 8'b0000_1000; //divide by 8
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
shift3 inst1 (a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3, a1_rslt, a2_rslt,
    a3_rslt, b1_rslt, b2_rslt, b3_rslt);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.94 (Continued)

```
a1 = multiply by 4; a2= multiply by 8; a3 = multiply by 16
a1=00000011, a2=00001000, a3=00000011,
a1_rslt=00001100, a2_rslt=01000000, a3_rslt=00110000,
b1 = divide by 2; b2 = divide by 4; b3 = divide by 8
b1=00110000, b2=00010000, b3=00110000,
b1_rslt=00011000, b2_rslt=00000100, b3_rslt=00000110
-------------------------------------------------------------------
a1 = multiply by 4; a2= multiply by 8; a3 = multiply by 16
a1=00000010, a2=00000111, a3=00000010,
a1_rslt=00001000, a2_rslt=00111000, a3_rslt=00100000,
b1 = divide by 2; b2 = divide by 4; b3 = divide by 8
b1=01000000, b2=00100000, b3=00001000,
b1_rslt=00100000, b2_rslt=00001000, b3_rslt=00000001
```

Figure 1.95 Outputs for the logical shift operators.

### 1.7 Behavioral Modeling

This section describes the behavior of a digital system and is not concerned with the direct implementation of logic gates, but more on the architecture of the system. This is an algorithmic approach to hardware implementation and represents a higher level of abstraction than previous modeling methods. A Verilog module may contain a mixture of built-in primitives, UDPs, dataflow constructs, and behavioral constructs. The constructs in behavioral modeling closely resemble those used in the C programming language.

Describing a module in behavioral modeling is an abstraction of the functional operation of the design. It does not describe the implementation of the design at the gate level. The outputs of the module are characterized by their relationship to the inputs. The behavior of the design is described using procedural constructs. These constructs are the initial statement and the always statement.

A procedure is series of operations taken to design a module. A Verilog module that is designed using behavioral modeling contains no internal structural details, it simply defines the behavior of the hardware in an abstract, algorithmic description. Verilog contains two structured procedure statements or behaviors: initial and always. A behavior may consist of a single statement or a block of statements delimited by the keywords begin . . . end. A module may contain multiple initial and always statements. These statements are the basic statements used in behavioral modeling and execute concurrently starting at time zero in which the order of execution is not important. All other behavioral statements are contained inside these structured procedure statements.

### 1.7.1 Initial Statement

All statements within an initial statement comprise an initial block. An initial statement executes only once beginning at time zero, then suspends execution. An initial statement provides a method to initialize and monitor variables before the variables are used in a module; it is also used to generate waveforms. For a given time unit, all statements within the initial block execute sequentially.

Execution or assignment is controlled by the time symbol \#. Examples of the time symbol are shown below. At time zero (\#0), variable $x_{1}$ is set to a one-bit ( $1^{\prime}$ ) binary (b) value of 0 . Ten time units later $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are set to a value of 1 . Ten time units later $x_{1}$ is set to a value of 0 and ten time units later (at 30 time units) $x_{2}$ is set to a value of 0 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# 0 & \mathrm{x} 1=1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 ; \\
\# 10 & \mathrm{x} 1=1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 ; \\
\# 10 & \mathrm{x} 1=1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 ; \\
\# 2=1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 ; \\
\# 10 & \mathrm{x} 2=1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 ;
\end{array}
$$

The syntax for an initial statement is as follows:
initial [optional timing control] procedural statement or
block of procedural statements

### 1.7.2 Always Statement

The always statement executes the behavioral statements within the always block repeatedly in a looping manner and begins execution at time zero. Execution of the statements continues indefinitely until the simulation is terminated. The syntax for the always statement is shown below.

## always [optional timing control] procedural statement or block of procedural statements

An always statement is often used with an event control list - or sensitivity list to execute a sequential block. When a change occurs to a variable in the sensitivity list, the statement or block of statements in the always block is executed. The keyword or is used to indicate multiple events. When one or more inputs change state, the statement in the always block is executed. The begin . . . end keywords are necessary only when there is more than one behavioral statement. Target variables used in an always statement are declared as type reg.

Example 1.19 Figure 1.96 shows a 3-input OR gate, which will be designed using behavioral modeling. The behavioral module is shown in Figure 1.97 using an always statement. The expression within the parentheses is called an event control or sensitivity list. Whenever a variable in the event control list changes value, the statements in the begin ... end block will be executed; that is, if either $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ or $x_{3}$ changes value, the following statement will be executed: $z_{1}=x_{1}\left|x_{2}\right| x_{3}$; where the symbol ( $\mid$ ) signifies the logical OR operation.

If only a single statement appears after the always statement, then the keywords begin and end are not required. The always statement has a sequential block (begin ... end) associated with an event control. The statements within a begin . . . end block execute sequentially and execution suspends when the last statement has been executed. When the sequential block completes execution, the always statement checks for another change of variables in the event control list.


Figure 1.96 Three-input OR gate to be implemented using behavioral modeling.

```
//behavioral 3-input or gate
module or3a (x1, x2, x3, z1);
input x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and output
output z1; //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.97 Design module for the three-input OR gate.

```
//define signals
wire x1, x2, x3; //alternatively do not declare wires
        //because inputs are wire by default
reg z1; //outputs are reg for behavioral
        //z1 is used in the always statement
        //and must be declared as type reg
always @ (x1 or x2 or x3) //sensitivity list is x1, x2, x3
begin
    z1 = x1 | x2 | x3;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.97 (Continued)

The test bench for the OR gate module is shown in Figure 1.98 using the initial statement. The inputs for a test bench are of type reg because they retain their value until changed, and the outputs are of type wire. All eight combinations of the inputs are tested. The inputs are applied in sequence, $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=000$ through 111. The binary outputs of the simulator are shown in Figure 1.99 listing the output value for $z_{1}$ for all combinations of inputs.

```
//test bench for three-input or gate
module or3a_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3;
//outputs are wire for test bench
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("x1 = %b, x2 = %b, x3 = %b, z1 = %b",
    x1, x2, x3, z1);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1; //next page
```

Figure 1.98 Test bench module for the three-input OR gate.

```
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
or3a inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.98 (Continued)

```
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
```

Figure 1.99 Outputs for the three-input OR gate.

### 1.7.3 Intrastatement Delay

An intrastatement delay is a delay on the right-hand side of the statement and indicates that the right-hand side is to be evaluated, wait the specified number of time units, and then assign the value to the left-hand side. This can be used to simulate logic gate delays. Equation 1.16 is an example of an intrastatement delay.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\# 5 \quad x_{1} \& x_{2} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement evaluates the logical function $x_{1}$ AND $x_{2}$, waits five time units, then assigns the result to $z_{1}$. If no delay is specified in a procedural assignment, then zero delay is the default delay and the assignment occurs instantaneously.

### 1.7.4 Interstatement Delay

An interstatement delay is the delay by which a statement's execution is delayed; that is, it is the delay between statements. The code segment of Equation 1.17 is an example of an interstatement delay.

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & =x_{1} \mid x_{2} \\
\# 5 z_{2} & =x_{1} \& x_{2} \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

When the first statement has completed execution, a delay of five time units will be taken before the second statement is executed. If no delays are specified in a procedural assignment, then there is zero delay in the assignment.

### 1.7.5 Blocking Assignments

A blocking procedural assignment completes execution before the next statement executes. The assignment operator ( $=$ ) is used for blocking assignments. The right-hand expression is evaluated, then the assignment is placed in an internal temporary register called the event queue and scheduled for assignment. If no time units are specified, then the scheduling takes place immediately. The event queue is covered in Appendix A.

In the code segment below, an interstatement delay of two time units is specified for the assignment to $z_{2}$. The evaluation of $z_{2}$ is delayed by the timing control; that is, the expression for $z_{2}$ will not be evaluated until the expression for $z_{1}$ has been executed, plus two time units. The execution of any following statements is blocked until the assignment occurs.

```
initial
    begin
        z}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}&\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
        #2 z
    end
```


### 1.7.6 Nonblocking Assignments

The assignment symbol ( $<=$ ) is used to represent a nonblocking procedural assignment. Nonblocking assignments allow the scheduling of assignments without blocking execution of the following statements in a sequential procedural block. A nonblocking assignment is used to synchronize assignment statements so that they appear to execute at the same time. In the code segment shown below using blocking assignments, the result is indeterminate because both always blocks execute
concurrently resulting in a race condition. Depending on the simulator implementation, either $x_{1}=x_{2}$ would be executed before $x_{2}=x_{3}$ or vice versa.

```
always@ (posedge clk)
    x}=\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
always @ (posedge clk)
    x}=\mp@subsup{x}{3}{}
```

The race condition is solved by using nonblocking assignments as shown below.

```
always @ (posedge clk)
    x}<<=\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
always @ (posedge clk)
    x}<<=\mp@subsup{x}{3}{}
```

The Verilog simulator schedules a nonblocking assignment statement to execute, then proceeds to the next statement in the block without waiting for the previous nonblocking statement to complete execution. That is, the right-hand expression is evaluated and the value is stored in the event queue and is scheduled to be assigned to the left-hand target. The assignment is made at the end of the current time step if there are no intrastatement delays specified.

Nonblocking assignments are typically used to model several concurrent assignments that are caused by a common event such as @ posedge clk. The order of the assignments is irrelevant because the right-hand side evaluations are stored in the event queue before any assignments are made.

### 1.7.7 Conditional Statements

Conditional statements alter the flow within a behavior based upon certain conditions. The choice among alternative statements depends on the Boolean value of an expression. The alternative statements can be a single statement or a block of statements delimited by the keywords begin . . . end. The keywords if and else are used in conditional statements. There are three categories of the conditional statement as shown below. A true value is 1 or any nonzero value; a false value is $0, \mathbf{x}$, or $\mathbf{z}$. If the evaluation is false, then the next expression in the activity flow is evaluated.
//no else statement
if (expression) statement ; //if expression is true, then statement 1 is executed.
//one else statement
if (expression) statement 1 ;
else statement2;
//choice of two statements. Only one is executed. //if expression is true, then statement 1 is executed. //if expression is false, then statement 2 is executed.
$/ /$ nested if-else if $\quad / /$ choice of multiple statements. One is executed. if (expression1) statement1; //if expression 1 is true, then statement 1 is executed. else if (expression2) statement2;//if expression 2 is true, then statement 2 is executed. else if (expression3) statement 3 ;//if expression 3 is true, then statement 3 is executed. else default statement;

Examples of the three categories are shown below.

```
//no else statement
if (\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}& 利) z
//one else statement
if (rst_n = = 0)
    ctr = 3'b000;
else ctr = next_count;
//nested if-else if
if (opcode = = 00)
    z}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}+\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
else if (opcode = = 01)
    z}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}-\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
else if (opcode = = 10)
    z}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{*}*\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
else
    z}=\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}/\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}
```

Example 1.20 This example uses scalar variables $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ to illustrates the use of conditional statements to implement the expression: $z_{1}=\left(x_{1} \& \& x_{2}\right)| |\left(x_{1} \& \& x_{3}\right)| |$ $\left(x_{2} \& \& x_{3}\right)$ ), where the symbol \| represents the logical OR operation and the symbol \&\& represents the logical AND operation. Recall that the logical OR and logical AND operators are binary operations that evaluate to a logical 1 (true), a logical 0 (false), or an $\mathbf{x}$ (ambiguous). If a logical operation returns a nonzero value, then it is treated as a logical 1 (true); if a bit in an operand is $\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{z}$, then it is ambiguous and is normally treated as a false condition.

The design module and test bench module are shown in Figure 1.100 and Figure 1.101 , respectively. The outputs are illustrated in Figure 1.102 which display the correct value for output $z_{1}$ for all combinations of the input values.

```
//behavioral conditional if ... else if
module cond_if_else (x1, x2, x3, z1);
input x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and output
output z1; //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.100 Design module to illustrate the conditional statement if . . . else.

```
//define signals
reg z1; //outputs are declared as reg for behavioral
    //z1 is used as target in always statement
always @ (x1 or x2 or x3) //sensitivity list
begin
    if ((x1 && x2) || (x1 && x3) || (x2 && x3))
        zl= 1;
    else
        z1 = 0;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.100 (Continued)

```
//test bench for cond_if_else module
module cond_if_else_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 = %b, x2 = %b, x3 = %b, z1 = %b",
                        x1, x2, x3, z1);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
cond_if_else inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.101 Test bench module for the conditional statement if . . . else.

```
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, x2 = 0, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 0, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, x2 = 1, x3 = 1, z1 = 1
```

Figure 1.102 Outputs for the conditional statement if . . . else.

### 1.7.8 Case Statement

The case statement is an alternative to the if . . . else if construct and may simplify the readability of the Verilog code. The case statement is a multiple-way conditional branch. It executes one of several different procedural statements depending on the comparison of an expression with a case item. The expression and the case item are compared bit-by-bit and must match exactly. The statement that is associated with a case item may be a single procedural statement or a block of statements delimited by the keywords begin . . end. The case statement has the following syntax:

```
case (expression)
    case_item1 : procedural_statement1;
    case_item2 : procedural_statement2;
    case_item3 : procedural_statement3;
    case_itemn : procedural_statementn;
    default : default_statement;
endcase
```

The case expression may be an expression or a constant. The case items are evaluated in the order in which they are listed. If a match occurs between the case expression and the case item, then the corresponding procedural statement, or block of statements, is executed. If no match occurs, then the optional default statement is executed.

Example 1.21 An 8-bit Johnson counter will be designed that counts in the following sequence: $00000000,10000000,11000000,11100000,11110000,11111000$, 11111100, 11111110, 11111111, 01111111, 00111111, 00011111, 00001111, $00000111,00000011,00000001,00000000, \ldots$ The case statement will be used to determine the next count from any current count. For example, if the current count is 00000000 , then the expression count is compared with the case item 00000000
yielding a next count of 10000000 . The flow then exits the case statement and continues with the next statement in the module.

The design module is shown in Figure 1.103 using behavioral modeling. The expression count in the always statement for the case statement represents the event control or sensitivity list. Whenever a change occurs to count, the code in the begin . . . end block executes. Each count is then compared to the value of the expression count. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.104. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.105.

```
//8-bit johnson counter
module johnson_ctr (clk, rst_n, count);
//define inputs and outputs
input clk, rst_n;
output [7:0] count;
//define signals
wire clk, rst_n; //inputs are wire
reg [7:0] count; //outputs are reg used in always
reg [7:0] next_count; //define internal reg used in always
//set next count -------------------------------
always @ (posedge clk or negedge rst_n)
begin
    if (rst_n == 1'b0)
        count <= 8'b0000_0000;
    else
        count <= next_count;
end
//determine next count -------------------------
always @ (count)
begin
    case (count) //case item is 8'b00000000
        8'b00000000 : next_count = 8'b10000000;
        8'b10000000 : next_count = 8'b11000000;
        8'b11000000 : next_count = 8'b11100000;
        8'b11100000 : next_count = 8'b11110000;
        8'b11110000 : next_count = 8'b11111000;
        8'b11111000 : next_count = 8'b11111100;
        8'b11111100 : next_count = 8'b11111110;
        8'b11111110 : next_count = 8'b11111111;
        8'b11111111 : next_count = 8'b01111111;
        8'b01111111 : next_count = 8'b00111111;
        8'b00111111 : next_count = 8'b00011111;
        8'b00011111 : next_count = 8'b00001111;
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.103 Design module for the 8-bit Johnson counter.

```
8'b00001111 : next_count = 8'b00000111;
        8'b00000111 : next_count = 8'b00000011;
        8'b00000011 : next_count = 8'b00000001;
        8'b00000001 : next_count = 8'b000000000;
        default : next_count = 8'b00000000;
    endcase
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.103 (Continued)

```
//test bench for Johnson counter
module johnson_ctr_tb;
reg clk, rst_n; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [7:0] count; //outputs are wire for test bench
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("count = %b", count);
//define reset
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
    #320 $stop; //establish length of simulation
end
//define clk
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10clk = ~clk;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
johnson_ctr inst1 (clk, rst_n, count);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.104 Test bench module for the 8-bit Johnson counter.

```
count = 00000000
count = 10000000
count = 11000000
count = 11100000
count = 11110000
count = 11111000
count = 11111100
count = 11111110
count = 11111111
count = 01111111
count = 00111111
count = 00011111
count = 00001111
count = 00000111
count = 00000011
count = 00000001
count = 00000000
```

Figure 1.105 Outputs for the 8-bit Johnson counter.

### 1.7.9 Loop Statements

There are four types of loop statements in Verilog: for, while, repeat, and forever. Loop statements must be placed within an initial or an always block and may contain delay controls. The loop constructs allow for repeated execution of procedural statements within an initial or an always block.

For loop The for loop contains three parts:

1. An initial condition to assign a value to a register control variable. This is executed once at the beginning of the loop to initialize a register variable that controls the loop.
2. A test condition to determine when the loop terminates. This is an expression that is executed before the procedural statements of the loop to determine if the loop should execute. The loop is repeated as long as the expression is true. If the expression is false, the loop terminates and the activity flow proceeds to the next statement in the module.
3. An assignment to modify the control variable, usually an increment or a decrement. This assignment is executed after each execution of the loop and before the next test to terminate the loop.

The syntax of a for loop is shown below. The body of the loop can be a single procedural statement or a block of procedural statements.
for (initial control variable assignment; test expression; control variable assignment) procedural statement or block of procedural statements

The for loop is generally used when there is a known beginning and an end to a loop. The for loop is similar in function to the for loop in the C programming language and is used in a test bench. Example 1.20 will be used in the following example to illustrate the use of the for loop.

Example 1.22 This example uses scalar variables $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ to illustrates the use of conditional statements to implement the expression: $z_{1}=\left(x_{1} \& \& x_{2}\right)| |\left(x_{1} \& \& x_{3}\right)| |$ $\left(x_{2} \& \& x_{3}\right)$ ), where the symbol \| represents the logical OR operation and the symbol \&\& represents the logical AND operation. The design module is shown in Figure 1.106. The test bench module is shown in Figure 1.107 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.108.

Since there are three inputs to the sum-of-products expression, all eight combinations of three variables must be applied to the circuit. This is accomplished by a for loop statement.

Following the keyword begin is the name of the block: apply_stimulus. In this block, a 4-bit reg variable is declared called invect. This guarantees that all eight combinations of the four inputs will be tested by the for loop, which applies input vectors of $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=000$ through 111 to the circuit. The for loop stops when the pattern 1000 is detected by the test segment (invect $<8$ ). If only a 3-bit vector were applied, then the expression (invect $<8$ ) would always be true and the loop would never terminate. The increment segment of the for loop does not support an increment designated as invect ++ ; therefore, the long notation must be used: invect $=$ invect +1 .

```
//behavioral conditional if ... else if
module cond_if_else2 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
input x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and output
output z1;
//define signals
reg z1; //outputs are declared as reg for behavioral
        //z1 is used as target in always statement
always @ (x1 or x2 or x3) //sensitivity list
begin
    if ((x1 && x2) || (x1 && x3) || (x2 && x3))
        z1= 1;
    else
        z1 = 0;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.106 Design module for the for loop statement.

```
//test bench for cond_if_else2
module cond_if_else2_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [3:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3} = invect [3:0];
            #10 $display ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, z1 = %b",
                    {x1, x2, x3}, z1);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
cond_if_else2 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.107 Test bench module for the for loop statement.

```
x1 x2 x3 = 000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 111, z1 = 1
```

Figure 1.108 Outputs for the for loop statement.

While loop The while loop executes a procedural statement or a block of procedural statements as long as a Boolean expression returns a value of true. When the procedural statements are executed, the Boolean expression is reevaluated. The loop is executed until the expression returns a value of false. If the evaluation of the expression is false, then the while loop is terminated and control is passed to the next statement in the module. If the expression is false before the loop is initially entered, then the while loop is never executed.

The Boolean expression may contain any of the following types: arithmetic, logical, relational, equality, bitwise, reduction, shift, concatenation, replication, or conditional. If the while loop contains multiple procedural statements, then they are contained within the begin . . . end keywords. The syntax for a while statement is as follows:
while (expression)
procedural statement or block of procedural statements

Example 1.23 This example demonstrates the use of the while construct to count the number of 1 s in a 16-bit register reg_a. The design module is shown in Figure 1.109. The variable count is declared as type integer and is used to obtain the cumulative count of the number of 1s. The first begin keyword must have a name associated with the keyword because this declaration is allowed only with named blocks.

The register is initialized to contain twelve $1 \mathrm{~s}\left(16^{\prime} h 75 f d\right)$. Alternatively, the register can be loaded from any other register. If reg_ $a$ contains a 1 bit in any bit position, then the while loop is executed. If reg_a contains all zeroes, then the while loop is terminated.

The low-order bit position (reg_a[0]) is tested for a 1 bit. If a value of 1 (true) is returned, count is incremented by one and the register is shifted right one bit position. There is only one procedural statement following the if statement; therefore, if a value of 0 (false) is returned, then count is not incremented and the register is shifted right one bit position.

The \$display system task then displays the number of 1 s that were contained in the register reg_a as shown in Figure 1.109. Notice that the count changes value only when there is a 1 bit in the low-order bit position of reg_a. If reg_a[0]=0, then the count is not incremented, but the total count is still displayed.

```
//example of a while loop
//count the number of 1s in a 16-bit register
module while_loop3;
integer count;
initial
begin: number_of_1s
    reg [16:0] x;
    count = 0;
    x = 16'h75fd; //set x to a known hex value (twelve 1s)
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.109 Design module to illustrate the use of the while loop.

```
    while (x) //execute while loop if x contains 1s
    begin
            if (x[0]) //check low-order bit position
            count = count + 1; //if true, add one to count
            x = x >> 1; //shift right x one bit position
    end
//shows final count
    $display ("final count = %d", count);
end
endmodule
----------------------------------------------------
final count = 12
```

Figure 1.109 (Continued)

Repeat loop The repeat loop executes a procedural statement or a block of procedural statements a specified number of times. The repeat construct can contain a constant, an expression, a variable, or a signed value. The syntax for the repeat loop is as follows:
repeat (loop count expression)
procedural statement or block of procedural statements
If the loop count is $\mathbf{x}$ (unknown value) or $\mathbf{z}$ (high impedance), then the loop count is treated as zero. The value of the loop count expression is evaluated once at the beginning of the loop.

Example 1.24 An example of the repeat loop is shown Figure 1.110, in which two 8 -bit registers are added to yield a sum of eight bits. Register reg_a is initialized to a value of twenty-four; reg_ $b$ is initialized to a value of two. The add operation is repeated eight times and register $b$ is incremented by one after each add operation. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.111.

```
//example of the repeat keyword
module add_regs_repeat;
reg [7:0] reg_a, reg_b, sum;
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.110 Design module for the repeat loop.

```
initial
begin
    reg_a = 8'b0001_1000;
    reg_b = 8'b0000_0010;
    repeat (8)
    begin
        sum = reg_a + reg_b;
        $display ("reg_a=%b, reg_b=%b, sum=%b",
            reg_a, reg_b, sum);
        reg_b = reg_b + 1;
    end
end
endmodule
```

Figure $1.110 \quad$ (Continued)

```
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000010, sum=00011010
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000011, sum=00011011
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000100, sum=00011100
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000101, sum=00011101
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000110, sum=00011110
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00000111, sum=00011111
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00001000, sum=00100000
reg_a=00011000, reg_b=00001001, sum=00100001
```

Figure 1.111 Outputs for the repeat loop.

Forever loop The forever loop executes the procedural statement continuously until the system tasks \$finish or \$stop are encountered. It can also be terminated by the disable statement. The disable statement is a procedural statement; therefore, it must be used within an initial or an always block. It is used to prematurely terminate a block of procedural statements or a system task. When a disable statement is executed, control is transferred to the statement immediately following the procedural block or task.

The forever loop is similar to a while loop in which the expression always evaluates to true (1). A timing control must be used with the forever loop; otherwise, the simulator would execute the procedural statement continuously without advancing the simulation time. The syntax of the forever loop is as follows:

## forever

procedural statement

The forever statement is typically used for clock generation as shown in Figure 1.112 together with the system task \$finish. The variable clk will toggle every 10 time units for a period of 20 time units. The length of simulation is 100 time units.

```
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define length of simulation
initial
    #100 $finish;
```

Figure 1.112 Clock generation using the forever statement.

### 1.7.10 Logical, Algebraic, and Rotate Shift Operations

Shift registers that perform the operations of shift left logical (SLL), shift left algebraic (SLA), shift right logical (SRL), shift right algebraic (SRA), rotate left (ROL), and rotate right (ROR) will be presented in this section.

Shift left logical (SLL) The logical shift operations are much simpler to implement than the arithmetic (algebraic) shift operations. For SLL, the high-order bit of the unsigned operand is shifted out of the left end of the shifter for each shift cycle. Zeroes are entered from the right and fill the vacated low-order bit positions.

Shift left algebraic (SLA) SLA operates on signed operands in 2s complement representation for radix 2 . The numeric part of the operand is shifted left the number of bit positions specified in the shift count field. The sign remains unchanged and does not participate in the shift operation. All remaining bits participate in the left shift. Bits are shifted out of the high-order numeric position. Zeroes are entered from the right and fill the vacated low-order bit positions.

Shift right logical (SRL) For SRL, the low-order bit of the unsigned operand is shifted out of the right end of the shifter for each shift cycle. Zeroes are entered from the left and fill the vacated high-order bit positions.

Shift right algebraic (SRA) The numeric part of the signed operand is shifted right the number of bits specified by the shift count. The sign of the operand remains unchanged. All numeric bits participate in the right shift. The sign bit propagates right to fill in the vacated high-order numeric bit positions.

Rotate left (ROL) Rotate operations execute on unsigned operands. The ROL operation shifts the operand left one bit position and the high-order bit is then rotated into the low-order bit position.

Rotate right (ROR) The ROR operation shifts the operand right one bit position and the low-order bit is then rotated into the high-order bit position.

Example 1.25 Figure 1.113 shows the design module to illustrate utilizing the six shift and rotate operations described above. Behavioral modeling is used in conjunction with the case statement. Figure 1.114 shows the test bench module and Figure 1.115 shows the outputs.

```
//behavioral shift rotate
module shift_rotate (a, opcode, result);
//list inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a;
input [2:0] opcode;
output [7:0] result;
//specify wire for input and reg for output
wire [7:0] a;
wire [2:0] opcode;
reg [7:0] result;
//define the opcodes
parameter sra_op = 3'b000,
    srl_op = 3'b001,
    sla_op = 3'b010,
    sll_op = 3'b011,
    ror_op = 3'b100,
    rol_op = 3'b101;
//execute the operations
always @ (a or opcode)
begin
    case (opcode)
        sra_op : result = {a[7], a[7], a[6], a[5],
            a[4], a[3], a[2], a[1]};
        srl_op : result = a >> 1;
        sla_op : result = {a[7], a[5], a[4], a[3],
                        a[2], a[1], a[0], 1'b0};
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.113 Design module for SLL, SLA, SRL, SRA, ROL, and ROR.

```
    sll_op : result = a << 1;
    ror_op : result = {a[0], a[7], a[6], a[5],
                                a[4], a[3], a[2], a[1]};
    rol_op : result = {a[6], a[5], a[4], a[3],
        a[2], a[1], a[0], a[7]};
        default : result = 0;
    endcase
end
endmodule
```

Figure 1.113 (Continued)

```
//test bench for shift rotate module
module shift_rotate_tb;
reg [7:0] a; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg [2:0] opcode;
wire [7:0] result; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a=%b, opcode=%b, rslt=%.b",
    a, opcode, result);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//sra op
    #10 a = 8'b1000_1110; opcode = 3'b000;
                                    //result = 11000111
    #10 a = 8'b0110_1111; opcode = 3'b000;
                                //result = 00110111
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1110; opcode = 3'b000;
                                    //result = 11111111
//srl op
        #10 a = 8'b1111_0011; opcode = 3'b001;
                                //result = 01111001
        #10 a = 8'b0111_1011; opcode = 3'b001;
                                //result = 00111101
        #10 a = 8'b1000_1110; opcode = 3'b001;
                                    //result = 01000111
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.114 Test bench module for SLL, SLA, SRL, SRA, ROL, and ROR.

```
//sla op
    #10 a = 8'b1000_1111; opcode = 3'b010;
        //result = 10011110
    #10 a = 8'b1110_1111; opcode = 3'b010;
        //result = 11011110
        opcode = 3'b010;
        //result = 11100000
//sll op
    #10 a = 8'b0111_0111; opcode = 3'b011;
        //result = 11101110
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0011; opcode = 3'b011;
    //result = 11000110
    opcode = 3'b011;
        //result = 11111110
//ror op
    #10 a = 8'b0101_0101; opcode = 3'b100;
    //result = 10101010
    #10 a = 8'b0101_1101; opcode = 3'b100;
    //result = 10101110
    opcode = 3'b100;
    //result = 01111111
//rol op
    #10 a = 8'b0101_0101; opcode = 3'b101;
//result = 10101010
    #10 a = 8'b0101_0111; opcode = 3'b101;
//result = 10101110
    #10 a = 8'b0000_0001; opcode = 3'b101;
                                    //result = 00000010
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
shift_rotate inst1 (
    .a(a),
    .opcode(opcode),
    .result(result)
    );
```


## endmodule

Figure 1.114 (Continued)

```
a=10001110, opcode=000, rslt=11000111 //sra
a=01101111, opcode=000, rslt=00110111
a=11111110, opcode=000, rslt=11111111
a=11110011, opcode=001, rslt=01111001 //srl
a=01111011, opcode=001, rslt=00111101
a=10001110, opcode=001, rslt=01000111
a=10001111, opcode=010, rslt=10011110 //sla
a=11101111, opcode=010, rslt=11011110
a=11110000, opcode=010, rslt=11100000
a=01110111, opcode=011, rslt=11101110 //sll
a=01100011, opcode=011, rslt=11000110
a=11111111, opcode=011, rslt=11111110
a=01010101, opcode=100, rslt=10101010 //ror
a=01011101, opcode=100, rslt=10101110
a=11111110, opcode=100, rslt=01111111
a=01010101, opcode=101, rslt=10101010 //rol
a=01010111, opcode=101, rslt=10101110
a=00000001, opcode=101, rslt=00000010
```

Figure 1.115 Outputs for SLL, SLA, SRL, SRA, ROL, and ROR.

### 1.8 Structural Modeling

Structural modeling consists of instantiating one or more of the following design objects:

- Built-in primitives
- User-defined primitives (UDPs)
- Design modules

Instantiation means to use one or more lower-level modules - including logic primitives - that are interconnected in the construction of a higher-level structural module. A module can be a logic gate, an adder, a multiplexer, a counter, or some other logical function. The objects that are instantiated are called instances. Structural modeling is described by the interconnection of these lower-level logic primitives or modules. The interconnections are made by wires that connect primitive terminals or module ports.

### 1.8.1 Module Instantiation

Design modules are instantiated into test bench modules. The ports of the design module are instantiated by name and connected to the corresponding net names of the test bench. Each named instantiation can be of the following form:

```
.design_module_port_name (test_bench_module_net_name)
```

An example is shown below from Figure 1.114 of the previous section for each individual port:

```
shift_rotate inst1 (
    .a(a),
    .opcode(opcode),
    .result(result)
    ) ;
```

The instantiation can also be of the following form, which instantiates all the ports in a single line, as shown below.

```
shift_rotate inst1 (a, opcode, result);
```

Design module ports can be instantiated by name explicitly or by position. Modules cannot be nested, but they can be instantiated into other modules. Structural modeling is analogous to placing the instances on a logic diagram and then connecting them by wires. When instantiating built-in primitives, an instance name is optional; however, when instantiating a module, an instance name must be used. Instances that are instantiated into a structural module are connected by nets of type wire.

A structural module may contain behavioral statements (always), continuous assignment statements (assign), built-in primitives (and, or, nand, nor, etc.), UDPs ( $т$ ux4, half_adder, adder4, etc.), design modules, or any combination of these objects. Design modules can be instantiated into a higher-level structural module in order to achieve a hierarchical design.

Each module in Verilog is either a top-level (higher-level) module or an instantiated module. There is only one top-level module and it is not instantiated anywhere else in the design project. Instantiated primitives or modules, however, can be instantiated many times into a top-level module and each instance of a module is unique.

### 1.8.2 Ports

Ports provide a means for the module to communicate with its external environment. Ports, also referred to as terminals, can be declared as input, output, or inout. A port is a net by default; however, it can be declared explicitly as a net. A module contains an optional list of ports, as shown below for a full adder.
module full_adder ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{cin}$, sum, cout);
Ports $a, b$, and cin are input ports; ports sum and cout are output ports. The test bench for the full adder contains no ports as shown below because it does not communicate with the external environment.
module full_adder_tb;

Input ports Input ports allow signals to enter the module from external sources. The width of the input port is declared within the module. The size of the input port can be declared as either a scalar such as $a, b$, cin or as a vector such as [3:0] $a, b$, where $a$ and $b$ are the augend and addend inputs, respectively, of a 4-bit adder. The format of the declarations shown below is the same for both behavioral and structural modeling. The input ports are declared as type reg for test benches with a specified width, either scalar or vector.

| input $[3: 0]$ a, $b ;$ <br> input cin; | //declared as 4-bit vectors <br> //declared as a scalar |
| :--- | :--- |
| reg [3:0] a, b; <br> reg cin; | //inputs are reg for test benches |

Output ports Output ports are those that allow signals to exit the module to external destinations. The width of the output port is declared within the module. The output ports are declared as type wire for test benches with a specified width, either scalar or vector. The format for output ports is shown below.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { output }[3: 0] \text { sum; } \\
\text { output cout; }\end{array}
$$ \& //declared as 4-bit vectors <br>

//declared as a scalar\end{array}\right]\)| wire $[3: 0]$ sum; | //outputs are wire for test benches |
| :--- | :--- |
| wire cout; |  |

Inout ports An inout port is bidirectional - it transfers signals to and from the module depending on the value of a direction control signal. Ports of type inout are declared internally as type wire; externally, they connect to nets of type wire. Since port declarations are implicitly declared as type wire, it is not necessary to explicitly declare a port as wire. However, an output can also be redeclared as a reg type variable if it is used within an always statement or an initial statement.

Port connection rules A port is an entry into a module from an external source. It connects the external unit to the internal logic of the module. When a module is
instantiated within another module, certain rules apply. An error message is indicated if the port connection rules are not followed.

Input ports must always be of type wire (net) internally except for test benches; externally, input ports can be reg or wire. Output ports can be of type reg or wire internally; externally, output ports must always be connected to a wire. The input port names can be different, but the net (wire) names connecting the input ports must be the same. When making intermodule port connections, it is permissible to connect ports of different widths. Port width matching occurs by right justification or truncation.

### 1.8.3 Design Examples

Examples will be presented in this section that illustrate the structural modeling technique for combinational logic. These examples include logic equations, a binary-to excess- 3 code converter, an adder, and a comparator. Each example will be completely designed in detail and will include appropriate theory where applicable.

Example 1.26 A combinational logic circuit will be designed using structural modeling that will implement the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation will first be minimized. This can be achieved either by utilizing Boolean algebra or by a Karnaugh map. A Karnaugh map will be used in this example and is shown in Figure 1.116.

| $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8_{4} \\ & 00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 |  |  | $0^{3}$ | $1^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $0^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $0^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $1^{10}$ |
| $z_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1.116 Karnaugh map for Equation 1.18.

Equation 1.18 is minimized as shown below in Equation 1.19.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.117 showing the instantiated logic gates and the net names. The Verilog design module for Equation 1.19 will use the following modules that were designed using dataflow modeling: one two-input AND gate shown in Figure 1.118; two three-input AND gates of the type shown in Figure 1.119; one four-input AND gate shown in Figure 1.120; and one four-input OR gate shown in Figure 1.121


Figure 1.117 Logic diagram for Equation 1.19.

```
//dataflow 2-input and gate
module and2_df (x1, x2, z1);
//list inputs and output
input x1, x2;
output z1;
//define signals as wire for dataflow
wire x1, x2;
wire z1;
//continuous assign for dataflow
assign z1 = x1 & x2;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.118 Two-input AND gate designed using dataflow modeling.

```
//and3 dataflow
module and3_df (x1, x2, x3, z1);
//list inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3;
output z1;
//define signals as wire for dataflow
wire x1, x2, x3;
wire zl;
//continuous assign for dataflow
assign z1 = x1 & x2 & x3;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.119 Three-input AND gate designed using dataflow modeling.

```
//dataflow 4-input and gate
module and4_df (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//list all inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//define signals as wire (optional
wire x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//continuous assign used for dataflow
assign z1 = (x1 & x2 & x3 & x4);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.120 Four-input AND gate designed using dataflow modeling.

```
//dataflow or4
module or4_df (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//list all inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//define signals as wire (optional
wire x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire zl;
//continuous assign used for dataflow
assign z1 = x1 | x2 | x3 | x4;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.121 Four-input OR gate designed using dataflow modeling.

Equation 1.19 will now be designed using structural modeling by instantiating the logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. The design module is shown in Figure 1.122. The test bench module is shown in Figure 1.123 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.124. The 1s in the outputs are in the same location as the 1s in the minterm locations of the Karnaugh map of Figure 1.16.

```
//structural for the following logic equation
//z1 = x1'x4' + x1'x2x3' + x2'x3x4' + x1x2'x3'x4
module logic_equation (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//list inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net, net2, net3, net4;
//instantiate the logic gates
and2_df inst1 (
    . x1 (~x1),
    .x2(~x4),
    .z1(net1)
        );
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.122 Design module for Equation 1.19.

```
and3_df inst2 (
    . x1 (~x1),
    .x2(x2),
    .x3(~x3),
    .z1(net2)
    );
and3_df inst3 (
.x1(~x2),
    . x2 (x3),
    .x3(~x4),
    .z1(net3)
    );
and4_df inst4 (
    .x1(x1),
    .x2(~x2),
    .x3(~x3),
    .x4(x4),
    .z1(net4)
    );
or4_df inst5 (
    .x1(net1),
    .x2(net2),
    .x3(net3),
    .x4(net4),
    .z1(z1)
    ) ;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.122 (Continued)

```
//test bench for logic equation
module logic_equation_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.123 Test bench module for Equation 1.19.

```
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
            #10 $display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
                                {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
logic_equation inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.123 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 0
```

Figure 1.124 Outputs for Equation 1.19.

Example 1.27 An equation will be obtained for a logic circuit that will generate a logic 1 on output $z_{1}$ if a 4-bit unsigned binary number $N=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ satisfies the following criteria, where $x_{4}$ is the low-order bit:

$$
3<N \leq 7 \text { or } 12 \leq N<15
$$

Built-in primitive gates will be used for the design module. Then the test bench will be generated and the outputs displayed. The equation will be obtained using the Karnaugh map shown in Figure 1.125, which was created from the number range for the variable $N$. The equation for $z_{1}$ is shown in Equation 1.20.

|  | $00$ | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $00$ |  |  | $0^{3}$ | $0^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $1^{7}$ | $1^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $1^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1{ }^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | $0{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $0^{10}$ |
| $z_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1.125 Karnaugh map for Example 1.27.

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & =x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{4}{ }^{\prime} \\
& =x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 1.126 showing the instantiation names and the net names. The design module is shown in Figure 1.127. The test bench module is shown in Figure 1.128 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.129.


Figure 1.126 Logic diagram for Example 1.27 using Equation 1.20.

```
//structural for a number in the following range
//x2(x1' + x3' + x4')
module number_range (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4; //list inputs and output
output z1;
or inst1 (net1, ~x1, ~x3, ~x4);
and inst2 (z1, x2, net1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.127 Design module for Example 1.27.

```
//test bench for number_range
module number_range_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
            #10 $display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
                                    {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
number_range inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 1.128 Test bench module for Example 1.27.

```
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 0
```

Figure 1.129 Outputs for Example 1.27.

Example 1.28 This example converts a 4-bit binary number to a 4-bit excess-3 number. The binary and excess- 3 codes are shown in Table 1.16, where the binary bit $x_{4}$ and the excess- 3 bit $z_{4}$ are the low-order bits of their respective codes. When the number three is added to the binary number $1101\left(13_{10}\right)$, the result exceeds four bits 10000 $\left(16_{10}\right)$, where the high-order bit represents a carry out. The same is true for the binary numbers 1110 and 1111, which yield $10001\left(17_{10}\right)$ and $10010\left(18_{10}\right)$, respectively.

## Table 1.16 Binary-to-Excess-3 Code Conversion

| Binary Code |  |  |  | Excess-3 Code |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

The Karnaugh maps that indicate the conversion from binary to excess- 3 are shown in Figure 1.130, as obtained from Table 1.16. The equations are shown in Equation 1.22.

| $x_{3} x_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1} x_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| $00$ | $0^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ |  |
| 01 | $0{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $1{ }^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $0^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $1{ }^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |

Figure 1.130 Karnaugh maps for Example 1.28.

(Continued on next page)

|  | $00$ | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 |  |  | $1^{3}$ | $0^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $0^{5}$ | $1^{7}$ | $0^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $1^{15}$ | $0^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $0{ }^{9}$ | $1^{11}$ | $0^{10}$ |
| $z_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |


| $x_{1} x_{2}$$00$ | $00$ | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $0^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $0^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $0^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $1^{10}$ |

Figure 1.130 (Continued)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { net1 } \begin{array}{c}
\text { net2 }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { net3 }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { net4 } \\
z_{1}=
\end{array} \\
\hline x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{z_{2}=x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}}{\operatorname{net} 10} \\
& z_{3}=x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3} x_{4}=\left(x_{3} \dot{Y} x_{4}\right)^{\prime} \\
& z_{4}=x_{4}{ }^{\prime} \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The design module to convert from the binary code to the excess- 3 code using dataflow modeling is shown in Figure 1.131. The test bench is shown in Figure 1.132 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.133.

```
//structural binary-to-excess-3
module binary_to_excess3 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2, z3, z4);
//list inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8, net9,
    net10; //continued on next page
```

Figure 1.131 Design module for the binary-to-excess-3 code converter.

```
//-------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z1
and3_df inst1 (~x1, x2, x3, net1);
and3_df inst2 (~x1, x2, x4, net2);
and3_df inst3 (x1, ~x3, ~x4, net3);
and2_df inst4 (x1, ~x2, net4);
or4_df inst5 (net1, net2, net3, net4, z1);
//-------------------------------------
and3_df inst6 (x2, ~x3, ~x4, net6);
and2_df inst7 (~x2, x3, net7);
and2_df inst8 (~x2, x4, net8);
or3_df inst9 (net6, net7, net8, z2);
//------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z3
xnor2_df inst10 (x3, x4, z3);
//------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z4
assign z4 = ~ x4;
endmodule
```

Figure 1.131 (Continued)

```
//test bench for binary-to-excess3
module binary_to_excess3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;

Figure 1.132 Test bench module for the binary-to-excess-3 code converter.
```

//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 z2 z3 z4 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, {z1, z2, z3, z4});
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
binary_to_excess3 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.132 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0011
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0100
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0101
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0110
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0111
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1000
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1001
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1010
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1011
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1100
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1101
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1110
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1111
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0001
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0010

```

Figure 1.133 Outputs for the binary-to-excess-3 code converter.

Example 1.29 This example designs an adder that adds two 4-bit operands using four full adders. A full adder is a combinational circuit that adds two operand bits plus a carry-in bit. The carry-in bit represents the carry-out of the previous lower-order stage. A full adder produces two outputs: sum and carry-out.

The truth table for a full adder is shown in Table 1.17. Operand \(a_{i}\) represents the augend and operand \(b_{i}\) represents the addend. The corresponding equations for the sum and carry-out are listed in Equation 1.23 as obtained directly from the truth table. A block diagram for a full adder for any stage is shown in Figure 1.134, where the inputs are the augend \(a_{i}\), the addend \(b_{i}\), and the carry-in from the previous lower-order stage \(\operatorname{cin}_{i-1}\). The outputs are the sum, sum \(_{i}\), and the carry-out, cout \({ }_{i}\).

\section*{Table 1.17 Truth Table for a Full Adder}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline\(a_{i}\) & \(b_{i}\) & cin \(_{i-1}\) & cout \(_{i}\) & sum \(_{i}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{align*}
\text { sum }_{i} & =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}+a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}^{\prime}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}^{\prime}+a_{i} b_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1} \\
& =a_{i} \oplus b_{i} \oplus \operatorname{cin}_{i-1} \\
\text { cout }_{i} & =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}^{\prime}+a_{i} b_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1} \\
& =a_{i} b_{i}+\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right) \operatorname{cin}_{i-1} \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 1.134 Block diagram for a full adder.

The design module for the full adder is shown in Figure 1.135 using dataflow modeling. This module is then instantiated four times into the structural design module of Figure 1.136. The test bench module is shown in Figure 1.137 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.138.
```

//dataflow full adder
module full_adder (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//list all inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//define wires
wire a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
//continuous assign
assign sum = (a ^ b) ^ cin;
assign cout = cin \& (a ^ b) | (a \& b);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.135 Dataflow design module for a full adder.
```

//structural 4_bit ripple-carry counter
module adder4_struc (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
//define internal nets for carries
wire [3:0] c;
assign cout = c[3];
full_adder inst0 (a[0], b[0], cin, sum[0], c[0]);
full_adder inst1 (a[1], b[1], c[0], sum[1], c[1]);
full_adder inst2 (a[2], b[2], c[1], sum[2], c[2]);
full_adder inst3 (a[3], b[3], c[2], sum[3], c[3]);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.136 Structural design module for the 4-bit adder.
```

//test bench for 4-bit ripple-carry adder
module adder4_struc_tb;
//define inputs and outputs
//inputs are reg for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
//outputs are wire for test bench
wire [3:0] sum;
wire cout;
initial
\$monitor ("a=%.b, b= %b, cin=%b, cout=%.b, sum=%.b",
a, b, cin, cout, sum);
initial
begin
\#0 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b0010; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b0100; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b0100; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b0010; b = 4'b1111; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b0101; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1100; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1101; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1110; cin = 1'b0;
\#10a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111; cin = 1'b1;
\#10a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111; cin = 1'b1;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
adder4_struc inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.137 Test bench module for the structural 4-bit adder.
```

a=0001, b=0001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0010
a=0010, b=0001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=0100
a=0100, b=0010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0110
a=0000, b=0111, cin=1, cout=0, sum=1000
a=1000, b=0001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=1010
a=0100, b=1000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=1100
a=0111, b=0110, cin=1, cout=0, sum=1110
a=1000, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, sum=0000
a=0010, b=1111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=0010
a=1111, b=0101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=0100
a=1110, b=0111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=0110
a=1100, b=1100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=1000
a=1100, b=1101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=1010
a=1110, b=1110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=1100
a=1110, b=1111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=1110
a=1111, b=1111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=1111

```

Figure 1.138 Outputs for the structural 4-bit adder.

Example 1.30 This example designs a 3-bit comparator using structural modeling for the following operands:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=a_{2} a_{1} a_{0} \\
& B=b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(a_{0}\) and \(b_{0}\) are the low-order bits of \(A\) and \(B\), respectively. The following outputs will be used:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& a-l t-b \text { indicating } A<B \\
& a \_e q-b \text { indicating } A=B \\
& a \_g t \_b \text { indicating } A>B
\end{aligned}
\]

The equations for the comparator are shown below.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A<B)=a_{2}^{\prime} b_{2}+\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime} a_{1} b_{1}+\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime} a_{0} b_{0} b_{0} \\
& (A=B)=\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{0} \oplus b_{0}\right)^{\prime} \\
& (A>B)=a_{2} b_{2}^{\prime}+\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime} a_{1} b_{1}^{\prime}+\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime} a_{0} b_{0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]

Referring to the equation for \((A<B)\), the term \(a[2]^{\prime} b[2]\) indicates that if the highorder bits of \(a\) and \(b\) are 0 and 1 , respectively, then \(a\) must be less than \(b\). If the highorder bits of \(a\) and \(b\) are equal, then the relative magnitude of \(a\) and \(b\) depends upon the next lower-order bits \(a[1]\) and \(b[1]\). This is indicated by the second term of the equation for \((A<B)\).

The structural module instantiates the following dataflow modules: and2_df, \(x n o r 2 \_d f\), and3_df, and4_df, and or3_df. The structural design module is shown in Figure 1.139, test bench module is shown in Figure 1.140, and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.141. The test bench applies 12 sets of inputs to demonstrate the relative magnitude of the two operands.
```

//structural 3-bit comparator
module comp3_bit_struc (a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b, a_gt_b);
//define inputs and outputs
input [2:0] a, b;
output a_lt_b, a_eq_b, a_gt_b;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net7, net9, net10, net11;
//---------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for a_lt_b
and2_df inst1 (~a[2], b[2], net1);
xnor2_df inst2 (a[2], b[2], net2);
xnor2_df inst3 (a[1], b[1], net3);
and3_df inst4 (net2, ~a[1], b[1], net4);
and4_df inst5 (net2, net3, ~a[0], b[0], net5);
or3_df inst6 (net1, net4, net5, a_lt_b);
//-
//instantiate the logic for a_eq_b
xnor2_df inst7 (a[0], b[0], net7);
and3_df inst8 (net2, net3, net7, a_eq_b);
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for a_gt_b
and2_df inst9 (a[2], ~b[2], net9);
and3_df inst10 (net2, a[1], ~b[1], net10);
and4_df inst11 (net2, net3, a[0], ~b[0], net11);
or3_df inst12 (net9, net10, net11, a_gt_b);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.139 Structural design module for the 3-bit comparator.
```

//test bench for structural 3-bit comparator
module comp3_bit_struc_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [2:0] a, b;
wire a_lt_b, a_eq_b, a_gt_b;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
\$monitor ("a=%b, b=%b, a_lt_b=%.b, a_eq_b=%b, a_gt_b=%b",
a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b, a_gt_b);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//a_lt_b
\#0 a=3'b001; b=3'b010;
\#10 a=3'b010; b=3'b100;
\#10 a=3'b110; b=3'b111;
\#10 a=3'b100; b=3'b110;
//a_eq_b (10 a=3'b000; b=3'b000;
\#10 a=3'b010; b=3'b010;
\#10 a=3'b111; b=3'b111;
\#10 a=3'b011; b=3'b011;
//a_gt_b
\#10 a=3'b001; b=3'b000;
\#10 a=3'b011; b=3'b010;
\#10 a=3'b101; b=3'b011;
\#10 a=3'b111; b=3'b110;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
comp3_bit_struc inst1 (a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b, a_gt_b);
endmodule

```

Figure 1.140 Test bench module for the 3-bit comparator.
```

a=001, b=010, a_lt_b=1, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=0
a=010, b=100, a_lt_b=1, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=0
a=110, b=111, a_lt_b=1, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b b=0
a=100, b=110, a_lt_b=1, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=0
a=000, b=000, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=1, a_gt_b=0
a=010, b=010, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=1, a_gt_b b=0
a=111, b=111, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=1, a_gt_b=0
a=011, b=011, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=1, a_gt_b=0
a=001, b=000, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=1
a=011, b=010, a_lt_b=0, a_eq__b=0, a_gt_b=1
a=101, b=011, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=1
a=111, b=110, a_lt_b=0, a_eq_b=0, a_gt_b=1

```

Figure 1.141 Outputs for the 3-bit comparator.

\subsection*{1.9 Tasks and Functions}

Verilog provides tasks and functions that are similar to procedures or subroutines found in other programming languages. These constructs allow a behavioral module to be partitioned into smaller segments. Tasks and functions permit modules to execute common code segments that are written once then called when required, thus reducing the amount of code needed. They enhance the readability and maintainability of the Verilog modules.

Tasks and functions are defined within a module and are local to the module. They can be invoked only from a behavioral construct within the module. That is, they are called from an always block, an initial block, or from other tasks or functions. A function can invoke another function, but not a task. A function must have at least one input argument, but does not have output or inout arguments. The task and function arguments can be considered as the ports of the constructs; however, these ports do connect to the external environment.

A task cannot be invoked from a continuous assignment statement and does not return values to an expression, but places the values on the output or inout ports. Tasks can contain delays, timing, or event control statements and can execute in nonzero simulation time when event control is applied. A task can invoke other tasks and functions and can have arguments of type input, output, or inout.

\subsection*{1.9.1 Task Declaration}

A task is delimited by the keywords task and endtask. The syntax for a task declaration is as follows:
```

task task_name
input arguments
output arguments
inout arguments
task declarations
local variable declarations
begin
statements
end
endtask

```

Arguments (or parameters) that are of type input or inout are processed by the task statements; arguments that are of type output or inout, resulting from the task construct, are passed back to the task invocation statement - the statement that called the task. The keywords input, output, and inout are not ports of the module, they are ports used to pass values between the task invocation statement and the task construct. Additional local variables can be declared within a task, if necessary. Since tasks cannot be synthesized, they are used only in test benches. When a task completes execution, control is passed to the next statement in the module.

\subsection*{1.9.2 Task Invocation}

A task can be invoked (or called) from a procedural statement; therefore, it must appear within an always or an initial block. A task can call itself or be invoked by tasks that it has called. The syntax for a task invocation is as follows, where the expressions are parameters passed to the task:
\[
\text { task_name (expression } 1 \text {, expression } 2, \ldots \text {, expression } n \text { ); }
\]

Values for arguments of type output and inout are passed back to the variables in the task invocation statement upon completion of the task. The list of arguments in the task invocation must match the order of input, output, and inout variables in the task declaration. The output and inout arguments must be of type reg because a task invocation is a procedural statement.

Example 1.31 A task module will be generated that performs both arithmetic and logical operations. There are three inputs: \(a[7: 0], b[7: 0]\), and \(c[7: 0]\), where \(a[0]\), \(b[0]\), and \(c[0]\) are the low-order bits of \(a, b\), and \(c\), respectively. There are four outputs: \(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\), and \(z_{4}\) that perform the operations shown below.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=(b+c) \mid(a) \\
& z_{2}=(a \& c)+(b) \\
& z_{3}=(\sim a+c) \&(b) \\
& z_{4}=(b \mid c) \&(a)
\end{aligned}
\]

Figure 1.42 is a block diagram of the module in which the task is embedded. Notice that there are no ports in the module to the external environment. The only ports are in the task which passes variables to the task declaration as input ports, as shown below and ports the pass the results back to the task invocation as output ports, as shown below.
```

input [7:0] a, b, c;
output [7:0] z1, z2, z3, z4;

```


Figure 1.142 Block diagram of the task module of Example 1.31.

The task module is shown in Figure 1.143 in which no ports are listed in the module definition. The first set of variables passed to the task declaration called calc by the task invocation are shown below as variables \(a, b\), and \(c\). The variables \(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\), and \(z_{4}\) are the results that are passed back to the task invocation.
```

a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b0011_1111; c = 8'b0001_1101;
calc (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);

```

The outputs are shown in Figure 1.144. The module declares 8-bit register vectors [7:0] \(a,[7: 0] b\), and [7:0] c. These are redeclared in the task. Output \(z_{1}\) adds operands \(b\) and \(c\) and then performs a bitwise logical OR operation on the sum with operand \(a\).
```

//module to illustrate a task
module task_log_arith;
//define input and output ports
reg [7:0] a, b, c; //input ports
reg [7:0] z1, z2, z3, z4; //output ports
initial
begin
a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b0011_1111;
c = 8'b0001_1101;
calc (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
a = 8'b1111_1010; b = 8'b0011_1100;
c = 8'b1000_1001;
calc (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
a = 8'b0011_1110; b = 8'b0101_1101;
c = 8'b1110_0001;
calc (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
a = 8'b0100_1011; b = 8'b1001_1101;
c = 8'b1111_0011;
calc (a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
end
task calc;
input [7:0] a, b, c;
output [7:0] z1, z2, z3, z4;
begin
z1 = (b + c) | (a);
z2 = (a \& c) + (b);
z3 = (~a + c) \& (b);
z4 = (b | c) \& (a);
\$display ("a = %b, b = %b, c = %b,
z1 = %b, z2 = %b, z3 = %b, z4 = %b",
a, b, c, z1, z2, z3, z4);
end
endtask
endmodule

```

Figure 1.143 Task design module for Example 1.31.
```

a = 11111111, b = 00111111, c = 00011101,
z1 = 11111111, z2 = 01011100, z3 = 00011101, z4 = 00111111
a}=11111010, b= 00111100, c = 10001001,
z1 = 11111111, z2 = 11000100, z3 = 00001100, z4 = 10111000
a = 00111110, b = 01011101, c = 11100001,
z1 = 00111110, z2 = 01111101, z3 = 00000000, z4 = 00111100
a = 01001011, b = 10011101, c = 11110011,
z1 = 11011011, z2 = 11100000, z3 = 10000101, z4 = 01001011

```

Figure 1.144 Outputs for the task module of Figure 1.143.

Example 1.32 A module will be designed that contains a task to count the number of 1 s in an 8 -bit register reg_a. The task returns the number of 1 s to a 4 -bit register count. The task module is shown in Figure 1.145 in which no ports are listed in the module definition. The first variable passed to the task declaration called ctr by the task invocation is reg_a \(=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0000 \_0000\). The variable count is the result that is passed back to the task invocation. The outputs are shown in Figure 1.146.
```

//module to illustrate a task to count the number of 1s
module task_count1s_2;
//define task ports
reg [7:0] reg_a; //input ports
reg [3:0] count; //output ports
initial
begin
reg_a = 8'b0000_0000; }\quad\mathrm{ //no 1s

```

Figure 1.145 Task design module to count the number of 1s in a register.
```

    reg_a = 8'b1011_1111; //seven 1s
    ctr (reg_a, count); //invoke the task
    reg_a = 8'b1111_1111; //eight 1s
    ctr (reg_a, count); //invoke the task
    end
task ctr;
input [7:0] reg_a;
output [3:0] count;
begin
count = 0;
while (reg_a)
begin
count = count + reg_a[0];
reg_a = reg_a >> 1;
end
\$display ("count = %d", count);
end
endtask
endmodule

```

Figure 1.145 (Continued)
```

count = 0
count = 5
count = 4
count = 6
count = 7
count = 8

```

Figure 1.146 Outputs for the task module that counts the number of 1 s .

\subsection*{1.9.3 Function Declaration}

Functions are similar to tasks, except that functions return only a single value to the expression from which they are called. Like tasks, functions provide the ability to execute common procedures from within a module. A function can be invoked from a continuous assignment statement or from within a procedural statement and is represented by an operand in an expression.

Functions cannot contain delays, timing, or event control statements and execute in zero simulation time. Although functions can invoke other functions, they are not recursive. Functions cannot invoke a task. Functions must have at least one input argument, but cannot have output or inout arguments.

The syntax for a function declaration is shown below. If the optional range or type is omitted, the value returned to the function invocation is a scalar of type reg. Functions are delimited by the keywords function and endfunction and are used to implement combinational logic; therefore, functions cannot contain event controls or timing controls.
```

function [range or type] function name
input declaration
other declarations
begin
statement
end
endfunction

```

\subsection*{1.9.4 Function Invocation}

A function is invoked from an expression. The function is invoked by specifying the function name together with the input parameters. The syntax is shown below.
\[
\text { function_name (expression 1, expression } 2, \ldots \text {, expression } n \text { ); }
\]

All local registers that are declared within a function are static; that is, they retain their values between invocations of the function. When the function execution is finished, the return value is positioned at the location where the function was invoked. The function module, like tasks, has no ports to communicate with the external environment. The only ports are input ports that receive parameters from the function invocation.

Example 1.33 This example calculates the parity of a 16 -bit register and returns one bit indicating whether there is an even number of 1 s or an odd number of 1 s . If the parity is even, then parity bit \(=1\) is printed; if parity is odd, then parity bit \(=0\) is printed. That is, a 1 is appended to the register contents if there are an even number of 1 s in the register so that all 17 bits contain an odd number of 1 s , otherwise a 0 bit is appended. The function module, like tasks, has no ports listed in the module definition to communicate with the external environment. The only ports are input ports that receive parameters from the function invocation.

Figure 1.147 shows the block diagram of the module \(f\) ftn_ parity with the function calc_parity embedded in the module. The design module is shown in Figure 1.148. The variable contents is declared as a 16-bit register; the variable parity is a scalar register. The statement parity \(=\) calc_parity ( \(16^{\prime}\) b1111_0000_1111_0000) invokes the
function calc_parity and passes the register contents to the function input port [15:0] address. Then the function uses the reduction exclusive-OR operator to determine the parity of the register contents. The parity of the contents is returned to the left-hand side of the parity statement, then the parity bit is displayed. The outputs of the module are shown in Figure 1.149.


Figure 1.147 Block diagram for the function of Example 1.33.
```

//module to illustrate a function
module fctn_parity;
reg [15:0] contents;
reg parity;
initial
begin
parity = calc_parity (16'b1111_0000_1111_0000);
if (parity ==1)
\$display ("parity bit = 0");
else
\$display ("parity bit = 1");
parity = calc_parity (16'b1111_0000_1111_0001);
if (parity ==1)
\$display ("parity bit = 0");
else
\$display ("parity bit = 1");
//continued on next page

```

Figure 1.148 Function design module to determine the parity of a register.
```

    parity = calc_parity (16'b1111_1111_1111_0000);
    if (parity ==1)
        $display ("parity bit = 0");
    else
            $display ("parity bit = 1");
    parity = calc_parity (16'b1111_1111_1111_1110);
    if (parity ==1)
        $display ("parity bit = 0");
    else
            $display ("parity bit = 1");
    end
function calc_parity;
input [15:0] address;
begin
calc_parity = ^address;
end
endfunction
endmodule

```

Figure 1.148 (Continued)
```

parity bit = 1
parity bit = 0
parity bit = 1
parity bit = 0

```

Figure 1.149 Outputs for the function to determine the parity of a register.

Example 1.34 This example repeats the conversion from the binary code to the excess-3 code of Example 1.28, but uses a function to perform the conversion. The binary and excess- 3 codes are reproduced in Table 1.18 for convenience. The excess3 code is a nonweighted code and is obtained by adding three to the 8421 binary code. For example, in Table 1.18 the binary code of 1100 equals \(1100+0011=1111\) in the excess- 3 code. The binary code of 1101 equals \(1101+0011=1 \leftarrow 0000\), which yields a 4-bit excess-3 code of 0000 .

Table 1.18 Binary-to-Excess-3 Code Conversion
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Binary Code } & \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Excess-3 Code } \\
\hline\(x_{1}\) & \(x_{2}\) & \(x_{3}\) & \(x_{4}\) & \(z_{1}\) & \(z_{2}\) & \(z_{3}\) & \(z_{4}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The excess- 3 code is also a self-complementing code in which the 1 s complement of a code word is identical to the 9 s complement of the corresponding 8421 BCD code word in excess- 3 notation, as shown below for the decimal number 4. The function design module is shown in Figure 1.150 and the outputs are shown in Figure 1.151.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\hline Excess-3 BCD code & 8421 BCD code \\
\hline \hline 4 & 4 \\
\(\downarrow\) & \(\downarrow\) \\
0111 & 0100 \\
\(\downarrow 1 \mathrm{~s}\) complement & \(\downarrow 9 \mathrm{~s}\) complement \\
\(\mathbf{1 0 0 0}\) & 0101 \\
& \(\downarrow\) excess-3 \\
& \(\mathbf{1 0 0 0}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

//module to implement a function to convert
//from binary code to excess-3 code
module fctn_excess3a;
reg [7:0] a;
reg [7:0] rslt;
initial
begin
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_0011);
\$display ("binary = 人0000_0011, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0011_0000);
\$display ("binary = 0011_0000, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_1111);
\$display ("binary = 0000_1111, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b1111_1111);
\$display ("binary = 1111_1111, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_0001);
\$display ("binary = 0000_0001, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_0010);
\$display ("binary = 人0000_0010, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_0011);
\$display ("binary = 0000_0011, excess3 = %b", rslt);
rslt = excess3 (8'b0000_0100);
\$display ("binary = 0000_0100, excess3 = %b", rslt);
end
function [7:0] excess3;
input [7:0] a;
reg [7:0] rslt;
begin
rslt = a + 8'b0000_0011;
excess3 = rslt;
end
endfunction
endmodule

```

Figure 1.150 Function design module for the conversion from the binary code to the excess-3 code.
```

binary = 0000_0011, excess3 = 00000110
binary = 0011_0000, excess3 = 00110011
binary = 0000_1111, excess3 = 00010010
binary = 1111_1111, excess3 = 00000010
binary = 0000_0001, excess3 = 00000100
binary = 0000_0010, excess3 = 00000101
binary = 0000_0011, excess3 = 00000110
binary = 0000_0100, excess3 = 00000111

```

Figure 1.151 Outputs for the conversion from the binary code to the excess-3 code.

\subsection*{1.10 Problems}
1.1 Given the equation shown below, obtain the minimized equation for \(z_{1}\) in a product-of-sums notation and implement the equation using NAND gate built-in primitives. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs. Output \(z_{1}\) is asserted high.
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{m}}(1,4,7,9,11,13)+\Sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(5,14,15)
\]
1.2 Obtain the design module using built-in primitives for the equations shown below. Obtain the test bench and outputs.
\[
z_{1}=\left(x_{1} \oplus x_{2}\right) x_{3}^{\prime} \quad z_{2}=\left(x_{1} \oplus x_{2}\right)^{\prime} \oplus x_{3}
\]
1.3 Use AND gate and OR gate built-in primitives to implement a circuit in a sum-of-products form that will generate an output \(z_{1}\) if an input is greater than or equal to 2 and less than 5 ; and also greater than or equal to 12 and less than 15. Then obtain the design module, test bench module, and outputs.
1.4 Obtain the equation for a logic circuit that will generate a logic 1 on output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit unsigned binary number \(N=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\) satisfies the following criteria, where \(x_{4}\) is the low-order bit
\[
2<N \leq 6 \text { or } 11 \leq N<14
\]

Use NOR user-defined primitives. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.5 Obtain the minimal Boolean expression for a logic circuit that generates an output \(z_{1}\) whenever a 4 -bit unsigned binary number \(N\) meets the following requirements:
\(N\) is an odd number or \(N\) is evenly divisible by four.
The format for \(N\) is: \(N=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\), where \(x_{4}\) is the low-order bit. Then obtain the design module using user-defined primitives, the test bench module, and the outputs.
1.6 Design a modulo-8 counter using the \(D\) flip-flop that was designed in the edge-sensitive user-defined primitives section of this chapter. Use additional logic gate UDPs as necessary. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
1.7 Design a comparator using the continuous assignment statement that compares two 2-bit binary operands \(x_{1} x_{2}\) and \(x_{3} x_{4}\) and generates a high output for \(z_{1}\) whenever \(x_{1} x_{2} \leq x_{3} x_{4}\). Design the comparator as a product of sums using NOR logic. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.8 Use the continuous assignment statement to execute the six reduction operators. Use all combinations of a 4-bit operand \(a\) [3:0] for all reduction operators. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.9 Implement the following equation using the conditional operator:
\[
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}<x_{2}\right) ? x_{3}: x_{4}
\]

If \(x_{1}\) is less than \(x_{2}\), then output \(z_{1}\) will be assigned the value of \(x_{3}\), otherwise \(z_{1}\) will be assigned the value of \(x_{4}\). Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.10 Design a 4:1 multiplexer using the conditional operator. The multiplexer inputs are defined as vectors. The select inputs are: select[1:0], the data inputs are: in [3:0], and the output is out. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.11 Use dataflow modeling to illustrate the four relational operators: greater than \((>)\), less than \((<)\), greater than or equal ( \(>=\) ), and less than or equal \((<=)\). Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.12 Use dataflow modeling to illustrate the three logical operators: the binary logical AND operator (\&\&), the binary logical OR \((|\mid)\), and the unary logical negation operator (!). Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.13 Design a five-function logic unit to show the operation of the five bit-wise operators: AND (\&), OR ( \(\mid\) ), negation ( \(\sim\) ), exclusive-OR (^), and exclusive\(\operatorname{NOR}(\wedge \sim\) or \(\sim \wedge)\). There will be three 4-bit operands: \(a, b\), and \(c\) and one result reg variable. Generate the test bench and obtain the outputs for the following operations:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
AND operation & \(=(a \& c) \& b\) \\
OR operation & \(=(a \mid b) \mid c\) \\
negation operation & \(=\sim((a \& b) \mid c)\) \\
exclusive-OR operation & \(=\left(b^{\wedge} a\right)^{\wedge} c\) \\
exclusive-NOR operation & \(=\left(a^{\wedge} \sim b\right)^{\wedge} \sim c\)
\end{tabular}
1.14 Design a binary-to-excess-3 code converter using user-defined primitives of the following types: \(u d p \_a n d 2, u d p \_a n d 3, u d p \_o r 3\), and \(u d p \_o r 4\). The binary code is labelled \(a, b, c, d\), and the excess- 3 code is labelled \(w, x, y, z\), where \(d\) and \(z\) are the low-order bits of their respective codes. Binary codes above 1100 produce an excess 3 code of 0000 . Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.15 Perform left and right shift operations on the following two unsigned 8-bit operands: \(a_{-}\)reg [7:0] and \(b_{-} r e g[7: 0]\). Execute a shift left of four bits on \(a_{-} r e g\) [7:0] and a shift right of three bits on \(b \_r e g ~[7: 0] . ~ O b t a i n ~ t h e ~ d e s i g n ~ m o d u l e, ~\) the test bench module, and outputs.
1.16 Use the conditional statements to design a circuit for the following expression: \(z_{1}=\left(x_{1} \& x_{4}\right)+\left(x_{2} \& x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{2} \sim^{\wedge} x_{4}\right)\). Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.17 Design a modulo-10 counter using conditional statements. The counter counts in the following sequence: \(0000 \ldots 1001,0000\). There are two inputs: clk and rst_n, and one output count. The reset is active low. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.
1.18 Design a module to execute the four logical operations of AND, OR, Exclu-sive-OR, and Exclusive-NOR using the case statement. Obtain the test bench providing four 4-bit vectors for each logical operation and obtain the outputs.
1.19 Design a module to execute the four shift operations of shift left logical (SLL), shift left algebraic (SLA), shift right logical (SRL), and shift right algebraic (SRA) using the case statement. The operands to be shifted are 8 -bit operands. Obtain the test bench providing four shift amounts for each shift operation and obtain the outputs.
1.20 Use the repeat loop to increment an integer count by three. The process is repeated 20 times. The integer count is initialized to zero. Display the output count.
1.21 Design a module using the case statement to rotate an 8-bit operand left and right. Then obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
1.22 Given the Karnaugh map shown below, design a structural module to implement the sum-of-products expression for output \(z_{1}\). Instantiate AND gates and OR gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }^{x_{3}}\) & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{01} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11} & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2} \bigcirc 00\)} & & & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(0^{0}\) & \(0^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(0{ }^{5}\) & \(0{ }^{7}\) & \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(0^{13}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(0{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(1{ }^{9}\) & \(0{ }^{11}\) & \(0{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1.23 Use structural modeling to design a logic circuit to generate an output \(z_{1}\) whenever a 4-bit variable - \(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}-\) has three or more 1s. Implement the module using AND gates and OR gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench and the outputs.
1.24 Design a structural module that will generate an output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit binary number \(x[3: 0]\) has a value that is less than or equal to four or greater than ten. Implement the module using AND gates and OR gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench and the outputs.
1.25 Given the Karnaugh map shown below, design two structural modules to obtain the equation for \(z_{1}\), first as a sum-of-products then as a product-of-sums. Use logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test benches and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
x_{1} x_{2}^{x}
\] & \[
00
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\
\hline 00 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 7 & 6 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(1^{13}\) & 15 & \(1{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline & 8 & 9 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Combinational Logic Design Using Verilog HDL}

This chapter provides techniques for designing combinational logic, including logic equations, multiplexers, decoders, encoders, comparators, programmable logic devices, and additional logic devices. Different design methodologies and modeling constructs of the Verilog hardware description language (HDL) will be utilized. Also included in this chapter are the following topics, which should be familiar to the reader and are briefly reviewed: number systems, number representations, Boolean algebra, and minimization techniques.

Combinational logic refers to logic circuits whose present output values depend only upon the present input values. A combinational circuit is a special case of a sequential circuit in which there is no storage capability. The word combinational is used interchangeably with combinatorial.

A combinational logic circuit is comprised of combinational logic elements (or logic primitives), which have one or more inputs and at least one output. The input and output variables are characterized by discrete states such that, at any instant of time, the state of each output is completely determined by the states of the present inputs. A combinational circuit refers to a logic circuit which performs the same fixed mapping of inputs into outputs, regardless of the past input history and may be considered as a one-state sequential machine.

All designs will be completely designed and carried through to completion nothing is left unfinished or partially designed. The Verilog HDL design examples include the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs obtained from the test bench.

\subsection*{2.1 Number Systems}

Numerical data, or operands, are expressed in various positional number systems for each radix. This section will discuss binary, octal, decimal, and hexadecimal positional number systems. A positional number system is characterized by a radix (or base) \(r\), which is an integer greater than or equal to 2 , and by a set of \(r\) digits, which are numbered from 0 to \(r-1\). For example, for radix 8 , the digits range from 0 to 7 .

In a positional number system, a number is encoded as a vector of \(n\) digits in which each digit is weighted according to its position in the vector. An \(n\)-bit integer \(A\) is represented in a positional number system as follows:
\[
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(a_{n-1} a_{n-2} a_{n-3} \ldots a_{1} a_{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(0 \leq a_{i} \leq r-1\). The high-order and low-order digits are \(a_{n-1}\) and \(a_{0}\), respectively.

\subsection*{2.1.1 Binary Number System}

The radix is 2 in the binary number system; therefore, only two digits are used: 0 and 1. The low-value digit is 0 and the high-value digit is \((r-1)=1\). The binary number system is the most conventional and easily implemented system for internal use in a digital computer; therefore, most digital computers use the binary number system. There is a disadvantage when converting to and from the externally used decimal system; however, this is compensated for by the ease of implementation and the speed of execution in binary of the four basic operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. The radix point is implied within the internal structure of the computer; that is, there is no specific storage element assigned to contain the radix point.

The weight assigned to each position of a binary number is as follows:
\[
2^{n-1} 2^{n-2} \ldots 2^{3} 2^{2} 2^{1} 2^{0} \cdot 2^{-1} 2^{-2} 2^{-3} \ldots 2^{-m}
\]
where the integer and fraction are separated by the radix point (binary point). The decimal value of the binary number \(1101.101_{2}\) is obtained as shown below, where \(r=2\) and \(a_{i} \in\{0,1\}\) for \(-m \leq i \leq n-1\). Therefore,
\[
\left.\begin{array}{rlllllll}
2^{3} & 2^{2} & 2^{1} & 2^{0} \cdot & 2^{-1} 2^{-2} & 2^{-3} \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & 1 & 0 & 1_{2}=
\end{array}\right)\left(1 \times 2^{3}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{2}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{1}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{0}\right)+
\]

\subsection*{2.1.2 Octal Number System}

The radix is 8 in the octal number system; therefore, eight digits are used, 0 through 7. The low-value digit is 0 and the high-value digit is \((r-1)=7\). The weight assigned to each position of an octal number is as follows:
\[
8^{n-1} 8^{n-2} \ldots 8^{3} 8^{2} 8^{1} 8^{0} \cdot 8^{-1} 8^{-2} 8^{-3} \ldots 8^{-m}
\]
where the integer and fraction are separated by the radix point (octal point). The decimal value of the octal number \(217.6_{8}\) is obtained as shown below, where \(r=8\) and \(a_{i} \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}\) for \(-m \leq i \leq n-1\). Therefore,
\[
\begin{array}{rlllll}
8^{2} & 8^{1} & 8^{0} \cdot 8^{-1} \\
2 & 1 & 7 & \cdot & 6_{8} & = \\
& & \left(2 \times 8^{2}\right)+\left(1 \times 8^{1}\right)+\left(7 \times 8^{0}\right)+\left(6 \times 8^{-1}\right) \\
& & 143.75_{10}
\end{array}
\]

When a count of 1 is added to 78 , the sum is zero and a carry of 1 is added to the next higher-order column on the left.

\subsection*{2.1.3 Decimal Number System}

The radix is 10 in the decimal number system; therefore, ten digits are used, 0 through 9. The low-value digit is 0 and the high-value digit is \((r-1)=9\). The weight assigned to each position of a decimal number is as follows:
\[
10^{n-1} 10^{n-2} \ldots 10^{3} 10^{2} 10^{1} 10^{0} \cdot 10^{-1} 10^{-2} 10^{-3} \ldots 10^{-m}
\]
where the integer and fraction are separated by the radix point (decimal point). The value of \(7537_{10}\) is immediately apparent; however, the value is also obtained as shown below, where \(r=10\) and \(a_{i} \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}\) for \(-m \leq i \leq n-1\). That is,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 10^{3} 10^{2} 10^{1} 10^{0} \\
& 6
\end{aligned} 3 \quad 5 \quad 7_{10}=\left(6 \times 10^{3}\right)+\left(3 \times 10^{2}\right)+\left(5 \times 10^{1}\right)+\left(7 \times 10^{0}\right)
\]

When a count of 1 is added to decimal 9 , the sum is zero and a carry of 1 is added to the next higher-order column on the left.

Binary-coded decimal Each decimal digit can be encoded into a corresponding binary number. The highest-valued decimal digit is 9 , which requires four bits in the binary representation. Therefore, four binary digits are required to represent each decimal digit. This is shown below, which lists four decimal digits and indicates the corresponding binary-coded decimal (BCD) digits.

\subsection*{2.1.4 Hexadecimal Number System}

The radix is 16 in the hexadecimal number system; therefore, 16 digits are used, 0 through 9 and A through F, where A, B, C, D, E, and F correspond to decimal 10, 11, \(12,13,14\), and 15 , respectively. The low-value digit is 0 and the high-value digit is \((r-1)=15(\mathrm{~F})\). The weight assigned to each position of a hexadecimal number is as follows:
\[
16^{n-1} 16^{n-2} \ldots 16^{3} 16^{2} 16^{1} 16^{0} \cdot 16^{-1} 16^{-2} 16^{-3} \ldots 16^{-m}
\]
where the integer and fraction are separated by the radix point (hexadecimal point). The decimal value of the hexadecimal number 6A8C.D416 \({ }_{16}\) is obtained as shown below, where \(r=16\) and \(a_{i} \in\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}\}\) for \(-m \leq i \leq n-1\). Therefore,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 16^{3} 16^{2} 16^{1} 16^{0} \cdot \quad 16^{-1} 16^{-2} 16^{-3} 16^{-4} \\
& 6 \text { A } 8 \text { C } \quad \text { D } 4 \quad 1 \quad 6=\left(6 \times 16^{3}\right)+\left(10 \times 16^{2}\right)+\left(8 \times 16^{1}\right) \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&+\left(12 \times 16^{0}\right)+\left(13 \times 16^{-1}\right)+\left(4 \times 16^{-2}\right)+\left(6 \times 16^{-4}\right) \\
&= 27,276.82846_{10}
\end{aligned}
\]

When a count of 1 is added to hexadecimal \(F\), the sum is zero and a carry of 1 is added to the next higher-order column on the left.

\subsection*{2.2 Boolean Algebra}

In 1854, George Boole introduced a systematic treatment of the logic operations AND, OR, and NOT, which is now called Boolean algebra. This section describes the axioms and theorems that characterize "Boolean algebra". The symbols (or operators) used for the algebra and the corresponding function definitions are listed in Table 2.1. The table also includes the exclusive-OR function, which is characterized by the three operations of AND, OR, and NOT.

Table 2.1 Boolean Operators for Variables \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\)
\begin{tabular}{cll}
\hline Operator & Function & Definition \\
\hline \hline\(\cdot\) & AND & \(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\) (Also \(\left.x_{1} x_{2}\right)\) \\
+ & OR & \(x_{1}+x_{2}\) \\
, & NOT (negation) & \(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\) \\
\(\oplus\) & Exclusive-OR & \(\left(x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}\right)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The AND operator, which corresponds to the Boolean product, is also indicated by the symbol " \(\wedge\) " \(\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right)\) or by no symbol if the operation is unambiguous. Thus, \(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\), and \(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\) are all read as " \(x_{1}\) AND \(x_{2}\)." The OR operator, which corresponds to the Boolean sum, is also specified by the symbol " \(\vee\)." Thus, \(x_{1}+x_{2}\) and \(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\) are both read as " \(x_{1}\) OR \(x_{2}\)." The symbol for the complement (or negation) operation is usually specified by the prime "' " symbol immediately following the variable \(\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)\), by a bar over the variable \(\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)\), or by the symbol " \(\neg\) " \(\left(\neg x_{1}\right)\).

Boolean algebra is a deductive mathematical system which can be defined by a set of variables, a set of operators, and a set of axioms (or postulates). An axiom is a statement that is universally accepted as true; that is, the statement needs no proof, because its truth is obvious. The axioms of Boolean algebra form the basis from which the theorems and other properties can be derived.

Most axioms and theorems are characterized by two laws. Each law is the dual of the other. The principle of duality specifies that the dual of an algebraic expression can be obtained by interchanging the binary operators \(\cdot\) and + and by interchanging the identity elements 0 and 1 .

Boolean algebra is an algebraic structure consisting of a set of elements \(B\) with two binary operators • and + and a unary operator ', such that the following axioms are true, where the notation \(x_{1} \in X\) is read as " \(x_{1}\) is an element of the set \(X\) ":

\subsection*{2.2.1 Axioms}

This section presents the seven axioms of Boolean algebra.
Axiom 1: Boolean set definition The set \(B\) contains at least two elements \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\), where \(x_{1} \neq x_{2}\).

Axiom 2: Closure laws For every \(x_{1}, x_{2} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+x_{2} \in B\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \in B\)

Axiom 3: Identity laws There exist two unique identity elements 0 and 1, where 0 is an identity element with respect to the Boolean sum and 1 is an identity element with respect to the Boolean product. Thus, for every \(x_{1} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+0=0+x_{1}=x_{1}\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot 1=1 \cdot x_{1}=x_{1}\)

Axiom 4: Commutative laws The commutative laws specify that the order in which the variables appear in a Boolean expression is irrelevant - the result is the same. Thus, for every \(x_{1}, x_{2} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+x_{2}=x_{2}+x_{1}\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=x_{2} \cdot x_{1}\)

Axiom 5: Associative laws The associative laws state that three or more variables can be combined in an expression using Boolean multiplication or addition and that the order of the variables can be altered without changing the result. Thus, for every \(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in B\),
(a) \(\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+x_{3}=x_{1}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\)
(b) \(\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}=x_{1} \cdot\left(x_{2} \cdot x_{3}\right)\)

Axiom 6: Distributive laws The distributive laws for Boolean algebra are similar, in many respects, to those for college algebra. The interpretation, however, is different and is a function of the Boolean product and the Boolean sum. This is a very useful axiom in minimizing Boolean functions. For every \(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in B\),
(a) The operator + is distributive over the operator \(\cdot\) such that,
\[
x_{1}+\left(x_{2} \cdot x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)
\]
(b) The operator \(\bullet\) is distributive over the operator + such that,
\[
x_{1} \cdot\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)+\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{3}\right)
\]

Axiom 7: Complementation laws For every \(x_{1} \in B\), there exists an element \(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\) (called the complement of \(x_{1}\) ), where \(x_{1}^{\prime} \in B\), such that,
(a) \(x_{1}+x_{1}^{\prime}=1\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot x_{1}{ }^{\prime}=0\)

\subsection*{2.2.2 Theorems}

This section presents the seven theorems of Boolean algebra, which are derived from the axioms and are listed in pairs, where each relation in the pair is the dual of the other.

Theorem 1: 0 and 1 associated with a variable Every variable in Boolean algebra can be characterized by the identity elements 0 and 1 . Thus, for every \(x_{1} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+1=1\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot 0=0\)

Theorem 2: 0 and 1 complement The 2-valued Boolean algebra has two distinct identity elements 0 and 1 , where \(0 \neq 1\). The operations using 0 and 1 are as follows:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
0+0=0 & 0+1=1 \\
1 \cdot 1=1 & 1 \cdot 0=0
\end{array}
\]

A corollary to Theorem 2 specifies that element 1 satisfies the requirements of the complement of element 0 , and vice versa. Thus, each identity element is the complement of the other.
(a) \(0^{\prime}=1\)
(b) \(1^{\prime}=0\)

Theorem 3: Idempotent laws Idempotency relates to a nonzero mathematical quantity which, when applied to itself for a binary operation, remains unchanged. Thus, if \(x_{1}=0\), then \(x_{1}+x_{1}=0+0=0\) and if \(x_{1}=1\), then \(x_{1}+x_{1}=1+1=1\). Therefore, one of the elements is redundant and can be discarded. The dual is true for the operator \(\cdot\). The idempotent laws eliminate redundant variables in a Boolean expression and can be extended to any number of identical variables. This law is also referred to as the law of tautology, which precludes the needless repetition of the variable. For every \(x_{1} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+x_{1}=x_{1}\)
(b) \(\quad x_{1} \cdot x_{1}=x_{1}\)

Theorem 4: Involution law The involution law states that the complement of a complemented variable is equal to the variable. There is no dual for the involution law. The law is also called the "law of double complementation". Thus, for every \(x_{1}\) \(\in B\),
\[
x_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}=x_{1}
\]

Theorem 5: Absorption law 1 This version of the absorption law states that some 2-variable Boolean expressions can be reduced to a single variable without altering the result. Thus, for every \(x_{1}, x_{2} \in B\),
(a) \(x_{1}+\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=x_{1}\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=x_{1}\)

Theorem 6: Absorption law 2 This version of the absorption law is used to eliminate redundant variables from certain Boolean expressions. Absorption law 2 eliminates a variable or its complement and is a very useful law for minimizing Boolean expressions.
(a) \(x_{1}+\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}\)
(b) \(x_{1} \cdot\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}\right)=x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\)

Theorem 7: DeMorgan's laws DeMorgan's laws are also useful in minimizing Boolean functions. DeMorgan's laws convert the complement of a sum term or a product term into a corresponding product or sum term, respectively. For every \(x_{1}, x_{2}\) \(\in B\),
(a) \(\quad\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}^{\prime}\)
(b) \(\quad\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\)

Parts (a) and (b) of DeMorgan's laws represent expressions for NOR and NAND gates, respectively. DeMorgan's laws can be generalized for any number of variables, such that,
(a) \(\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}{ }^{\prime}\)
(b) \(\quad\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}\right)^{\prime}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\ldots+x_{n}{ }^{\prime}\)

When applying DeMorgan's laws to an expression, the operator • takes precedence over the operator + . For example, use DeMorgan's law to complement the Boolean expression \(x_{1}+x_{2} x_{3}\).
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1}+x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{\prime} & =\left[x_{1}+\left(x_{2} x_{3}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
& =x_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

Note that: \(\left(x_{1}+x_{2} x_{3}\right)^{\prime} \neq x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\).

\subsection*{2.2.3 Other Terms for Boolean Algebra}

This section defines the following Boolean terms: minterm, maxterm, product term, sum term, sum of minterms, sum of products, product of maxterms, and product of sums.

Minterm A minterm is the Boolean product of \(n\) variables and contains all \(n\) variables of the function exactly once, either true or complemented. For example, for the function \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\) is a minterm.

Maxterm A maxterm is a Boolean sum of \(n\) variables and contains all \(n\) variables of the function exactly once, either true or complemented. For example, for the function \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{1}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\) is a maxterm.

Product term A product term is the Boolean product of variables containing a subset of the possible variables or their complements. For example, for the function \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}\) is a product term, because it does not contain all the variables.

Sum term A sum term is the Boolean sum of variables containing a subset of the possible variables or their complements. For example, for the function \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\), \(\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\) is a sum term, because it does not contain all the variables.

Sum of minterms A sum of minterms is an expression in which each term contains all the variables, either true or complemented. For example,
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
\]
is a Boolean expression in a sum-of-minterms form. This particular form is also referred to as a minterm expansion, a standard sum of products, a canonical sum of prod\(u c t s\), or a disjunctive normal form. Since each term is a minterm, the expression for \(z_{1}\) can be written in a more compact sum-of-minterms form as \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\Sigma_{m}(3,4,7)\), where each term is converted to its minterm value. For example, the first term in the expression is \(x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}\), which corresponds to binary 011 , representing minterm 3.

Sum of products A sum of products is an expression in which at least one term does not contain all the variables; that is, at least one term is a proper subset of the possible variables or their complements. For example,
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
\]
is a sum of products for the function \(z_{1}\), because the second term does not contain the variable \(x_{1}\).

Product of maxterms A product of maxterms is an expression in which each term contains all the variables, either true or complemented. For example,
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)
\]
is a Boolean expression in a product-of-maxterms form. This particular form is also referred to as a maxterm expansion, a standard product of sums, a canonical product of sums, or a conjunctive normal form. Since each term is a maxterm, the expression for \(z_{1}\) can be written in a more compact product-of-maxterms form as \(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\) \(\Pi_{M}(0,3,4)\), where each term is converted to its maxterm value.

Product of sums A product of sums is an expression in which at least one term does not contain all the variables; that is, at least one term is a proper subset of the possible variables or their complements. For example,
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)
\]
is a product of sums for the function \(z_{1}\), because the second term does not contain the variable \(x_{1}\).

\subsection*{2.3 Logic Equations}

This section synthesizes a variety of logic equations using Verilog HDL. The equations can be in a sum-of-products or a product-of-sums and range from simple to complex.

Example 2.1 Equation 2.2 will be minimized as a sum-of-products as shown in Equation 2.3, then implemented using built-in primitives for the design module. The design module is shown in Figure 2.1, the test bench is shown in Figure 2.2, and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.3.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+\left[\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{\prime}+x_{3}\right]^{\prime}+\left(x_{2} \oplus x_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{1} & =x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}+\left[\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{\prime}+x_{3}\right]^{\prime}+\left(x_{2} \oplus x_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}+\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{4} \\
& =x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}+\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{4} \\
& =x_{1} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{4} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
\]
```

//sop equation using built-in-primitives
module sop_eqtn_bip (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//design the equation
and inst1 (net1, x1, ~x3, x4),
inst2 (net2, x1, ~x3),
inst3 (net3, x2, ~x3),
inst4 (net4, ~x2, ~x4),
inst5 (net5, x2, x4);
or inst6 (z1, net1, net2, net3, net4, net5);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.1 Design module for the sum-of-products equation for Example 2.1.
```

//test bench for sop equation using built-in-primitives
module sop_eqtn_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire zl;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_eqtn_bip inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.2 Test bench module for Figure 2.1.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.3 Outputs for the sum-of-products module of Figure 2.1.

Example 2.2 This example repeats Example 2.1 using built-in-primitives, but converts Equation 2.3 to a product-of-sums expression. Equation 2.3 is plotted on the Karnaugh map of Figure 2.4 and the product-of-sums equation is shown in Equation 2.4. The design module is shown in Figure 2.5, the test bench module is shown in Figure 2.6, and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.7.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& x_{4} \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & & \(1^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(1^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(0^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(1{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(1{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.4 Karnaugh map for Example 2.2.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
\]
```

//product-of-sums equation using bip
module pos_eqtn_bip (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//design the equation
or inst1 (net1, x1, x2, ~x4),
inst2 (net2, ~x2, ~x3, x4),
inst3 (net3, x2, ~x3, ~x4);
and inst4 (z1, net1, net2, net3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.5 Design module for Example 2.2 using product-of-sums built-in-primitives.
```

//test bench for pos equation using built-in-primitives
module pos_eqtn_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire zl;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pos_eqtn_bip inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.6 Test bench module for Example 2.2.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.7 Outputs for the product-of-sums equation of Example 2.2.

Example 2.3 The minimized expression for \(z_{1}\) will be obtained in both a sum-ofproducts and a product-of-sums format using the Karnaugh map shown below. The design modules will use logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. The sum-of-product design is shown below. The design module is shown in Figure 2.8, the test bench module is shown in Figure 2.9, and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.10.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)
0}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1} x_{2} \\
00
\end{array}
\] & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \[
0^{2}
\] & \[
0^{6}
\] & \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0^{10}\) & & \(1^{12}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{24}\) & \(1^{26}\) & \(1{ }^{30}\) & \(1^{28}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1^{16}\) & \(0^{18}\) & \(0^{22}\) & \(0^{20}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(x_{5}=1\)} \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \[
0^{1}
\] & & \(0^{7}\) & \\
\hline 01 & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0{ }^{25}\) & \(1^{27}\) & \(1^{31}\) & \(0^{29}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{17}\) & \(0^{19}\) & \(0^{23}\) & \(1^{21}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(z_{1}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}= & x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}{ }^{\prime} x_{5}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{\prime} x_{5} \\
z_{1}= & \left(x_{2}+x_{4^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{5}\right) \\
& \left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}+x_{5^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
```

//sum-of-products for 5 variables using dataflow modeling
module sop_5var_df (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4, x5; //define inputs and output
output z1;
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5; //define internal nets
//instantiate the logic for output z1
and3_df inst1 (x2, x3, ~x5, net1);
and3_df inst2 (~x1, x2, x3, net2);
and3_df inst3 (x1, x2, x4, net3);
and4_df inst4 (~x2, ~x3, ~x4, ~x5, net4);
and4_df inst5 (~x2, x3, ~x4, x5, net5);
or5_df inst6 (net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.8 Design module for Example 2.3 for the sum-of-products form.
```

//test bench for sop_5var_df
module sop_5var_df_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
wire z1;
//apply input variables and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [5:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 32; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5} = invect [5:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_5var_df inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.9 Test bench module for Example 2.3 for the sum-of-products.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01111, z1 = 1 //continued on next page

```

Figure 2.10 Outputs for Example 2.3 for the sum-of-products.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.10 (Continued)

The product-of-sums design is shown below using the continuous assignment statement assign. The Karnaugh maps and the product-of-sums equation are reproduced below for convenience. The design module is shown Figure 2.11, the test bench module is shown in Figure 2.12, and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.13.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{3} x_{4} \quad x_{5}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(x_{1} x_{2}\)} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & & \[
0^{2}
\] & \[
0^{6}
\] & \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0^{10}\) & \(1^{14}\) & \(1{ }^{12}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{24}\) & \(1^{26}\) & \(1^{30}\) & \(1{ }^{28}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1^{16}\) & \(0^{18}\) & \(0^{22}\) & \(0^{20}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{3} x_{4} \quad x_{5}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(x_{1} x_{2}\)} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & \[
0^{1}
\] & & & \\
\hline 01 & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(1^{13}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0{ }^{25}\) & \(1^{27}\) & \(1{ }^{31}\) & \(0{ }^{29}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{17}\) & \(0^{19}\) & \(0^{23}\) & \(1^{21}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}= & \left(x_{2}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{5}\right) \\
& \left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}+x_{5}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
```

//dataflow for product-of-sums equation
module pos_5var_df (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
output z1;
assign z1 = (x2|~x4) \& (x3|x4|~x5) \& (x1|~x2|x3) \& (~x2|x3|x4)
\& (x2|~x3|x5) \& (~x1|~x2|~x3|x4|~x5);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.11 Design module for the product-of-sums equation of Example 2.3.
```

//test bench for product-of-sums equation
module pos_5var_df_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [5:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 32; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5} = invect [5:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pos_5var_df inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.12 Test bench module for the product-of-sums equation of Example 2.3.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 00111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 01111, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10011, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 10111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4 x5} = 11111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.13 Outputs for the product-of-sums equation of Example 2.3.

Example 2.4 The function for \(z_{1}\) shown below will be plotted on a Karnaugh map using \(x_{4}\) as a map-entered variable. Then the minimized expression for \(z_{1}\) will be obtained in a sum-of-products notation. The behavioral module, designed using the
always statement, is shown in Figure 2.14. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.15, and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.16.
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)= & x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4} \\
& +x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)= & x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{4}\right)+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{4}\right)+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{4}\right) \\
& +x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\left(x_{4}\right)+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}\left(x_{4}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}
\]
```

//behavioral using map-entered variable
module sop_mev_bh (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define input and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//variables are declared as reg in always
reg z1;
//design the logic for output z1
always @ (x1 or x2 or x3 or x4)
z1 = ~x1 \& ~x3 \& x4 | x2 \& ~x3 \& x4 | x1 \& ~x2 \& x3;
endmodule

```

Figure 2.14 Design module for Example 2.4 using a map-entered variable.
```

//test bench for sop_mev_bh module
module sop_mev_bh_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_mev_bh inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.15 Test bench module for Example 2.4 using a map-entered variable.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.16 Outputs for Example 2.4 using a map-entered variable.

\subsection*{2.4 Multiplexers}

A multiplexer is a logic macro device that allows digital information from two or more data inputs to be directed to a single output. Data input selection is controlled by a set of select inputs that determine which data input is gated to the output. The select inputs are labeled \(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{i}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\), where \(s_{0}\) is the low-order select input with a binary weight of \(2^{0}\) and \(s_{n-1}\) is the high-order select input with a binary weight of \(2^{n-1}\). The data inputs are labeled \(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{j}, \ldots, d_{2}{ }^{n}-1\). Thus, if a multiplexer has \(n\) select inputs, then the number of data inputs will be \(2^{n}\) and will be labeled \(d_{0}\) through \(d_{2}{ }^{n}{ }_{-1}\). For example, if \(n=2\), then the multiplexer has two select inputs \(s_{0}\) and \(s_{1}\) and four data inputs \(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}\), and \(d_{3}\).

Example 2.5 This example designs a 4:1 multiplexer using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. A multiplexer is a logic macro device that allows digital information from two or more data inputs to be directed to a single output. Data input selection is controlled by a set of select inputs that determine which data input is gated to the output. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 2.17. The design module is shown in Figure 2.18, the test bench module is shown in Figure 2.19 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.20.


Figure 2.17 Logic diagram for a \(4: 1\) multiplexer.
```

//structural for a 4:1 multiplexer
//using dataflow logic gates
module mux_4to1_struct (s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4;
//instantiate the logic gates
and3_df inst1 (d0, ~s0, ~s1, net1);
and3_df inst2 (d1, s0, ~s1, net2);
and3 df inst3 (d2, ~s0, s1, net3);
and3_df inst4 (d3, s0, s1, net4);
or4_df inst5 (net1, net2, net3, net4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.18 Design module for the 4:1 multiplexer.
```

//test bench for 4:1 multiplexer
//using dataflow logic gates
module mux_4to1_struct_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3;;
wire zl;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("s0 = %b, s1 = %b,
d0 = %b, d1 = %b, d2 = %b, d3 = %b, z1 = %b",
s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.19 Test bench module for the 4:1 multiplexer.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 s0 = 1'b0; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b0; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
//----------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
//------------------------------
dO = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b0; s1 = 1'b1;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
//----------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b1;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
dO = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
//-----------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b0;
d0 = 1'b0; d1 = 1'b0; d2 = 1'b0; d3 = 1'b0;
//------------------------------
dO = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b1;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
dO = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b1;
//---------------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
dO = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
dO = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b0;
//-------------
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_4to1_struct inst1 (s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.19 (Continued)


Figure 2.20 Outputs for the \(4: 1\) multiplexer.

Example 2.6 This example repeats Example 2.5, but uses the conditional operator to design the \(4: 1\) multiplexer. Recall that the conditional operator has three operands as shown below and can also be nested, also shown below.

> conditional_expression ? true_expression : false_expression;
> conditional_expression ? (cond_expr1 ? true_expr1: false_expr1) \(:(\) cond_expr2 \(?\) true_expr2 \(:\) false_expr2);

The design module is shown in Figure 2.21 and the test bench module is shown in Figure 2.22. The outputs are shown in Figure 2.23.
```

//dataflow for 4:1 mux using the conditional operator
module mux_4to1_cond2 (s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
//define inputs and output
input s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3;
output z1;
//use the nested conditional operator
assign z1 = s1 ? (s0 ? d3 : d2) : (s0 ? d1 : d0);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.21 Design module for a 4:1 multiplexer using the conditional operator.
```

//test bench for 4:1 multiplexer
//using the conditional operator
module mux_4to1_cond2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg $s 0, \mathrm{~s} 1, \mathrm{~d} 0, \mathrm{~d} 1, \mathrm{~d} 2, \mathrm{~d} 3$;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("s0 = \%b, s1 = \%b,
$\mathrm{d} 0=\% \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d} 1=\% \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d} 2=\% \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d} 3=\% \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{z} 1=\% \mathrm{~b}=$
s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 $\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb0}$; $\mathrm{s} 1=1$ 'b0;
$\mathrm{d} 0=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1 ; \quad \mathrm{d} 1=1 \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 ; \quad \mathrm{d} 2=1{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 ; \quad \mathrm{d} 3=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0 ;$
\#10 $\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb0}$; $\mathrm{s} 1=1 \mathrm{lb0}$;
$d 0=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 1=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 2=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 3=1 ' b 0 ;$

```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb1} ; \quad \mathrm{s} 1=1 \mathrm{l}=0\);
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb1} ; \quad \mathrm{s} 1=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0\);
        \(d 0=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 1=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 2=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 3=1 ' b 0 ;\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{s} 1=1 \mathrm{~b} 1 ;\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{s} 1=1 ' \mathrm{~b}\);
    ```


```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{s} 1\) = 1'b1;
        \(\mathrm{d} 0=1 \mathrm{lb0} ; \mathrm{d} 1=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \quad \mathrm{d} 2=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \quad \mathrm{d} 3=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1 ;\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb1} ; \quad \mathrm{s} 1=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1 ;\)
        \(d 0=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 1=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 2=1 ' b 0 ; \quad d 3=1 ' b 0 ;\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{l}=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ;\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{s} 0=1 \mathrm{lb1} ; \quad \mathrm{s} 1=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0\);
    ```



Figure 2.22 Test bench module for the 4:1 multiplexer using the conditional operator.
```

    #10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
    d0 = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b1;
    #10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
    d0 = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b1;
    //-----------------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
d0 = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 s0 = 1'b1; s1 = 1'b1;
d0 = 1'b1; d1 = 1'b1; d2 = 1'b1; d3 = 1'b0;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_4to1_cond2 inst1 (s0, s1, d0, d1, d2, d3, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.22 (Continued)


Figure 2.23 Outputs for the 4:1 multiplexer using the conditional operator.

Example 2.7 This example uses the continuous assignment statement to design an 8:1 multiplexer. Recall that the continuous assignment statement is used to describe combinational logic where the output of the circuit is evaluated whenever an input changes; that is, the value of the right-hand side expression is continuously assigned to the left-hand side net. The syntax for the continuous assignment is shown below and establishes a relationship between a right-hand side expression and a left-hand side net.
assign [delay] lhs_net = rhs_expression

The design module is shown in Figure 2.24. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.25 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.26.
```

//dataflow for 8:1 multiplexer using
//the continuous assignment statement
module mux_8t01_assign (sel, data, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input [2:0] sel;
input [7:0] data;
output z1;
//design the 8:1 multiplexer
assign z1 = (~sel[2] \& ~sel[1] \& ~sel[0] \& data[0]) |
(~sel[2] \& ~sel[1] \& sel[0] \& data[1]) |
(~sel[2] \& sel[1] \& ~sel[0] \& data[2]) |
(~sel[2] \& sel[1] \& sel[0] \& data[3]) |
( sel[2] \& ~sel[1] \& ~sel[0] \& data[4]) |
( sel[2] \& ~sel[1] \& sel[0] \& data[5]) ।
( sel[2] \& sel[1] \& ~sel[0] \& data[6]) |
( sel[2] \& sel[1] \& sel[0] \& data[7]);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.24 Design module for an 8:1 multiplexer using the continuous assignment statement.
```

//test bench for 8:1 multiplexer
//using the continuous assignment statement
module mux_8to1_assign_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs wire reg for test bench
reg [2:0] sel;
reg [7:0] data;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("sel = %b, data = %b, z1 = %b", sel, data, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 sel = 3'b000; data = 8'b0000_0001;
\#10 sel = 3'b001; data = 8'b0000_0010;
\#10 sel = 3'b010; data = 8'b0000_0100;
\#10 sel = 3'b011; data = 8'b0000-1000;
\#10 sel = 3'b100; data = 8'b0001_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b101; data = 8'b0010_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b110; data = 8'b0100_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b111; data = 8'b1000_0000;
//--------------------------------------------
\#10 sel = 3'b001; data = 8'b1111_1101;
\#10 sel = 3'b010; data = 8'b1111_1011;
\#10 sel = 3'b011; data = 8'b1111_0111;
\#10 sel = 3'b100; data = 8'b1110_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b101; data = 8'b1101_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b110; data = 8'b1011_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b111; data = 8'b0111_1111;
//------------------------------------------------------
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_8t01_assign inst1 (sel, data, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.25 Test bench module for the 8:1 multiplexer using the continuous assignment statement.
```

sel = 000, data = 00000001, z1=1
sel = 001, data = 00000010, z1 = 1
sel = 010, data = 00000100, z1 = 1
sel = 011, data = 00001000, z1 = 1
sel = 100, data = 00010000, z1 = 1
sel = 101, data = 00100000, z1 = 1
sel = 110, data = 01000000, z1 = 1
sel = 111, data = 10000000, z1 = 1
//----------------------------------------------------------------
sel = 000, data = 11111110, z1 = 0
sel = 001, data = 11111101, z1 = 0
sel = 010, data = 11111011, z1 = 0
sel = 011, data = 11110111, z1 = 0
sel = 100, data = 11101111, z1 = 0
sel = 101, data = 11011111, z1 = 0
sel = 110, data = 10111111, z1 = 0
sel = 111, data = 01111111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.26 Outputs for the \(8: 1\) multiplexer using the continuous assignment statement.

Example 2.8 This example repeats Example 2.7 in designing an 8:1 multiplexer, but uses the case statement in the design module, which is shown in Figure 2.27. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.28 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.29.
```

//8:1 multiplexed using the case statement
module mux_8to1_case4 (sel, data, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input [2:0] sel;
input [7:0] data;
output z1;
//variables in always are declared as reg
reg z1;

```

Figure 2.27 Design module for an 8:1 multiplexer using the case statement.
```

always @ (sel or data)
begin
case (sel)
(0) : z1 = data [0];
(1) : z1 = data [1];
(2) : z1 = data [2];
(3) : z1 = data [3];
(4) : z1 = data [4];
(5) : z1 = data [5];
(6) : z1 = data [6];
(7) : z1 = data [7];
default : z1 = 1'b0;
endcase
end
endmodule

```

Figure 2.27 (Continued)
```

//test bench for 8:1 multiplexer using the case statement
module mux_8to1_case4_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [2:0] sel;
reg [7:0] data;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("sel = %b, data = %b, z1 = %b", sel, data, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 sel = 3'b000; data = 8'b0000_0001;
\#10 sel = 3'b001; data = 8'b0000_0010;
\#10 sel = 3'b010; data = 8'b0000_0100;
\#10 sel = 3'b011; data = 8'b0000_1000;
\#10 sel = 3'b100; data = 8'b0001_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b101; data = 8'b0010_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b110; data = 8'b0100_0000;
\#10 sel = 3'b111; data = 8'b1000_0000;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.28 Test bench module for an 8:1 multiplexer using the case statement.
```

//-----------------------------------------------------------
\#10 sel = 3'b000; data = 8'b1111_1110;
\#10 sel = 3'b001; data = 8'b1111_1101;
\#10 sel = 3'b010; data = 8'b1111_1011;
\#10 sel = 3'b011; data = 8'b1111_0111;
\#10 sel = 3'b100; data = 8'b1110_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b101; data = 8'b1101_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b110; data = 8'b1011_1111;
\#10 sel = 3'b111; data = 8'b0111_1111;
//-----------------------------------------------------------
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_8to1_case4 inst1 (sel, data, zl);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.28 (Continued)
```

sel = 000, data = 00000001, z1 = 1
sel = 001, data = 00000010, z1 = 1
sel = 010, data = 00000100, z1 = 1
sel = 011, data = 00001000, z1 = 1
sel = 100, data = 00010000, z1 = 1
sel = 101, data = 00100000, z1 = 1
sel = 110, data = 01000000, z1 = 1
sel = 111, data = 10000000, z1 = 1
//---------------------------------------------------------------
sel = 000, data = 11111110, z1 = 0
sel = 001, data = 11111101, z1 = 0
sel = 010, data = 11111011, z1 = 0
sel = 011, data = 11110111, z1 = 0
sel = 100, data = 11101111, z1 = 0
sel = 101, data = 11011111, z1 = 0
sel = 110, data = 10111111, z1 = 0
sel = 111, data = 01111111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.29 Outputs for an 8:1 multiplexer using the case statement.

\subsection*{2.5 Comparators}

A comparator is a logic macro circuit that compares the magnitude of two \(n\)-bit binary operands. This section designs various comparators to perform a variety of compare operations on different operands.

Example 2.9 This example designs a comparator to determine if a 4-bit vector \(a[3: 0]\) is equal to a 4-bit vector \(b[3: 0]\). The design module using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling is shown in Figure 2.30. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.31 and Figure 2.32, respectively. The statement for equality is shown below from Chapter 1 for 4-bit vectors.
\[
(A=B)=\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{0} \oplus b_{0}\right)^{\prime}
\]
```

//structural test for equality of 4-bit vectors
module comparator_equal (a, b, equal);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
output equal;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5;
//instantiate the logic to test for equality
xnor2_df inst1 (a[3], b[3], net1);
xnor2_df inst2 (a[2], b[2], net2);
xnor2_df inst3 (a[1], b[1], net3);
xnor2_df inst4 (a[0], b[0], net4);
and4_df inst5 (net1, net2, net3, net4, equal);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.30 Design module for the compare for equality example.
```

//test bench for equality test of 4-bit vectors
module comparator_equal_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
wire equal;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
\$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, equal = %b", a, b, equal);
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.31 Test bench module for the compare for equality example.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0000;
\#10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
\#10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1011;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0110;
\#10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b0010;
\#10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
\#10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1110;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1100;
\#10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1110;
\#10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111;
\#10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
comparator_equal inst1 (a, b, equal);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.31 (Continued)
```

$\mathrm{a}=0000$, b = 0000, equal = 1
a = 0011, b = 0010, equal = 0
a = 1011, b = 1011, equal = 1
a = 0111, b = 0011, equal = 0
$\mathrm{a}=0110, \mathrm{~b}=0110$, equal $=1$
$\mathrm{a}=1011, \mathrm{~b}=0010$, equal $=0$
$\mathrm{a}=1111, \mathrm{~b}=1111$, equal $=1$
$\mathrm{a}=0110, \mathrm{~b}=0011$, equal $=0$

Figure 2.32 Outputs for the compare for equality example.

```
a = 0111, b = 0111, equal = 1
a = 1011, b = 1010, equal = 0
a = 1110, b = 1110, equal = 1
a = 0110, b = 0111, equal = 0
a = 1100, b = 1100, equal = 1
a = 1011, b = 1110, equal = 0
a = 1111, b = 1111, equal = 1
a = 1110, b = 0111, equal = 0
```

Figure 2.32 Continued)

Example 2.10 This example repeats Example 2.9, but uses built-in primitives in the design. The statement for equality for 4 -bit vectors is reproduced below for convenience.

$$
(A=B)=\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{0} \oplus b_{0}\right)^{\prime}
$$

The design module is shown in Figure 2.33. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.34 and Figure 2.35, respectively.

```
//gate-level module to test for equality of 4-bit vectors
//using built-in primitives
module comparator_equal_bip (a, b, equal);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
output equal;
//design the 4-bit comparator
xnor inst1 (net1, a[3], b[3]);
xnor inst2 (net2, a[2], b[2]);
xnor inst3 (net3, a[1], b[1]);
xnor inst4 (net4, a[0], b[0]);
and inst5 (equal, net1, net2, net3, net4);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.33 Design module using built-in primitives to compare for the equality of two vectors.

```
//test bench for equality test of 4-bit vectors
module comparator_equal_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
wire equal;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, equal = %b", a, b, equal);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0000;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1011;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0110;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1110;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1110;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
comparator_equal_bip inst1 (a, b, equal);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.34 Test bench module to compare for the equality of two vectors.

```
a = 0000, b = 0000, equal = 1
a = 0011, b = 0010, equal = 0
a = 1011, b = 1011, equal = 1
a = 0111, b = 0011, equal = 0
a = 0110, b = 0110, equal = 1
a = 1011, b = 0010, equal = 0
a = 1111, b = 1111, equal = 1
a = 0110, b = 0011, equal = 0
a = 0111, b = 0111, equal = 1
a = 1011, b = 1010, equal = 0
a = 1110, b = 1110, equal = 1
a = 0110, b = 0111, equal = 0
a = 1100, b = 1100, equal = 1
a = 1011, b = 1110, equal = 0
a = 1111, b = 1111, equal = 1
a = 1110, b = 0111, equal = 0
```

Figure 2.35 Outputs to compare for the equality of two vectors.

Example 2.11 This example designs a comparator to detect when a 4-bit vector $a[3: 0]$ is less than a 4-bit vector b[3:0] using the continuous assignment statement. Recall that the continuous assignment statement models dataflow behavior and is used to design combinational logic without using gates and interconnecting nets. Continuous assignment statements provide a Boolean correspondence between the righthand side expression and the left-hand side target. The continuous assignment statement uses the keyword assign and has the following syntax with optional drive strength and delay:
assign [drive_strength] [delay] left-hand side target $=$ right-hand side expression

The equation for determining if one vector is less than another vector is shown below for 4-bit vectors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A<B)= & a_{3}{ }^{\prime} b_{3}+ \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} b_{2}+ \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime} a_{1}{ }_{1} b_{1}+ \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime} a_{0} b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The design module is shown in Figure 2.36. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.37, which takes the design through a sequence of input vectors for operands $a[3: 0]$ and $b[3: 0]$. The outputs are shown in Figure 2.38.

```
//dataflow using assign to detect if a < b
module a_lt_b_assign (a, b, a_lt_b);
//list inputs and output
input [3:0] a, b;
output a_lt_b;
//design the 4-bit comparator
assign net1 = (~a[3] & b[3]);
assign net2 = (a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (~a[2] & b[2]);
assign net3 = (a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (a[2] ^~ b[2]) & (~a[1] & b[1]);
assign net4 = (a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (a[2] ^~ b[2]) & (a[1] ^~ b[1])
    & (~a[0] & b[0]);
assign a_lt_b = net1 | net2 | net3 | net4;
endmodule
```

Figure 2.36 Design module to detect if $a[3: 0]$ is less than $b[3: 0]$.

```
//test bench for equality test of 4-bit vectors
module a_lt_b_assign_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
wire a_lt_b;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, a_lt_b = %b", a, b, a_lt_b);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0001;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1011;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.37 Test bench module to detect if $a[3: 0]$ is less than $b[3: 0]$.

```
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
a_lt_b_assign inst1 (a, b, a_lt_b);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.37 (Continued)

```
a = 0000, b = 0001, a_lt_b = 1
a = 0011, b = 0010, a_lt_b = 0
a = 0011, b = 1011, a_lt_b = 1
a =0111, b = 0011, a_lt_b = 0
a = 0110, b = 0111, a_lt_b = 1
a = 0011, b = 0010, a_lt_b = 0
a = 1110, b = 1111, a_lt_b = 1
a = 0110, b = 0011, a_lt_b = 0
a = 0110, b = 0111, a_lt_b = 1
a = 1011, b = 1010, a_1t_b = 0
a}=1110,\textrm{b}=1111, a_lt_b=
a = 1110, b = 0111, a_lt_b = 0
a = 1000, b = 1100, a_lt_b = 1
a = 1011, b = 1010, a_lt_b = 0
a = 1110, b = 1111, a_lt_b = 1
a = 1110, b = 0111, a_lt_b = 0
```

Figure 2.38 Outputs to detect if $a[3: 0]$ is less than $b[3: 0]$.

Example 2.12 This example designs a comparator to determine if a 4-bit vector $a[3: 0]$ is greater than a 4-bit vector $b[3: 0]$ using the behavioral conditional statements if-else if. These statements are summarized below as reproduced from Chapter 1.
$/ /$ nested if-else if $\quad /$ choice of multiple statements. One is executed.
if (expression 1 ) statement 1 ; //if expression 1 is true, then statement 1 is executed. else if (expression2) statement2;//if expression 2 is true, then statement 2 is executed. else if (expression3) statement3;//if expression3 is true, then statement3 is executed. else default statement;

The equation for determining if one vector is greater than another vector is shown below for 4-bit vectors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A>B)= & a_{3} b_{3}^{\prime}+ \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime} a_{2} b_{2} 2^{\prime} \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime} a_{1} b_{1}^{\prime}+ \\
& \left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime} a_{0} b_{0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 2.39. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 2.40 and 2.41, respectively.

```
//behavioral if-else if for comparator to detect if A > B
module a_gt_b_cond (a, b, a_gt_b);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
output a_gt_b;
reg a_gt_b;
always @ (a or b) //design the 4-bit comparator
begin
    if (a[3] & ~b[3])
        a_gt_b = 1'b1;
    else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (a[2] & ~b[2]))
        a_gt_b = 1'b1;
    else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (a[2] ^~ b[2]) & (a[1] & ~b[1]))
        a_gt_b = 1'b1;
    else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) & (a[2] ^~ b[2]) & (a[1] ^~ b[1]) &
        (a[0] & ~b[0]))
        a_gt_b = 1'b1;
    else a_gt_b = 1'b0;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 2.39 Design module to determine if $a[3: 0]$ is greater than $b[3: 0]$.

```
//test bench to detect if A > B
module a_gt_b_cond_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
wire a_gt_b;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, a_gt_b = %b", a, b, a_gt_b);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0001;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1011;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
a_gt_b_cond inst1 (a, b, a_gt_b);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.40 Test bench module to determine if $a[3: 0]$ is greater than $b[3: 0]$.

```
a = 0000, b = 0001, a_gt_b = 0
a = 0011, b = 0010, a_gt_b = 1
a = 0011, b = 1011, a_gt_b = 0
a = 0111, b = 0011, a_gt_b = 1
a = 0110, b = 0111, a_gt_b = 0
a = 0011, b = 0010, a_gt_b = 1
a = 1110, b = 1111, a_gt_b = 0
a = 0110, b = 0011, a_gt_b = 1
a = 0110, b = 0111, a_gt_b = 0
a = 1011, b = 1010, a_gt_b = 1
a = 1110, b = 1111, a_gt_b = 0
a = 1110, b = 0111, a_gt_b = 1
a = 1000, b = 1100, a_gt_b = 0
a = 1011, b = 1010, a_gt_b = 1
a = 1110, b = 1111, a_gt_b = 0
a = 1110, b = 0111, a_gt_b = 1
```

Figure 2.41 Outputs to determine if $a[3: 0]$ is greater than $b[3: 0]$.

### 2.6 Programmable Logic Devices

Combinational logic can also be implemented using programmable logic devices (PLDs). PLDs implement 2-level switching functions by means of an AND array and an OR array. There are three main types of PLDs: programmable read-only memories (PROMs), programmable array logic (PAL) devices, and programmable logic array (PLA) devices.

### 2.6.1 Programmable Read-Only Memories

A PROM is a storage device in which the information is permanently stored; that is, the data remains valid even after power is turned off. PROMs are used for application programs, tables, code conversion, control store for microprogram sequencers, and other functions in which the stored data is not changed. The organization of a PROM is essentially the same as that for other PLDs: an input vector (an address) connects to an AND array which in turn connects to an OR array which generates the output vector (or word) for the PROM.

In general, a PROM contains $n$ inputs and $m$ outputs. Because the inputs function as an address, there are $2^{n}$ unique addresses to select one of $2^{n}$ words. The AND array decodes the address to select a specific word in memory. Thus, the interconnections in
the AND array are fixed and cannot be programmed, as indicated by the "hardwired" connection symbol " $O$ ". All unused inputs in the AND array correspond to an open or floating input. Thus, all unused AND gate inputs must generate a logic 1 so that the output of the AND gate will be a function of the "hard-wired" connections only.

The OR array, however, is programmable. The interconnections in the OR array are programmed to indicate the bit configuration of each word in memory. Each interconnection functions as a fuse; thus, the fuse can be left intact (indicating a logic 1) or opened (indicating a logic 0 ). The symbol " $x$ " indicates an intact fuse at the intersection of the AND gate product term and the OR gate input and provides a logic 1 to the specified OR gate input. The absence of an $\times$ indicates an open fuse, which provides a logic 0 to the OR gate input.

Example 2.13 Consider the table shown in Table 2.2 in the application of a PROM design. The PROM illustrated in Figure 2.42 contains four words with three bits per word as shown in Table 2.2. When the PROM address is $x_{1} x_{2}=00$, the output word is $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}=110$.

Table 2.2 PROM Table
for Example 2.13

| Address <br> $x_{1} x_{2}$ | Outputs <br> $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 110 |
| 01 | 011 |
| 10 | 101 |
| 11 | 000 |



Figure 2.42 PROM organization for two address inputs $x_{1} x_{2}$ and three outputs $z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}$.

The structural design module using built-in primitives is shown in Figure 2.43 illustrating the design for the input logic, the AND array, and the OR array. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.44 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.45.

```
//structural prom to generate four equations
//z1 = x1' x2' + x1 x2'
//z2 = x1' x2' + x1' x2
//z3 = x1' x2 + x1 x2'
module prom3 (x1, x2, z1, z2, z3);
input x1, x2; //define inputs and outputs
output z1, z2, z3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8;
//define the input logic
buf (net1, x1);
not (net2, x1);
buf (net3, x2);
not (net4, x2);
//define the logic for the and array
and (net5, net2, net4),
    (net6, net2, net3),
    (net7, net1, net4),
    (net8, net1, net3);
//define the logic for the or array
or (z1, net5, net7),
    (z2, net5, net6),
    (z3, net6, net7);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.43 Structural design module for the PROM of Figure 2.42.

```
//test bench for the structural prom3 module
module prom3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2;
wire z1, z2, z3; //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.44 Test bench module for the PROM of Figure 2.42.

```
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, z1 z2 z3 = %b",
        {x1, x2}, {z1, z2, z3});
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 x1 = 1'b0;x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0;x2 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
prom3 inst1 (x1, x2, z1, z2, z3);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.44 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 = 00, z1 z2 z3 = 110
x1 x2 = 01, z1 z2 z3 = 011
x1 x2 = 10, z1 z2 z3 = 101
x1 x2 = 11, z1 z2 z3 = 000
```

Figure 2.45 Outputs for the PROM of Figure 2.42.

Example 2.14 PROMs can be used to implement sequential logic also. However, this chapter concentrates on combinational logic. The truth table to implement a sum-of-minterms combinational circuit is shown in Table 2.3. The equations that represent the sum of minterms are shown in Equation 2.5. The PROM organization is shown in Figure 2.46.

Table 2.3 Truth Table for the PROM of Example 2.14

| Address Inputs $x_{1} x_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outputs } \\ & z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 1100 |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ |
| 11 | 1001 |

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} \\
& z_{2}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
& z_{3}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} \\
& z_{4}=x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.46 PROM organization and programming for Example 2.14.

The structural design module using built-in primitives is shown in Figure 2.47 illustrating the design for the input logic, the AND array, and the OR array. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.48 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.49.

```
//structural prom to generate four equations
module prom4 (x1, x2, z1, z2, z3, z4);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2;
output z1, z2, z3, z4; //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.47 Structural design module for the PROM of Figure 2.46.

```
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8;
//define the input logic
assign net1 = x1,
    net2 = ~x1,
    net3 = x2,
    net4 = ~x2;
//define the logic for the and array
and (net5, net2, net4);
and (net6, net2, net3);
and (net7, net1, net3);
and (net8, net1, net4);
//define the logic for the or array
or (z1, net5, net7);
or (z2, net5, net6);
or (z3, net6, net8);
or (z4, net7, net8);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.47 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the structural prom module
module prom4_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2;
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, z1 z2 z3 z4 = %b", {x1, x2},
    {z1, z2, z3, z4});
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.48 Test bench module for the PROM of Figure 2.46.

```
//instantiate the module into the test bench
prom4 inst1 (x1, x2, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.48 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 = 00, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1100
x1 x2 = 01, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0110
x1 x2 = 10, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0011
x1 x2 = 11, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1001
```

Figure 2.49 Outputs for the PROM of Figure 2.46.

### 2.6.2 Programmable Array Logic

A PAL device confirms to the general structure of a PLD. The number of AND gates and OR gates is variable, depending on the part number of the commercially available PAL. In many cases, the outputs are also fed back through separate buffers (drivers) to the programmable AND array.

Example 2.15 This example designs a structural module using a programmable array logic (PAL) device to implement a 3-bit binary-to-Gray code converter. The conversion table is shown in Table 2.4 and the corresponding Karnaugh maps are shown in Figure 2.50. The PAL device is shown in Figure 2.51.

Table 2.4 Binary-to-Gray Code Conversion

| Binary |  |  | Gray |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{3}$ | $g_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $g_{3}$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

$$
g_{1}=b_{1}
$$


$g_{2}=b_{1} b_{2}{ }^{\prime}+b_{1}{ }^{\prime} b_{2}$

| $\begin{array}{r} x_{2} x_{3} \\ x_{1} \quad 00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $0^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |

$$
g_{3}=b_{2} b_{3}^{\prime}+b_{2}^{\prime} b_{3}
$$

Figure 2.50 Karnaugh maps for the binary-to-Gray code conversion.


Figure 2.51 PAL device for the binary-to-Gray code conversion.

The design module is shown in Figure 2.52. The test bench module and outputs are shown in Figures 2.53 and 2.54, respectively.

```
//structural pal for binary-to-Gray code converter
module pal7 (b1, b2, b3, g1, g2, g3);
//define inputs and outputs
input b1, b2, b3;
output g1, g2, g3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6,
    net7, net8, net9, net10;
//define the input logic
buf(net1, b1);
not(net2, b1);
buf(net3, b2);
not(net4, b2);
buf(net5, b3);
not(net6, b3);
//design the logic for the and array
and (net7, net1, net4),
    (net8, net2, net3),
    (net9, net3, net6),
    (net10, net4, net5);
//define the logic for the or array
or (g1, net1),
    (g2, net7, net8),
    (g3, net9, net10);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.52 Structural design module for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

```
//test bench for pal binary-to-Gray code converter
module pal7_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg b1, b2, b3;
wire g1, g2, g3;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("b1 b2 b3 = %b, g1 g2 g3 = %b",
    {b1, b2, b3}, {g1, g2, g3});
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 b1 = 1'b0; b2 = 1'b0; b3 = 1'b0;
    #10 b1 = 1'b0; b2 = 1'b0; b3 = 1'b1;
    #10 b1 = 1'b0; b2 = 1'b1; b3 = 1'b0;
    #10 b1 = 1'b0; b2 = 1'b1; b3 = 1'b1;
    #10 b1 = 1'b1; b2 = 1'b0; b3 = 1'b0;
    #10 b1 = 1'b1; b2 = 1'b0; b3 = 1'b1;
    #10 b1 = 1'b1; b2 = 1'b1; b3 = 1'b0;
    #10 b1 = 1'b1; b2 = 1'b1; b3 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pal7 inst1 (b1, b2, b3, g1, g2, g3);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.53 Test bench module for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

```
b1 b2 b3 = 000, g1 g2 g3 = 000
b1 b2 b3 = 001, g1 g2 g3 = 001
b1 b2 b3 = 010, g1 g2 g3 = 011
b1 b2 b3 = 011, g1 g2 g3 = 010
b1 b2 b3 = 100, g1 g2 g3 = 110
b1 b2 b3 = 101, g1 g2 g3 = 111
b1 b2 b3 = 110, g1 g2 g3 = 101
b1 b2 b3 = 111, g1 g2 g3 = 100
```

Figure 2.54 Outputs for the binary-to-Gray code converter.

Example 2.16 This example designs a full adder using a PAL device. A parallel adder that adds two $n$-bit operands requires $n$ full adders. A full adder for stage ${ }_{i}$ is a combinational circuit that has three inputs: an augend $a_{i}$, an addend $b_{i}$, and a carry-in $\operatorname{cin}_{i}$. There are two outputs: a sum labelled $\operatorname{sum}_{i}$ and a carry-out cout $_{i}$. The truth table for the sum and carry-out functions is shown in Table 2.5 for adding three bits: $a, b$, and cin and producing two outputs: sum and cout.

Table 2.5 Truth Table for Binary Addition

| $a$ | $b$ | cin | sum | cout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Each stage of the addition algorithm must be able to accommodate the carry-in bit $c_{i-1}$ from the immediately preceding lower-order stage. The carry-out of the $i$ th stage is $c_{i}$. The sum and carry equations for the full adder are shown in Equation 2.6. The resulting equation for $c_{i}$ can also be written as $c_{i}=a_{i} b_{i}+\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right) c_{i-1}$, although this requires more gate delays.

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{i} & =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime} c_{i-1}+a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} c_{i-1}^{\prime}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} c_{i-1} 1^{\prime}+a_{i} b_{i} c_{i-1} \\
& =c_{i-1} 1^{\prime}\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right)+c_{i-1}\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =a_{i} \oplus b_{i} \oplus c_{i-1} \\
c_{i} & =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i} c_{i-1} \\
& =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The logic diagram for the full adder using a PAL device is shown in Figure 2.55. The structural design module is shown in Figure 2.56. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 2.57 and 2.58, respectively.


Figure 2.55 Logic diagram for a full adder using a PAL device.

```
//structural pal full adder
module pal_full_adder (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7,
    net8, net9, net10, net11, net12, net13;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.56 Structural design module using a PAL device for a full adder.

```
//define the input logic
assign net1 = a,
    net2 = ~a,
    net3 = b,
    net4 = ~b,
    net5 = cin,
    net6 = ~cin;
//define the logic for the and array
and (net7, net2, net4, net5),
    (net8, net2, net3, net6),
    (net9, net1, net4, net6),
    (net10, net1, net3, net5),
    (net11, net2, net3, net5),
    (net12, net1, net4, net5),
    (net13, net1, net3, net6);
//define the logic for the or array
or (sum, net7, net8, net9, net10),
    (cout, net10, net11, net12, net13);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.56 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the full adder
module pal_full_adder_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a b cin = %b, sum cout = %b",
    {a, b, cin}, {sum, cout});
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 2.57 Test bench module for a full adder using a PAL device.

```
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 1'b0; b = 1'b0; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 1'b0; b = 1'b0; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 1'b0; b = 1'b1; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 1'b0; b = 1'b1; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 1'b1; b = 1'b0; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 1'b1; b = 1'b0; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 1'b1; b = 1'b1; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 1'b1; b = 1'b1; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pal_full_adder inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 2.57 (Continued)

```
a b cin = 000, sum cout = 00
a b cin = 001, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 010, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 011, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 100, sum cout = 10
a b cin = 101, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 110, sum cout = 01
a b cin = 111, sum cout = 11
```

Figure 2.58 Outputs for the full adder using a PAL device.

Example 2.17 Outputs $z_{1}, z_{2}$, and $z_{3}$ shown in the Karnaugh maps of Figure 2.59, will be implemented using a PAL device. The Boolean equations for the three outputs
obtained from the Karnaugh maps are shown in Equation 2.7. Figure 2.60 illustrates a PAL device consisting of three inputs and three outputs that implements the Boolean equations. The design module is shown in Figure 2.61. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.62 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.63.

| $x_{2} x_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1} \quad 00$ |  | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | $0{ }^{0}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $0{ }^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | $0^{4}$ | $0{ }^{5}$ | $0{ }^{7}$ | 1 |

$z_{1}$

| $x_{2} x_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
|  | $1{ }^{0}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $0{ }^{3}$ | $0{ }^{2}$ |
| 0 | $0^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $1^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |

$z_{2}$

| $x_{2} x_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | $0^{0}$ | $1^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $0{ }^{5}$ | $1^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |

$$
z_{3}
$$

Figure 2.59 Karnaugh maps for Example 2.17.

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} \\
& z_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}  \tag{2.7}\\
& z_{3}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.60 PAL device to implement Equation 2.7.

```
//structural pal for sop
module pal_sop (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3;
output z1, z2, z3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7,
    net8, net9, net10, net11, net12;
//define the input logic
buf (net1, x1);
not (net2, x1);

Figure 2.61 Design module for the PAL device to implement Equation 2.7.
```

buf (net3, x2);
not (net4, x2);
buf (net5, x3);
not (net6, x3);
//design the logic for the and array
and (net7, net2, net4, net5),
(net8, net3, net6),
(net9, net3, net4),
(net10, net1, net3),
(net11, net4, net5),
(net12, net1, net6);
//define the logic for the or array
or (z1, net7, net8),
(z2, net9, net10, net11),
(z3, net7, net8, net10, net12);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.61 (Continued)
```

//test bench for sop pal
module pal_sop_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3;
wire z1, z2, z3;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, z1 z2 z3 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, {z1, z2, z3});
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.62 Test bench module for the PAL device to implement Equation 2.7.
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pal_sop inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.62 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 001, z1 z2 z3 = 111
x1 x2 x3 = 010, z1 z2 z3 = 101
x1 x2 x3 = 011, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 100, z1 z2 z3 = 001
x1 x2 x3 = 101, z1 z2 z3 = 010
x1 x2 x3 = 110, z1 z2 z3 = 111
x1 x2 x3 = 111, z1 z2 z3 = 011

```

Figure 2.63 Outputs for the PAL device to implement Equation 2.7.

\subsection*{2.6.3 Programmable Logic Array}

Both the AND array and the OR array are programmable for a PLA. Since both arrays are programmable, the PLA has more programming capability and thus, more flexibility than the PROM or PAL. The output function in a PLA is limited only by the number of AND gates in the AND array, since all AND gates can be programmed to connect to all OR gates. This is in contrast to the output function in a PAL, which is restricted not only by the number of AND gates in the AND array, but also by the fixed connections from the AND array outputs to the OR array.

Example 2.18 This example implements the four outputs \(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\), and \(z_{4}\) in Equation 2.8 using a PLA design. There are also three inputs, \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\). The PLA design is shown in Figure 2.64. The structural design module is shown in Figure 2.65. The test bench module and outputs are shown in Figures 2.66 and 2.67, respectively.
\[
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
& z_{2}=x_{1} x_{3}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} \\
& z_{3}=x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{2.8}\\
& z_{4}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{3}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 2.64 Logic diagram for a PLA device to implement Equation 2.8.
```

//structural pla to implement four equations
//z1 = x1x2' + x1'x2
//z2 = x1x3 + x1'x3'
//z3 = x1x2' + x1'x2'x3' + x1x3'
//z4 = x1x2x3 + x1'x3
module pla_4eqtns (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3, z4);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8,
net9, net10, net11, net12, net13, net14;
//design the input drivers
buf (net1, x1);
not (net2, x1);
buf (net3, x2);
not (net4, x2);
buf (net5, x3);
not (net6, x3);
//design the logic for the and array and the or array for z1
and (net7, net1, net4),
(net8, net2, net3);
or (z1, net7, net8);
//design the logic for the and array and the or array for z2
and (net9, net1, net5),
(net10, net2, net6);
or (z2, net9, net10);
//design the logic for the and array and the or array for z3
and (net12, net2, net4, net6),
(net14, net1, net6);
or (z3, net7, net12, net14);
//design the logic for the and array and the or array for z4
and (net11, net1, net3, net5),
(net13, net2, net5);
or (z4, net11, net13);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.65 Structural design module for Equation 2.8.
```

//test bench to implement four equations
module pla_4eqtns_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3;
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, z1 z2 z3 z4 = %b", {x1, x2, x3},
{z1, z2, z3, z4});
initial //apply input vectors
begin
\#0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pla_4eqtns inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.66 Test bench module for the PLA device to implement Equation 2.8.
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0110
x1 x2 x3 = 001, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0001
x1 x2 x3 = 010, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1100
x1 x2 x3 = 011, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1001
x1 x2 x3 = 100, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1010
x1 x2 x3 = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1110
x1 x2 x3 = 110, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0010
x1 x2 x3 = 111, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0101

```

Figure 2.67 Outputs for the PLA device to implement Equation 2.8.

Example 2.19 A 5-input majority circuit will be designed using a PLA. The output of a majority circuit is a logic 1 if the majority of the inputs is a logic 1 ; otherwise, the output is a logic 0 . Therefore, a majority circuit must have an odd number of inputs in order to have a majority of the inputs be at the same logic level.

A 5-input majority circuit will be designed using the Karnaugh map of Figure 2.68, where a 1 entry indicates that the majority of the inputs is a logic 1 . The resulting equation is shown in Equation 2.9 representing the logic for output \(z_{1}\) in a sum-ofproducts form. The logic diagram for the PLA device is shown in Figure 2.69. The structural design module using a PLA is shown in Figure 2.70. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.71 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.72.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(x_{5}=0\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2} \quad 00\)} & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(0^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) & \[
0^{6}
\] & \(0{ }^{4}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0^{10}\) & & \(0^{12}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{24}\) & \(1{ }^{26}\) & \(1^{30}\) & \(1^{28}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{16}\) & \[
0^{18}
\] & \(1{ }^{22}\) & \(0^{20}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{5}=1\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(0^{1}\) & \(0^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{7}\) & \\
\hline 01 & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(1{ }^{11}\) & & \(1{ }^{13}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{25}\) & \(1^{27}\) & \(1^{31}\) & \(1^{29}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{17}\) & \[
1^{19}
\] & \(1^{23}\) & \(1^{21}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(z_{1}\)

Figure 2.68 Karnaugh map for the majority circuit of Example 2.19.
\[
\begin{align*}
z_{1}= & x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{5} \\
& +x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 2.69 Logic diagram for the majority circuit of Example 2.19.
```

//structural for a 5-input majority circuit
module pla_majority (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
output z1; //continued on next page

```

Figure 2.70 Structural design module for the majority circuit of Example 2.19.
```

//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8,
net9, net10, net11, net12, net13, net14,
net15, net16, net17, net18, net19, net20;
//design the input drivers
buf (net1, x1);
not (net2, x1);
buf (net3, x2);
not (net4, x2);
buf (net5, x3);
not (net6, x3);
buf (net7, x4);
not (net8, x4);
buf (net9, x5);
not (net10, x5);
//define the logic for the and array
and (net11, net5, net7, net9),
(net12, net3, net5, net9),
(net13, net1, net3, net5),
(net14, net3, net7, net9),
(net15, net1, net7, net9),
(net16, net1, net3, net9),
(net17, net1, net3, net7),
(net18, net3, net5, net7),
(net19, net1, net5, net7),
(net20, net1, net5, net9);
//design the logic for output z1
or (z1, net11, net12, net13, net14, net15,
net16, net17, net18, net19, net20);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.70 (Continued)
```

//test bench for 5-input majority circuit
module pla_majority_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4, x5;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [6:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 32; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5} = invect [6:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4, x5}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pla_majority inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.71 Test bench module for the majority circuit of Example 2.19.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00101, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 00111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 01111, z1 = 1 //continued on next page

```

Figure 2.72 Outputs for the majority circuit of Example 2.19.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 10111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 = 11111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.72 (Continued)

Example 2.20 This example designs a structural module to convert the Gray code to the corresponding binary code using a PLA device. The Gray and binary codes are shown in Table 2.6. The Karnaugh maps used to obtain the equations for the code converter are shown in Figure 2.73. The equations for the binary vectors are shown in Equation 2.10. The Gray-to-binary logic diagram using a PLA device is shown in Figure 2.74 .

The structural design module using a PLA device is shown in Figure 2.75. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.76 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.77.

Table 2.6 Gray-to-Binary Code Conversion
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
\multicolumn{4}{c}{ Gray } & & \multicolumn{3}{c}{ Binary } \\
\(g_{1}\) & \(g_{2}\) & \(g_{3}\) & & \(b_{1}\) & \(b_{2}\) & \(b_{3}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(g_{2} g_{3}\)} \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & \(0^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(0^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(1^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & \(1^{7}\) & \(1{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(b_{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(g_{2} g_{3}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & \(0^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & \(1{ }^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & \(0{ }^{7}\) & \(0{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(b_{2}\)


Figure 2.73 Karnaugh maps for the Gray-to-binary code converter.
\[
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=g_{1} \\
& b_{2}=g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2}+g_{1} g_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{2.10}\\
& b_{3}=g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2}^{\prime} g_{3}+g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2} g_{3}^{\prime}+g_{1} g_{2}^{\prime} g_{3}^{\prime}+g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 2.74 PLA device for the Gray-to-binary code converter.
```

//structural for gray-to-binary converter
module pla_gray_to_bin (g1, g2, g3, b1, b2, b3);
//define inputs and outputs
input g1, g2, g3;
output b1, b2, b3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6,
net7, net8, net9, net10, net11, net12;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.75 Structural design module for the Gray-to-binary code converter.
```

//define the input drivers
buf (net1, g1);
not (net2, g1);
buf (net3, g2);
not (net4, g2);
buf (net5, g3);
not (net6, g3);
//design the logic for the and array
and (net7, net2, net3),
(net8, net1, net4),
(net9, net2, net4, net5),
(net10, net2, net3, net6),
(net11, net1, net4, net6),
(net12, net1, net3, net5);
//design the logic for the outputs b1, b2, and b3
or (b1, net1),
(b2, net7, net8),
(b3, net9, net10, net11, net12);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.75 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the gray-to-binary converter
module pla_gray_to_bin_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg g1, g2, g3;
wire b1, b2, b3;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{g1, g2, g3} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("g1 g2 g3 = %b, b1 b2 b3 = %b",
{g1, g2, g3}, {b1, b2, b3});
end
end
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.76 Test bench module for the Gray-to-binary code converter.
```

//instantiate the module into the test bench
pla_gray_to_bin inst1 (g1, g2, g3, b1, b2, b3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.76 (Continued)
```

g1 g2 g3 = 000, b1 b2 b3 = 000
g1 g2 g3 = 001, b1 b2 b3 = 001
g1 g2 g3 = 010, b1 b2 b3 = 011
g1 g2 g3 = 011, b1 b2 b3 = 010
g1 g2 g3 = 100, b1 b2 b3 = 111
g1 g2 g3 = 101, b1 b2 b3 = 110
g1 g2 g3 = 110, b1 b2 b3 = 100
g1 g2 g3 = 111, b1 b2 b3 = 101

```

Figure 2.77 Outputs for the Gray-to-binary code converter.

\subsection*{2.7 Additional Design Examples}

This section will present several combinational logic design examples utilizing the modeling methods presented in Chapter 1 plus additional techniques. Examples using multiplexers to obtain a minimized design module will also be presented. An iterative network will be introduced. An iterative network is an organization of identical cells which are interconnected in an ordered manner with the signals propagating in one direction only. An iterative machine (or network) can consist of combinational logic arranged in a linear array. The Boolean functions obtained in the examples can be minimized and represented in both a sum-of-products form and a product-of-sums form.

Example 2.21 This example uses the Karnaugh map shown in Figure 2.78 to obtain the minimized equation for \(z_{1}\) in both a sum-of-products form and a product-of-sums form. The sum-of-products expression will be designed using dataflow modeling with the continuous assignment statement utilizing the keyword assign. The product-ofsums expression will be designed using built-in-primitives in Example 2.22.

The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 2.79. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.80 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.81.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2} \quad \begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 11 & 10\end{array}\)} \\
\hline 00 & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & & \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & 6 \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(0^{13}\) & \(0^{15}\) & \(1{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(1^{11}\) & \(1^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.78 Karnaugh map for Example 2.21.

Sum-of-products form
\[
z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}
\]

\section*{Product-of-sums form}
\[
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)
\]
```

//dataflow for sum-of-products expression
//z1 = x1'x4' + x1'x2x3' + x3x4' + x2'x3
module sop_pos_df_bip (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//design logic using the continuous assignment statement
assign z1 = (~x1 \& ~x4) | (~x1 \& x2 \& ~x3) | (x3 \& ~x4)
| (~x2 \& x3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.79 Dataflow design module for Example 2.21.
```

//test bench for sum-of-products equation
module sop_pos_df_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_pos_df_bip inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure \(\mathbf{2 . 8 0}\) Test bench module for Example 2.21.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.81 Outputs for Example 2.21.

Example 2.22 This example repeats Example 2.21, but uses built-in primitives for the product-of-sums equation of Example 2.21. The Karnaugh map and the product-of-sums equation are reproduced in Figure 2.82 and Equation 2.11 for convenience. The design module is shown in Figure 2.83. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.84 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.85.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \[
1^{0}
\] & \(0{ }^{1}\) & & \(1^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(1{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(0^{13}\) & \(0^{15}\) & \(1^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(1^{11}\) & \(1{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.82 Karnaugh map for Example 2.22.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
\]
```

//built-in primitives for pos equation
//z1 = (x1' + x3) ( x2' + x3' + x4') (x2 + x3 + x4')
// net1 net2 net3
module sop_pos_bip (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//design the logic using built-in primitives
or inst1 (net1, ~x1, x3),
inst2 (net2, ~x2, ~x3, ~x4),
inst3 (net3, x2, x3, ~x4);
and inst4 (z1, net1, net2, net3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.83 Design module for Example 2.22.
```

//test bench for pos equation
module sop_pos_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_pos_bip inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.84 Test bench module for Example 2.22.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0000, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0101, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1010, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1100, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.85 Outputs for Example 2.22.

Example 2.23 This example designs a comparator to compare two 4-bit operands \(a[3: 0]\) and \(b[3: 0]\) to determine if \(A<B\) or if \(A=B\). The conditional statements if, else if, and else will be used in the design. The equations used for the comparison are shown in Equation 2.12.
\[
\begin{gather*}
(A<B)=a_{3}^{\prime} b_{3}+\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} b_{2}+\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime} b_{1} \\
+\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime} a_{0}^{\prime} b_{0} \\
(A=B)=\left(a_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{2} \oplus b_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{0} \oplus b_{0}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
\]

The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 2.86. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.87 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.88.
```

//behavioral conditional statements to compare
//two operands for less than and equal
module a_lt_eq_b_cond (a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
output a_lt_b, a_eq_b;
//variables used in always are declared as reg
reg a_lt_b, a_eq_b;
//design the 4-bit comparator for less than
always @ (a or b)
begin
if (~a[3] \& b[3])
a_lt_b = 1'b1;
else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) \& (~a[2] \& b[2]))
a_lt_b = 1'b1;
else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) \& (a[2] ^~ b[2]) \& (~a[1] \& b[1]))
a_lt_b = 1'b1;
else if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) \& (a[2] ^~ b[2]) \& (a[1] ^~ b[1])
\& (~a[0] \& b[0]))
a_lt_b = 1'b1;
else a_lt_b = 1'b0;
end //continued on next page

```

Figure 2.86 Behavioral design module for the comparison of two operands.
```

//-------------------------------------------------------
//design the 4-bit comparator for equal
always @ (a or b)
begin
if ((a[3] ^~ b[3]) \& (a[2] ^~ b[2]) \& (a[1] ^~ b[1])
\& (a[0] ^~ b[0]))
a_eq_b = 1'b1;
else a_eq_b = 1'b0;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 2.86 (Continued)
```

//test bench to detect if A <= B
module a_lt_eq_b_cond_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
wire a_lt_b, a_eq_b;
//display inputs and outputs
initial
\$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, a_lt_b = %b, a_eq_b = %b",
a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0001;
\#10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
\#10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1011;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
\#10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0101;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0010;
\#10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111; //continued on
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011; //next page

```

Figure 2.87 Test bench module for the comparison of two operands.
```

    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1100;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1010;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0111;
    #10 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0000;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
a_lt_eq_b_cond inst1 (a, b, a_lt_b, a_eq_b);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.87 (Continued)


Figure 2.88 Outputs for the comparison of two operands.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=0110, \mathrm{~b}=0111\), & a _lt_b \(=1, \mathrm{a}_{\text {_ }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1011, \mathrm{~b}=1010\), & a -lt_b \(=0, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1110, \mathrm{~b}=1111\), & a _lt_b \(=1, \mathrm{a}_{\text {_ }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1110, \mathrm{~b}=0111\), & a _lt_b \(=0, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=0111, \mathrm{~b}=0111\), & a _lt_b \(=0, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=1\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1000, \mathrm{~b}=1100\), & a _lt_b \(=1, \mathrm{a}_{\text {a }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1011, \mathrm{~b}=1010\), & a _lt_b \(=0, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1110, \mathrm{~b}=1111\), & a _lt_b \(=1, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=1110, \mathrm{~b}=0111\), & a _lt_b \(=0, \mathrm{a}_{\text {- }}\) eq_b \(=0\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=0000, \mathrm{~b}=0000\), & \(\mathrm{a} \_1 \mathrm{t}\) _ \(\mathrm{b}=0, \mathrm{a}_{-}\)eq_b \(=1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.88 (Continued)

Example 2.24 A logic circuit will be designed that generates an output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit number \(a[3: 0]\) satisfies the following requirements: \(a[3: 0]\) is greater than 11 or less than 4. The design module will use the continuous assignment statement assign. The Karnaugh map is shown in Figure 2.89 and the equation is shown in Equation 2.13. The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 2.90. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.91 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.92.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(a_{1} a_{0}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
a_{3} a_{2}
\]} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \(1^{1}\) & \(1^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(1^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(1^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.89 Karnaugh map for Example 2.24
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=a_{3}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime}+a_{3} a_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
\]
```

//dataflow to detect number in the range >11 and <4
module number_range3 (a, z1);
//define inputs and output
input [3:0] a;
output z1;
//design the dataflow logic using assign
assign z1 = a[3] ^~ a[2];
endmodule

```

Figure 2.90 Dataflow design module to determine if a number is greater than 11 or less than 4.
```

//test bench for number range
module number_range3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
a = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("a = %b, z1 = %b", a, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
number_range3 inst1 (a, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.91 Test bench module to determine if a number is greater than 11 or less than 4.
```

a=0000, z1=1
a = 0001, z1 = 1
a = 0010, z1 = 1
a = 0011, z1 = 1
a = 0100, z1 = 0
a = 0101, z1 = 0
a = 0110, z1 = 0
a = 0111, z1 = 0
a = 1000, z1 = 0
a = 1001, z1 = 0
a = 1010, z1 = 0
a = 1011, z1 = 0
a = 1100, z1 = 1
a = 1101, z1 = 1
a = 1110, z1 = 1
a = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.92 Outputs for the dataflow module to determine if a number is greater than 11 or less than 4.

Example 2.25 This example is similar to Example 2.24, but uses the behavioral if, else if, else conditional statements. A logic circuit will be designed that generates an output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit number \(a[3: 0]\) satisfies the following requirements:
\[
z_{1}=a_{2}^{\prime} a_{0}^{\prime}+a_{2} a_{0}
\]

The Karnaugh map is shown in Figure 2.93. The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 2.94. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.95 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.96.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(a_{1} a_{0}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(a_{3} a_{2} \quad \begin{array}{lllll}00 & 01 & 11 & 10\end{array}\)} \\
\hline 00 & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \(0^{1}\) & \(0^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0{ }^{4}\) & \(1{ }^{5}\) & \(1^{7}\) & \(0{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(0{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(1{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.93 Karnaugh map for Example 2.25.
```

//behavioral for the following equation
//z1 = a2' a0' + a2 a0
module number_range4 (a, zl);
input [3:0] a; //define inputs and output
output z1;
//variables used in always are declared as reg
reg z1;
always @ (a)
begin
if (~a[2] \& ~a[0])
z1 = 1'b1;
else if (a[2] \& a[0])
z1 = 1'b1;
else z1 = 1'b0;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 2.94 Behavioral design module for Example 2.25 using if, else if, else.
```

//test bench for number_range4
module number_range4_tb;
reg [3:0] a; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
a = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("a = %b, z1 = %b", a, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
number_range4 inst1 (a, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.95 Test bench for Example 2.25 using if, else if, else.
```

a = 0000, z1 = 1
a = 0001, z1 = 0
a = 0010, z1 = 1
a = 0011, z1 = 0
a = 0100, z1 = 0
a = 0101, z1 = 1
a = 0110, z1 = 0
a = 0111, z1 = 1
a = 1000, z1 = 1
a = 1001, z1 = 0
a = 1010, z1 = 1
a = 1011, z1 = 0
a = 1100, z1 = 0
a = 1101, z1 = 1
a = 1110, z1 = 0
a = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.96 Outputs for Example 2.25 using if, else if, else.

Example 2.26 This example will use the product-of-sums form to design a combinational logic circuit. A product-of-sums is an expression in which at least one term does not contain all the variables; that is, at least one term is a proper subset of the variables or their complements.

The minimal product-of-sums expression can be obtained by combining the 0 s in the Karnaugh map to form sum terms in the same manner as the 1s were combined to form product terms. However, since 0 s are being combined, each sum term must equal 0. The equation for Example 2.26 is shown in Equation 2.14.
\(z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Pi(0,2,5,7,8.9,10,13,14)\)
\(z_{1}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{4^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}+x_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}{ }^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\)

The Karnaugh map for the product-of-sums form of Equation 2.14 is shown in Figure 2.97. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 2.98 using AND gates and OR gates. The structural design module is shown in Figure 2.99 using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling, such as or3_df and and5_df. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 2.100 and 2.101, respectively.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(0^{0}\) & \(1^{1}\) & & \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(1{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(0^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(0^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0{ }^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(1^{11}\) & \(0{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.97 Karnaugh map for Example 2.26.


Figure 2.98 Logic diagram for Example 2.26.
```

//structural product-of-sums form for equation
//z1 = (x1 + x2 + x4)(x1 + x2' + x4')(x2' + x3 + x4')
//(x1' + x3' + x4)(x1' + x2 + x3)
module prod_of_sums (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define the inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1; //continued on next page

```

Figure 2.99 Structural design module for Example 2.26.
```

//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5;
//instantiate the logic gates
or3_df inst1 (x1, x2, x4, net1);
or3_df inst2 (x1, ~x2, ~x4, net2);
or3_df inst3 (~x1, x3, ~x4, net3);
or3_df inst4 (~x1, ~x3, x4, net4);
or3_df inst5 (~x1, x2, x3, net5);
and5_df inst6 (net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.99 (Continued)
```

//test bench for product-of-sums
module prod_of_sums_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
prod_of_sums inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.100 Test bench module for Example 2.26.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0001, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.101 Outputs for Example 2.26.

Example 2.27 This example will use the conjunctive normal form to design the combinational logic circuit of Example 2.26. A conjunctive normal form, also called a "product of maxterms", is an expression in which each term contains all the variables, either true or complemented. The minimal conjunctive normal form can be obtained by combining the individual 0 s in the Karnaugh map to form sum terms. The Karnaugh map is reproduced in Figure 2.102 for convenience. The equation is shown in Equation 2.15.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
00
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \[
0
\] & \(1^{1}\) & \(1{ }^{3}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(1{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(0^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(0^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0^{9}\) & \(1^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.102 Karnaugh map for Example 2.27.
\[
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Pi_{\mathrm{M}}(0,2,5,7,8,9,10,13,14)  \tag{2.15}\\
& z_{1}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 2.103 using AND gates and OR gates. The structural design module is shown in Figure 2.104 using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 2.105 and 2.106 , respectively.


Figure 2.103 Logic diagram for Example 2.27.
```

//built-in primitives for conjunctive normal form
module conjunctive_normal (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4; //define inputs and output
output z1;
//design the logic using built-in primitives
or inst1 (net1, x1, x2, x3, x4),
inst2 (net2, x1, x2, ~x3, x4),
inst3 (net3, x1, ~x2, x3, ~x4),
inst4 (net4, x1, ~x2, ~x3, ~x4),
inst5 (net5, ~x1, x2, x3, x4),
inst6 (net6, ~x1, x2, x3, ~x4),
inst7 (net7, ~x1, x2, ~x3, x4),
inst8 (net8, ~x1, ~x2, x3, ~x4),
inst9 (net9, ~x1, ~x2, ~x3, x4);
and inst10 (z1, net1, net2, net3, net4, net5,
net6, net7, net8, net9);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.104 Design module using built-in primitives for the conjunctive normal form of Example 2.27.
```

//test bench for conjunctive normal equation
module conjunctive_normal_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4; //inputs are reg, outputs are wire
wire zl;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4} = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
conjunctive_normal inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.105 Test bench for the conjunctive normal form of Example 2.27.
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0001, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0110, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0111, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1000, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1001, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1010, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1011, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1100, z1 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1101, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1110, z1 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1111, z1 = 1

```

Figure 2.106 Outputs for the conjunctive normal form of Example 2.27.

Example 2.28 This example uses a 4:1 multiplexer to indicate how a design module can be minimized by using a multiplexer. First a \(4: 1\) multiplexer will be designed using the continuous assignment assign statement, then instantiated into the design module for this example. The design module for the multiplexer is shown in Figure 2.107.
```

//dataflow 4:1 mux
module mux4a_df (s, d, z1);
//define inputs and output
input [1:0] s;
input [3:0] d;
output z1;
assign z1 = (~s[1] \& ~s[0] \& d[0]) |
(~s[1] \& s[0] \& d[1]) |
( s[1] \& ~s[0] \& d[2]) |
( s[1] \& s[0] \& d[3]);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.107 A 4:1 multiplexer designed using the assign statement.

The equation for this example is shown in Equation 2.16 and plotted on the Karnaugh map of Figure 2.108. The equation for output \(z_{1}\) is shown in Equation 2.17.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{m}}(0,4,9,10,13,14) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
x_{1} x_{2} x_{4} \\
00
\end{gathered} 01 \quad 11 \quad 10
\]} \\
\hline 00 & & \[
0^{1}
\] & \(0^{3}\) & \(0^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) & \(0^{15}\) & \(1^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(1{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(1^{10}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2.108 Karnaugh map that is generated from Equation 2.16.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1^{\prime}} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
\]

The structural design module is shown in Figure 2.109 using a single \(4: 1\) multiplexer that was designed using dataflow modeling and instantiated into the module. The test bench module is shown in Figure 2.110 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.111 .
```

//structural design module
module func_decomp5 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//instantiate the 4:1 multiplexer
mux4a_df inst1 ({x1, x3}, ({~x4, x4, 1'b0, ~x4}), z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.109 Structural design module for Example 2.28.
```

//test bench for design module
module func_decomp5_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire zl; //outputs are wire for test bench
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
func_decomp5 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.110 Test bench module for Example 2.28.
```

x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.111 Outputs for Example 2.28.

Example 2.29 This example illustrates the design of an iterative network to design a single-bit detection circuit. In this example, a typical cell will be designed, then instantiated three times into a higher-level module to detect a single bit in a 3-bit input vector \(x[1: 3]\). Figure 2.112 shows the internal logic of a typical cell, which will be instantiated three times into the higher-level circuit of Figure 2.113. This network will then be designed by module instantiation using of the typical single-bit cell.


Figure 2.112 Internal logic for a typical cell in the single-bit detection circuit.


Figure 2.113 Block diagram for the circuit to detect a single bit in a 3-bit input vector \(x[1: 3]\).

The dataflow design module for a typical cell using built-in primitives is shown in Figure 2.114. The test bench is shown in Figure 2.115 and the outputs are shown in Figure 2.116. The design module for the iterative network is shown in Figure 2.117. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 2.118 and 2.119, respectively.
```

//typical cell for single-bit detection
module sngl_bit_cell (x1_in, y1_in, y0_in, y1_out, y0_out);
input x1_in, y1_in, y0_in;
output y1_out, y0_out;
not inst1(net1, x1_in);
and inst2 (net2, net1, y1_in);
and inst3 (net3, x1_in, y0_in);
and inst4 (y0_out, net1, y0_in);
or inst5 (y1_out, net2, net3);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.114 Dataflow design module for the single-bit detection cell.
```

//test bench for single-bit cell
module sngl_bit_cell_tb;
reg xl_in, y1_in, y0_in;
wire yl_out, y0_out;
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [3:0] invect;
for (invect=0; invect<8; invect=invect+1)
begin
{x1_in, y1_in, y0_in} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1_in y1_in y0_in = %b,
y1_out y0_out = %b",
{x1_in, y1_in, y0_in}, {y1_out, y0_out});
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sngl_bit_cell inst1 (x1_in, y1_in, y0_in, yl_out, y0_out);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.115 Test bench module for the single-bit detection cell.
```

x1, y1_in, y0_in = 000, net1_1, net1_0 = 00
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 001, net1_1, net1_0 = 01
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 010, net1_1, net1_0 = 10
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 011, net1_1, net1_0 = 11
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 100, net1_1, net1_0 = 00
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 101, net1_1, net1_0 = 10
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 110, net1_1, net1_0 = 00
x1, y1_in, y0_in = 111, net1_1, net1_0 = 10

```

Figure 2.116 Outputs for the single-bit detection cell.
```

//single-bit detection network designed by instantiation
module sngl_bit_detect3 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
input x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and output
output z1;
//instantiate the single-bit cell module
//cell 1
sngl_bit_cell inst1 (x1, 1'b0, 1'b1, net1_1, net1_0);
//cell 2
sngl_bit_cell inst2 (x2, net1_1, net1_0, net2_1, net2_0);
//cell 3
sngl_bit_cell inst3 (x3, net2_1, net2_0, z1, 1'b0);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.117 Design module for the single-bit iterative detection network.
```

//test bench for the single-bit detection
//using a typical cell instantiation
module sngl_bit_detect3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3;
wire z1;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 2.118 Test bench module for the single-bit iterative detection network.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [3:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sngl_bit_detect3 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 2.118 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 101, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, z1 = 0

```

Figure 2.119 Outputs for the single-bit iterative detection network.

\subsection*{2.8 Problems}
2.1 Use dataflow modeling to implement the function shown below in a sum-ofproducts form and also in a product-of-sums form. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs. Compare the outputs for both forms.
\[
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{m}}(0,1,6,7,11,12,13,15)
\]
2.2 A Karnaugh map is shown below using \(x_{5}\) as a map-entered variable. Obtain the input equations for a nonlinear-select multiplexer using \(x_{1} x_{2}=s_{1} s_{0}\). A nonlinear-select multiplexer is a smaller multiplexer with fewer data inputs and can be effectively utilized with a corresponding reduction in machine cost.

Use dataflow modeling with the assign statement and behavioral modeling with the case statement to implement the design module. Provide several combinations of the five variables \(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}\) in the test bench. Obtain the outputs and verify that they conform to the minterm entries of the Karnaugh map.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
00
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & & \[
x_{5}^{1}
\] & \(0^{3}\) & \(x_{5}{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(1{ }^{7}\) & \(1{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & 13 & \(1^{15}\) & \(x_{5}{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(1{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.3 Design a structural module that will generate a high output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit binary number \(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\) has a value less than or equal to 4 or greater than 11. Generate a Karnaugh map and obtain the equation for \(z_{1}\) in a sum-of-products form and for \(z_{2}\) in a product-of-sums form. Instantiate dataflow modules for the logic gates into the structural module. Obtain the design module, the test bench module for all combinations of the inputs, and the outputs.
2.4 Obtain the Karnaugh map that represents the equation shown below. Then obtain the design module using built-in primitives, the test bench module, and the outputs for the equation shown below. In the same design module obtain the Verilog code for an equivalent equation using only exclusive-OR gates with logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling.
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}= & x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime} \\
& +x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime} \\
& +x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}
\end{aligned}
\]
2.5 Design an octal-to-binary code converter using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. The octal-to-binary conversion table is shown below. Obtain the conversion equations, then design the dataflow module, the test bench module, and obtain the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Octal Inputs} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Binary Outputs} \\
\hline o[0] & \(o[1]\) & \(o[2]\) & \(o[3]\) & \(o[4]\) & \(o[5]\) & o[6] & \(o[7]\) & \(b[2]\) & \(b[1]\) & b[0] \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.6 Repeat Problem 2.5 using behavioral modeling with the case statement. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
2.7 Design a 5-input majority circuit using the dataflow continuous assign statement. Obtain the Karnaugh map and the equations for the sum-of-products and for the product-of-sums expressions. Obtain the design module for both the sum-of-products expression and the product-of-sums expression. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
2.8 Given the Karnaugh map shown below, obtain the equation for output \(z_{1}\) in a sum-of-products form and for output \(z_{2}\) in product-of-sums form. Then obtain the design module using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench module for all combinations of the five inputs. Obtain the output values for \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(x_{5}=0\)} \\
\hline & 00 & 1 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & & \(1^{2}\) & \(1^{6}\) & \(0^{4}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{8}\) & \(1^{10}\) & \(1{ }^{14}\) & \(1{ }^{12}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{24}\) & \(1^{26}\) & \(0^{30}\) & \(0^{28}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{16}\) & \(1^{18}\) & \(1^{22}\) & \(1{ }^{20}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{5}=1\)} \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline - 0 & \[
0^{1}
\] & \(1{ }^{3}\) & \(1^{7}\) & \(0^{5}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{9}\) & \(1^{11}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(1^{13}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{25}\) & \(1^{27}\) & \(0^{31}\) & \(0^{29}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(1{ }^{17}\) & \(1{ }^{19}\) & \(1{ }^{23}\) & \(0^{21}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
z_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)
\]
2.9 Design a dataflow module for a full adder using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Recall that a full adder is a combinational circuit that adds two operand bits: the augend \(a\) and the addend \(b\) plus a carry-in bit cin. The carry-in bit represents the carry-out of the previous lower-order stage. A full adder produces two outputs: a sum bit sum and carry-out bit cout. The truth table for a full adder is shown below. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline\(a\) & \(b\) & cin & cout & sum \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.10 Design a 4-bit comparator for two 4-bit unsigned binary operands: \(A\) [3:0] and \(B\) [3:0] using behavioral modeling. There are three outputs:
\[
A<B, A=B, A>B
\]

Obtain the test bench module and the outputs for 30 input vectors.
2.11 This problem and the next two problems all design a binary-to-Gray code converter using different design techniques: this problem uses dataflow modeling with the continuous assign statement; Problem 2.12 uses behavioral modeling with the always statement; Problem 2.13 uses behavioral modeling with the case statement. The binary-to-Gray code conversion table is shown below. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Binary Code } & \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Gray Code } \\
\hline\(b_{3}\) & \(b_{2}\) & \(b_{1}\) & \(b_{0}\) & \(g_{3}\) & \(g_{2}\) & \(g_{1}\) & \(g_{0}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\multicolumn{5}{c}{\(/ /\) continued on next page } \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Binary Code } & \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Gray Code } \\
\hline\(b_{3}\) & \(b_{2}\) & \(b_{1}\) & \(b_{0}\) & \(g_{3}\) & \(g_{2}\) & \(g_{1}\) & \(g_{0}\) \\
\hline \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2.12 Repeat Problem 2.11 for the binary-to-Gray code converter using behavioral modeling with the always statement. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
2.13 Repeat Problem 2.11 for the binary-to-Gray code converter using behavioral modeling with the case statement. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
2.14 Use structural modeling to design a 4:1 multiplexer using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs for 16 combinations of the inputs.
2.15 Design a behavioral module using the case statement to design an 8:1 multiplexer. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs for 20 combinations of the inputs.
2.16 Design a behavioral module that adds 5 to a variable count to obtain a maximum value of 100 and displays the outputs.
2.17 Plot the following equation on a Karnaugh map, then change the equation to an exclusive-NOR format. Obtain the design module using built-in primitive logic gates. Then obtain the test bench module and the outputs for all combinations of the four variables.
\[
z_{1}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} x_{4}^{\prime}
\]
2.18 Obtain a minimized equation for \(z_{1}\) in a sum-of-products representation and for \(z_{2}\) in a product-of-sums representation for the Karnaugh map shown
below, where the outputs are \(12 \leq z_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)<3\). Then obtain the design module using built-in primitives, the test bench module, and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline 00 & \[
10
\] & \[
1{ }^{1}
\] & \[
0^{3}
\] & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{4}\) & \(0^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(1^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(1{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\subsection*{3.1 Introduction}

This chapter provides techniques for designing sequential logic using Verilog HDL. Sequential logic circuits consist of combinational logic and storage elements, such as \(S R\) latches, \(D\) flip-flops, and \(J K\) flip-flops. They are specified as sequential because the operations of the circuit are executed in sequence. Since these circuits (or sequential machines) contain a finite number of internal states, they are also referred to as \(f i\) nite state machines. A state is a set of values that is specified at different locations in the state machine.

\subsection*{3.1.1 Definition of a Sequential Machine}

A synchronous sequential machine is a machine whose present outputs are a function of the present state only or the present state and present inputs. A requirement of a synchronous sequential machine is that state changes occur only when the machine is clocked, either on the positive or negative transition of the clock. Thus, input changes do not affect the present state of the machine until the occurrence of the next active clock transition.

The logic that generates the inputs to the storage elements is called the " \(\delta\) nextstate function", because the next state of the machine is usually determined by the inputs. The outputs generated by the storage elements are called the " \(\lambda\) output function".

In some cases, the output logic may require one or more storage elements, depending on the assertion and deassertion of the output signals.

Some synchronous sequential machines are described by a state diagram. A state diagram presents a graphical representation in which the state transitions are more easily followed. The state diagrams are similar to flowchart diagrams in which the transition sequences and thus, the operational characteristics of the machine, are clearly delineated. Two symbols are used: a state symbol and an output symbol.

The state symbol is designated by a circle as shown in Figure 3.1. These nodes (or vertices) correspond to the state of the machine; the state name, such as state \(a\), is placed inside the circle. The connecting directed lines between states correspond to the allowable state transitions. There are one or more entry paths and one or more exit paths as indicated by the arrows, unless the vertex is a terminal state, in which case there is no exit. The symbols \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\) represent the names of the storage elements of the machine for that state, which indicate that the storage elements are specified as \(y_{1}\) is set (1), \(y_{2}\) is reset ( 0 ), and \(y_{3}\) is set (1). If input \(x_{1}\) is 0 , then the machine proceeds to a particular state. If input \(x_{1}\) is 1 , then the machine proceeds to a different state.


Figure 3.1 The state symbol for a state diagram indicating state \(a\).

The output symbol is represented by a rectangle and is placed immediately following the state symbol, as shown in Figure 3.2 (a) or placed immediately after an input variable that causes the output to become active as shown in 3.2 (b). Figure 3.2 (a) specifies a Moore machine in which output \(z_{1}\) is a function of the present state only. Figure 3.2 (b) indicates a Mealy machine in which output \(z_{1}\) is a function of both the present state and the present input \(x_{1}\). Moore and Mealy machines will be presented in Sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.4, respectively.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.2 State diagram output symbol indicating output \(z_{1}\).

\subsection*{3.2 Synchronous Sequential Machines}

Techniques for synthesizing (designing) synchronous sequential machines are introduced. A detailed procedure is presented to synthesize a synchronous sequential machine from a given set of machine specifications. From this a logic diagram or a list of Boolean functions is obtained from which the sequential machine can be designed.

A synchronous sequential machine requires a state diagram or state table for its precise description. The state diagram depicts the sequence of events that must occur in order for the machine to perform the functions which are defined in the machine specifications.

A proper choice of state code assignments may reduce the number of gates in the \(\delta\) next-state function logic. Since there are \(p\) storage elements, the binary values of these \(p\)-tuples can usually be chosen such that the combinational input logic is minimized. A judicious choice of state codes permits more entries in the Karnaugh map to be combined. This results in input equations with fewer terms and fewer variables per term.

\subsection*{3.2.1 Synthesis Procedure}

This section develops a detailed method for designing synchronous sequential machines using various types of storage elements. The hierarchical design algorithm is shown below.
1. Develop a state diagram from the problem definition, which may be either a word description and/or a timing diagram.
2. Check for equivalent states and then eliminate redundant states. Equivalent states are presented in Section 3.2.2.
3. Assign state codes for the storage elements in the form of a binary \(p\)-tuple, as shown in Section 3.1.1. For example, \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=101\)
4. Determine equivalent states, as described in Section 3.2.2.
5. Select the type of storage element to be used ( \(S R\) latch, \(D\) flip-flop, \(J K\) flip-flop) then generate the input maps for the \(\delta\) next-state function and derive the input equations.
6. Generate the output maps for the \(\lambda\) output function and derive the output equations.
7. Design the logic diagram using the input equations, the storage elements, and the output equations. Then the Verilog design module and test bench can be generated from which the outputs can be obtained.

\subsection*{3.2.2 Equivalent States}

At each node in the state diagram, two events occur: the outputs (if applicable) for the present state are generated as a function of the present state only (Moore machine) or the present state and inputs (Mealy machine); the next state is determined as a function of the present state only or the present state and inputs.

Two states \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) of a machine are equivalent if, for every input sequence, the output sequence when started in state \(Y_{i}\) is identical to the output sequence when started in state \(Y_{j}\) or if both states \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) have the same or equivalent next state. When equivalent states have been found, all but one are redundant and should be eliminated before implementing the state diagram with hardware. Two states can be equivalent if they satisfy the following equivalence relation properties:

Reflexive For every state \(Y_{i}\) in the machine, \(Y_{i} \equiv Y_{i}\); that is, \(Y_{i}\) is related to itself.
Symmetric For every pair of states \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) in the machine, if \(Y_{i} \equiv Y_{j}\), then \(Y_{j} \equiv Y_{i}\); that is, the order of the relation is not important.

Transitive For any three states \(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\), and \(Y_{k}\) in the machine, if \(Y_{i} \equiv Y_{j}\) and \(Y_{j} \equiv Y_{k}\), then \(Y_{i} \equiv Y_{k}\); that is, \(Y_{i} \equiv Y_{j} \equiv Y_{k}\).

\subsection*{3.2.3 Moore Machines}

Moore machines are synchronous sequential machines in which the output function \(\lambda\) produces an output vector \(Z_{r}\) which is determined by the present state only, and is not a function of the present inputs. The general configuration of a Moore machine is shown in Figure 3.3. The next-state function \(\delta\) is an \((n+p)\)-input, \(p\)-output switching function. The output function \(\lambda\) is a \(p\)-input, \(m\)-output switching function.

If a Moore machine has no data input, then it is referred to as an autonomous machine. Autonomous circuits are independent of the inputs. The clock signal is not considered as a data input. An autonomous Moore machine is an important class of synchronous sequential machines, the most common application being a counter. A Moore machine may be synchronous or asynchronous; however, this section pertains to synchronous organizations only.

A Moore machine is a 5-tuple and can be defined as shown in Equation 3.1,
\[
\begin{equation*}
M=(X, Y, Z, \delta, \lambda) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\(X\) is a nonempty finite set of inputs
\(Y\) is a nonempty finite set of states
Z is a nonempty finite set of outputs
\(\delta(X, Y): X \times Y \rightarrow Y\)
\(\lambda(Y): Y \rightarrow Z\)

The symbols \(\delta(X, Y): X \times Y \rightarrow Y\) specify \(\delta\) is a function of \(X\) and \(Y\), and the symbol \(\times\) specifies that \(X\) is the Cartesian product of \(X\) and \(Y\). The Cartesian product of two sets is defined as follows: For any two sets \(S\) and \(T\), the Cartesian product of \(S\) and \(T\) is written as \(S \times T\) and is the set of all ordered pairs of \(S\) and \(T\), where the first member of the ordered pair is an element of \(S\) and the second member is an element of \(T\). Thus, the general classification of a synchronous sequential machine \(M\) can be defined as the 5-tuple shown in Equation 3.1.


Figure 3.3 Moore synchronous sequential machine in which the outputs are a function of the present state only.

Examples will now be presented to illustrate the design of Moore synchronous sequential machines using Verilog HDL.

Example 3.1 A state diagram for a Moore machine is shown in Figure 3.4, which generates an output \(z_{1}\) whenever a serial, 3-bit binary word on an input line \(x_{1}\) is greater than or equal to six. The first bit received in each word is the high-order bit. There is no bit space between words. Figure 3.5 shows the design module using behavioral modeling. The design module uses \(A[2: 0]\) to replace input line \(x_{1}\). Output \(z_{1}\) is asserted whenever the input vector is \(A[2: 0]=110\) or 111 . The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.6 and 3.7, respectively.

When a state has two possible next states, then the two next states should be adjacent (differ by only one variable); that is, if an input causes a state transition from state \(Y_{i}\) to either \(Y_{j}\) or \(Y_{k}\), then \(Y_{j}\) and \(Y_{k}\) should be assigned adjacent state codes.

\(Y_{j}\) and \(Y_{k}\) should be adjacent

When two states have the same next state, the two states should be adjacent; that is, if \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) both have \(Y_{k}\) as a next state, then \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) should be assigned adjacent state codes.


A third rule is useful in minimizing the \(\lambda\) output logic. States which have the same output should have adjacent state code assignments; that is, if states \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) both have \(z_{1}\) as an output, then \(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) should be adjacent. This allows for a larger grouping of 1 s in the output map.

\(Y_{i}\) and \(Y_{j}\) should be adjacent


Figure 3.4 State diagram for the Moore synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.1. Unused states are: 100, 101, and 111.
```

//behavioral to determine if a[2:0] >= 6
module a_gt_eq_six (a2, a1, a0, a_gt_eq_six);
input a2, a1, a0; //define inputs and output
output a_gt_eq_six;
//variables are reg in always
reg a_gt_eq_six;
//determine if a2, a1, a0 >= six
always @ (a2 or a1 or a0)
begin
if (a2 \& a1)
a_gt_eq_six = 1'b1;
else
a_gt_eq_six = 1'b0;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3.5 Behavioral design module for the Moore synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.1.
```

//test bench to determine if a[2:0] >= 6
module a_gt_eq_six_tb;
reg a2, a1, a0; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire a_gt_eq_six; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //apply input vectors
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [3:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{a2, a1, a0} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("a2. a1. a0 = %b, a_gt_eq_six = %b",
{a2, a1, a0}, a_gt_eq_six);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
a_gt_eq_six inst1 (a2, a1, a0, a_gt_eq_six);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.6 Test bench module for the Moore synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.1.
```

a2. a1. a0 = 000, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 001, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 010, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 011, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 100, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 101, a_gt_eq_six = 0
a2. a1. a0 = 110, a_gt_eq_six = 1
a2. a1. a0 = 111, a_gt_eq_six = 1

```

Figure 3.7 Outputs for the Moore synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.1.

Example 3.2 This example uses the state diagram of Example 3.1 for a Moore machine to assert output \(z_{1}\) in state \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=110\). This design uses Karnaugh maps, built-in primitives, and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The state diagram is reproduced in Figure 3.8 for convenience. The Karnaugh maps are shown in Figure 3.9 and the corresponding equations are shown in Equation 3.3.


Figure 3.8 State diagram for the Moore synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.2. Unused states are: \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=100,101\), and 111.


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 00 & 01 & 1 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & \(1^{0}\) & \(0^{1}\) & \(0^{3}\) & \(0^{2}\) \\
\hline & 4 & 5 & 7 & 6 \\
\hline 1 & - & - & - & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(D y_{3}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.9 Karnaugh maps for Example 3.2.
\[
\begin{align*}
& D y_{1}=x_{1} y_{2} y_{3} \\
& D y_{2}=y_{3}+y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1} \\
& D y_{3}=y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3}^{\prime} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
\]

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.10. The \(D\) flip-flop that was designed using behavioral modeling is shown in Figure 3.11. The \(D\) flip-flop will be instantiated three times into the structural design module, each as a single-line instantiation. The sequence for each instantiation will be in the following order: rst_n, clk, \(d, q\), which represent the reset input, the clock input, the \(D\) input to the flip-flop, and the positive output from the flip-flop.

The structural design module for Example 3.2 to assert output \(z_{1}\) in state \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=\) 110 is shown in Figure 3.12 using built-in primitives and \(D\) flip-flops. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.13 using the positive-edge of the clock to cause state changes to occur. The outputs are shown in Figure 3.14.


Figure 3.10 Logic diagram for Example 3.2.
```

//behavioral D flip-flop
module d_ff_bh (rst_n, clk, d, q);
input rst_n, clk, d;
output q;
wire rst_n, clk, d;
reg q;
always @ (rst_n or posedge clk)
begin
if (rst_n == 0)
q <= 1'b0;
else q <= d;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3.11 Behavioral design module for a positive-edge \(D\) flip-flop.
```

//structural for moore ssm to assert z1 in state y1y2y3 = 110
module assert_z1_state_110 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
//define inputs and output
input rst_n, clk, x1;
output [1:3] y;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net3, net4, net6;
//------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and inst1 (net1, x1, y[2], y[3]);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net1, y[1]);
//-------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and inst3 (net3, x1, ~y[2]);
or inst4 (net4, y[3], net3);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst5 (rst_n, clk, net4, y[2]);
//------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and inst6 (net6, ~y[2], ~y[3]);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst7 (rst_n, clk, net6, y[3]);
//------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z1
and inst8 (z1, y[1], y[2], ~y[3]);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.12 Structural design module for Example 3.2 to assert \(z_{1}\) in state 110.
```

//test bench for moore ssm to assert z1 in state yly2y3 = 110
module assert_z1_state_110_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1;
wire [1:3] y;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b", x1, y, z1);
//define clock
initial
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#O rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_b (001)
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_d (010)
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_e (110);
//assert z1
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_d (010)
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
assert_z1_state_110 inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.13 Test bench module for Example 3.2.
```

x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 010, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 011, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 110, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 011, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 010, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 000, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.14 Outputs for Example 3.2.

Example 3.3 This example uses the state diagram of Example 3.1 to design a Moore machine to assert output \(z_{1}\) in state \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=110\). This design uses behavioral modeling with the case statement for comparison. The state diagram is reproduced in Figure 3.15 for convenience. The behavioral model is shown in Figure 3.16. The test bench and outputs are shown in Figures 3.17 and 3.18, respectively.


Figure 3.15 State diagram for Example 3.3.
```

//behavioral case to assert z1 in state y1y2y3 = 110
module a_gt_eq_6_case (rst_n, clk, y, x1, z1);
input rst_n, clk, x1; //define inputs and output
output [2:0] y;
output z1;
reg [2:0] y, next_state;
wire z1;
//assign state codes
parameter state_a = 3'b000,
state_b = 3'b001,
state_c = 3'b011,
state_d = 3'b010,
state_e = 3'b110;
assign z1 = (y[2] \& y[1]); //define output
always @ (posedge clk) //set next state
begin
if (~rst_n)
y <= state_a;
else
y <= next_state;
end
always @ (y or x1) //determine next state
begin
case (y)
state_a:
if (x1 == 0) next_state = state_b;
else next_state = state_c;
state_b: next_state = state_d;
state_c:
if (x1 == 0) next_state = state_d;
else next_state = state_e;
state_d: next_state = state_a;
state_e: next_state = state_a;
default: next_state = state_a;
endcase
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3.16 Behavioral design module for Example 3.3.
```

//test bench to assert z1 in state y1y2y3 = 110
module a_gt_eq_6_case_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [2:0] y; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire z1;
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b", x1, y, z1);
initial //define clock
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0;
\#10 rst_n = 1'b1;
x1 = 1'b0;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1;@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0;@ (posedge clk)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
a_gt_eq_6_case inst1 (rst_n, clk, y, x1, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.17 Test bench module for Example 3.3.
```

x1 = 1, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 011, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 010, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 010, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 011, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 110, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.18 Outputs for Example 3.3.

Example 3.4 The state diagram shown below in Figure 3.19 represents a Moore synchronous sequential machine with three inputs and four outputs. The machine will be designed using behavioral modeling with the case statement. The design module is shown in Figure 3.20. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.21 and Figure 3.22, respectively.


Figure 3.19 State diagram for Example 3.4.
```

//behavioral moore ssm with four outputs
module moore_4_outputs_case (clk, x1, x2, x3, y,
z1, z2, z3, z4);
input clk, x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and outputs
output [2:0] y;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;

```
    //continued on next page

Figure 3.20 Behavioral design module for Example 3.4.
```

reg [2:0] y, next_state; //variables are reg in always
//assign state codes
parameter state_a = 3'b101, //parameter defines a constant
state_b = 3'b110,
state_c = 3'b011,
state_d = 3'b000,
state_e = 3'b001;
assign z1 = (y[2] \& y[1] \& ~y[0]), //define outputs
z2 = (~y[2] \& y[1] \& y[0]),
z3 = (~y[2] \& ~y[1] \& ~y[0]),
z4 = (~y[2] \& ~y[1] \& y[0]);
//set next state
always @ (posedge clk)
y <= next_state;
//determine next state
always @ (y or x1 or x2 or x3)
begin
case (y)
state_a:
if (x1==0 \& x3==0)
next_state = state_b;
else if (x1==0 \& x3==1)
next_state = state_c;
else if (x1==1 \& x2==0 \& x3==0)
next_state = state_d;
else if (x1==1 \& x2==1 \& x3==1)
next_state = state_e;
else if (x1==1 \& x2==0 \& x3==1)
next_state = state_a;
else if (x1==1 \& x2==1 \& x3==0)
next_state = state_a;
else next_state = state_a;
state_b: next_state = state_a;
state_c: next_state = state_a;
state_d: next_state = state_a;
state_e: next_state = state_a;
default next_state = state_a;
endcase
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3.20 (Continued)
```

//test bench for moore ssm with four outputs
module moore_4_outputs_case_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg clk, x1, x2, x3;
wire [2:0] y;
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 z2 z3 z4 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, y, {z1, z2, z3, z4});
//define clock
initial
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
x1 = 1'b0;x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_b (110)
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)
x1 = 1'b0;x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)
x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_d (000)
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)
x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_e (001)
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)
x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)

```
                                    //continued on next page

Figure 3.21 Test bench module for Example 3.4.
```

    x1 = 1'b1;x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (101)
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
moore_4_outputs_case inst1 (clk, x1, x2, x3, y,
z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.21 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 110, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1000
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 011, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0100
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0010
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 x2 x3 = 101, state = 001, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0001
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000

```

Figure 3.22 Outputs for Example 3.4.

Example 3.5 A state diagram is shown in Figure 3.23 for a Moore synchronous sequential machine that has three parallel inputs \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\) and three outputs \(z_{1}, z_{2}\), and \(z_{3}\). The inputs represent a 3-bit word. There is one bit space between words. Output \(z_{1}\) is asserted if the 3 -bit word contains a single 1 bit; output \(z_{2}\) is asserted if the 3bit word contains two 1 bits; output \(z_{3}\) is asserted if the 3-bit word contains three 1 bits.

The structural design module will be implemented using \(D\) flip-flops and built-in primitives. The Karnaugh maps for the three flip-flops are shown in Figure 3.24 and the corresponding equations are shown in Equation 3.4. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.25.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.26. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.27 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.28.


Figure 3.23 State diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.5. Unused states are: \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=011,101,110\), and 111 .

See Equation 3.4 for the meaning of entries \((a),(b)\), and \((c)\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(y_{2} y_{3}\)} \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & (a) \({ }^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & \(-^{3}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(0^{4}\) & 5 & - \({ }^{7}\) & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(D y_{1}\)

\(D y_{2}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(y^{2}\) & & & & \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline \[
0
\] & (c) \({ }^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & \(-^{3}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(0^{4}\) & - \({ }^{5}\) & - \({ }^{7}\) & 6 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.24 Karnaugh maps for the Moore machine of Example 3.5.
(a) \(D y_{1}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\)
(b) \(\quad D y_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\)
(c) \(D y_{3}=x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\)


Figure 3.25 Logic diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.5.
```

//structural for moore with three outputs using D flip-flops
module moore_3_outputs (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y,
z1, z2, z3);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
output [1:3] y;
output z1, z2, z3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net3, net4, net5, net6, net8, net9, net10, net11;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.26 Structural design module for the Moore machine of Example 3.5.
```

//------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and inst1 (net1, x1, x2, x3);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net1, y[1]);
//------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and inst3 (net3, ~x1, x2, x3),
inst4 (net4, x1, ~x2, x3),
inst5 (net5, x1, x2, ~x3);
or inst6 (net6, net3, net4, net5);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst7 (rst_n, clk, net6, y[2]);
//--------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and inst8 (net8, ~x1, ~x2, x3),
inst9 (net9, ~x1, x2, ~x3),
inst10 (net10, x1, ~x2, ~x3);
or inst11 (net11, net8, net9, net10);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst12 (rst_n, clk, net11, y[3]);
//------------------
z2 = y[2],
z3 = y[1];
endmodule

```

Figure 3.26 (Continued)
```

//test bench for moore with three outputs using D flip-flops
module moore_3_outputs_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg
wire [\overline{1:3] y; /loutputs are wire}
wire z1, z2, z3;

```

Figure 3.27 Test bench module for Example 3.5.
```

initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, z1 z2 z3 + %b", {x1, x2, x3},
{z1, z2, z3});
initial //define clock
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
initial //define input sequence
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//--------------------------------------------------
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
moore_3_outputs inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y,
z1, z2, z3);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.27 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 000
x1 x2 x3 = 001, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 000
x1 x2 x3 = 001, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 000
x1 x2 x3 = 010, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 010, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 011, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 011, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 100, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 100, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 101, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 101, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 100
x1 x2 x3 = 110, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 110, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 111, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 111, clock = 0, z1 z2 z3 + 010
x1 x2 x3 = 111, clock = 1, z1 z2 z3 + 001

```

Figure 3.28 Outputs for Example 3.5.

Example 3.6 This example designs the Moore synchronous sequential machine shown in Figure 3.29 using structural modeling. The design will use \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling and logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling.


Figure 3.29 State diagram for Example 3.6.

The Karnaugh maps obtained from the state diagram are shown in Figure 3.30. The equations for the \(D\) flip-flops are shown below the corresponding Karnaugh maps. The equations are reproduced in Figure 3.31 together with the instantiation names and the net names for the structural design module. The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.32. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.33 and 3.34 , respectively.

\[
D y_{2}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}^{\prime}
\]

\[
D y_{3}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3}^{\prime}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}
\]

Figure 3.30 Karnaugh maps for Example 3.6.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & inst1 inst2 inst3 \\
\hline \(D y_{1}=\) &  \\
\hline & inst6 inst7 \\
\hline \[
D y_{2}=
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \\
\text { net6 } \\
\text { inst8, net8 }
\end{gathered} \stackrel{~ n e t 7 ~}{4}^{\text {net }}
\] \\
\hline & inst 10 inst11 \\
\hline \[
D y_{3}=
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3}^{\prime}+y_{1} y_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3} x_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} \\
& \text { net10 } \\
& \text { inst12 net12 }
\end{aligned} \text { net11 }
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.31 Equations with instantiation and net names for Example 3.6.
```

//structural for moore synchronous sequential machine
module moore_ssm31 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y, z1, z2, z3);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
output [1:3] y;
output z1, z2, z3;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net6, net7, net8,
net10, net11, net12;
/
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and4_df inst1 (~y[1], ~y[2], ~y[3], ~x1, net1),
inst2 (y[1], ~y[2], y[3], ~x2, net2),
inst3 (y[1], ~y[2], y[3], x3, net3);
or3_df inst4 (net1, net2, net3, net4);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst5 (rst_n, clk, net4, y[1]);

```

Figure 3.32 Structural design module for Example 3.6.
```

//------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and4_df inst6 (~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], x2, net6),
inst7 (y[1], ~y[2], y[3], ~x2, net7);
or2_df inst8 (net6, net7, net8);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst9 (rst_n, clk, net8, y[2]);
//--------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and3_df inst10 (~y[1], ~y[2], ~y[3], net10);
and5_df inst11 (y[1], ~y[2], y[3], ~x2, ~x3, net11);
or2_df inst12 (net10, net11, net12);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst13 (rst_n, clk, net12, y[3]);
//--------------------------------------------------------
assign z1 = ~y[1] \& y[2] \& ~y[3],
z2 = y[1] \& ~y[2] \& ~y[3],
z3 = y[1] \& y[2] \& y[3];
endmodule

```

Figure 3.32 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the moore synchronous sequential machine
module moore_ssm31_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
wire [1:3] y;
wire z1, z2, z3;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 z2 z3 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, y, {z1, z2, z3});
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.33 Test bench module for Example 3.6.
```

initial //define clock
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
initial //define input sequence
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
//---------------------------------------------------------
x2 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
//-----------
@ (posedge clk)
x2 = 1'b1;x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
//----------------------------------------------------------
x1 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x2 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x2 = 1'b0;x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
moore_ssm31 inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y, z1, z2, z3);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.33 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 000, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 001, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 010, z1 z2 z3 = 100
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 100, z1 z2 z3 = 010
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 000, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 101, z1 z2 z3 = 000
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 111, z1 z2 z3 = 001
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 z2 z3 = 000

```

Figure 3.34 Outputs for Example 3.6.

\subsection*{3.2.4 Mealy Machines}

Mealy machines are synchronous sequential machines in which the output function \(\lambda\) produces an output vector \(Z_{r(t)}\) which is determined by both the present input vector \(X_{i(t)}\) and the present state of the machine \(Y_{j(t)}\). The general configuration of a Mealy machine is shown in Figure 3.35. A Mealy machine may be synchronous or asynchronous; however, this section pertains to synchronous organizations only.


Figure 3.35 Mealy machine in which the outputs are a function of both the present state and the present inputs.

A Mealy machine is a 5-tuple and can be defined as shown in Equation 3.5,
\[
\begin{equation*}
M=(X, Y, Z, \delta, \lambda) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
\]
where
\(X\) is a nonempty finite set of inputs
\(Y\) is a nonempty finite set of states
Z is a nonempty finite set of outputs
\(\delta(X, Y): X \times Y \rightarrow Y\)
\(\lambda(X, Y): Y \rightarrow Z\)
The Mealy class of synchronous sequential machines is the result of a paper by G. H. Mealy in 1955 on the synthesis of sequential circuits. The definitions for Mealy and Moore machines are the same, except that the outputs of a Mealy machine are a function of both the present inputs and the present state, whereas the outputs of a Moore machine are a function of the present state only. This is the underlying difference between Moore and Mealy machines. A Moore machine, therefore, can be considered as a special case of a Mealy machine.

Example 3.7 The state diagram for a Mealy synchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.36 and will be implemented with \(J K\) flip-flops in a structural design module. There are three inputs \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\) and one output \(z_{1}\). There are two state flip-flops \(y_{1}\) and \(y_{2}\) that are reset to state \(a\left(y_{1} y_{2}=11\right)\) and one unused state \(y_{1} y_{2}=00\).

The functional characteristic table for a \(J K\) flip-flop is shown in Table 3.1 and the excitation table is shown in Table 3.2.


Figure 3.36 State diagram for the Mealy machine of Example 3.7. Unused state is \(y_{1} y_{2}=00\).

The next-state table is shown in Table 3.3 and is obtained directly from the state diagram. For example, consider state \(a\left(y_{1} y_{2}=11\right)\). Input \(x_{3}\) does not contribute to a state transition to state \(b\left(y_{1} y_{2}=10\right)\) or to state \(c\left(y_{1} y_{2}=01\right)\); therefore, input \(x_{3}\) is
entered as a "don't care" value in the next-state table. If \(x_{1}=0\) in state \(a\left(y_{1} y_{2}=11\right)\), then the machine proceeds to state \(c\left(y_{1} y_{2}=01\right)\); therefore, the next-state table contains a next state of \(y_{1} y_{2}=01\) whenever \(x_{1}=0\).

If \(x_{1} x_{2}=10\) in state \(a\), then the machine remains in state \(a\). If \(x_{1} x_{2}=11\) in state \(a\), then the machine proceeds to state \(b\left(y_{1} y_{2}=10\right)\), where output \(z_{1}\) is asserted if \(x_{3}=1\), then sequences to state \(a\); otherwise, the machine proceeds to state \(a\) without asserting output \(z_{1}\).

Table 3.1 JK Functional
Characteristic Table
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline\(J K\) & Function \\
\hline \hline 00 & No change \\
01 & Reset \\
10 & Set \\
11 & Toggle \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.2 Excitation Table for a \(J\) K Flip-Flop
\begin{tabular}{cccl}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Present State \\
\(Y_{j(t)}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Next State \\
\(Y_{k(t+1)}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Data Inputs \\
\(J K\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 0 & 0 & \(0-\) & A dash \((-)\) indicates a "don't care" condition \\
0 & 1 & \(1-\) & \\
1 & 0 & -1 & \\
1 & 1 & -0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.3 Next-State Table for the Mealy Machine of Example 3.7


The Karnaugh maps for the \(J K\) flip-flops are shown in Figure 3.37 and the equations are shown in Equation 3.6. The Karnaugh map for output \(z_{1}\) is shown in Figure 3.38 and the equation for \(z_{1}\) is shown in Equation 3.7. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.39 using AND gates and positive edge-triggered \(J K\) flip-flops. The design module for a \(J K\) flip-flop is shown in Figure 3.40. The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.41 using instantiated logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling and \(J K\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.42 and 3.43, respectively.



Figure 3.37 Karnaugh maps for the Mealy synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.7.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
J y_{1}=1 & K y_{1}=y_{2} x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \\
J y_{2}=1 & K y_{2}=y_{1} x_{1} x_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{array}
\]


Figure 3.38 Karnaugh map for output \(z_{1}\).
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=y_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
\]


Figure 3.39 Logic diagram for the Mealy machine of Example 3.7.
```

module jk_ff_bh (rst_n, clk, j, k, q);
input rst_n, clk, j, k;
output q;
wire rst_n, clk, j, k;
reg q;
always @ (posedge clk or negedge rst_n)
begin
if (~rst_n) begin q <= 1'b0;
end
else if (j==1'b0 \&\& k==1'b0)
begin q <= q; end
else if (j==1'b0 \&\& k==1'b1)
begin q <= 1'b0; end
else if (j==1'b1 \&\& k==1'b0)
begin q <= 1'b1; end
else if (j==1'b1 \&\& k==1'b1)
begin q <= ~q; end
end
endmodule

```

Figure 3.40 Behavioral design module for a \(J K\) flip-flop.
```

//structural mealy ssm
module mealy_ssm_10a (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y, z1);
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and output
output [1:2] y;
output z1;
wire net1, net3; //define internal nets
//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and2_df inst1 (y[2], ~x1, net1);
//instantiate the JK flip-flop for y[1]
jk_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, 1'b1, net1, y[1]);
//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and3_df inst3 (y[1], x1, x2, net3);
jk_ff_bh inst4 (rst_n, clk, 1'b1, net3, y[2]);
//
//instantiate the logic for the output z1
and2_df inst5 (~y[2], x3, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.41 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.7.
```

//test bench for mealy synchronous sequential machine
module mealy_ssm_10a_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg
wire [1:2] y; //outputs are wire
wire z1;
initial //display variables
begin
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, y, z1);
end
initial //define clock
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end //continued on next page

```

Figure 3.42 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.7.
```

//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; \#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
//-----------
@ (posedge clk)
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//---------------------------------------
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mealy_ssm_10a inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.42 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = xxx, state = 00, z1 = x
x1 x2 x3 = xxx, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 11, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.43 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.7.

Example 3.8 A Mealy synchronous sequential machine will be designed using structural modeling with built-in primitives and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. There is one output \(z_{1}\) that is asserted whenever a serial input data line \(x_{1}\) contains a 3 -bit word with an odd number of 1 s . There is no space between words.

The state diagram is shown in Figure 3.44 with three unused states: \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=101\), 110 , and 111 , which will be assigned values of zero. If two or more flip-flops change value for a state transition sequence, then the machine may momentarily pass through either an unused state or a state in which there is no output - in both cases, there will be no glitch on output \(z_{1}\).


Figure 3.44 State diagram for the Mealy machine of Example 3.8.

The Karnaugh maps for the \(D\) flip-flops \(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\) are shown in Figure 3.45. The equations for the flip-flops are shown in Equation 3.8. The Karnaugh map for output \(z_{1}\) is shown in Figure 3.46 and the equation for \(z_{1}\) is shown in Equation 3.9.
\[
D y_{1}
\]


Figure 3.45 Karnaugh maps for the \(D\) flip-flops for Example 3.8.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & \(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{0}\) & \(x_{1}{ }^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(x_{1}{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(0{ }^{4}\) & \(0{ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.45 (Continued)
\[
\begin{align*}
& D y_{1}=y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3} x_{1}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{2} y_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \\
& \underset{\text { net3 }}{\text { net1 }} \downarrow \text { net2 } \\
& D y_{2}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} y_{3} x_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} y_{3}^{\prime} x_{1} \\
& \underset{\text { net } 4}{ } \text { net5 } \\
& \text { net6 } \\
& D y_{3}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} y_{3}^{\prime} x_{1}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \text { net7 } \quad \text { net8 }
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & \(0^{0}\) & \(0{ }^{1}\) & \(x_{1}{ }^{3}\) & \(0{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \(x_{1}{ }^{4}\) & \({ }^{5}\) & 7 & 6 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.46 Karnaugh map for output \(z_{1}\).
\[
\begin{gather*}
z_{1}=y_{1} x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y_{2} y_{3} x_{1}  \tag{3.9}\\
\text { net10 } \\
z_{1}
\end{gather*} \frac{\text { net11 }}{}
\]

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.47 using built-in primitives and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Refer to page 254 of this chapter for the behavioral design module for the \(D\) flip-flop. When using built-in primitives, the output signal is listed first, followed by the inputs in any order. The instance name is optional. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.48 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.49.
```

//structural for mealy using built-in primitives
module mealy_struc_bip (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
input rst_n, clk, x1; //define inputs and output
output [1:3] y;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7,
net8, net9, net10, net11, net12;
//--------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and (net1, ~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], x1),
(net2, ~y[1], y[2], ~y[3], ~x1);
or (net3, net1, net2);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, net3, y[1]);
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and (net4, ~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], ~x1),
(net5, ~y[1], y[2], ~y[3], x1);
or (net6, net4, net5);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net6, y[2]);
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and (net7, ~y[1], ~y[2], ~x1),
(net8, ~y[1], y[2], ~y[3], x1);
or (net9, net7, net8);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, net9, y[3]);
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.47 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.8.
```

//instantiate the logic for output z1
and (net10, y[1], ~x1),
(net11, y[2], y[3], x1);
or (z1, net10, net11);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.47 (Continued)
```

//test bench for structural mealy using built-in primitives
module mealy_struc_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1;
wire [1:3] y;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b", x1, y, z1);
//define clock
initial
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; x1 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//-----------------------------------------------
x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)

Figure 3.48 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.8.

```
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mealy_struc_bip inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.48 (Continued)

```
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 011, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 100, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 100, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 001, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 011, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 000, z1 = 0
```

Figure 3.49 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.8.

Example 3.9 A Mealy synchronous sequential machine that generates an output $z_{1}$ whenever the sequence 1001 is detected on a serial data input line $x_{1}$. Overlapping sequences are valid, as shown below, which will assert $z_{1}$ three times.

$$
\ldots 011010010001 \underline{10010010 \ldots} .
$$

The state diagram is shown in Figure 3.50. This example will use logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling.


Figure 3.50 State diagram for the Mealy synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.9.

The Karnaugh maps for flip-flops $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are shown in Figure 3.51. The equations for the flip-flops are shown in Equation 3.10. The equation for output $z_{1}$ is shown in Equation 3.11. The structural design module using dataflow logic gates and behavioral $D$ flip-flops is shown in Figure 3.52. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.53 and 3.54, respectively.

$D y_{1}$

$D y_{2}$

Figure 3.51 Karnaugh maps for Example 3.9.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { net } 1 \\
D y_{1}=y_{2} x_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{3.10}\\
D y_{2}=x_{1}+y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
\text { net } 3
\end{gather*}
$$

```
//structural for mealy to detect the sequence 1001
module mealy_1001_sequence (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
//define inputs and output
input rst_n, clk, x1;
output [1:2] y;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3;
//continued on next page
```

Figure 3.52 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.9.

```
//----------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and2_df inst1 (y[2], ~x1, net1);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net1, y[1]);
//---------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and2_df inst3 (~y[1], y[2], net3);
or2_df inst4 (x1, net3, net4);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst5 (rst_n, clk, net4, y[2]);
//---------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z1
and3_df inst6 (y[1], ~y[2], x1, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.52 (Continued)

```
//test bench for mealy_1001_sequence
module mealy_1001_sequence_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [1:2] y; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
begin
    $monitor ("x1 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b", x1, y, z1);
end
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
```

Figure 3.53 Test bench module for Example 3.9.

```
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;x1 = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;x1 = 1'b0;
//
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b1; @ (posedge clk)
    x1 = 1'b0; @ (posedge clk)
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mealy_1001_sequence inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.53 (Continued)

```
x1 = 0, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 0
```

Figure 3.54 Outputs for Example 3.9.

Example 3.10 A state diagram for a Mealy synchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.55 which has three parallel inputs $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ and two outputs $z_{1} z_{2}$. Output $z_{1}$ is asserted if the input sequence is $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=000,111,000$. Output $z_{2}$ is asserted if the input sequence is $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=111,000,111$.

There are two unused states: $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=110$ and 111. The state codes are assigned such that any transition through an unused state will not cause an output to be asserted if the input sequence from state $e$ to state $a$ is any input value that is not $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=000$ or 111 .

The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 3.56 using the case statement with the if, else if, else conditional statements. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.57 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.58.


Figure 3.55 State diagram for the Mealy machine of Example 3.10. Unused states are: $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=110$ and 111 .

```
//behavioral mealy three parallel inputs
module mealy_111_000_bh_case (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3,
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
output [1:3] y;
output z1, z2;
//variables in always are reg
reg [1:3] y, next_state;
//assign state codes
parameter state_a = 3'b000,
        state_b = 3'b001,
        state_c = 3'b011,
        state_d = 3'b101,
        state_e = 3'b100,
        state_f = 3'b010;
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.56 Behavioral design module for the Mealy synchronous sequential machine of Example 3.10.

```
//set next state
always @ (posedge clk)
begin
    if (~rst_n)
        y = state_a;
    else
        y = next_state;
end
//define outputs
assign z1 = (y[1] & ~y[2] & y[3] & ~x1 & ~x2 & ~x3),
    z2 = (~y[1] & y[2] & ~y[3] & x1 & x2 & x3);
//determine next state
always @ (y or x1 or x2 or x3)
begin
    case (y)
//------------
    if ((x1==0 & x3==1) | ( }x1==1 & < <2==0)
            | (x2==1 & x3==0))
            next_state = state_a;
            else if (x1==0 & x2==0 & x3==0)
            next_state = state_b;
            else if (x1==1 & x2==1 & x3==1)
            next_state = state_c;
//-------------------------------------------------
    state b:
    if (x1==1 & x2==1 & x3==1)
            next_state = state_d;
    else if (x1==0 | x2==0 | x3==0)
    next_state = state_e;
/ /--------------------------------------------------
    state c:
    if
        next_state = state_e;
    else if (x1==0 & x2==0 & x3==0)
        next_state = state_f;
//------------------------------------------------
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.56 (Continued)

```
    state_d:
        if ( }x1==0 & x2==0 & x3==0
                next_state = state_a;//assert zl
            else if (x1==1 | <2 ==1 | x3==1)
                next_state = state_a;
//---------------------------------------------------
    state_e: next_state = state_a;
//----------------------------------------------------
        state_f:
            if (x1==0 | x2==0 | x3==0)
            next_state = state_a;
            else if (x1==1 & }\times2==1 & x3==1
            next_state = state_a;//assert z2
//--------------------------------------------------
    default next_state = state_a;
endcase
end
endmodule
```

Figure 3.56 (Continued)

```
//test bench for mealy three parallel inputs
module mealy_111_000_bh_case_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
wire [1:3] y;
wire z1, z2;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b, z2 = %b",
    {x1, x2, x3}, y, z1, z2);
initial //define clock
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.57 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.10.

```
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0; #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
//-----------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b0; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x2=1'b1; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_b (001)
//------------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_d (101)
//----------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000), assert z1
//-----------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_f (010)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000), assert z2
//--------------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_e (100)
    @ (posedge clk)
/ /-------------
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mealy_111_000_bh_case inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3,
                                y, z1, z2);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.57 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 x3 = xxx, state = xxx, z1 = x, z2 = x
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = xxx, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 101, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 001, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 101, z1 = 1, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 010, z1 = 0, z2 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 100, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
```

Figure 3.58 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.10.

Example 3.11 This example repeats Example 3.10 for a Mealy machine, but uses a structural design module with built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops. The state diagram for the Mealy machine is reproduced in Figure 3.59 for convenience.


Figure 3.59 State diagram for Mealy machine of Example 3.11.

The equations for flip-flops $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$ can be obtained directly from the state diagram. The equations represent the transition paths where the next state for a particular flip-flop is a logic 1. For example, flip-flop $y_{1}$ assumes a value of 1 for the following input vectors: from state $b\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=001\right)$ to state $d\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=101\right)$, where the inputs are $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$; from state $b\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=001\right)$ to state $e\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=100\right)$, where the inputs are $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{3}{ }^{\prime}$; and from state $c\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=011\right)$ to state $e\left(y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=100\right)$, where the inputs are $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$. Therefore, the equations for the $D$ input of flip-flop $y_{1}$ are shown in Equation 3.12 using built-in primitives.

```
        and net1: y }\mp@subsup{y}{1}{\prime}\mp@subsup{y}{2}{\prime}\mp@subsup{'}{3}{\prime}\mp@subsup{x}{1}{\prime}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mp@subsup{x}{3}{
        or net2: }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{\prime}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{\prime}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{x}{3}{\prime
            and net3: y }\mp@subsup{1}{1}{\prime}\mp@subsup{y}{2}{\prime}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}\mathrm{ net2
        or net4: }\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mp@subsup{x}{3}{
            and net5: y }\mp@subsup{y}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}\mathrm{ net4
D\mp@subsup{y}{1}{}= or net6: netl net3 net5
```

The structural design module using built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling is shown in Figure 3.60. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.61 and 3.62, respectively.

```
//structural for mealy_111_000 with three parallel inputs
module mealy_111_000_struc (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3,
    y, z1, z2);
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3; //define inputs and outputs
output [1:3] y;
output z1, z2;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8, net9,
        net10, net11, net12, net13;
//-----------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and inst1 (net1, ~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], x1, x2, x3);
or inst2 (net2, ~x1, ~x2, ~x3);
and inst3 (net3, ~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], net2);
or inst4 (net4, x1, x2, x3);
and inst5 (net5, ~y[1], y[2], y[3], net4);
or inst6 (net6, net1, net3, net5);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst7 (rst_n, clk, net6, y[1]);
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.60 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.11.

```
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and inst8 (net7, ~y[1], ~y[2], ~y[3], x1, x2, x3),
    inst9 (net8, ~y[1], y[2], y[3], ~x1, ~x2, ~x3);
or inst10 (net9, net7, net8);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst11 (rst_n, clk, net9, y[2]);
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and inst12 (net10, ~y[1], ~y[2], ~y[3], ~x1, ~x2, ~x3),
        inst13 (net11, ~y[1], ~y[2], ~y[3], x1, x2, x3),
        inst14 (net12, ~y[1], ~y[2], y[3], x1, x2, x3);
or inst15 (net13, net10, net11, net12);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst16 (rst_n, clk, net13, y[3]);
//-------------------------------------------------------------
//define outputs z1 and z2
assign z1 = (y[1] & ~y[2] & y[3] & ~x1 & ~x2 & ~x3),
    z2 = (~y[1] & y[2] & ~y[3] & x1 & x2 & x3);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.60 (Continued)

```
//test bench for mealy_111_000 with three parallel inputs
module mealy_111_000_struc_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
wire [1:3] y;
wire z1, z2;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b, z2 = %b",
    {x1, x2, x3}, y, z1, z2);
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.61 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.11.

```
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0; x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//------------------
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x2=1'b1; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000)
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_b (001)
//---------------------------
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_d (101)
//------------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000), assert z1
//----------------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
    x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_f (010)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_a (000), assert z2
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.61 (Continued)

```
//-----------------------------------------------
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; x3=1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_c (011)
    x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; x3=1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk) //go to state_e (100)
    @ (posedge clk)
/ /-------------------------------------------------
    #20 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mealy_111_000_struc inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3, y,
                        z1, z2);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.61 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 001, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 101, state = 100, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 001, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 101, z1 = 1, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 010, z1 = 0, z2 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 111, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 100, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
```

Figure 3.62 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.11.

### 3.2.5 Synchronous Registers

Synchronous registers are designed using storage elements, such as $D$ flip-flops, $J K$ flip-flops, and $S R$ latches. Each cell of a register stores one bit of binary information. There are many different types of synchronous registers, including parallel-in, paral-lel-out; parallel-in, serial-out; serial-in, parallel-out; and serial-in, serial-out registers. The next state of a register is usually a direct correspondence to the input vector, whose binary variables connect to the flip-flop data inputs, either directly or through $\delta$ next-state logic.

The state of the register is unchanged until the next active clock transition. Some registers may modify the data, such as shifting left or shifting right, where a left shift of one bit corresponds to a multiply-by-two operation and a right shift of one bit corresponds to a divide-by-two operation.

Parallel-in, parallel-out registers The most widely used register is the paral-lel-in, parallel-out (PIPO) register used for temporary storage of binary data. A typical application for a PIPO register is for the temporary storage of data, such as an index to be utilized in determining a memory location, a memory address register to address memory, and as a memory data register to contain information that is sent to or received from memory.

A typical PIPO register is shown in Figure 3.63 using $D$ flip-flops. The clock signal loads the register with the $x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ data inputs on active high transitions. The clock signal is obtained from external logic which allows a single clock pulse to be generated only when the register is to be loaded from a new set of inputs.


Figure 3.63 Logic diagram for a parallel-in, parallel-out register.

The synthesis procedure is not required for this basic type of register using $D$ flipflops. An alternative approach is to use $J K$ flip-flops as the storage elements. In this approach, the register is clocked continuously by the system clock, which is a free-running astable multivibrator. The register is loaded, however, only when a load signal is active. When the load input is inactive, the data inputs of each flip-flop are $J K=00$, which causes no change to the state of the machine. Thus, the register remains in its present state until the load input changes to an active level. The new input vector $X_{i}$ then replaces the previous state of the register.

Parallel-in, serial-out registers A parallel-in, serial-out (PISO) register accepts binary input data in parallel and generates binary output data in serial form. The binary data can be shifted either left or right under control of a shift direction signal and a clock pulse, which is applied to all flip-flops simultaneously. The register shifts left or right 1 bit position at each active clock transition. Bits shifted out of one end of the register are lost unless the register is cyclic, in which case, the bits are shifted (or rotated) into the other end. The bits that are shifted in are all 0 s . The logic diagram for a PISO register is shown in Figure 3.64.


Figure 3.64 Logic design of a parallel-in, serial-out register using $D$ flip-flops.

In Figure 3.64, when the Load signal is active high the input data is loaded into the shift register. When the Load signal is low ( - Shift), the register is shifted right one bit position at each active clock pulse. The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.65 using built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.66 and 3.67, respectively.

```
//structural for a 4-bit parallel-in, serial-out register
module piso4_struc2 (rst_n, clk, load, x1, x2, x3, x4,
    y, z1);
//define inputs and output
input rst_n, clk, load, x1, x2, x3, x4;
output [1:4] y;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8,
    net9, net10, net11, net12, net13;
not (net1, load);
//---------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
and (net2, load, x1),
        (net3, net1, 1'b0);
or (net4, net2, net3);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, net4, y[1]);
//--------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and (net5, load, x2),
        (net6, net1, y[1]);
or (net7, net5, net6);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net7, y[2]);
                            //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.65 Structural design module for the parallel-in, serial-out register.

```
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and (net8, load, x3),
        (net9, net1, y[2]);
or (net10, net8, net9);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, net10, y[3]);
//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[4]
and (net11, load, x4),
    (net12, net1, y[3]);
or (net13, net11, net12);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[4]
d_ff_bh inst4 (rst_n, clk, net13, y[4]);
//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//define output z1
assign z1 = y[4];
endmodule
```

Figure 3.65 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 4-bit parallel-in, serial-out register
module piso4_struc2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, load, x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire [1:4] y;
wire z1;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
    {x1, x2, x3, x4}, y, z1);
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.66 Test bench module for the parallel-in, serial-out register.

```
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        \#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    \# 0 rst_n = 1'b0;
        load = 1'b0;
        \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 4=1 ' b 0 ;\)
    \#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
```



```
    \#10 load = 1'b1;
    \#10 load = 1'b0;
    \#100 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test best
piso4_struc2 inst1 (rst_n, clk, load, x1, x2, x3, x4,
    y, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.66 (Continued)

```
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, state = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 1111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 0111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 0011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 0001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, state = 0000, z1 = 0
```

Figure 3.67 Outputs for the parallel-in, serial-out register.

Serial-in, parallel-out registers A serial-in, parallel-out (SIPO) register is a synchronous iterative network containing $p$ identical cells. Data enters the register from the left and shifts serially to the right through all $p$ stages, one bit position per clock pulse. After $p$ shifts, the register is fully loaded and the bits are transferred in parallel to the destination.

One application of a serial-in, parallel-out register is to deserialize binary data from a single-track peripheral subsystem. The resulting word of parallel bits is placed on the system data bus of the input/output processor and then sent to the central processing unit for processing.

The data input of each flip-flop is connected directly to the output of the preceding flip-flop with the exception of flip-flop $y_{1}$, which receives the external serial binary data. Figure 3.68 shows the implementation of a SIPO register using $D$ flip-flops. Each stage of the machine stores the state of the storage element to its immediate left. Data bits at the serial input are changed at the negative clock transition to allow bit $x_{1}$ to be stable at the $D$ inputs of flip-flop $y_{1}$ before the next active clock transition.

One useful application of a SIPO register is to generate a series of nonoverlapping pulses for system timing. This is accomplished by inserting a NOR gate drawn as the AND function to provide the input to the left storage element. The inputs to the NOR gate are the negative outputs of flip-flops $y_{1}, y_{2}$, and $y_{3}$.


Figure 3.68 Logic diagram for a serial-in, parallel-out register.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.69 using $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.70. The symbols \#7, \#20, etc. represent the time that input $x_{1}$ changes value. The sum of all the times indicates the time at that point. For example, the time represented by the fifth time symbol is the sum of the first five time symbols ( $\# 0-\# 20$ ); that is 50 time units. Input $x_{1}$ is assigned a value of 1 ' b 0 at that time. The time units assure that input $x_{1}$ will be stabilized before the positive edge of the clock occurs. The test bench takes the machine through an input sequence to generate the output sequence shown below. The outputs are shown in Figure 3.70.

$$
z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4}=0000-1111
$$

```
//structural for serial-in, parallel-out register
module sipo5_struc (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1, z2, z3, z4);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk, xl;
output [1:4] y;
output z1, z2, z3, z4;
//instantiate flip-flop y[1]
d_ff_bh instl (rst_n, clk, xl, y[1]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[2]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, y[1], y[2]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[3]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, y[2], y[3]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[4]
d_ff_bh inst4 (rst_n, clk, y[3], y[4]);
//define outputs z1, z2, z3, and z4
assign zl=y[1],
    z2 = y[2],
    z3 = y[3],
    z4 = y[4];
endmodule
```

Figure 3.69 Structural design module for the serial-in, parallel-out register.

```
//test bench for serial-in, parallel-out register
module sipo5_struc_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [1:4] y; /loutputs are wire for test bench
wire z1, z2, z3, z4;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 = %b, state = %b, z1 z2 z3 z4 = %b",
    x1, y, {z1, z2, z3, z4});
initial //define clock
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #1Oclk = ~clk;
end
initial //define input sequence
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0; x1 = 1'b0;
    #3 rst_n = 1'b1;
//------------------
    @ (posedge clk)
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #30 x1 = 1'b0;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #40 x1 = 1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #10 x1 = 1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #10 x1 = 1'b1;
    @ (posedge clk)
    #30 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sipo5_struc inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1, z2, z3, z4);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.70 Test bench module for the serial-in, parallel-out register.

```
x1 = 0, state = 0000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 = 1, state = 1000, z1 z2 z3 z4=1000
x1 = 0, state = 0100, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0100
x1 = 0, state = 0010, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0010
x1 = 0, state = 0001, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0001
x1 = 0, state = 0000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 0000
x1 = 1, state = 1000, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1000
x1 = 1, state = 1100, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1100
x1 = 1, state = 1110, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1110
x1 = 1, state = 1111, z1 z2 z3 z4 = 1111
```

Figure 3.71 Outputs for the serial-in, parallel-out register.

Serial-in, serial-out registers The synthesis of a serial-in, serial-out (SISO) register is similar to that of a SIPO register, with the exception that only one output is required. The rightmost flip-flop provides the single output for the register, as shown in Figure 3.72 using $D$ flip-flops.


Figure 3.72 Logic diagram for a serial-in, serial-out register.

One application of a SISO register is to deserialize data from a disk drive. A serial bit stream is read from a disk drive and converted into parallel bits by means of a SIPO register. When 8 bits have been shifted into the register, the bytes are shifted in parallel into a matrix of SISO registers, where each bit is shifted into a particular column. The SISO register, in this application, performs the function of a first-in, first-out (FIFO) queue and acts as a buffer between the disk drive and the system input/output (I/O) data bus.

The same implementation of a SISO register matrix can be used as an instruction queue in a CPU instruction pipeline. The CPU prefetches instructions from memory during unused memory cycles and stores the instructions in the FIFO queue. Thus, an instruction stream can be placed in the instruction queue to wait for decoding and execution by the processor. Instruction queueing provides an effective method to increase system throughput.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.73 using $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.74. The system function \$time is used in the test bench to return the current simulation time in nanoseconds. The time is specified whenever a variable changes value. The outputs are shown in Figure 3.75.

```
//structural 4-bit serial-in, serial-out register
module siso4_struc (rst_n, clk, xl, y, zl);
//define inputs and output
input rst_n, clk, x1;
output [1:4] y;
output z1;
//instantiate flip-flop y[1]
d_ff_bh instl (rst_n, clk, xl, y[1]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[2]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, y[1], y[2]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[3]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, y[2], y[3]);
//instantiate flip-flop y[4]
d_ff_bh inst4 (rst_n, clk, y[3], y[4]);
//define output z1
assign z1 = y[4];
endmodule
```

Figure 3.73 Structural design module for the serial-in, serial-out register.

```
//test bench for 4-bit serial-in, serial-out register
module siso4_struc_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [1:4] y; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire z1;
initial //display variables
$monitor ($time, "ns, x1 = %b, clk = %b, state = %b,
                        z1 = %b", x1, clk, y, z1);
initial //define clock
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
initial //define input sequence
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;x1 = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//-------------------------------------------------
    #3 x1 = 1'b1;
    #17 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b0;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #20 x1 = 1'b1;
    #40 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
siso4_struc inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, y, z1);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.74 Test bench module for the serial-in, serial-out register.

```
0ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0000, z1 = 0
8ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 0000, z1 = 0
10ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1000, z1 = 0
20ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1000, z1 = 0
30ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1100, z1 = 0
40ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1100, z1 = 0
45ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 1100, z1 = 0
50ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0110, z1 = 0
60ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0110, z1 = 0
70ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0011, z1 = 1
80ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0011, z1 = 1
90ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0001, z1 = 1
100ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0001, z1 = 1
105ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 0001, z1 = 1
110ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1000, z1 = 0
120ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1000, z1 = 0
130ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1100, z1 = 0
140ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1100, z1 = 0
150ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1110, z1 = 0
160ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1110, z1 = 0
165ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 1110, z1 = 0
170ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0111, z1 = 1
180ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0111, z1 = 1
190ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0011, z1 = 1
200ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0011, z1 = 1
210ns, x1 = 0, clk = 1, state = 0001, z1 = 1
220ns, x1 = 0, clk = 0, state = 0001, z1 = 1
225ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 0001, z1 = 1
230ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1000, z1 = 0
240ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1000, z1 = 0
250ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1100, z1 = 0
260ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1100, z1 = 0
270ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1110, z1 = 0
280ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1110, z1 = 0
290ns, x1 = 1, clk = 1, state = 1111, z1 = 1
300ns, x1 = 1, clk = 0, state = 1111, z1 = 1
```

Figure 3.75 Outputs for the serial-in, serial-out register.

### 3.2.6 Synchronous Counters

Counters are fundamental hardware devices used in the design of digital systems and have a finite number of states. The $\lambda$ output logic is usually a function of the present state only; that is, $\lambda\left(Y_{j(t)}\right)$. The state of the counter is interpreted as an integer with respect to a modulus. The symbol \% represents the modulus (remainder/residue) operator. A number $A$ modulo $n$ is defined as the remainder after dividing $A$ by $n$. Some counters contain a set of binary input variables from which the counter achieves an initial state.

A clock input signal causes the counter flip-flops to change state only at selected discrete intervals of time. Using the clock pulses to initiate state changes, the machine usually counts in either an ascending or descending sequence of states. In most cases counters reset to an initial state of $y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{p}=00 \ldots 0$. In general, a $p$-stage counter counts modulo $2^{p}$.

This section discusses only synchronous counters; asynchronous counters are inherently slow, because of the ripple effect caused by the output of stage $y_{i}$ functioning as the clock input for stage $y_{i+1}$.

Modulo-10 counter Modulo-10 counters are extensively used in digital computers when counting is required in radix 10. A modulo-10, or binary-coded decimal (BCD) decade counter, generates ten states in the following sequence: 0000, 0001, $0010,0011,0100,0101,0110,0111,1000,1001,0000, \ldots$. Thus, each decade requires four flip-flops.

The synthesis of a modulo-10 counter is relatively straightforward. The counter is initially reset to $y[3: 0]=0000$, then increments by one at each active clock transition until a state code of $y[3: 0]=1001$ is reached. At the next active clock transition, the counter sequences to state $y[3: 0]=0000$.

The modulo-10 counter in this section will be designed using behavioral modeling; therefore, there is no need for a state diagram - since the counting sequence is already known - or for a logic diagram. The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 3.76. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.77 and 3.78 , respectively.

```
//behavioral modulo-10 counter
module ctr_mod_10_bh (rst_n, clk, y);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk;
output [3:0] y;
reg [3:0] y; //variables are declared as reg in always
        //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.76 Behavioral design module for a modulo-10 counter.

```
//define counting sequence
always @ (posedge clk or negedge rst_n)
begin
    if (rst_n == 0)
        y = 4'b0000;
    else
        y = (y + 1) % 10; //% is the modulus (remainder/
                            //residue) operator
end
endmodule
```

Figure 3.76 (Continued)

```
//test bench for modulo-10 counter
module ctr_mod_10_bh_t.b;
reg rst_n, clk; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [3:0] y; /loutputs are wire for test bench
initial //display outputs
$monitor ("count = %b", y);
initial //define reset
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
end
initial //define clock
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end
initial //define length of simulation
begin
    #200 $finish;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
ctr_mod_10_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, y);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.77 Test bench module for the modulo-10 counter.

```
count = 0000
count = 0001
count = 0010
count = 0011
count = 0100
count = 0101
count = 0110
count = 0111
count = 1000
count = 1001
count = 0000
```

Figure 3.78 Outputs for the modulo-10 counter.

Modulo-16 counter A modulo-16 counter will now be designed using $D$ flipflops and built-in primitives. The counting sequence is: $y_{3} y_{2} y_{1} y_{0}=0000,0001,0010$, $0011,0100,0101,0110,0111,1000,1001,1010,1011,1100,1101,1110,1111,0000$. Using the counting sequence shown above, the Karnaugh maps are illustrated in Figure 3.79. The equations for the $D$ flip-flops are shown in Equation 3.13. The logic diagram, obtained from the $D$ flip-flop input equations, is shown in Figure 3.80.

| $y_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{3} y_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 00 | $0^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ |  | $0{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $0{ }^{4}$ | $0{ }^{5}$ | $1{ }^{7}$ | $0{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $1{ }^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1{ }^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $1^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |
| $D y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |


| $y_{1} y_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{3} y_{2}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
|  | $0^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ | $1{ }^{3}$ | $0{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $1^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1{ }^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | 0 | $1^{11}$ | $0^{10}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.79 Karnaugh maps for the modulo-16 synchronous counter.

| $y_{1} y_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{3} y_{2}$0 | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
|  | $0^{0}$ | $1^{1}$ |  | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $0^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| 11 | $0^{12}$ | $1{ }^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0^{8}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $1^{10}$ |
| $D y_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |


| $y_{1} y_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{3} y_{2} \quad \begin{array}{lllll}00 & 01 & 11 & 10\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 00 | $1{ }^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $0{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | 6 |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $0^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1^{14}$ |
| 10 | $1{ }^{8}$ | $0{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ |
| $D y_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.79 (Continued)

$$
\begin{align*}
& D y_{3}=y_{3} y_{2}^{\prime}+y_{3} y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{3} y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{3}^{\prime} y_{2} y_{1} y_{0} \\
& D y_{2}=y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{2} y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{1} y_{0} \\
& D y_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{0}+y_{1} y_{0}^{\prime} \\
& D y_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the built-in primitives are multiple-input gates used to describe a net and have one or more scalar inputs, but only one scalar output. The output signal is listed first, followed by the inputs in any order. The outputs are declared as wire; the inputs can be declared as either wire or reg. The gates represent combinational logic functions and can be instantiated into a module, as follows, where the instance name is optional:
gate_type inst1 (output, input_1, input_2, ..., input_n);
Two or more instances of the same type of gate can be specified in the same construct. Note that only the last instantiation has a semicolon terminating the line. All previous lines are terminated by a comma.


Figure 3.80 Logic diagram for the modulo-16 synchronous counter.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.81 using built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.82 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.83.

```
//structural for a modulo-16 counter
module ctr_mod16_struc (rst_n, clk, y);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk;
output [3:0] y; //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.81 Structural design module for a modulo-16 counter.

```
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6,
    net7, net8, net9, net10;
//---------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[3]
and (net1, y[3], ~y[2]),
    (net2, y[3], ~y[1]),
    (net3, y[3], ~y[0]),
    (net4, ~y[3], y[2], y[1], y[0]);
or (net5, net1, net2, net3, net4);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[3]
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, net5, y[3]);
//------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and (net6, y[2], ~y[1]),
    (net7, y[2], ~y[0]),
    (net8, ~y[2], y[1], y[0]);
or (net9, net6, net7, net8);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net9, y[2]);
//--------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
xor (net10, y[1], y[0]);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, net10, y[1]);
//--------------------------------------------
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[0]
d_ff_bh inst4 (rst_n, clk, ~y[0], y[0]);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.81 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the modulo-16 counter
module ctr_mod16_struc_tb;
reg rst_n, clk; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [3:0] y; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display outputs
$monitor ("count = %b", y);
//define reset
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
end
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
    #10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define length of simulation
initial
    #300 $stop;
//instantiate the module into the test bench
ctr_mod16_struc inst (rst_n, clk, y);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.82 Test bench module for the modulo-16 counter.

```
count = 0000
count = 1001
count = 0001 count = 1010
count = 0010 count = 1011
count = 0011 count = 1100
count = 0100 count = 1101
count = 0101 count = 1110
count = 0110 count = 1111
count = 0111 count = 0000
count = 1000
```

Figure 3.83 Outputs for the modulo-16 counter.

Modulo-8 counter A modulo-8 counter will now be designed using built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The counting sequence is: $y_{2} y_{1} y_{0}=000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111,000$. Using this counting sequence, the Karnaugh maps are illustrated in Figure 3.84. The equations for the $D$ flip-flops are shown in Equation 3.14. The logic diagram, obtained from the $D$ flipflop input equations, is shown in Figure 3.85.


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.84 Karnaugh maps for the modulo-8 counter.

$$
\begin{align*}
D y_{2} & =y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{2} y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{2}^{\prime} y_{1} y_{0} \\
D y_{1} & =y_{1}^{\prime} y_{0}+y_{1} y_{0}^{\prime} \\
& =y_{1} \oplus y_{0} \\
D y_{0} & =y_{0}^{\prime} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.85 Logic diagram for the modulo- 8 counter.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.86 using built-in primitives and $D$ flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.87 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.88.

```
//structural using bip and D flip-flops
module ctr_mod8 (rst_n, clk, y);
input rst_n, clk; //define inputs and outputs
output [2:0] y;
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5; //define internal nets
//---------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[2]
and (net1, y[2], ~y[1]),
    (net2, y[2], ~y[0]),
    (net3, ~y[2], y[1], y[0]);
or (net4, net1, net2, net3);
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.86 Structural design module for the modulo-8 counter.

```
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[2]
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, net4, y[2]);
//--------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[1]
xor (net5, y[0], y[1]);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y[1]
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net5, y[1]);
//---------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for flip-flop y[0]
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, ~y[0], y[0]);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.86 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the modulo-8 counter
module ctr_mod8_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk;
wire [2:0] y;
//display outputs
initial
$monitor ("count = %b", y);
//define reset
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
end
//define clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10 clk = ~clk;
end //continued on next page
```

Figure 3.87 Test bench module for the modulo-8 counter.

```
//define length of simulation
initial
    #150 $stop;
//instantiate the module into the test bench
ctr_mod8 inst (rst_n, clk, y);
endmodule
```

Figure 3.87 (Continued)

```
count = 000
count = 001
count = 010
count = 011
count = 100
count = 101
count = 110
count = 111
count = 000
```

Figure 3.88 Outputs for the modulo- 8 counter.

### 3.3 Asynchronous Sequential Machines

For an asynchronous sequential machine there is no machine clock - state changes occur on the application of input signals only. The synthesis of asynchronous sequential machines is one of the most interesting and certainly the most challenging concepts of sequential machine design. In many situations, a synchronous clock is not available. For example, the interface between an input/output processor (IOP) - or channel - and an input/output (I/O) subsystem control unit is an example of an asynchronous condition.

The control unit requests a word of data during a write operation by asserting an identifying epithet called a "tag-in signal". The channel then places the word on the data bus and asserts an acknowledging tag-out signal. The device control unit accepts the data then de-asserts the in tag, allowing the channel to de-assert the corresponding out tag, completing the data transfer sequence for one word.

An analogous situation occurs for a read operation in which the tag-in signal now indicates that a word is available on the data bus for the channel. The channel accepts the word and responds with the tag-out signal.

The data transfer sequence for the write and read operations was initiated, executed, and completed without utilizing a synchronizing clock signal. This technique
permits not only a higher data transfer rate between the channel and an I/O device, but also allows the channel to communicate with I/O devices having a wide range of data transfer rates. The interface control logic in the device control unit is usually implemented as an asynchronous sequential machine.

Asynchronous sequential machines are implemented with Set/Reset (SR) latches as the storage elements. Thus, at least one feedback path is required in the synthesis of asynchronous machines. Asynchronous machines can be implemented in either a sum-of-products form or in a product-of-sums form.

Techniques will be presented in this chapter to synthesize asynchronous sequential machines irrespective of the varying delays of circuit components. Since there is no system clock, in order to prevent possible race conditions and associated timing problems when two or more inputs change value simultaneously, it will be assumed that only one input variable will change state at a time. This is referred to as a funda-mental-mode model, further defined with the following characteristics:

1. Only one input will change at a time.
2. No other input will change until the machine has sequenced to a stable state.

A general block diagram for an asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.89. The input alphabet $X$ consists of binary input variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ that can change value at any time and are represented as voltage levels rather than pulses. The state alphabet $Y$ is characterized by $p$ storage elements, where $Y_{1 e}, Y_{2 e}, \cdots, Y_{p e}$ are the excitation variables and $y_{1 f}, y_{2 f}, \cdots, y_{p f}$ are the feedback or secondary variables. The output alphabet $Z$ is represented by $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{m}$.

Both the $\delta$ next-state logic and the $\lambda$ output logic are composed of combinational logic circuits. The delay element in Figure 3.89 represents the total delay of the machine from the time an input changes until the machine has stabilized in the next state, and is represented as a time delay of $\Delta t$. The time correlation between the excitation variables $Y_{i e}$ and the feedback variables $y_{i f}$ is specified by Equation 3.15.


Figure 3.89 General block diagram of an asynchronous sequential machine.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y_{i f(t+} \Delta t\right)=Y_{i e(t)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.1 Synthesis Procedure

The machine operation for asynchronous sequential machines is specified by a timing diagram and/or verbal statements. The design procedure is summarized below.

1. State diagram The machine specifications are converted into a state diagram. A timing diagram and/or a verbal statement of the machine specifications is converted into a precise delineation which specifies the machine's operation for all applicable input sequences. This step is not a necessary requirement and is usually omitted; however, the state diagram characterizes the machine's operation in a graphical representation and adds completeness to the design procedure.
2. Primitive flow table The machine specifications are converted to a state transition table called a "primitive flow table". This is the least methodical step in the synthesis procedure and the most important. The primitive flow table depicts the state transition sequences and output assertions for all valid input vectors. The flow table must correctly represent the machine's operation for all applicable input sequences, even those that are not initially apparent from the machine specifications.
3. Equivalent states The primitive flow table may have an inordinate number of rows. The number of rows can be reduced by finding equivalent states and then eliminating redundant states. If the machine's operation is indistinguishable whether commencing in state $Y_{i}$ or state $Y_{j}$, then one of the states is redundant and can be eliminated. The flow table thus obtained is a reduced primitive flow table. In order for two stable states to be equivalent, all three of the following conditions must be satisfied:
4. The same input vector.
5. The same output value.
6. The same, or equivalent, next state for all valid input sequences.
7. Merger diagram The merger diagram graphically portrays the result of the merging process in which an attempt is made to combine two or more rows of the reduced primitive flow table into a single row. The result of the merging technique is analogous to that of finding equivalent states; that is, the merging process can also reduce the number of rows in the table and, hence, reduce the number of feedback variables that are required. Fewer feedback variables will result in a machine with less logic and, therefore, less cost. Two rows can merge into a single row if the entries in the same column of each row satisfy one of the following three merging rules:
8. Identical state entries, either stable or unstable.
9. A state entry and a "don't care."
10. Two "don't care" entries.
11. Merged flow table The merged flow table is constructed from the merger diagram. The table represents the culmination of the merging process in which two or more rows of a primitive flow table are replaced by a single equivalent row which contains one stable state for each merged row.
12. Excitation maps and equations An excitation map is generated for each excitation variable. Then the transient states are encoded, where applicable, to avoid critical race conditions. Appropriate assignment of the excitation variables for the transient states can minimize the $\delta$ next-state logic for the excitation variables. The operational speed of the machine can also be established at this step by reducing the number of transient states through which the machine must sequence during a cycle. Then the excitation equations are derived from the excitation maps. All static-1 and static-0 hazards are eliminated from the network for a sum-of-products or product-of-sums implementation, respectively. Hazards are defined below.
13. Output maps and equations An output map is generated for each machine output. Output values are assigned for all nonstable states so that no transient signals will appear on the outputs. In this step, the speed of circuit operation can also be established. Then the output equations are derived from the output maps, assuring that all outputs will be free of static-1 and static-0 hazards.
14. Logic diagram The logic diagram is implemented from the excitation and output equations using an appropriate logic family.

### 3.3.2 Hazards

A hazard can occur when an input variable changes value. Varying propagation delays caused by logic gates, wires, and different path lengths can produce erroneous transient signals on the outputs. These spurious signals are referred to as hazards. If the hazard occurs in the feedback path, then an incorrect state transition sequence may result.

When a hazard occurs in the $\delta$ next-state logic, the machine may sequence to an invalid next state. If the hazard occurs in the $\lambda$ output logic, then a glitch may appear on the output signal. An output glitch can cause significant problems. These transitory signals generate a condition which is not specified in the expression for the machine, because Boolean algebra does not take into account the propagation delay of switching circuits. Hazards will be examined and methods presented for detecting and correcting these transient phenomena so that correct operation of an asynchronous sequential machine can be assured.

Figure 3.90 illustrates an example of a combinational circuit with an inherent hazard. The Karnaugh map which represents the circuit is shown in Figure 3.91 and the equation for output $z_{1}$ is shown in Equation 3.16. Assume that $x_{2}$ changes from 1 to 0 . The deassertion of $x_{2}$ is immediate. The new value of $x_{2}$ propagates to the output
along three paths - through AND gate 2 and OR gate 4; also through the inverter and AND gate 3 and OR gate 4. Depending on the circuit delays, a glitch could occur on output $z_{1}$.


Figure 3.90 Logic circuit which contains a potential static hazard.


Figure 3.91 Karnaugh map corresponding to the circuit of Figure 3.90.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effects of the hazard can be eliminated by adding a third term to the equation for $z_{1}$, as shown in Equation 3.17. The output can be made independent of the value of $x_{2}$ by including the redundant prime implicant $x_{1} x_{3}$, which covers both the initial and terminal state of the transition. A prime implicant is a unique grouping of 1 s (an implicant) that does not imply any other grouping of 1 s (other implicants). The redundant prime implicant will maintain the output at a constant high level during the transition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $x_{1} x_{3}$ is called a hazard cover, since it covers the detrimental effects of the hazard. The effects of a static hazard can be negated by combining adjacent groups of 1 s in a Karnaugh map as shown in Figure 3.92. A hazard cover can be applied to a sum-of-products expression or to a product-of-sums expression.


Figure 3.92 Negating the effects of a static hazard by combining adjacent groups of 1 s .

### 3.3.3 Oscillations

An oscillation occurs in an asynchronous sequential machine when a single input change results in an input vector in which there is no stable state. Consider the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.93 for $Y_{1 e}$. There are two input variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and one feedback variable $y_{1 f}$. If the machine is in stable state (b) and $x_{1}$ changes from 0 to 1 , then the machine sequences to transient state $c$. Then, after a delay of $\Delta t$, the feedback variable becomes equal to the excitation variable and the machine proceeds to transient state $g$. In state $g$, however, the excitation variable $Y_{1 e}=0$, designating state $g$ as an unstable (or transient) state, because $y_{1 f} \neq Y_{1 e}$. After a further delay of $\Delta t$, the feedback variable becomes equal to the excitation variable and the machine sequences to state $c$. Since the input vector $x_{1} x_{2}=11$ provides no stable state, the machine will oscillate between transient states $c$ and $g$.


Figure 3.93 Excitation Karnaugh map for an asynchronous sequential machine containing an oscillation.

The excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.94 contains multiple oscillations, because columns $x_{1} x_{2}=01$ contain no stable states. There are two input variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and two feedback variables $y_{1 f}$ and $y_{2 f}$. The complete set of oscillations is summarized by the expressions shown in Figure 3.95.

| $x_{1} x_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1 f} y_{2 f}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 00 |  | $01{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $00{ }^{d}$ |
| 01 | $(01)^{e}$ | $00{ }^{f}$ | $11{ }^{g}$ | $11^{h}$ |
| 11 |  | $01{ }^{j}$ | (11) ${ }^{k}$ | $01{ }^{l}$ |
| 10 | $\left(10{ }^{m}\right.$ | $11{ }^{n}$ | $11{ }^{\circ}$ | $11{ }^{p}$ |
| $Y_{1 e} Y_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.94 Excitation Karnaugh map for an asynchronous sequential machine which produces multiple oscillations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (e) } & \rightarrow f \leftrightarrow b \\
(e) & \rightarrow h \leftrightarrow l \\
(k) & \rightarrow l \leftrightarrow h \\
(k) & \rightarrow j \rightarrow f \leftrightarrow b \\
(m) & \rightarrow n \rightarrow j \rightarrow f \leftrightarrow b \\
(m) & \rightarrow p \rightarrow l \leftrightarrow h
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.95 The complete set of oscillations exhibited by the asynchronous sequential machine represented by the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.94.

An asynchronous sequential machine which has an oscillating characteristic can be used as an astable multivibrator to provide a clock signal to a synchronous sequential machine. An appropriate delay of $\Delta t$ must be inserted into the network to provide the correct clock frequency. In the synthesis of most asynchronous sequential machines, however, the oscillation phenomenon must be avoided. The machine specifications can be modified slightly such that every input vector will provide at least one stable state. This modification should not drastically alter the general functional operation of the machine.

### 3.3.4 Races

In both asynchronous sequential machines and synchronous sequential machines, if a change of state occurs between two states with nonadjacent state codes, then the machine may sequence through a transient state before entering the destination stable state. If the sequential machine is a Moore machine, in which the outputs are determined by the present state only, then a transitory erroneous signal may be generated on the output. This glitch results from two or more variables changing state in a single state transition sequence in which the variables change values at different times. There are two types of races: noncritical and critical.

Noncritical races Consider the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.96. There are three paths that exist for noncritical races for the state transition sequence $(\oplus) \rightarrow$ ( depending on the time at which the variables change value. Figure 3.97 illustrates the three possible paths for the sequence $(\neg) \rightarrow$ (O).


Figure 3.96 Excitation map for an asynchronous sequential machine illustrating noncritical races when input $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}$ changes from 0 to 1 in stable state $\oplus \oplus$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (f) \rightarrow c \rightarrow o \\
& (f) \rightarrow k \leftrightarrow o \\
& (f) \rightarrow o
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.97 The complete set of races exhibited by the asynchronous sequential machine represented by the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.96.

Critical races Consider the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.98. If the machine is presently in state ( 1 ) and input $x_{1}$ changes from 0 to 1 , then three possible paths exist depending on the relative propagation delays of the storage elements and associated circuitry. The intended path is from state (1) to state (c). Due to differing delay characteristics, however, the machine may terminate the sequence in either state (c) or state (O). Figure 3.99 illustrates the three possible state transition sequences.


Figure 3.98 Excitation Karnaugh map for an asynchronous sequential machine illustrating a critical race condition when input $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}$ changes from 0 to 1 in stable state (J).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (j) } \rightarrow k \rightarrow o \\
& \text { (j) } \rightarrow g \rightarrow c \\
& \text { (i) } \rightarrow c
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.99 The complete set of races exhibited by the asynchronous sequential machine represented by the excitation Karnaugh map of Figure 3.98.

Races can be avoided when it is possible to direct the machine through intermediate unstable states before reaching the destination stable state. This can be achieved by utilizing some of the unspecified entries in the excitation map. Also, it may be possible to add rows to the excitation map without increasing the number of excitation and feedback variables.

### 3.3.5 Design Examples of Asynchronous Sequential Machines

Various types of asynchronous sequential machines of varying complexity will be designed in this section for Mealy and Moore machines. Different modeling techniques will be incorporated, including built-in primitives, dataflow modeling, behavioral modeling, and structural modeling.

Example 3.12 A Mealy asynchronous sequential machine will be designed that has two inputs $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and one output $z_{1}$. An operational characteristic specifies that input $x_{1}$ must envelop all occurrences of the $x_{2}$ pulse. Thus, the allowable input vectors are $x_{1} x_{2}=00,10$, or 11 ; the input combination of $x_{1} x_{2}=01$ will never occur. Output $z_{1}$ is to be asserted coincident with the assertion of every second $x_{2}$ pulse and is to remain asserted until the deassertion of $x_{2}$. A representative timing diagram is shown in Figure 3.100. Although the timing diagram illustrates a valid input sequence to generate an output, other variations are possible and must be considered to adequately represent the operation of the machine for all valid input sequences.


Figure 3.100 Timing diagram for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.12.

A primitive flow table is developed next by beginning at the leftmost section of the timing diagram where $x_{1} x_{2} z_{1}=000$ and proceeding left to right assigning a unique stable state name to each different combination of the input vector and the associated output $z_{1}$. The primitive flow table is shown in Figure 3.101, which provides a tabular representation of the machine's operation.

The next step is to identify all equivalent stable states and then to eliminate redundant states. In order for two stable states to be equivalent, they must have the same input vector, the same output value, and the same, or equivalent, next state for all valid input sequences. The only possible equivalences exist between stable state pairs $\{(c),(e)\},\{(b),(d)\},\{(b),(1)\}$, and $\{(d),(\oplus)\}$.

| $x_{1} x_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 | $z_{1}$ |
| $(a$ | - | - | $b$ | 0 |
| $a$ | - | $c$ | $(b$ | 0 |
| - | - | $(c)$ | $d$ | 0 |
| $a$ | - | $e$ | $(d)$ | 0 |
| - | - | $e$ | $f$ | 1 |
| $a$ | - | $c$ | $(f$ | 0 |

Figure 3.101 Primitive flow table for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.12.

States (c) and © are not equivalent, because they have different outputs. Stable states (b) and (d) are not equivalent, because state (b) is the precursor of the first $x_{2}$ pulse, while stable state (d) immediately precedes the second $x_{2}$ pulse.

Next, states (b) and (d) are tested for equivalence. Both have the same input vector $\left(x_{1} x_{2}=10\right)$ and both have the same output value $\left(z_{1}=0\right)$. However, when the input vector changes from $x_{1} x_{2}=10$ to 11 , the next state from state (b) is state (c); whereas, the next state from state (d) is state (e). Since states (c) and (e) have already been shown to be nonequivalent, therefore, states (b) and (d) are not equivalent. The same reasoning applies to stable state pair (d) and $(\subseteq)$, which are also not equivalent.

Stable state pair (b) and $(\oplus$, however, satisfy all equivalence requirements: Both are entered from the same input vector $\left(x_{1} x_{2}=10\right)$; both have identical output values $\left(z_{1}=0\right)$; and both proceed to the same next stable state (c) or (a) for an applied input vector of $x_{1} x_{2}=11$ or 00 , respectively. Therefore, stable states (b) and $(\neg)$ are equivalent. State $(\oplus)$ is redundant and can be eliminated from the primitive flow table. Every occurrence of state $f$ is replaced by equivalent state $b$. The reduced primitive flow table is shown in Figure 3.102.

The number of rows in a reduced primitive flow table can usually be decreased by merging two or more rows into a single row. Recall the three requirements for merging two rows into a single merged row: Each column in the two rows under consideration must contain identical state names, either stable or unstable, or a state name and an unspecified entry, or two unspecified entries.

In the reduced primitive flow table of Figure 3.102, rows (a) and (b) can merge, because there is no conflict in any column of the two rows. This merging capability is indicated by a line connecting vertices (a) and (b) in the merger diagram of Figure 3.103. The only other row with which row (a) can merge is row (e) - all other
rows have a conflict in at least one column. Rows (b), (c), and (d) cannot merge with any succeeding row due to conflicting state names in certain columns. The merger diagram of Figure 3.103 yields the following two partitions of maximal compatible sets:

1. $\{$ (a), (b) $\},\{(c)\},\{(d)\},\{($ e $\}$
2. $\{(a),($ e $\},\{(b)\},\{(c)\},\{(d)\}$

| $x_{1} x_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 | $z_{1}$ |
| $a$ | - | - | $b$ | 0 |
| $a$ | - | $c$ | $(b)$ | 0 |
| - | - | $(c)$ | $d$ | 0 |
| $a$ | - | $e$ | $(d)$ | 0 |
| - | - | $(e)$ | $b$ | 1 |

Figure 3.102 Reduced primitive flow table obtained from the primitive flow table of Figure 3.101.


Figure 3.103 Merger diagram for the reduced primitive flow table of Figure 3.102.

All partitions should be analyzed by means of a merged flow table to determine the fewest number of logic gates. The first partition produces the merged flow table shown in Figure 3.104(a). Each row of the merged flow table is generated by transferring the individual rows from the reduced primitive flow table to the merged flow table in accordance with the partition assignments.


Figure 3.104 Merged flow tables obtained from the two partitions derived from the merger diagram of Figure 3.103: (a) partition 1: $\{$ (a), (b) $\},\{(c)\},\{(d)\},\{(e\}$ and (b) partition 2: $\{$ @ , (e $\},\{$ (b) $\},\{$ © $\},\{(d)\}$.

After enumerating the rows of the merged flow table, all state transition sequences can be identified with reference to individual rows. The state transitions are illustrated in graphical form by means of a transition diagram. The transition diagram for the merged flow table of Figure 3.104(a) is shown in Figure 3.105(a). Row 1 proceeds to row 2 by the sequence (b) $\rightarrow c \rightarrow$ (c), as illustrated by the directed line from row 1 to row 2 in Figure 3.105(a).

Notice that row 3 can proceed to two different rows by the following sequences: (d) $\rightarrow a \rightarrow$ (a), which represents a transition from row 3 to row 1 , and (d) $\rightarrow e \rightarrow$ (e), which represents a transition from row 3 to row 4 . Thus, in state (d), a change of input vector from $x_{1} x_{2}=10$ to 00 or 11 results in a transition from row 3 to row 1 or row 4 , respectively.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3.105 Transition diagram for the merged flow tables of Figure 3.104: (a) transition diagram for Figure 3.104(a) and (b) transition diagram for Figure 3.104(b).

The transition diagram of Figure 3.105(a) contains a triangular polygon specified by rows 1,2 , and 3 or by rows 1,3 , and 4 . Since three rows cannot all be adjacent, row 3 can proceed to row 1 through row 4 , eliminating the need for a line from row 3 to row 1. Providing an additional intermediate state to the cycle from state (d) to state (a) does not alter the operational characteristics of the machine, but does generate a slightly slower transition.

The transition diagram for the merged flow table of Figure 3.104(b) is depicted in Figure 3.105(b). Since the transition diagram contains no polygons with an odd number of sides, the state transitions do not have to be altered. All transitions proceed through only one transient state. The merged flow table of Figure 3.104(b) and the transition diagram of Figure 3.105(b) will be used to generate the excitation and output equations.

The combined excitation map for excitation variables $Y_{1 e}$ and $Y_{2 e}$ is shown in Figure 3.106. The stable states are assigned excitation values that are the same as the feedback values of the corresponding rows. It is important to not inadvertently assign excitation values to the "don't care" states that would generate a stable state. The individual excitation maps are shown in Figure 3.107 and the resulting hazard-free excitation equations in Equation 3.18 in a sum-of-products form. The rightmost term in each equation is the hazard cover. The excitation equations are shown in a product-ofsums notation in Equation 3.19.

| $x_{1} x_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1 f} y_{2 f}$ | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| -0 | $(00)^{a}$ | - | (00) ${ }^{e}$ | 01 |
| 01 | 00 | - | 11 | (01) ${ }^{b}$ |
| 11 | - | - | (11) ${ }^{c}$ | 10 |
| 10 | 00 | - | 00 | $\left(10{ }^{d}\right.$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.106 Combined excitation map for the merged flow table of Figure 3.104(b).

The output map is constructed from the merged flow table of Figure 3.104(b) and the reduced primitive flow table of Figure 3.102. The merged flow table indicates the location of the stable states and the reduced primitive flow table specifies the output values of the stable states. The output map is shown in Figure 3.108. The equation for output $z_{1}$ is shown in Equation 3.20 as a sum of products and as a product of sums. It is interesting to note that both forms of the equation require not only the same number of logic gates, but also the same number of identical feedback and input variables.


Figure 3.107 Individual excitation maps for $Y_{1 e}$ and $Y_{2 e}$ obtained from the combined excitation map of Figure 3.106.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1 e}=y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{1 f} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+y_{1 f} y_{2 f} \\
& Y_{2 e}=y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{1 f^{\prime}} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+y_{1 f^{\prime}} y_{2 f} x_{1}  \tag{3.18}\\
& Y_{1 e}=\left(x_{1}\right)\left(y_{2 f}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(y_{1 f}+x_{2}\right) \\
& Y_{2 e}=\left(x_{1}\right)\left(y_{2 f}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(y_{1 f^{\prime}}+x_{2}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3.108 Output map for Example 3.12.

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=y_{2 f^{\prime}} x_{2} \\
& z_{1}=\left(y_{2 f^{\prime}}+x_{2}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.109 in a product-of-sums form. This form requires not only the fewest number of gates, but also the fewest number of inputs per gate. The structural design module using built-in primitives for a product-of-sums form is shown in Figure 3.110. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.111 and 3.112, respectively.


Figure 3.109 Logic diagram for Example 3.12 in a product-of-sums form.

```
//structural using built-in primitives for asm
module asm24_pos_bip (x1, x2, y1e, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2;
output y1e, y2e, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3;
//-----------------------------------------
//define the logic for yle
or (net1, y2e, ~x2),
    (net2, y1e, x2);
and (y1e, x1, net1, net2);

Figure 3.110 Structural design module for Example 3.12.
```

//-----------------------------------------
//define the logic for y2e
or (net3, ~y1e, x2);
and (y2e, net1, x1, net3);
//------------------------------------------
//define the logic for output z1
and (z1, ~y2e, x2);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.110 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the asm that uses built-in primitives
module asn24_pos_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2;
wire y1e, y2e, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b", {x1, x2},
{y1e, y2e}, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 x1 = 1'b0;
x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_b (001)
\#10 x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; //go to state_a (000)
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_b (001)
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_c (011)
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_d (010)
\#10 x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; //go to state_a (000)
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_b (001)
\#10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_c (011)
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.111 Test bench for Example 3.12.
```

    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_d (010)
    #10 x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; //go to state_a (000)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_b (001)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_c (011)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_d (010)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_e (110)
                                    //assert z1
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_f (111)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_c (011)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_d (010)
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b1; //go to state_e (110)
                                    //assert z1
    #10 x1=1'b1; x2=1'b0; //go to state_f (111)
    #10 x1=1'b0; x2=1'b0; //go to state_a (000)
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench as a single line
asm24_pos_bip inst1 (x1, x2, yle, y2e, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.111 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 00, z1 = 1

```

Figure 3.112 Outputs for Example 3.12.
```

x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 00, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.112 (Continued)

Example 3.13 Given the reduced primitive flow table shown below in Figure 3.113, a Mealy asynchronous sequential machine will be designed using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. There are no equivalent states because of different outputs. There will be no output glitches. The excitation and output equations will be in a sum-of-products notation.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 & \(z_{1}\) \\
\hline (a) & \(b\) & - & \(d\) & 0 \\
\hline \(a\) & (b) & c & - & 0 \\
\hline - & \(b\) & (c) & \(d\) & 0 \\
\hline \(a\) & - & \(e\) & (d) & 0 \\
\hline - & \(f\) & (e) & \(d\) & 1 \\
\hline \(g\) & (f) & c & - & 1 \\
\hline (g) & \(f\) & - & \(d\) & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.113 Reduced primitive flow table for Example 3.13.

The merged flow table is shown in Figure 3.114 and the transition diagram is shown in Figure 3.115. The combined excitation map is shown in Figure 3.116 and the individual excitation maps are shown in Figure 3.117. The excitation equations are shown in Equation 3.21 in a sum-of-products form. The output map for output \(z_{1}\) is shown in Figure 3.118 and the equation for \(z_{1}\) is shown in Equation 3.22.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{001 (a) (b) (c)} & \[
{ }^{2} 00
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & (a) & (b) & (c) & \(d\) \\
\hline \(01 \quad 2\) (d) (e) & \(a\) & \(f\) & (e) & (d) \\
\hline 113 (f) (g) & (g) & (f) & c & \(d\) \\
\hline \(10 \quad 4\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.114 Merged flow table for Example 3.13.


Figure 3.115 Transition diagram for Example 3.13.


Figure 3.116 Combined excitation map for Example 3.13.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{1} x_{2}\)} \\
\hline \(y_{1 f} y_{2 f}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 11 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 10 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{1} x_{2}\)} \\
\hline \(y_{1 f} y_{2 f}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 11 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 10 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.117 Individual excitation maps for Example 3.13.
\[
Y_{1 e}=y_{1 f} x_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1 f} y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{2 f} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}
\]


Hazard cover
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
00
\] & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline \[
00
\] & \[
0^{a}
\] & \[
0^{b}
\] & \[
0^{c}
\] & 0 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 1 & \(1{ }^{e}\) & \(0{ }^{\text {d }}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1{ }^{g}\) & \(1{ }^{f}\) & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 10 & - & - & 0 & - \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.118 Output map for Example 3.13.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=y_{1 f} x_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1 f^{\prime}} y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{2 f} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}(\text { Hazard cover }) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
\]

The structural design module is shown in Figure 3.119 using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. The equations of Equation 3.21 were used to implement the design module. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.120 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.121. Use the reduced primitive flow table or the merged flow table to verify the correct operation of the machine.
```

//structural for sum-of-products asm
module asm_struc_df2 (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and output
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output yle, y2e, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6,
net7, net8, net9, net10;
//--------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for yle
and3_df inst1 (yle, ~x1, rst_n, net1);
and4_df inst2 (y1e, y2e, x2, rst_n, net2),
inst3 (y2e, ~x1, x2, rst_n, net3);
or3_df inst4 (net1, net2, net3, yle);
//-----------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for y2e
and3_df inst5 (x1, ~x2, rst_n, net5),
inst6 (yle, ~x1, rst_n, net6);
and4_df inst7 (~y1e, y2e, x2, rst_n, net7),
inst8 (~yle, y2e, x1, rst_n, net8),
inst9 (y2e, ~x1, x2, rst_n, net9);
or5_df inst10(net5, net6, net7, net8, net9, y2e);
//-----------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for output z1
and2_df inst11 (yle, ~xl, net11);
and3_df inst12 (~y1e, y2e, x2, net12),
inst13 (y2e, ~x1, x2, net13);
or3_df inst14 (net11, net12, net13, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.119 Structural design module for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.13.
```

//test bench for sum-of-products asm
module asm_struc_df2_tb;
reg rst_n, x1, x2; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire yle, y2e, zl; /loutputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1e, y2e}, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //state_a
rst_n = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //state_e, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_f, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //state_g, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //state_e, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_f, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //state_a
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
asm_struc_df2 inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, y2e, zl);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.120 Test bench module for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.13.
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 01, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 11, state = 01, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 11, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.121 Outputs for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.13.

Example 3.14 A Moore asynchronous sequential machine will be synthesized, using the continuous assignment statement assign that has one input \(x_{1}\) and one output \(z_{1}\) that operates according to the timing diagram shown in Figure 3.122. The assertion of input \(x_{1}\) toggles output \(z_{1}\). The machine will have no static hazards.


Figure 3.122 Timing diagram for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.14.

The primitive flow table is shown in Figure 3.123. The table has no equivalent states, because no states can merge. The combined excitation map is shown in Figure 3.124 and the individual excitation maps are shown in Figure 3.125. The equations for \(Y_{1 e}\) and \(Y_{2 e}\) are shown in Equation 3.23 in a sum-of-products form.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\(x_{1}\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\
\hline (a) & \(b\) & 0 \\
\hline\(c\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline\((c)\) & \(d\) & 1 \\
\hline\(a\) & \((d)\) & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.123 Primitive flow table for Example 3.14.


Figure 3.124 Combined excitation map for Example 3.14.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }^{x}\) & 0 & 1 \\
\hline -00 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 01 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 11 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 10 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(y_{1 \mathrm{e}}\)

\(y_{2 \mathrm{e}}\)

Figure 3.125 Individual excitation maps for Example 3.14.
\[
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1 e}=\underset{\text { net1 }}{y_{1 f} x_{1}}+\underset{\text { net2 }}{y_{2 f} x_{1}^{\prime}}+\underset{\text { net3 }}{y_{1 f} y_{2 f}} \quad \text { (Hazard cover) } \\
& Y_{2 e}=y_{1 f f^{\prime}} x_{1}+y_{2 f} x_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1 f f^{\prime}} y_{2 f} \quad \text { (Hazard cover) } \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
\]

The output map for \(z_{1}\) is shown below and the output equation is shown in Equation 3.24. The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 3.126 using the continuous assignment statement assign. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.127 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.128.

```

//dataflow for asm using the continuous assignment
module asm_toggle2 (rst_n, x1, yle, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, xl;
output yle, y2e, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.126 Dataflow design module for Example 3.14.
```

//-------------------------------------------
//define the logic for yle
assign net1 = yle \& x1 \& rst_n,
net2 = y2e \& ~x1 \& rst_n,
net3 = yle \& y2e \& rst_n, //hazard cover
yle = net1 | net2 | net3;
//--------------------------------------------
//define the logic for y2e
assign net4 = ~yle \& xl \& rst_n,
net5 = y2e \& ~x1 \& rst_n,
net6 = ~yle \& y2e \& rst_n, //hazard cover
y2e = net4 | net5 | net6;
assign z1 = net4 | net5 | net6;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.126 (Continued)
```

//test bench for asm using the assign statement
module asm_toggle2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1;
wire yle, y2e, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 = %.b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
x1, {y1e, y2e}, z1);
//apply input signals
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; //state_b, assert z1
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.127 Test bench module for Example 3.14.
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b0; //state_c, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; //state_d, deassert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; //state_b, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; //state_c, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; //state_d, deassert z1
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
asm_toggle2 inst1 (rst_n, xl, yle, y2e, zl);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.127 (Continued)
```

x1 = 0, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 01, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 = 0, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 = 1, state = 01, z1 = 1
x1 = 0, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 = 1, state = 10, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.128 Outputs for Example 3.14.

Example 3.15 An asynchronous sequential machine will be designed with Moore and Mealy type outputs using built-in primitives. The machine has two inputs \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\) and two outputs \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\). The two inputs may overlap, but will not change state simultaneously. Only the following sequences are valid:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} x_{2}=00 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 00 \\
& x_{1} x_{2}=00 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 00 \\
& x_{1} x_{2}=00 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 00 \\
& x_{1} x_{2}=00 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 00
\end{aligned}
\]

Output \(z_{1}\) is asserted whenever \(x_{1}\) is active and \(x_{2}\) is asserted or when \(x_{2}\) is active and \(x_{1}\) is asserted. Output \(z_{1}\) will be de-asserted when either \(x_{1}\) or \(x_{2}\) is de-asserted. Output \(z_{2}\) is asserted coincident with the assertion of \(z_{1}\) and remains active until the de-assertion of the last active input of an overlapping sequence. A representative timing diagram is shown below in Figure 3.129 and the primitive flow table is shown in Figure 3.130 as obtained from the timing diagram.


Figure 3.129 Timing diagram for the asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.15.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\(x_{1} x_{2}\) \\
00 & 01 & 11 & 10 & \(z_{1}\) & \(z_{2}\) \\
\hline\((a)\) & \(e\) & - & \(b\) & 0 & 0 \\
\hline\(a\) & - & \(c\) & \((b\) & 0 & 0 \\
\hline- & \(d\) & \(c\) & - & 1 & 1 \\
\hline\(a\) & \((d)\) & - & - & 0 & 1 \\
\hline\(a\) & \((e\) & \(f\) & - & 0 & 0 \\
\hline- & - & \((f\) & \(g\) & 1 & 1 \\
\hline\(a\) & - & - & \((g\) & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.130 Primitive flow table for Example 3.15.

The merger diagram is shown in Figure 3.131. Recall the merging process, which states that two rows can merge into a single row if the entries in the same column of each row satisfy one of the following three merging rules:
1. Identical state entries, either stable or unstable.
2. A state entry and a "don't care."
3. Two "don't care" entries.

Thus the merger diagram of Figure 3.131 yields the following partitions of maximal compatible sets:
\[
\{(a)\},\{(b),(c),(d)\},\{(\bigcirc),(b),(b)\}
\]


Figure 3.131 Merger diagram for Example 3.15.

The merged flow table illustrating the maximal compatible sets is shown in Figure 3.132. After enumerating the rows of the merged flow table, all state transition sequences can be identified with reference to individual rows. The state transitions are illustrated in graphical form by means of a transition diagram.


Figure 3.132 Merged flow table for Example 3.15.

The transition diagram for the merged flow table of Figure 3.132 is shown in Figure 3.133. Row 1 proceeds to row 2 by the sequence (a) \(\rightarrow b \rightarrow\) (b), as illustrated by the directed line from row 1 to row 2 in Figure 3.133. Similarly, row 1 proceeds to row 3 by the sequence (a) \(\rightarrow e \rightarrow\) (e). Also, row 3 proceeds to row 1 by the sequence (g) \(\rightarrow a \rightarrow\) (a). The combined excitation map is shown in Figure 3.134 and the individual excitation maps are shown in Figure 3.135.


Figure 3.133 Transition diagram for Figure 3.132 of Example 3.15.


Figure 3.134 Combined excitation map for Example 3.15.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \(y_{1 f} y_{2 f}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & 0 & 1 & - & 0 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 11 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(Y_{1 e}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2}\)} \\
\hline 00 & 0 & 0 & - & 1 \\
\hline 01 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 11 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 3.135 Individual excitation maps for Example 3.15.

The excitation equations are shown in Equation 3.25. The output maps for \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\) are shown in Figure 3.136 and the output equations are shown in Equation 3.26 in a sum-of-products form.
\[
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1 e}=y_{1 f} x_{1}+y_{2 f^{\prime}} x_{2} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
Y_{2 e}=y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{1 f}^{\prime} x_{1}
\]

\(z_{2}\)

Figure 3.136 Output maps for Example 3.15.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
z_{2}=y_{2 f} x_{2}+y_{1 f} x_{1}
\]

The dataflow design module for the asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.137 using the continuous assignment statement assign. The continuous assignment statement models dataflow behavior and provides a Boolean correspondence between the right-hand side expression and the left-hand side target.

The continuous assignment statement assigns a value to a net (wire) that has been previously declared. The operands on the right-hand side can be registers, nets, or function calls. The registers and nets can be declared as either scalars or vectors. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.138 and 3.139 , respectively.
```

//dataflow for asm using the continuous assignment statement
module asm_assign (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, y2e, z1, z2);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output yle, y2e, z1, z2;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4;

```
    //continued on next page

Figure 3.137 Dataflow design module for Example 3.15.
```

//------------------------------------------
//design the logic for yle
assign net1 = y1e \& x1 \& rst_n,
net2 = ~y2e \& x2 \& rst_n,
y1e = net1 | net2;
//--------------------------------------------
//design the logic for y2e
assign net3 = y2e \& x2 \& rst_n,
net4 = ~yle \& x1 \& rst_n,
y2e = net3 | net4;
//--------------------------------------------
//design the logic for outputs z1 and z2
assign z1 = x1 \& x2,
z2 = (y2e \& x2) | (y1e \& x1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.137 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the asm using the assign statement
module asm_assign_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire yle, y2e, z1, z2;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1x2 = %b, state = %b, z1z2 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1e, y2e}, {z1, z2});
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0;
x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.138 Test bench module for Example 3.15.
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //z1 = 1, z2 = 1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //z1 = 0, z2 = 1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //z1 = 0, z2 = 0
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //z1 = 0, z2 = 0
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //z1 = 1, z2 = 1
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //z1 = 0, z2 = 1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //z1 = 0, z2 = 0
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
asm_assign inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, y2e, z1, z2);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.138 (Continued)
```

x1x2 = 00, state = 00, z1z2 = 00
x1x2 = 10, state = 01, z1z2 = 00
x1x2 = 11, state = 01, z1z2 = 11
x1x2 = 01, state = 01, z1z2 = 01
x1x2 = 00, state = 00, z1z2 = 00
x1x2 = 01, state = 10, z1z2 = 00
x1x2 = 11, state = 10, z1z2 = 11
x1x2 = 10, state = 10, z1z2 = 01
x1x2 = 00, state = 00, z1z2 = 00

```

Figure 3.139 Outputs for Example 3.15.

\subsection*{3.4 Pulse-Mode Asynchronous Sequential Machines}

In pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, state changes occur on the application of input pulses which trigger the storage elements, rather than on a clock signal. The duration of the pulse is less than the propagation delay of the storage elements and associated logic gates. Thus, an input pulse will initiate a state change, but the completion of the change will not take place until after the corresponding input has been de-asserted. Multiple inputs cannot be active simultaneously.

Unlike a system clock, which has a specified frequency, the input pulses can occur randomly and more than one input pulse can generate an output. If the input pulse is of insufficient duration, then the storage elements may not be triggered and the machine will not sequence to the next state. If the pulse duration is too long, then the pulse will still be active when the machine changes from the present state \(Y_{j(t)}\) to the next state \(Y_{k(t+1)}\). The storage elements may then be triggered again and sequence the machine to an incorrect next state. If the time between consecutive pulses is too short, then the machine will be triggered while in an unstable condition, resulting in unpredictable behavior.

The pulse width restrictions that are dominant in pulse-mode sequential machines can be eliminated by including \(D\) flip-flops in the feedback path from the \(S R\) latches to the \(\delta\) next-state logic. Providing edge-triggered \(D\) flip-flops as a constituent part of the implementation negates the requirement of precisely controlled input pulse durations. This is by far the most reliable means of synthesizing pulse-mode machines. The \(S R\) latches - in conjunction with the \(D\) flip-flops - form a master-slave configuration.

Figure 3.140 illustrates a block diagram for a pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine using \(S R\) latches and \(D\) flip-flops. The machine is similar in structure to a Moore machine if \(\lambda(Y)\) or to a Mealy machine if \(\lambda(X, Y)\).


Figure 3.140 General block diagram for pulse-mode sequential machine.

In order for the operation of the machine to be deterministic, some restrictions apply to the input pulses:
1. Input pulses must be of sufficient duration to trigger the storage elements.
2. The time duration of the pulses must be shorter than the minimal propagation delay through the combinational input logic and the storage elements, so that the pulses are de-asserted before the storage elements can again change state.
3. The time duration between successive input pulses must be sufficient to allow the machine to stabilize before application of the next pulse.
4. Only one input pulse can be active at a time.

\subsection*{3.4.1 Synthesis Procedure}

Reliability of pulse-mode machines can be increased by inserting delay circuits of an appropriate duration in the output networks of the storage elements. The aggregate delay of the storage elements and the delay circuit must be of sufficient duration so that the input pulse will be de-asserted before the storage element output signals arrive at the \(\delta\) next-state logic.

Three techniques are commonly used to insert delays in the storage element outputs: An even number of inverters are connected in series with each latch output; a linear delay circuit is connected in series with each latch output; or an edge-triggered \(D\) flip-flop is connected in series with each latch output.

As stated previously, the flip-flops are set to the state of the latches, but are triggered on the trailing edge of the input pulses. Thus, the flip-flop outputs - and therefore the next state of the machine as represented by the \(S R\) latch outputs - are received at the \(\delta\) next-state logic only when the active input pulse has been de-asserted. The \(S R\) latches and the \(D\) flip-flops constitute a master-slave relationship and will be the primary means to implement pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines in this section. \(T\) flip-flops will also be utilized in the examples to illustrate an alternative method to implement pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines.

The synthesis procedure will be illustrated in detail in the examples presented in the following sections. The first method will implement pulse-mode machines using \(S R\) latches with \(D\) flip-flops in a master-slave configuration. Then \(T\) flip-flops will be utilized in the synthesis examples. The \(T\) flip-flops will incorporate a delay circuit to delay the output of the flip-flops from being fed back to the \(\delta\) input logic before the input signals become de-asserted. Both Moore and Mealy machines will be synthesized in the examples.

\subsection*{3.4.2 SR Latches with D Flip-Flops as Storage Elements}

This section will present the synthesis of pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines including Moore and Mealy machines. All designs will include the following items where applicable: timing diagrams, state diagrams, Karnaugh maps for the input equations, Karnaugh maps for the output equations, and logic diagrams.

Example 3.16 A Mealy pulse-mode sequential machine will be designed which has two inputs \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\) and one output \(z_{1}\). Output \(z_{1}\) is asserted coincident with every second \(x_{2}\) pulse, if and only if the pair of \(x_{2}\) pulses is immediately preceded by an \(x_{1}\) pulse.

Structural modeling will be used in the implementation of the Mealy machine using built-in primitives. The storage elements will consist of \(S R\) latches and \(D\) flipflops in a master-slave configuration. The \(D\) flip-flops were designed using behavioral modeling. A representative timing diagram is shown in Figure 3.141 and the corresponding state diagram is shown in Figure 3.142.


Figure 3.141 Representative timing diagram for the Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.16.


Figure 3.142 State diagram for the Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.16.

The Karnaugh maps for the latches of the Mealy machine are shown in Figure 3.143. An entry of \(R\) specifies a reset condition; an entry of \(r\) indicates that the machine is to remain in a reset state; an entry of \(S\) indicates a set condition; and an entry of \(s\) indicates that the machine is to remain in a set state. The corresponding equations are shown in Equations 3.27 and 3.28.


Figure 3.143 Input maps for the Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.16.
\[
\begin{align*}
& S \mathrm{~L} y_{1}=y_{2} x_{2} \\
& R \mathrm{~L} y_{1}=x_{1}+y_{1} x_{2}  \tag{3.27}\\
& S \mathrm{~L} y_{2}=x_{1} \\
& R \mathrm{~L} y_{2}=x_{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
\]

The equation for output \(z_{1}\) is shown in Equation 3.29. Since only latch \(y_{1}\) and input \(x_{2}\) are required to activate output \(z_{1}\), the equation for \(z_{1}\) will include only those two variables. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.144 which contains \(D\) flip-flops that were previously designed using behavioral modeling.
\[
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}=y_{1} x_{2} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
\]


Figure 3.144 Logic diagram for the Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.16.

The structural design module using built-in primitives and instantiated \(D\) flipflops that were designed using behavioral modeling is shown in Figure 3.145. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.146 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.147. The outputs directly correspond to the timing diagram of Figure 3.141.
```

//structural for asm using built-in primitives
module pm_asm_bip (rst_n, x1, x2, y1e, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output y1e, y2e, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.145 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.16.
```

//design the clock for the D flip-flops
nor (net1, x1, x2);
//-------------------------------------
//define the logic for latch Lyl
nand (net2, y2e, x2);
and (net3, y1e, x2);
nor (net4, x1, net3);
nand (net5, net2, net6),
(net6, net5, net4, rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for yle
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, net1, net5, yle); //reset, clk, D, Q)
//-------------------------------------
//define the logic for latch Ly2
nand (net7, ~x1, net8),
(net8, net7, ~x2, rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y2e
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, net1, net7, y2e); //reset, clk, D, Q)
//------------------------------------
//define the logic for output z1
and (z1, yle, x2);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.145 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the asm using built-in primitives
module pm_asm_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire yle, y2e, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1e, y2e}, z1);
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.146 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.16.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_a (00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_a (00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_c (10)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_c (10)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //assert z1; go to state_a
//(00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_a (00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_b (01)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_c (10)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_c (10)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1' b1; //assert z1; go to state_a
//(00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_a (00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //remain in state_a (00)
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //remain in state_a (00)
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_bip inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, y2e, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.146 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.147 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.16.

Example 3.17 The state diagram for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.148. The input maps are shown in Figure 3.149. The input and output equations are shown in Equation 3.30. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 3.150.


Figure 3.148 State diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.17.


Figure 3.149 Input Karnaugh maps for Example 3.17.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
S L y_{1}=y_{2} x_{1} & R L y_{1}=y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
S L y_{2}=x_{1} & R L y_{2}=x_{2} \\
z_{1}=y_{1} &
\end{array}
\]


Figure 3.150 Logic diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.17.

The structural design module using built-in primitives is shown in Figure 3.151. The test bench and outputs are shown in Figures 3.152 and 3.153, respectively.
```

//structural for Moore pulse-mode asm using bip
module pm_asm_moore10 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1e, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output y1e, y2e, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
//define the clock for the D flip-flops
nor (net1, x1, x2);
//------------------------------------------
//assign the logic for latch Lyl
nand (net2, x1, y2e),
(net3, x2, ~y2e),
(net4, net2, net5),
(net5, net4, net3, rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for yle
d_ff_bh instl (rst_n, netl, net4, yle);
//reset, clock, D, Q
//-----------------------------------------
//assign the logic for latch Ly2
nand (net6, ~x1, net7),
(net7, net6, ~x2, rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y2e
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, net1, net6, y2e);
//reset, clock, D, Q
assign zl = yle;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.151 Structural design module for the Moore machine of Example 3.17.
```

//test bench for pm_asm_moore10
module pm_asm_moore10_tb;
reg rst_n, x1, x2; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire y1e, y2e, z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1e, y2e}, z1);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c; assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_d; assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c; assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_d; assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_moore10 inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1e, y2e, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.152 Test bench module for the Moore machine of Example 3.17.
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.153 Outputs for the Moore machine of Example 3.17.

Example 3.18 The state diagram for a Moore pulse-mode machine is shown in Figure 3.154. The input maps for \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\) are shown in Figure 3.155. The input and output equations are shown in Equation 3.31.


Figure 3.154 State diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.18.


Figure 3.155 Karnaugh maps for the inputs of the Moore machine of Example 3.18.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
S \mathrm{~L} y_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{2} & R \mathrm{~L} y_{1}=x_{1}+y_{1} x_{2} \\
S \mathrm{~L} y_{2}=y_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & R \mathrm{~L} y_{2}=y_{1} x_{2}+x_{3}  \tag{3.31}\\
z_{1}=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} &
\end{array}
\]

The logic diagram, obtained from the input and output equations, is shown in Figure 3.156 using latches, \(D\) flip-flops, and logic gates consisting of NOR gates, AND gates, and NAND gates. In the design module, the logic gates will be designed using the continuous assignment statement.

Recall that the continuous assignment statement assign is used to describe combinational logic where the output of the circuit is evaluated whenever an input changes; that is, the value of the right-hand side expression is continuously assigned to the left-hand side net. Continuous assignments can be used only for nets, not for register variables. A continuous assignment statement establishes a relationship between a right-hand side expression and a left-hand side net. A continuous assignment occurs outside of an initial or an always statement. The syntax for a continuous assignment statement is
assign \(<\) Optional delay> Left-hand side net \(=\) Right-hand side expression;
The left-hand side is declared as type wire not reg. When a variable on the righthand side changes value, the right-hand side expression is evaluated and the value is
assigned to the left-hand side net after the specified delay. The continuous assignment is used to place a value on a net. The \(D\) flip-flops will be designed using behavioral modeling and instantiated into the design module.


Figure 3.156 Logic diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.18.

The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 3.157. The test bench module and outputs are shown in Figure 3.158 and Figure 3.159, respectively.
```

//dataflow for pulse-mode asm using assign
module pm_asm_moore11 (rst_n, x1, x2, x3, yle, y2e, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2, x3;
output yle, y2e, zl;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7,
net8, net9, net10, net11; //continue on next page

```

Figure 3.157 Dataflow design module for Example 3.18.
```

//---------------------------------------------
//define the clock for the D flip-flops
assign net1 = ~(x1 | x2 | x3);
//
//design the logic for latch Ly1
assign net2 = ~(~y1e \& y2e \& x2),
net3 = (y1e \& x2),
net4 = ~(x1 | net3),
net5 = (~net2 | ~net6),
net6 = ~(net5 \& net4 \& rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for yle
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, net1, net5, yle); //reset, clk, D, Q
//--------------------------------------------
//design the logic for latch Ly2
assign net7 = ~(x1 \& ~y1e),
net8 = (x2 \& y1e \& rst_n),
net9 = ~(x3 | net8),
net10 = (~net7 | ~net11),
net11 = ~(net10 \& net9 \& rst_n);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y2e
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, net1, net10, y2e); //reset, clk, D, Q
//design the logic for output z1
assign z1 = (y1e \& ~y2e);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.157 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the moore pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_moore11_t.b;
reg rst_n, x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire y1e, y2e, z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, {y1e, y2e}, z1);
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.158 Test bench module for Example 3.18.
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
$x 1=1 ' b 0 ; \quad x 2=1 ' b 0 ; \quad x 3=1 ' b 0 ;$
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
\#10 $\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}$ 1'b1; $\mathrm{x} 2=1 ' b 0 ; \mathrm{x} 3=1 ' b 0 ; ~ / / s t a t e \_b$
\#10 $x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ ; ~$

```

```

    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ ; ~\)
    ```


```

    \#10 x1 = 1'b1; \(x 2\) = 1'b0; \(x 3\) = 1'b0; //state_a
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=} 1 \mathrm{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{b0} ; \mathrm{x} 2=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{x} 3=1 ' b 0 ;\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~\)
    ```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{x} 2=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{x} 3=1 ' b 0 ;\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}\) 1'b0; \(\mathrm{x} 2=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1 ; ~ x 3=1 ' b 0 ; ~ / / s t a t e \_c\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ; \mathrm{x} 2=1 ' b 0 ; \mathrm{x} 3=1 \mathrm{~b} 0\);
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 1 ; ~ / / s t a t e \_d ; ~ ; ~\)
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ / / a s s e r t ~ z 1 ~\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}\) 1'b0; \(\mathrm{x} 2=1 ' \mathrm{b0} ; \quad \mathrm{x} 3=1 \mathrm{~b} 1 ; ~ / /\) state_d;
    ```

```

    \#10 x1 = 1'b0; \(x 2\) = 1'b1; \(x 3\) = 1'b0; //state_a
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 3 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~ ; ~\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=} 1 \mathrm{lb0} \mathrm{x}\) = 1'b0; x 3 = 1'b1; //state_a
    ```

```

                                //continued on next page
    ```

Figure 3.158 (Continued)
```

    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_moore11 inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, x3, yle, y2e, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.158 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.159 Outputs for the Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.18.

\subsection*{3.4.3 T Flip-Flops as Storage Elements}

A toggle ( \(T\) ) flip-flop — shown in Figure 3.160 - is a positive-edge-triggered storage device that will now be designed for use in the Verilog design examples in this section. A \(T\) flip-flop has two inputs: \(T\) and reset; and two outputs \(+y_{1}\) and \(-y_{1}\). If the flip-flop is reset, then an active pulse on the \(T\) input will toggle the flip-flop to the set state; if the flip-flop is set, then a pulse on the \(T\) input will toggle the flip-flop to the reset state.

The \(T\) flip-flop utilized in these examples incorporates a \(D\) flip-flop, an exclusiveOR circuit, and a delay circuit as a buf built-in primitive, as shown in Figure 3.160. The \(T\) input connects to the clock input of the \(D\) flip-flop through a delay circuit, which allows the clock input to be delayed until the signal on the \(D\) input has stabilized. When \(T\) has a value of 0 , the next state is the same as the present state; when \(T\) has a value of 1 , the next state is the complement of the present state.


Figure 3.160 A \(T\) flip-flop.

The design module for the \(T\) flip-flop is shown in Figure 3.161. The test bench module is shown in Figure 3.162 and the outputs are shown in Figure 3.163.
```

//T flip-flop design using a D flip-flop and an xor
module t_ff_da (rst_n, t, y1);
input rst_n, t; //define inputs and output
output y1;
wire net1, net2; //net2 is the T input delayed
//define the logic for the T flip-flop
xor (net1, t, y1); //flip-flop D input
buf (net2, t); //flip-flop clk input delayed
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.161 Design module for a \(T\) flip-flop.
```

//instantiate the D flip-flop
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, net2, net1, y1); //reset, clk, D, Q
endmodule

```

Figure 3.161 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the T-flip-flop
module t_ff_da_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, t;
wire yl;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ($time, "ns, t = %b, y1 = %b", t, yl);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
t = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//--------------------------------
\#20 t = 1'b1;
\#10 t = 1'b0;
\#30 t = 1'b1;
\#10 t = 1'b0;
\#20 t = 1'b1;
\#10 t = 1'b0;
\#10 t = 1'b1;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench as a single line
t_ff_da inst1 (rst_n, t, yl);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.162 Test bench module for the \(T\) flip-flop.
```

0ns, t = 0, y1 = 0
25ns, t = 1, y1 = 1
35ns, t = 0, y1 = 1
65ns, t = 1, y1 = 0
75ns, t = 0, y1 = 0
95ns, t = 1, y1 = 1
105ns, t = 0, y1 = 1
115ns, t = 1, y1 = 0

```

Figure 3.163 Outputs for the \(T\) flip-flop.

Example 3.19 The state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.164. The machine will be designed using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops.


Figure 3.164 State diagram for the Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine of Example 3.19.

The Karnaugh maps for the storage elements are shown in Figure 3.165 and the equations for \(y_{1}, y_{2}\), and \(z_{1}\) are shown in Equation 3.32. The design module using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops is shown in Figure 3.166. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.167 and 3.168, respectively.


Figure 3.165 Karnaugh maps for \(T\) flip-flops \(y_{1}\) and \(y_{2}\) for Example 3.19.
\[
\begin{align*}
& T y_{1}=y_{1} y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{1}+y_{1} x_{2} \\
& \text { net3 } \\
& \text { net } 4 \quad \text { net } 5 \quad \text { net6 } \\
& T y_{2}=  \tag{3.32}\\
& y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2} x_{1}+y_{2} x_{2} \\
& \text { net7 } \\
& z_{1}=y_{1} y_{2} x_{2}
\end{align*}
\]
```

//mealy pulse-mode asm using bip and T flip-flops
module pm_asm_mealy_tff (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output y1, y2, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
wire nety1, nety2;
//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for flip-flop y1
and (net1, ~y1, y2, x1),
(net2, y1, x2);
or (net3, net1, net2);
//instantiate the T flip-flop
t_ff_da inst1 (rst_n, net3, nety1); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y1, nety1); //nety1 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. yl is the output
//delayed by 12 time units
//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for flip-flop y2
and (net4, ~y1, ~y2, x1),
(net5, y1, y2, x1),
(net6, y2, x2);
or (net7, net4, net5, net6);
//instantiate the T flip-flop
t_ff_da inst2 (rst_n, net7, nety2); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y2, nety2); //nety2 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. y2 is the output
//delayed by }12\mathrm{ time units
//------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for output z1
assign z1 = y1 \& y2 \& x2;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.166 Design module for Example 3.19 using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops.
```

//test bench for the mealy pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_mealy_tff_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire y1, y2, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1, y2}, z1);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//----------------------------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0; //assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
//-------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0; //continued on next page

```

Figure 3.167 Test bench module for Example 3.19.
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b1; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 =1'b0;
    #12 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_mealy_tff inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.167 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.168 Outputs for Example 3.19.

Example 3.20 The state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.169. The machine will be designed using built-in primitive logic gates and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops. The Karnaugh maps for the \(T\) flip-flops are shown in Figure 3.170.


Figure 3.169 State diagram for the Mealy machine of Example 3.20.


Figure 3.170 Karnaugh maps for the \(T\) flip-flops of the Mealy machine.

The equations for the \(T\) flip-flops and output \(z_{1}\) are shown in Equation 3.33. The structural design module using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops is shown in Figure 3.171. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.172 and 3.173 , respectively.
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { net1 net2 } \\
T y_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
\text { net3 } \\
\text { net4 net5 net6 } \\
T y_{2}=y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{1} x_{1}+y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{2} \\
\text { net7 } \\
z_{1}=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2}
\end{gathered}
\]
```

//mealy pulse-mode asm using bip and T flip-flops
module pm_asm_mealy3_tff (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output y1, y2, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
wire nety1, nety2;
//------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for flip-flop y1
and (net1, ~y1, y2, x1),
(net2, y1, ~y2, x2);
or (net3, net1, net2);
//instantiate the T flip-flop for y1
t_ff_da inst1 (rst_n, net3, nety1); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y1, nety1); //nety1 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. yl is the output
//delayed by 12 time units
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.171 Structural design module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.20.
```

//design the logic for flip-flop y2
and (net4, ~y2, x1),
(net5, y1, x1),
(net6, ~y1, y2, x2);
or (net7, net4, net5, net6);
//instantiate the T flip-flop for y2
t_ff_da inst2 (rst_n, net7, nety2); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y2, nety2); //nety2 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. y2 is the output
//delayed by }12\mathrm{ time units
//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for output z1
assign z1 = y1 \& ~y2 \& x2;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.171 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the mealy pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_mealy3_tff_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire y1, y2, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1, y2}, z1);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 'b0;
\#5
rst_n = 1'b1;

```
        //continued on next page

Figure 3.172 Test bench module for the Mealy machine of Example 3.20.
```

//------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //assert z1, state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
//--------------------------------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //assert z1, state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_mealy3_tff inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.172 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.173 Outputs for the Mealy machine of Example 3.20.

Example 3.21 The state diagram for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.174. The Karnaugh maps for \(T\) flip-flops \(y_{1}\) and \(y_{2}\) are shown in Figure 3.175. The equations for the \(T\) flip-flops and output \(z_{1}\) are shown in Equation 3.34. The logic diagram for the Moore machine is shown in Figure 3.176.

The structural design module using instantiated logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops is shown in Figure 3.177. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.178 and 3.179, respectively.


Figure 3.174 State diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.21.


Figure 3.175 Karnaugh maps for the \(T\) flip-flops of Example 3.21.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { net1 net2 } \\
& T y_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
& \text { net3 } \\
& \text { net4 net5 }  \tag{3.34}\\
& T y_{2}=y_{2}{ }^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{2} x_{2} \\
& \text { net7 } \\
& z_{1}=y_{1}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 3.176 Logic diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.21.
```

//structural for moore pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_moore_tff (rst_n, x1, x2, yl, y2, zl);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output y1, y2, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6;
wire nety1, nety2;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.177 Structural design module for the Moore machine of Example 3.21.
```

//-------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for T flip-flop y1
and3_df inst1 (~y1, y2, x1, net1),
inst2 (y1, ~y2, x2, net2);
or2_df inst3 (net1, net2, net3);
//instantiate the T flip-flop for y1
t_ff_da inst4 (rst_n, net3, nety1); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y1, nety1); //nety1 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. yl is the output
//delayed by }12\mathrm{ time units
//------------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for T flip-flop y2
and2_df inst5 (~y2, x1, net4),
inst6 (y2, x2, net5);
or2_df inst7 (net4, net5, net6);
//instantiate the T flip-flop for y2
t_ff_da inst8 (rst_n, net6, nety2); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y2, nety2); //nety2 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. y2 is the output
//delayed by }12\mathrm{ time units
//---------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for output z1
assign z1 = y1;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.177 (Continued)
```

//test bench for the moore pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_moore_tff_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire y1, y2, z1;

```

Figure 3.178 Test bench module for the Moore machine of Example 3.21.
```

//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, {y1, y2}, z1);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//-----------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_c, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_d, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
//------------------------------------------
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
/ /-
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_b
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_c, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_c, assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;

```
                                    //continued on next page
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_d, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2= 'b0; //state_c, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_d, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b1; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2= 'b0;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_moore_tff inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.178 (Continued)
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0 //continued on next page

```

Figure 3.179 Outputs for the Moore machine of Example 3.21.
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 11, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.179 (Continued)

Example 3.22 The state diagram for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown in Figure 3.180. The machine will be designed using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops.


Figure 3.180 State diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.22.

The Karnaugh maps for the \(T\) flip-flops are shown in Figure 3.181. The equations for the \(T\) flip-flops and output \(z_{1}\) are shown in Equation 3.35. The logic diagram for the Moore machine is shown in Figure 3.182 with the net names. The design module for the Moore machine using built-in primitives and \(T\) flip-flops is shown in Figure 3.183. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 3.184 and 3.185, respectively.


Figure 3.181 Karnaugh maps for the \(T\) flip-flops for Example 3.22.
\[
\begin{gather*}
T y_{1}=\begin{array}{c}
\text { net } 1 \quad \text { net } 2 \quad \text { net3 } \\
y_{1} x_{1}+y_{1} x_{2}+y_{2} x_{2} \\
\text { net } 4
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { net5 } \quad \text { net6 } \quad \text { net7 } \\
T y_{2}= \\
y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2} x_{2}+y_{2} x_{3} \\
\text { net } 8
\end{array} \\
z_{1}=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
\]


Figure 3.182 Logic diagram for the Moore machine of Example 3.22.
```

//moore pulse-mode asm using bip and T flip-flops
module pm_asm_moore2_tff (rst_n, x1, x2, x3, y1, y2, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2, x3;
output y1, y2, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
wire nety1, nety2;
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for flip-flop y1
and (net1, y1, x1),
(net2, y1, x2),
(net3, y2, x2);
or (net4, net1, net2, net3);
//instantiate the T flip-flop
t_ff_da inst1 (rst_n, net4, nety1); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y1, nety1); //nety1 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. y1 is the output
//delayed by 12 time units
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.183 Design module using built-in primitives and \(T\) flip-flops.
```

//--------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for flip-flop y2
and (net5, ~y1, ~y2, x1),
(net6, y1, y2, x2),
(net7, y2, x3);
or (net8, net5, net6, net7);
//instantiate the T flip-flop
t_ff_da inst2 (rst_n, net8, nety2); //reset, T, Q
buf \#12 (y2, nety2); //nety2 is the output of the
//T flip-flop. y2 is the output
//delayed by }12\mathrm{ time units
//--------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for output z1
assign z1 = y1 \& ~y2;
endmodule

```

Figure 3.183 (Continued)
```

//test bench for moore pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_moore2_tff_t.b;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2, x3;
wire y1, y2, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, {y1, y2}, z1);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 3.184 Test bench module for the Moore machine of Example 3.22.
```

    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1; //state_d,
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; x3 = 1'b0; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1; //state_d,
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b1; //state_d,
    < //assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
    #12 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_moore2_tff inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, x3, y1, y2, z1);
endmodule

```

Figure 3.184
```

x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 11, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 00, z1 = 0

```

Figure 3.185 Outputs for the Moore machine of Example 3.22.

\subsection*{3.5 Problems}
3.1 Design an 8-bit Johnson counter using the case statement. A Johnson counter generates a counting sequence in which any two contiguous numbers differ by only one variable, as shown below for a 3-bit Johnson counter. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
\[
000,001,011,111,011,001,000
\]
3.2 Design a counter that counts in the sequence shown below using instantiated logic gates designed using dataflow modeling and instantiated \(D\) flip-flops designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
\[
000,111,001,110,010,101,011,100,000
\]
3.3 Design a Mealy synchronous sequential machine that will generate an output \(z_{1}\) whenever the sequence 1001 is detected on a serial input line \(x_{1}\). Overlapping sequences are valid. For example, the following sequence will assert output \(z_{1}\) three times: . . . \(0110 \underline{1001000110010010 ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ U s e ~ b u i l t-i n ~ p r i m-~}\) itives for the logic gates and instantiated \(D\) flip-flops for the storage devices. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
3.4 The state diagram for a Moore synchronous sequential machine is shown below with three inputs, \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\). There are two outputs, \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\). Obtain the structural design module using built-in primitives and instantiated \(D\) flipflops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs. Use the \$random system task for the test bench module to generate a random value for certain inputs when their value can be considered a "don't care" - either 0 or 1 . Use \(c l k\) ' to gate the outputs to avoid possible glitches.

3.5 Given the state diagram shown below for a Moore synchronous sequential machine, design the machine using behavioral modeling with the case statement. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs. In the design module, the values of the input variables \(x_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\) can be declared as either \(\left(x_{1}==1\right)\) or as \(\left(x_{1}\right)\) for example, where \(\left(x_{1}\right)\) implies a value of 1. The input variables can also be declared as \(\left(x_{1}==0\right)\) or as \(\left(\sim x_{1}\right)\), where \(\left(\sim x_{1}\right)\) implies a value of 0 .

3.6 Given the state diagram shown below for a synchronous sequential machine containing Moore and Mealy outputs, synthesize the machine using linear-select multiplexers and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.


A linear-select multiplexer is one where the flip-flop outputs connect to the multiplexer select inputs in a one-to-one mapping as shown below. The combinational logic which connects to the input of the multiplexer array is either very elementary or nonexistent.

3.7 Given the state diagram for a Mealy synchronous sequential machine shown below, design the machine using the dataflow continuous assign statement assign. Obtain the dataflow design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.8 The timing diagram for an asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Obtain the primitive flow table, the merger diagram, the merged flow table, the excitation map, and the output map. Design the structural design module using built-in primitives and the test bench module. Obtain the outputs.

3.9 Synthesize an asynchronous sequential machine using built-in primitives which has two inputs \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\) and one output \(z_{1}\). Output \(z_{1}\) will be asserted coincident with the assertion of the first \(x_{2}\) pulse and will remain active for the duration of the first \(x_{2}\) pulse. The output will be asserted only if the assertion of \(x_{1}\) precedes the assertion of \(x_{2}\). Input \(x_{1}\) will not become de-asserted while \(x_{2}\) is asserted. The timing diagram is shown below. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.10 The timing diagram for a Mealy asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Design the machine using instantiated logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.11 The timing diagram for a Mealy asynchronous sequential machine is shown below with one input \(x_{1}\) and two outputs \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\). Output \(z_{1}\) toggles on the rising edge of input \(x_{1}\). Output \(z_{2}\) toggles on the falling edge of \(x_{1}\). Use structural modeling with built-in primitives to obtain the design module. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.

3.12 The waveforms for a Mealy asynchronous sequential machine are shown below with two inputs \(x_{1}\) and \(x_{2}\) and one output \(z_{1}\). Design the machine using dataflow modeling with the assign statement. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.13 Given the state diagram shown below for a Moore-Mealy asynchronous sequential machine, design the machine using behavioral modeling with the case statement. Then, obtain the test bench and the outputs.

3.14 The state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Design the machine using built-in primitives and instantiated \(D\) flip-flops. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.15 The state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Synthesize the machine using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.16 The state diagram for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Design the machine using built-in primitives and instantiated \(D\) flip-flops. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.17 Repeat Problem 3.16 for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine using the continuous assignment statement assign and instantiated \(D\) flip-flops. Obtain the dataflow design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
3.18 A toggle ( \(T\) ) flip-flop will be used in this problem and the next three problems. A \(T\) flip-flop has two inputs: \(T\) and reset; and two outputs \(+y_{1}\) and \(-y_{1}\). If the flip-flop is reset, then an active pulse on the \(T\) input will toggle the flip-flop to the set state; if the flip-flop is set, then a pulse on the \(T\) input will toggle the flip-flop to the reset state. Refer to page 372 of Chapter 3 for a description of a \(T\) flip-flop.

Design a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine according to the state diagram shown below. Obtain the dataflow design module using the continuous assign statement, the test bench module, and the outputs.

3.19 Given the state diagram shown below for a Moore pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine, design the machine using structural modeling with builtin primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops.

3.20 Given the state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine shown below, design a dataflow module using the continuous assignment statement assign. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.

3.21 The state diagram shown below is for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine. Design the structural module for the machine using built-in primitives and instantiated \(T\) flip-flops. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
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\section*{Computer Arithmetic Design Using Verilog HDL}

\subsection*{4.1 Introduction}

This chapter provides techniques for designing different types of adders, subtractors, multipliers, and dividers using Verilog HDL. The number representations that will be used are fixed-point, binary-coded decimal (BCD), and floating-point. For fixedpoint addition, the radix point is placed to the immediate right of the number for integers or to the immediate left of the number for fractions. For floating-point addition, the numbers consist of the following three fields: a sign bit \(s\); an exponent \(e\); and a fraction \(f\). These parts represent a number that is obtained by multiplying the fraction \(f\) by the radix \(r\), raised to the power of the exponent \(e\), as shown in Equation 4.1 for the number \(A\), where \(f\) and \(e\) are signed fixed-point numbers, and \(r\) is the radix (or base).
\[
\begin{equation*}
A=f \times r^{e} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

\subsection*{4.2 Fixed-Point Addition}

Before the actual design process is presented, the addition operation will be illustrated. There are two operands that are added in an addition operation: the augend and the addend. The addend is added to the augend to produce a sum. If there is a carry-in, then
the carry-in is added to the augend and addend to yield a sum and carry-out. The truth table for binary addition is shown in Table 4.1. When adding \(1+1=2\), the number 2 in binary is \(10_{2}\). When adding \(1+1+1=3\), the number 3 in binary is \(11_{2}\).

The radix complement of binary numbers ( \(2 s\) complement) is obtained by complementing each bit of the corresponding positive binary number and adding 1 to the low-order bit position. For example, let \(A=00011100_{2}=+28_{10}\) and \(A^{\prime}=11100011\) \(=11100100=-28\). To obtain the value of a negative number count the weight of the 0s and add 1. Examples of addition operations are shown in Table 4.2, which add two 8 -bit positive and negative operands.

Table 4.1 Truth Table for a Full Adder for Binary Addition
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Augend \\
\((a)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Addend \\
\((b)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Carry-in \\
\((\) cin \()\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Carry-out \\
\((\) cout \()\)
\end{tabular} & Sum \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.2 Examples of Addition for Two Eight-Bit Signed Operands
\begin{tabular}{rlllllllll}
\hline & \(2^{7}\) & \(2^{6}\) & \(2^{5}\) & \(2^{4}\) & \(2^{3}\) & \(2^{2}\) & \(2^{1}\) & \(2^{0}\) & Value \\
\hline \hline Augend \(=\) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & +26 \\
+ Addend \(=\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & +61 \\
Sum \(=\) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & +87 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Augend \(=\) & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -56 \\
+ Addend \(=\) & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -47 \\
Sum \(=\) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -103 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{4.2.1 Full Adder}

A full adder can be designed from two half adders. A half adder adds two operand bits \(a\) and \(b\), and produces two outputs sum and carry-out. The truth table for a half adder is shown in Table 4.3 and the equations for a half adder are shown in Equation 4.2.

From Table 4.1, the equations for the sum and carry-out of a full adder are shown in Equation 4.3. The logic diagram for a full adder is shown in Figure 4.1.

Table 4.3 Truth Table for a Half Adder
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Augend \\
\((a)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Addend \\
\((b)\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Carry-out \\
\((\) cout \()\)
\end{tabular} & Sum \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline & & cout \(=a b\)
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{align*}
\text { sum } & =a^{\prime} b^{\prime} \operatorname{cin}+a^{\prime} b c i n^{\prime}+a b^{\prime} c i n \\
& =a \oplus b \oplus \operatorname{cin} \\
\text { cout } & =a^{\prime} b \operatorname{cin}+a b^{\prime} \operatorname{cin}+a b c^{\prime} n^{\prime}+a b c i n \\
& =a b+(a \oplus b) \operatorname{cin} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 4.1 Logic diagram for a full adder using two half adders.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 4.2 using built-in primitives. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.3 and 4.4, respectively.
```

//full adder using built-in primitives
module full_adder_bip (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//design the full adder
//design the sum
xor inst1 (net1, a, b);
and inst2 (net2, a, b);
xor inst3 (sum, net1, cin);
//define the carry-out
and inst4 (net3, net1, cin);
or inst5 (cout, net3, net2);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.2 Structural design module for the full adder.
```

//test bench for full adder using built-in primitives
module full_adder_bip_tb;
reg a, b, cin; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire sum, cout; //outputs are wire for test bench
//apply input vectors
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg[3:0] invect; //invect[3] terminates the for loop
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{a, b, cin} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("abcin = %b, cout = %b, sum = %b",
{a, b, cin}, cout, sum);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
full_adder_bip inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.3 Test bench module for the full adder.
```

abcin = 000, cout = 0, sum = 0
abcin = 001, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 010, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 011, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 100, cout = 0, sum = 1
abcin = 101, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 110, cout = 1, sum = 0
abcin = 111, cout = 1, sum = 1

```

Figure 4.4 Outputs for the full adder.

\subsection*{4.2.2 Three-Bit Adder}

A 3-bit adder will be designed using the continuous assignment statement assign. Recall that the continuous assignment statement has the following syntax with optional drive strength and delay:
assign [drive_strength] [delay] left-hand side target \(=\) right-hand side expression
The design also uses the concatenation operator \(\}\), which forms a single operand from two or more operands by joining the different operands in sequence separated by commas. The operands to be appended are contained within braces. The size of the operands must be known before concatenation takes place. The design module utilizes the concatenation operator as follows:
```

assign {cout, sum} = a + b + cin;

```

The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 4.5. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.6 and 4.7, respectively. Since operands \(a\) and \(b\) are both 3-bit operands and \(\operatorname{cin}\) is a 1-bit operand, the cout and sum variables will contain 128 values.
```

//dataflow for a 3-bit adder
module adder3_df (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input [2:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [2:0] sum;
output cout;
assign {cout, sum} = a + b + cin;
endmodule

```

Figure 4.5 Dataflow design module for the 3-bit adder.
```

//test bench for 3-bit dataflow adder
module adder3_df_tb;
reg [2:0] a, b; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg cin;
wire [2:0] sum; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire cout;
//apply stimulus
initial
begin : apply_stimulus
reg [7:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 128; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{a, b, cin} = invect [7:0];
\#10 \$display ("a=%b, b=%b, cin=%b,
cout=%b, sum=%b", a, b, cin, cout, sum);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
adder3_df inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.6 Test bench module for the 3-bit adder.
```

a=000, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=000
a=000, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=001
a=000, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=001
a=000, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=010
a=000, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=010
a=000, b=010, cin=1, cout=0, sum=011
a=000, b=011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=011
a=000, b=011, cin=1, cout=0, sum=100
a=000, b=100, cin=0, cout=0, sum=100
a=000, b=100, cin=1, cout=0, sum=101
a=000, b=101, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=000, b=101, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=000, b=110, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=000, b=110, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=000, b=111, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111

Figure 4.7 Outputs for the 3-bit adder.

```
a=000, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=001, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=001
a=001, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=010
a=001, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=010
a=001, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=011
a=001, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=011
a=001, b=010, cin=1, cout=0, sum=100
a=001, b=011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=100
a=001, b=011, cin=1, cout=0, sum=101
a=001, b=100, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=001, b=100, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=001, b=101, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=001, b=101, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=001, b=110, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=001, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=001, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=001, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=010, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=010
a=010, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=011
a=010, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=011
a=010, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=100
a=010, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=100
a=010, b=010, cin=1, cout=0, sum=101
a=010, b=011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=010, b=011, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=010, b=100, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=010, b=100, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=010, b=101, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=010, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=010, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=010, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=010, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=010, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=011, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=011
a=011, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=100
a=011, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=100
a=011, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=101
a=011, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=011, b=010, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=011, b=011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110 //continued next page
```

Figure 4.7 (Continued)

```
a=011, b=011, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=011, b=100, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=011, b=100, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=011, b=101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=011, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=011, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=011, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=011, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=010
a=011, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=011
a=100, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=100
a=100, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=101
a=100, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=100, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=100, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=100, b=010, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=100, b=011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=100, b=011, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=100, b=100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=100, b=100, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=100, b=101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=100, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=100, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=010
a=100, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=011
a=100, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=011
a=100, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=100
a=101, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=101
a=101, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=110
a=101, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=101, b=001, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=101, b=010, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=101, b=010, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=101, b=011, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=101, b=011, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=101, b=100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=101, b=100, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=101, b=101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=010
a=101, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=011
a=101, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=011
a=101, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=100
a=101, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=100 //continued next page
```

Figure 4.7 (Continued)

```
a=101, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=101
a=110, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=110
a=110, b=000, cin=1, cout=0, sum=111
a=110, b=001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=110, b=001, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=110, b=010, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=110, b=010, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=110, b=011, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=110, b=011, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=110, b=100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=010
a=110, b=100, cin=1, cout=1, sum=011
a=110, b=101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=011
a=110, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=100
a=110, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=100
a=110, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=101
a=110, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=101
a=110, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=110
a=111, b=000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=111
a=111, b=000, cin=1, cout=1, sum=000
a=111, b=001, cin=0, cout=1, sum=000
a=111, b=001, cin=1, cout=1, sum=001
a=111, b=010, cin=0, cout=1, sum=001
a=111, b=010, cin=1, cout=1, sum=010
a=111, b=011, cin=0, cout=1, sum=010
a=111, b=011, cin=1, cout=1, sum=011
a=111, b=100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=011
a=111, b=100, cin=1, cout=1, sum=100
a=111, b=101, cin=0, cout=1, sum=100
a=111, b=101, cin=1, cout=1, sum=101
a=111, b=110, cin=0, cout=1, sum=101
a=111, b=110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=110
a=111, b=111, cin=0, cout=1, sum=110
a=111, b=111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=111
```

Figure 4.7 (Continued)

### 4.2.3 Four-Bit Ripple-Carry Adder

A ripple-carry adder is not considered a high-speed adder, but requires less logic than a high-speed adder using the carry lookahead technique. The carry lookahead method
expresses the carry-out of any stage as a function of $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ and the carry-in cin to the low-order stage. An $n$-stage ripple adder requires $n$ full adders. The full adder of Section 4.2 .1 will be used in this design. It will be instantiated four times into the structural design module. The logic diagram for a 4-bit ripple-carry adder is shown in Figure 4.8 in which the carries propagate (or ripple) through the adder.


Figure 4.8 Logic diagram for a 4-bit ripple-carry adder.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 4.9. The inputs are two 4-bit vectors, $a[3: 0]$ and $b[3: 0]$, where $a[0]$ and $b[0]$ are the low-order bits of the augend $A$ and the addend $B$, respectively. There is also a scalar input $\operatorname{cin}$. The outputs are a 4-bit vector sum [3:0] and a scalar output cout. The ripple-carries are internal nets represented by a 4-bit vector $c[3: 0]$, which connects the carries between the adder stages. The test bench module and outputs are shown in Figures 4.10 and 4.11, respectively.

```
//structural for four-bit ripple-carry adder
module adder4_ripple_carry (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
//define internal nets
wire [3:0] c;
//define output
assign cout = c[3]; //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.9 Structural design module for the 4-bit ripple-carry adder.

```
//design the ripple-carry adder
//instantiating the full adders
full_adder_bip inst0 (a[0], b[0], cin, sum[0], c[0]);
full_adder_bip inst1 (a[1], b[1], c[0], sum[1], c[1]);
full_adder_bip inst2 (a[2], b[2], c[1], sum[2], c[2]);
full_adder_bip inst3 (a[3], b[3], c[2], sum[3], c[3]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.9 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the four-bit ripple-carry adder
module adder4_ripple_carry_tb;
reg [3:0] a, b; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg cin;
wire [3:0] sum; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, cin = %b, cout = %b, sum = %b",
                    a, b, cin, cout, sum);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# 0 & 4'b0000; & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{lb0001;}\) & cin = 1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0010\); & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0001\); & cin = 1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0011\); & b = 4'b0101; & cin = 1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 &  & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{blo01;}\) & cin = 1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0111\); & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0111\); & cin = 1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (010; & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0111\); & cin = 1'bo; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 1110 ;\) & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0111\); & cin = 1'b0; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 1100\); & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{bl100}\); & cin = 1'b1; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\), 111 ; & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0110\); & \(\mathrm{cin}=1 \mathrm{lb}\) \\
\hline \#10 & = 4'b1011 & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 100\) & cin = 1' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.10 Test bench module for the 4-bit ripple-carry adder.

```
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b0000; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1101; b = 4'b1100; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b1110; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1111; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instaniate the module into the test bench
adder4_ripple_carry inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.10 (Continued)

```
a = 0000, b = 0001, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 0001
a = 0010, b = 0001, cin = 1, cout = 0, sum = 0100
a = 0011, b = 0101, cin = 1, cout = 0, sum = 1001
a = 1010, b = 1001, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 0011
a = 0111, b = 0111, cin = 1, cout = 0, sum = 1111
a = 1010, b = 0111, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 0001
a = 1110, b = 0111, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 0101
a = 1100, b = 1100, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 1001
a = 1111, b = 0110, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 0110
a}=1011,\textrm{b}=1000, cin=0, cout = 1, sum = 001
a = 1111, b = 0000, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 0000
a = 1101, b = 1100, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 1001
a = 1000, b = 0111, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 0000
a = 0001, b = 1110, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 1111
a = 1111, b = 1111, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 1111
```

Figure 4.11 Outputs for the 4-bit ripple-carry adder.

### 4.2.4 Carry Lookahead Adder

This section designs a 4-bit carry lookahead adder using built-in primitives and the assign statement. The speed of an add operation can be increased by expressing the car-ry-out of any stage of the adder as a function of the two operand bits $a$ and $b$ of that stage and the carry-in to the low-order stage of the adder. Two auxiliary functions can be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Generate } & G_{i}=a_{i} b_{i} \\
\text { Propagate } & P_{i}=a_{i} \oplus b_{i}
\end{array}
$$

The carry generate function $G_{i}$ specifies where a carry is generated at the $i$ th stage. The carry propagate function $P_{i}$ is true when the $i$ th stage will pass (or propagate) the incoming carry $c_{i-1}$ to the next higher stage ${ }_{i+1}$. The carry-out $c_{i}$ of any stage ${ }_{i}$ can be defined as shown in Equation 4.4.

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i} & =a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i}^{\prime} c_{i-1}+a_{i} b_{i} \\
& =a_{i} b_{i}+\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right) c_{i-1} \\
& =G_{i}+P_{i} c_{i-1} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 4.4 indicates that the generate $G_{i}$ and propagate $P_{i}$ functions for any carry $c_{i}$ can be obtained when the operand inputs are applied to the adder. The equation can be applied recursively to obtain the set of carry equations shown in Equation 4.5 in terms of the variables $G_{i}, P_{i}$, and $c_{-1}$. Equation 4.5 is for a 4-bit adder (3:0), where $c_{-1}$ is the carry-in to the low-stage of the adder.

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0} & =G_{0}+P_{0} c_{-1} \\
c_{1} & =G_{1}+P_{1} c_{0} \\
& =G_{1}+P_{1}\left(G_{0}+P_{0} c_{-1}\right) \\
& =G_{1}+P_{1} G_{0}+P_{1} P_{0} c_{-1} \\
c_{2} & =G_{2}+P_{2} c_{1} \\
& =G_{2}+P_{2}\left(G_{1}+P_{1} G_{0}+P_{1} P_{0} c_{-1}\right) \\
& =G_{2}+P_{2} G_{1}+P_{2} P_{1} G_{0}+P_{2} P_{1} P_{0} c_{-1} \\
c_{3} & =G_{3}+P_{3} c_{2} \\
& =G_{3}+P_{3}\left(G_{2}+P_{2} G_{1}+P_{2} P_{1} G_{0}+P_{2} P_{1} P_{0} c_{-1}\right) \\
& =G_{3}+P_{3} G_{2}+P_{3} P_{2} G_{1}+P_{3} P_{2} P_{1} G_{0}+P_{3} P_{2} P_{1} P_{0} c_{-1} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The 4-bit carry lookahead adder will be designed using Equation 4.5 using builtin primitives and the assign statement. The block diagram of the adder is shown in Figure 4.12 , where the augend is $a[3: 0]$, the addend is $b[3: 0]$, and the sum is $s[3: 0]$. There are also four internal carries: $c 3, c 2, c 1$, and $c 0$. The structural design module is shown in Figure 4.13. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.14 and 4.15 , respectively.


Figure 4.12 Block diagram of a 4-bit adder to be implemented as a carry lookahead adder using built-in primitives.

```
//structural for four-bit carry lookahead adder using
//built-in primitives and conditional assignment
module adder_4_cla (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
//design the logic for the generate functions
and (g0, a[0], b[0]),
    (g1, a[1], b[1]),
    (g2, a[2], b[2]),
    (g3, a[3], b[3]);
//design the logic for the propagate functions
xor (p0, a[0], b[0]),
    (p1, a[1], b[1]),
    (p2, a[2], b[2]),
    (p3, a[3], b[3]);
//design the logic for the sum equations
xor (sum[0], p0, cin),
    (sum[1], p1, c0),
    (sum[2], p2, c1),
    (sum[3], p3, c2); //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.13 Structural design module for the 4-bit carry lookahead adder.

```
//design the logic for the carry equations
//using the continuous assign statement
assign c0 = g0 | (p0 & cin),
    c1 = g1 | (p1 & g0) | (p1 & p0 & cin),
    c2 = g2 | (p2 & g1) | (p2 & p1 & g0)
        | (p2 & p1 & p0 & cin),
c3 = g3 | (p3 & g2) | (p3 & p2 & g1)
    | (p3 & p2 & p1 & g0)
    |(p3 & p2 & p1 & p0 & cin);
//design the logic for cout using assign
assign cout = c3;
endmodule
```

Figure 4.13 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the four-bit carry lookahead adder
module adder_4_cla_tb;
reg [3:0] a, b; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg cin;
wire [3:0] sum; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, cin = %b, cout = %b, sum = %b",
    a, b, cin, cout, sum);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0000; b = 4'b0000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b0;
                                //cout = 0, sum = 0011
    #10 a = 4'b0010; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b0;
        //cout = 0, sum = 1000
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b0;
                                //cout = 0, sum = 1110
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.14 Test bench module for the 4-bit carry lookahead adder.


Figure $4.14 \quad$ (Continued)

```
a = 0000, b = 0000, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 0000
a = 0001, b = 0010, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 0011
a = 0010, b = 0110, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 1000
a = 0111, b = 0111, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 1110
a = 1001, b = 0110, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 1111
a}=1100,\textrm{b}=1100, cin=0, cout=1, sum=100
a = 1111, b = 1110, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 1101
a = 1110, b = 1110, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 1101 //next pg
```

Figure 4.15 Outputs for the 4-bit carry lookahead adder.

```
a = 1111, b = 1111, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 1111
a = 1010, b = 1010, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 0101
a = 1000, b = 1000, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 0000
a = 1101, b = 1000, cin = 1, cout = 1, sum = 0110
a = 1000, b = 1111, cin = 0, cout = 1, sum = 0111
a = 0011, b = 1010, cin = 1, cout = 0, sum = 1110
a = 0100, b = 0100, cin = 0, cout = 0, sum = 1000
a = 1110, b = 0000, cin = 1, cout = 0, sum = 1111
```

Figure 4.15 (Continued)

### 4.3 Fixed-Point Subtraction

Fixed-point subtraction is performed by subtracting the subtrahend from the minuend according to the rules shown in Table 4.4. An example is shown in Figure 4.16 using eight bits in which the subtrahend $00100101(+37)$ is subtracted from the minuend $00110110(+54)$, resulting in a difference of $00010001(+17)$.

Table 4.4 Truth Table for Subtraction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0-0=0 \\
& 0-1=1 \text { with a borrow from the next higher-order minuend } \\
& 1-0=1 \\
& 1-1=0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $2^{7}$ | $2^{6}$ | $2^{5}$ | $2^{4}$ | $2^{3}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{0}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ (Minuend $)=+54$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $-)$ | $B($ Subtrahend $)=+37$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | $D($ Difference $)=+17$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 4.16 Example of subtraction using eight bits.

Computers use an adder to perform the subtract operation by adding the radix complement of the subtrahend to the minuend. The $r$ s complement is obtained from the $r-1$ complement by adding 1 . For radix 2 , the 2 s complement is obtained by adding 1 to the 1 s complement. The 1 s complement is obtained by inverting all bits in the subtrahend. Thus, let $A$ and $B$ be two $n$-bit operands, where $A$ is the minuend and $B$ is the subtrahend as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \ldots a_{1} a_{0} \\
& B=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{1} b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $A-B=A+\left(B^{\prime}+1\right)$, where $B^{\prime}$ is the 1 s complement of $B$ and $\left(B^{\prime}+1\right)$ is the 2 s complement of $B$. Examples of subtraction are shown below for both positive and negative 8 -bit operands using the 2 s complement method.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll} 
& \begin{array}{cccccccccc}
A= & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
-) & B= & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} & +15 \\
\hline & & & & & \downarrow & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



### 4.3.1 Four-Bit Ripple Subtractor

A 4-bit subtractor will be designed using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders. A full adder design is shown on page 410 of this chapter using built-in primitives. Since four bits are used in this design, examples of 4-bit subtract operations are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& A= 0 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned} \longrightarrow \begin{aligned}
A & (-4)
\end{aligned} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{llll}
A & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\left(B^{\prime}+1\right) & =\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \leftarrow & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array} & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Result is overflow for four bits
+10 in 2 s complement for five bits

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \left.=\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-8) \\
-) B & = & \begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & (+2)
\end{array} & \longrightarrow(-10)
\end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{rllll}
A & =1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\left(B^{\prime}+1\right) & =\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & \leftarrow & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Result is overflow for four bits
-10 in 2 s complement for five bits

The logic diagram for the 4-bit subtractor is shown in Figure 4.17 using four full adders (FA) and four inverters. The design module is shown in Figure 4.18 using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using built-in primitives. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.19 and 4.20, respectively.


Figure 4.17 Logic diagram for a 4-bit subtractor.

```
//structural for a 4-bit subtractor
//using bip and instantiated full adders
module sub_4bit_bip (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [3:0] rslt, cout;
//define internal nets
wire net0, net1, net2, net3;
//design the logic for stage 0
not (net0, b[0]);
full_adder_bip inst0 (a[0], net0, cin, rslt[0], cout[0]);
//design the logic for stage 1
not (net1, b[1]);
full_adder_bip inst1 (a[1], net1, cout[0],
    rslt[1], cout[1]);
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.18 Structural design module for a 4-bit subtractor.

```
//design the logic for stage 2
not (net2, b[2]);
full_adder_bip inst2 (a[2], net2, cout[1], rslt[2],
cout[2]);
//design the logic for stage 3
not (net3, b[3]);
full_adder_bip inst3 (a[3], net3, cout[2], rslt[3],
cout[3]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.18 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 4-bit subtractor
module sub_4bit_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [3:0] rslt, cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, cin = %b, rslt = %b, cout = %b",
    a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \# 0 &  & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0010\); & cin \(=1 ' \mathrm{~b}\) \\
\hline \# 10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (100; & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0110\); & cin = 1'bl; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (110; & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1010\); & cin = 1'bl; \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (110; & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0011\); & cin = 1'b1; \\
\hline \# 10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{bl111}\); & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0010\); & cin \(=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1\) \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (110; & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0110\); & cin \(=1 \mathrm{lb}\) \\
\hline \#10 & \(\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b}\) (110; & \(\mathrm{b}=4^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1111\); & cin \(=1\) 'b \\
\hline \#10 & = 4'b1111; & \(\mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{l}\) ( 0011 ; & in \(=1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.19 Test bench module for the 4-bit subtractor.
```

    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_4bit_bip inst1 (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.19 (Continued)
```

a = 0110, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 0100, cout = 1111
a}=1100,\textrm{b}=0110, cin=1, rslt = 0110, cout = 1001
a = 1110, b = 1010, cin = 1, rslt = 0100, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 1011, cout = 1100
a = 1111, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 1101, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 0110, cin = 1, rslt = 1000, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 1111, cin = 1, rslt = 1111, cout = 0000
a = 1111, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 1100, cout = 1111
a = 0001, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 1111, cout = 0001
a = 0001, b = 0001, cin = 1, rslt = 0000, cout = 1111
a = 1000, b = 0111, cin = 1, rslt = 0001, cout = 1000
a = 1001, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 0110, cout = 1001

```

Figure 4.20 Outputs for the 4-bit subtractor.

\subsection*{4.3.2 Eight-Bit Subtractor}

This example designs an 8-bit subtractor using behavioral modeling. Designing a module in behavioral modeling is an abstraction of the functional operation of the design. It does not implement the design at the gate level. Behavioral modeling is an algorithmic approach to hardware implementation and represents a higher level of abstraction than other modeling methods.

The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 4.21. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.22 and 4.23, respectively.
```

//behavioral 8-bit subtractor
module sub_8bit_bh (a, b, rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a, b;
output [7:0] rslt;
//variables used in always are declared as reg
reg [7:0] rslt;
//neg_b is used in the subtract operation
reg [7:0] neg_b = ~b + 1;
always @ (a or b)
begin
rslt = a + neg_b;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 4.21 Behavioral design module for the 8-bit subtractor.
```

//test bench for the 8-bit subtractor
module sub_8bit_bh_tb;
reg [7:0] a, b; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [7:0] rslt; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, rslt = %b", a, b, rslt);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 a = 8'b0000_0011; b = 8'b0000_0001; //3-1 = 2
\#10 a = 8'b0000 0100; b = 8'b0000-0011; //4-3= = 1
\#10 a = 8'b0000_0110; b = 8'b0000_0011; //6-3 = 3
\#10 a = 8'b0000_1110; b = 8'b0000_0111; //14-7 = 7
\#10 a = 8'b0000_1100; b = 8'b0000_0101; //12-5 = 7
\#10 a = 8'b0100 1100; b = 8'b0001 0101; //76-21 = 55
\#10 a = 8'b0011_0001; b = 8'b0001_1000; //49-24 = 25
\#10 a = 8'b0111_0001; b = 8'b0011_1001; //113-57=56
//continued on next page

```

Figure 4.22 Test bench module for the 8-bit subtractor.
```

    #10 a = 8'b1000_0001; b = 8'b1000_0001; //-127+127=0
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0001; b = 8'b0010_0001; //97-33 = 64
    #10 a = 8'b1100_0110; b = 8'b1000_0101; //-58+123=65
    #10 a = 8'b0101_0101; b = 8'b0000_1111; //85-15=70
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1000; b = 8'b0000_0010; //-8-2=-10
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_8bit_bh inst1 (a, b, rslt);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.22 (Continued)
```

a = 00000011, b = 00000001, rslt = 00000010
a = 00000100, b = 00000011, rslt = 00000001
a = 00000110, b = 00000011, rslt = 00000011
a = 00001110, b = 00000111, rslt = 00000111
a = 00001100, b = 00000101, rslt = 00000111
a = 01001100, b = 00010101, rslt = 00110111
a = 00110001, b = 00011000, rslt = 00011001
a = 01110001, b = 00111001, rslt = 00111000
a = 10000001, b = 10000001, rslt = 00000000
a = 01100001, b = 00100001, rslt = 01000000
a = 11000110, b = 10000101, rslt = 01000001
a = 01010101, b = 00001111, rslt = 01000110
a}=11111000,\textrm{b}=00000010, rslt = 1111011

```

Figure 4.23 Outputs for the 8-bit subtractor.

\subsection*{4.3.3 Four-Bit Dataflow Adder/Subtractor}

This section presents the dataflow design module of a 4-bit fixed-point ripple adder/ subtractor using the continuous assignment statement assign and instantiated full adders. It is desirable to have the adder unit perform both addition and subtraction since there is no advantage to having a separate adder and subtractor. A ripple-carry
adder will be modified so that it can also perform subtraction while still maintaining the ability to add. The operands are signed numbers in 2 s complement representation. In order to form the 2 s complement from the 1 s complement, the carry-in to the loworder stage of the adder will be a 1 if subtraction is to be performed. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 4.24.

Overflow is detected if the carries out of bit 2 and bit 3 are different. If the operation is addition, then overflow can be further defined as shown in Equation 4.6. If the operation is subtraction, then overflow can be further defined as shown in Equation 4.7, where the variable \(n e g_{-} b[7]\) is the sign bit of the 2 s complement of operand \(B\).
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { Overflow }=a[7] b[7] \text { rslt }[7]^{\prime}+a[7] ' ~ b[7] ' ~ r s l t[7]  \tag{4.6}\\
& \text { Overflow }=a[7] \text { neg_b[7] rslt[7]' }+a[7] ' \text { neg_b[7]' rslt[7] } \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
\]


Figure 4.24 Logic diagram for a 4-bit ripple adder/subtractor. If the mode control input \(m=0\), then the operation is addition; if the mode control input \(m=1\), then the operation is subtraction.

The full adder that will be instantiated into the module for the adder/subtractor is shown in Figure 4.25. The dataflow design module for the 4 -bit adder/subtractor is shown in Figure 4.26. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.27, which displays the outputs in decimal notation. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.28.
```

//dataflow full adder
module full_adder (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//list all inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//continuous assign
assign sum = (a ^ b) ^ cin;
assign cout = cin \& (a ^ b) | (a \& b);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.25 Full adder design module to be instantiated into the 4-bit adder/subtractor.
```

//structural module for an adder/subtractor
module add_sub_4bits_assign (a, b, m, rslt, cout, ovfl);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input m; m = 0 is add; m = 1 is sub
output [3:0] rslt, cout;
output ovfl;
wire net0, net1, net2, net3; //define internal nets
//define overflow
assign ovfl = (cout[3] ^ cout[2]);
//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the xor and the full adder for FAO
assign net0 = (b[0] ^ m);
full_adder inst0 (a[0], net0, m, rslt[0], cout[0]);
//a, b, cin, sum, cout
//----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the xor and the full adder for FA1
assign net1 = (b[1] ^ m);
full_adder inst1 (a[1], net1, cout[0], rslt[1], cout[1]);
//a, b, cin, sum, cout
//continued on next page

```

Figure 4.26 Dataflow design module for the 4-bit adder/subtractor.
```

//----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the xor and the full adder for FA2
assign net2 = (b[2] ^ m);
full_adder inst2 (a[2], net2, cout[1], rslt[2], cout[2]);
//a, b, cin, sum, cout
//------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the xor and the full adder for FA3
assign net3 = (b[3] ^ m);
full_adder inst3 (a[3], net3, cout[2], rslt[3], cout[3]);
//a, b, cin, sum, cout
endmodule

```

Figure 4.26 (Continued)
```

////test bench for structural adder-subtractor
module add_sub_4bits_assign_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg $m ; \quad m=0$ is add; $m=1$ is sub
wire [3:0] rslt, cout;
wire ovfl;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("a = \%d, b = \%d, m = \%d, rslt = \%d,
cout [3] = \%b, cout[2] = \%b, ovfl = \%b",
a, b, m, rslt, cout[3], cout[2], ovfl);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//addition; m = 0
\# 0 a $=4 \mathrm{~b} 0000 ; \quad \mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0001 ; \quad \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ;$
\#10 a = 4'b0010; $\quad \mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0101 ; \quad \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{~b} 0$;
\#10 $a=4 ' b 0110 ; \quad b=4 ' b 0001 ; \quad m=1 ' b 0 ;$
\#10 $\mathrm{a}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0101 ; \quad \mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b} 0001 ; \quad \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{~b} 0 ;$
//continued on next page

```

Figure 4.27 Test bench module for the 4-bit adder/subtractor.
```

//subtraction m = 1
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0101; m = 1'b1;
\#10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0100; m = 1'b1;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0011; m = 1'b1;
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0010; m = 1'b1;
//overflow
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0101; m = 1'b0; //add
\#10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1011; m = 1'b0; //add
\#10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b1100; m = 1'b1; //sub
\#10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0010; m = 1'b1; //sub
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_sub_4bits_assign inst1 (a, b, m, rslt, cout, ovfl);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.27 (Continued)
```

Addition
a = 0, b = 1, m = 0, rslt = 1, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 0
a = 2, b = 5, m = 0, rslt = 7, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 0
a = 6, b = 1, m = 0, rslt = 7, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 0
a = 5, b = 1, m = 0, rslt = 6, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 0
Subtraction
a = 7, b = 5, m = 1, rslt = 2, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 1, ovfl = 0
a = 5, b = 4, m = 1, rslt = 1, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 1, ovfl = 0
a = 6, b = 3, m = 1, rslt = 3, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 1, ovfl = 0
a = 6, b = 2, m = 1, rslt = 4, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 1, ovfl = 0
Overflow for addition
a = 7, b = 5, m = 0, rslt = 12, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 1, ovfl = 1
a = 8, b = 11, m = 0, rslt = 3, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 1
Overflow for subtraction
a = 6, b = 12, m = 1, rslt = 10, cout[3] = 0, cout[2] = 1,
ovfl = 1
a = 8, b = 2, m = 1, rslt = 6, cout[3] = 1, cout[2] = 0, ovfl = 1

```

Figure 4.28 Outputs for the 4-bit adder/subtractor.

Examine the \(r s l t\) outputs for the addition overflow of Figure 4.28, where \(a=7\) and \(b=5\), with a result \(=12\). Also, where \(a=8\) and \(b=11\), where the result \(=3\). Overflow occurs as shown below for both operations. Also, examine the rslt outputs for the subtraction overflow of Figure 4.28, where \(a=6\) and \(b=12\), with a result \(=10\). Also, where \(a=8\) and \(b=2\), where the result \(=6\). Overflow occurs as shown below for both operations. The maximum value for four signed bits is +7 or -8 . Notice that the results agree with Equations 4.6 and 4.7.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0111 \\
&+) \begin{array}{l}
1000 \\
0101 \\
\hline 1100 \\
(-4)
\end{array}+\begin{array}{l}
1011 \\
\hline 0011 \\
(+3)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]


\subsection*{4.3.4 Eight-Bit Behavioral Adder/Subtractor}

This section presents an 8-bit adder/subtractor that is synthesized using behavioral modeling. It is similar to the previous 4-bit adder/subtractor, but does not instantiate a full adder in the implementation. Overflow is defined as in the previous section and the equations are replicated below in Equations 4.8 and 4.9, for convenience.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Overflow }=a[7] b[7] \text { rslt[7]' }+a[7] ' b[7] ' \text { rslt[7] } \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Overflow }=a[7] \text { neg_b[7] rslt[7]' }+a[7] ' \text { neg_b[7]' rslt[7] } \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
\]

A logic diagram is not required for behavioral modeling because this method of implementation describes the behavior of a digital system and is not concerned with the direct implementation of logic gates, but more with the architecture of the system. This is an algorithmic approach to hardware implementation and represents a higher level of abstraction.

Since behavioral modeling uses the always procedural construct statement, the variables used in the always statement are declared as type reg. The behavioral module is shown in Figure 4.29, which specifies an internal register neg_b[7:0] = \(b^{\prime}+1\) indicating the 2 s complement of the subtrahend, to be used in the overflow equation. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.30 and the outputs are shown in Figure 4.31 .
```

//behavioral 8-bit adder/subtractor
module add_subtract_bh (a, b, mode, rslt, ovfl);
input [7:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input mode;
output [7:0] rslt;
output ovfl;
//variables rslt and ovfl are left-hand side targets
//in the always block and are declared as type reg
reg [7:0] rslt;
reg ovfl;
wire [7:0] a, b; //since inputs default to wire
wire mode; //the type wire is not required
//neg_b = ~b + 1 specifies an internal register
reg [7:0] neg_b = ~b + 1;
always @ (a or b or mode)
begin
if (mode == 0) //add
begin
rslt = a + b;
ovfl =(a[7] \& b[7] \& ~rslt[7]) |
(~a[7] \& ~b[7] \& rslt[7]);
end
else
//subtract
begin
rslt = a + neg_b;
ovfl =(a[7] \& neg_b[7] \& ~rslt[7]) |
(~a[7] \& ~neg_b[7] \& rslt[7]);
end
end
endmodule

```

Figure 4.29 Behavioral design module for the 8-bit adder/subtractor.
```

//test bench for the 8-bit adder/subtractor
module add_subtract_bh_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a, b;
reg mode;
wire [7:0] rslt;
wire ovfl;
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("a=%b, b=%b, mode=%.b, result=%b, ovfl=%b",
a, b, mode, rslt, ovfl);
initial //apply input vectors
begin

| \# 0 | 8'b0000_0000; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (b0000_0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (0000_0000; | b = 8'b0000_0001; | mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{b0000}$-0001; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (111_1001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | a = 8'b0000_0001; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (111_1001; | mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 000000001$; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (000_0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (b0000_0001; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b} 1000$ _0001; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mode = 1'b1; } \\ & \text { //ovfl = } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b1111}$-0000; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (b000_0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | a = 8'b1111_0000; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (b0000_0001; | mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 |  |  | ode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | a = 8'b0010_1101; | b = 8'b0000_0101; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { //ovfl = } 1 \\ & \text { mode = 1'b1; } \end{aligned}$ |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0000$-0110; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (b0000_0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{8}$ 'b0000_0110; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (b0000_0001; | mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0001 \_0101$; | b = 8'b0011_0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{l}$ (b0001_0101; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b} 0011 \_0001$; | mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{l}$ [1000_0000; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ (001_1100; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | a = 8'b1000 0000; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b} 1001$ 1100; | //ovfl $=1$ mode = 1'b1; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8^{\prime} \mathrm{b1000} 0101 ;$ | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{l}$ - 0010 -0001; | mode = 1'b0; |
| \#10 | $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{l}$ [1000_0101; | $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | mode = 1'b1; |
|  |  | //continued on | //ovfl = 1 <br> next page |

```

Figure 4.30 Test bench module for the 8-bit adder/subtractor.
```

    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b1111_1111; mode = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b1111_1111; mode = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_subtract_bh inst1 (a, b, mode, rslt, ovfl);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.30 (Continued)
```

a=00000000, b=00000001, mode=0, result=00000001, ovfl=0
a=00000000, b=00000001, mode=1, result=11111111, ovfl=0
a=00000001, b=11111001, mode=0, result=11111010, ovfl=0
a=00000001, b=11111001, mode=1, result=00001000, ovfl=0
a=00000001, b=10000001, mode=0, result=10000010, ovfl=0
a=00000001, b=10000001, mode=1, result=10000000, ovfl=1
a=11110000, b=00000001, mode=0, result=11110001, ovfl=0
a=11110000, b=00000001, mode=1, result=11101111, ovfl=0
a=01101101, b=01000101, mode=0, result=10110010, ovfl=1
a=00101101, b=00000101, mode=1, result=00101000, ovfl=0
a=00000110, b=00000001, mode=0, result=00000111, ovfl=0
a=00000110, b=00000001, mode=1, result=00000101, ovfl=0
a=00010101, b=00110001, mode=0, result=01000110, ovfl=0
a=00010101, b=00110001, mode=1, result=11100100, ovfl=0
a=10000000, b=10011100, mode=0, result=00011100, ovfl=1
a=10000000, b=10011100, mode=1, result=11100100, ovfl=0
a=10000101, b=00100001, mode=0, result=10100110, ovfl=0
a=10000101, b=00100001, mode=1, result=01100100, ovfl=1
a=11111111, b=11111111, mode=0, result=11111110, ovfl=0
a=11111111, b=11111111, mode=1, result=00000000, ovfl=0

```

Figure 4.31 Outputs for the 8-bit adder/subtractor.

The operands and results for the addition operations of Figure 4.31 that result in an overflow are shown below together with the calculations.
```

a=01101101, b=01000101, mode=0, result=10110010, ovfl=1
a=10000000, b=10011100, mode=0, result=00011100, ovfl=1

```
\begin{tabular}{rr}
\hline 01101101 \\
\(+\boldsymbol{0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1}\) \\
\hline \(\mathbf{1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0}\) & ++\begin{tabular}{l}
10000000 \\
\(\mathbf{1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0}\) \\
\hline \(\mathbf{0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0}\)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

The operands and results for the subtraction operations of Figure 4.31 that result in an overflow are shown below together with the calculations.
```

a=00000001, b=10000001, mode=1, result=10000000, ovfl=1
a=10000101, b=00100001, mode=1, result=01100100, ovfl=1

```


\subsection*{4.4 Fixed-Point Multiplication}

This section presents the multiplication of two fixed-point binary operands in the 2 s complement number representation. An \(n\)-bit multiplicand is multiplied by an \(n\)-bit multiplier to produce a \(2 n\)-bit product. The multiplication algorithm consists of multiplying the multiplicand by the low-order multiplier bit to obtain a partial product. If the multiplier bit is a 1 , then the multiplicand becomes the partial product; if the multiplier bit is a 0 , then zeroes become the partial product. The partial product is then shifted left one bit position.

The multiplicand is then multiplied by the next higher-order multiplier bit to obtain a second partial product. The process repeats for all remaining multiplier bits, at which time the partial products are added to obtain the product. If both operands have the same sign, then the sign of the product is positive. If the signs of the operands are different, then the sign of the product is negative. Four examples are shown below containing four variations of the operands:

> positive multiplicand and positive multiplier negative multiplicand and positive multiplier positive multiplicand and negative multiplier negative multiplicand and negative multiplier


For a positive multiplicand and a negative multiplier, either 2 s complement both operands or 2 s complement the multiplier, perform the multiplication, then 2 s complementing the result. For the problem below, the multiplicand is +5 and the multiplier is -6 , which will be \(2 s\) complemented to a value of +6 .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Multiplicand \(A\) Multiplier \(B\)} & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & +5 \\
\hline & & & & \(\times\) ) & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \((-6)+6\) \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{Partial products} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \\
\hline Product \(P\) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For a negative multiplicand and a negative multiplier, 2 s complement both operands, then perform the multiplication to yield the correct product. For the problem below, the multiplicand is -5 and the multiplier is -5 . Both operands will be 2 s complemented before the multiply operation to obtain the correct product.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Multiplicand \(A\) Multiplier \(B\)} & & & & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 \\
\hline & & & & \(\times\) ) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Partial \\
products
\end{tabular}} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\
\hline Product \(P\) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -75 \\
\hline Multiplicand \(A\) & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & +5 \\
\hline Multiplier \(B\) & & & & \(\times\) ) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & +5 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Partial products} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & \\
\hline & O & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline Product \(P\) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & +25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples will now be presented using Verilog HDL to design various multipliers using different design methodologies. The multiplicand and multiplier are both \(n\)-bit operands and produce a \(2 n\)-bit result.

\subsection*{4.4.1 Behavioral Four-Bit Multiplier}

This section designs a 4-bit multiplier using behavioral modeling. The multiplicand is \(a[3: 0]\), the multiplier is \(b[3: 0]\), and the product is prod[7:0]. A scalar start signal is used to initiate the multiply operation. A count-down sequence counter count is initialized to a value of \(4(0100)\) before the operation begins, because there are four bits in both operands. When the counter reaches a value of 0000 , the multiply operation is finished and the 8 -bit product is in register prod[7:0]. A comparison is made initially to make certain that both operands are nonzero - if either operand has a value of zero,
then the operation is terminated. The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 4.32. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.33 and 4.34, respectively.
```

//behavioral add-shift multiply
module mul_add_shift3 (a, b, prod, start);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input start;
output [7:0] prod;
//variables are declared as reg in always
reg [7:0] prod;
reg [3:0] b_reg;
reg [3:0] count;
always @ (posedge start)
begin
b_reg = b;
prod = 0;
count = 4'b0100;
if ((a!=0) \&\& (b!=0))
while (count)
begin
prod = {(({4{b_reg[0]}} \& a) + prod[7:4]),
prod[3:1]};
b_reg = b_reg >> 1;
count = count - 1;
end
end
endmodule

```

Figure 4.32 Behavioral design module for a 4-bit multiplier.
```

//test bench for add-shift multiplier
module mul_add_shift3_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg start;
wire [7:0] prod;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, prod = %b", a, b, prod);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 start = 1'b0; a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0110;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0010; b = 4'b0110;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0101;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0101;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0011;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 a = 4'b0100; b = 4'b0110;
\#10 start = 1'b1; \#10 start = 1'b0;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mul_add_shift3 inst1 (a, b, prod, start);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.33 Test bench for the 4-bit multiplier.
```

a = 0110, b = 0110, prod = 0010_0100
a = 0010, b = 0110, prod = 0010_0100
a = 0010, b = 0110, prod = 0000_1100
a = 0111, b = 0101, prod = 0000_1100
a = 0111, b = 0101, prod = 0010_0011
a = 0111, b = 0111, prod = 0010_0011
a = 0111, b = 0111, prod = 0011_0001
a = 0101, b = 0101, prod = 0011_0001
a = 0101, b = 0101, prod = 0001_1001
a = 0111, b = 0011, prod = 0001_1001
a = 0111, b = 0011, prod = 0001_0101
a = 0100, b = 0110, prod = 0001_0101
a = 0100, b = 0110, prod = 0001_1000

```

Figure 4.34 Outputs for the 4-bit multiplier.

\subsection*{4.4.2 Three-Bit Array Multiplier}

This section presents the Verilog design of a high-speed 3-bit array multiplier. Array multipliers are designed using a planar array of full adders. An example of a general array multiply algorithm is shown in Figure 4.35 for two 3-bit operands.

The multiplicand is \(A[2: 0]\) and the multiplier is \(B[2: 0]\), where \(a[0]\) and \(b[0]\) are the low-order bits of \(A\) and \(B\), respectively. The two operands generate a product of \(P[5: 0]\). Each bit in the multiplicand is multiplied by the low-order bit \(b_{0}\) of the multiplier. This is equivalent to the AND function and generates the first of three partial products. Each bit in the multiplicand is then multiplied by bit \(b_{1}\) of the multiplier. The resulting partial product is shifted one bit position to the left. The process is repeated for bit \(b_{2}\) of the multiplier. The partial products are then added together to form the product. A carry-out of any column is added to the next higher-order column.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Multiplicand \(A\) & & & \(a_{2}\) & \(a_{1}\) & \(a_{0}\) \\
Multiplier \(B\) & & \(\times)\) & \(b_{2}\) & \(b_{1}\) & \(b_{0}\) \\
\hline Partial product 1 & & & \(a_{2} b_{0}\) & \(a_{1} b_{0}\) & \(a_{0} b_{0}\) \\
Partial product 2 & & \(a_{2} b_{1}\) & \(a_{1} b_{1}\) & \(a_{0} b_{1}\) & \\
Partial product 3 & \(a_{2} b_{2}\) & \(a_{1} b_{2}\) & \(a_{0} b_{2}\) & & \\
\hline Product \(P\) & \(2^{5}\) & \(2^{4}\) & \(2^{3}\) & \(2^{2}\) & \(2^{1}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.35 General array multiply algorithm for two 3-bit operands.

The logic diagram for the 3-bit array multiplier is shown in Figure 4.36 utilizing full adders as the array elements and showing the generated partial products that
correspond to those shown in Figure 4.35. The third row of full adders adds the sum and carry-out of the previous columns.


Figure 4.36 Logic diagram for the 3-bit array multiplier.

The structural design module is shown in Figure 4.37 using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using dataflow modeling. The dataflow design module for the full adder is shown on page 432, Figure 4.25. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.38 using all combinations of the three multiplicand bits and the three multiplier bits. The input vectors are treated as unsigned binary numbers. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.39 in decimal notation.
```

//structural for 3-bit array multiplier using bip
module array_mul3_bip (a, b, prod);
//define inputs and output
input [2:0] a, b;
output [5:0] prod; //continued on next page

```

Figure 4.37 Structural design module for the 3-bit array multiplier.
```

//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8, net9,
net10, net11, net12, net13, net14, net15;
//instantiate the logic for prod[0]
and (prod[0], a[0], b[0]);
//instantiate the logic for prod[1]
and (net1, a[1], b[0]);
and (net2, a[0], b[1]);
full_adder inst1 (net1, net2, 1'b0, prod[1], net3);
//a, b, cin, sum, cout
//instantiate the logic for prod[2]
and (net4, a[2], b[0]);
and (net5, a[1], b[1]);
full_adder inst2 (net4, net5, 1'b0, net6, net7);
and (net8, a[0], b[2]);
full_adder inst3 (net6, net8, net3, prod[2], net9);
//instantiate the logic for prod[3]
and (net10, a[2], b[1]);
and (net11, a[1], b[2]);
full_adder inst4 (net10, net11, net7, net12, net13);
full_adder inst5 (net12, 1'b0, net9, prod[3], net14);
//instantiate the logic for prod[4] and prod [5]
and (net15, a[2], b[2]);
full_adder inst6 (net15, net14, net13, prod[4], prod[5]);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.37 (Continued)
```

//test bench for structural 3-bit array multiplier using bip
module array_mu3_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [2:0] a, b;
wire [5:0] prod;

Figure 4.38 Test bench for the 3-bit array multiplier.

```
//apply stimulus and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [6:0] invect;
        for (invect = 0; invect < 64; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {a, b} = invect [6:0];
            #10 $display ("a = %d, b = %d, prod = %d", a, b,
prod);
        end
end
```

//instantiate the module into the test bench
array_mul3_bip inst1 (a, b, prod);
endmodule

Figure 4.38 (Continued)

```
a = 0, b = 0, prod = 0 a = 2, b = 4, prod = 8
a = 0, b = 1, prod = 0 a = 2, b = 5, prod = 10
a = 0, b = 2, prod = 0 a = 2, b = 6, prod = 12
a = 0, b = 3, prod = 0 a = 2, b = 7, prod = 14
a = 0, b = 4, prod = 0 a = 3, b = 0, prod = 0
a = 0, b = 5, prod = 0 a = 3, b = 1, prod = 3
a = 0, b = 6, prod = 0
a = 3, b = 2, prod = 6
a = 3, b = 3, prod = 9
a = 3, b = 4, prod = 12
a = 3, b = 5, prod = 15
a = 3, b = 6, prod = 18
a = 3, b = 7, prod = 21
a = 4, b = 0, prod = 0
a = 4, b = 1, prod = 4
a = 4, b = 2, prod = 8
a = 4, b = 3, prod = 12
a}=1,\textrm{b}=7,\operatorname{prod}=
a = 4, b = 4, prod = 16
a}=2,\textrm{b}=0,\operatorname{prod}=
a = 4, b = 5, prod = 20
a = 4, b = 6, prod = 24
a = 4, b = 7, prod = 28
```

    //continued on next page
    Figure 4.39 Outputs for the 3-bit array multiplier.

```
a = 5, b = 0, prod = 0
a = 6, b = 7, prod = 42
a = 5, b = 1, prod = 5 a = 7, b = 0, prod = 0
a = 5, b = 2, prod = 10 a = 7, b = 1, prod = 7
a = 5, b = 3, prod = 15 a = 7, b = 2, prod = 14
a = 5, b = 4, prod = 20 a = 7, b = 3, prod = 21
a = 5, b = 5, prod = 25 a = 7, b = 4, prod = 28
a = 5, b = 6, prod = 30 a = 7, b = 5, prod = 35
a = 5, b = 7, prod = 35 a = 7, b = 6, prod = 42
a = 6, b = 0, prod = 0 a = 7, b = 7, prod = 49
a = 6, b = 1, prod = 6
a = 6, b = 2, prod = 12
a = 6, b = 3, prod = 18
a = 6, b = 4, prod = 24
a = 6, b = 5, prod = 30
a = 6, b}=6, prod = 36
```

Figure 4.39 (Continued)

### 4.4.3 Four-Bit Dataflow Multiplication Using the Multiply Operator

This multiplication example is relatively simple compared to other design methodologies. It uses the Verilog operator for multiplication (*). Some of the operators are shown in Table 4.5. The dataflow design module is shown in Figure 4.40 using the assign statement. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.41 and 4.42, respectively.

Table 4.5 Verilog HDL Operators

| Operator Type | Operator Symbol | Operation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic | + | Add |
|  | - | Subtract |
|  | $*$ | Multiply |
| Logical | 1 | Divide |
|  | $\&$ | AND |
|  | $\wedge$ | OR |
|  | $\sim^{\wedge}$ or $^{\wedge} \sim$ | Exclusive-OR |
|  |  |  |

```
//dataflow for 4-bit multiplier
module mul_4bits_assign (mpcnd, mplyr, prod);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] mpcnd, mplyr;
output [7:0] prod;
//calculate the product
assign prod = mpcnd * mplyr;
endmodule
```

Figure 4.40 Dataflow design module for the 4-bit multiplier.

```
//test bench for the 4-bit multiplier
module mul_4bits_assign_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] mpcnd, mplyr;
wire [7:0] prod;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("mpcnd = %b, mplyr = %b, product = %d",
                                    mpcnd, mplyr, prod);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 mpend = 4'b0001; mplyr = 4'b0010; //prod = 2
    #10 mpend = 4'b0101; mplyr = 4'b0010; //prod = 10
    #10 mpend = 4'b0111; mplyr = 4'b0011; //prod = 21
    #10 mpend = 4'b0110; mplyr = 4'b0110; //prod = 36
    #10 mpend = 4'b1000; mplyr = 4'b0010; //prod = 16
    #10 mpend = 4'b1010; mplyr = 4'b0010; //prod = 20
    #10 mpend = 4'b1111; mplyr = 4'b0011; //prod = 30
    #10 mpend = 4'b1100; mplyr = 4'b0110; //prod = 72
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mul_4bits_assign inst1 (mpend, mplyr, prod);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.41 Test bench module for the 4-bit multiplier.

```
mpcnd = 1, mplyr = 2, product = 2
mpcnd = 5, mplyr = 2, product = 10
mpcnd = 7, mplyr = 3, product = 21
mpcnd = 6, mplyr = 6, product = 36
mpcnd = 8, mplyr = 2, product = 16
mpcnd = 10, mplyr = 2, product = 20
mpcnd = 15, mplyr = 3, product = 45
mpcnd = 12, mplyr = 6, product = 72
```

Figure 4.42 Outputs for the 4-bit multiplier.

### 4.5 Fixed-Point Division

Division is usually slower than multiplication and occurs less frequently. The equation that represents the concept of division is shown below and includes the $2 n$-bit dividend, the $n$-bit divisor, the $n$-bit quotient, and the $n$-bit remainder.

$$
2 n \text {-bit dividend }=(n \text {-bit divisor } \times n \text {-bit quotient })+n \text {-bit remainder }
$$

In general, the following equations also apply:

> Dividend / Divisor $=$ Quotient
> Dividend $=$ Divisor x Quotient + Remainder

The remainder has the same sign as the dividend. In contrast to multiplication, division is not commutative; that is, $A / B \neq B / A$, except when $A=B$, where $A$ and $B$ are the dividend and divisor, respectively. The process of division is one of successive subtract, shift, and compare operations. An example is shown in Figure 4.43 using restoring division, where the dividend $=24$ and the divisor $=7$, yielding a quotient of 3 and a remainder of 3 .

Restoring division examines the state of the carry-out when the dividend is subtracted from the partial remainder. This determines the relative magnitudes of the divisor and partial remainder. If the carry-out $=0$, then the partial remainder is restored to its previous value by adding the divisor to the partial remainder. If the carry-out $=1$, then there is no restore operation. The partial remainder (high-order half of the dividend) and the low-order half of the dividend are then shifted left one bit position and the process repeats for each bit in the divisor.

The combined behavioral and dataflow design module is shown in Figure 4.44 and uses the if and else conditional statements together with the continuous assignment statement assign. The inputs are an 8-bit dividend, $a[7: 0]$; a 4-bit divisor, $b[3: 0]$; and
a scalar signal, start, which initiates the divide operation. The output is an 8-bit register $r s l t[7: 0]$ containing the quotient and remainder. Shifting is accomplished by the left-shift operator $(\ll)$ as follows: $r s l t=r s l t \ll 1$. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.45 illustrating a variety of dividends and divisors. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.46.


Figure 4.43 Example of fixed-point restoring division.

Subtracting the divisor from the partial remainder is realized by the following statement, which adds the negation of the divisor to the partial product and concatenates the sum with the low-order four bits from the previous partial remainder:

$$
\operatorname{rslt}=\left\{\left(\operatorname{rslt}[7: 4]+\mathrm{b} \_ \text {neg }\right), \text { rslt }[3: 0]\right\} ;
$$

Then the sign bit (rslt [7]) of the sum is tested for a value of 1 or 0 . If the sign is 1 (negative), then this indicates that the divisor was greater than the high-order half of
the previous partial remainder. Thus, a 0 is placed in the low-order quotient bit. This sequence is executed by the following statements, after which the sequence counter is then decremented by 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if }(\operatorname{rslt}[7]==1) \\
& \text { begin } \\
& \quad \quad \operatorname{rst}=\left\{(\operatorname{rslt}[7: 4]+\mathrm{b}), \text { rslt }[3: 1], 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the sign bit (rslt[7]) is 0 (positive), then this indicates that the divisor was less than the high-order half of the previous partial remainder. Therefore, no restoration of the partial remainder is required and a 1 is placed in the low-order quotient bit, as shown in the following statement, after which the sequence counter is then decremented by 1 :

$$
\operatorname{rslt}=\{\operatorname{rslt}[7: 1], 1 ' \mathrm{~b} 1\} ;
$$

```
//mixed-design for restoring division
module div_restoring (a, b, start, rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a;
input [3:0] b;
input start;
output [7:0] rslt;
//define internal net
wire [3:0] b_bar;
//define internal registers
//variables used in always are declared as reg
reg [3:0] b_neg;
reg [7:0] rslt;
reg [3:0] count;
assign b_bar = ~b;
always @ (b_bar)
    b_neg = b_bar + 1;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.44 Combined behavioral and dataflow design module for restoring division.

```
//execute the behavioral statements within the always block
always @ (posedge start)
begin
    rslt = a;
    count = 4'b0100;
if ((a!=0) && (b!=0))
    while (count)
        begin
            rslt = rslt << 1;
            rslt = {(rslt[7:4] + b_neg), rslt[3:0]};
                if (rslt[7] == 1)
                    begin
                        rslt = {(rslt[7:4] + b), rslt[3:1], 1'b0};
                count = count - 1;
                    end
                else
                    begin
                        rslt = {rslt[7:1], 1'b1};
                        count = count - 1;
                        end
            end
end
endmodule
```

Figure $4.44 \quad$ (Continued)

```
//test bench for restoring division
module div_restoring_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a;
reg [3:0] b;
reg start;
wire [7:0] rslt;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %d, b = %d, quot = %d, rem = %d",
                a, b, rslt[3:0], rslt[7:4]);
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.45 Test bench module for restoring division.

```
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    \#0 start = 1'b0;
        a = 8'b0000_1101; b = 4'b0101;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 \(\mathrm{a}=\) 8'b0011_1100; \(^{\prime} \mathrm{b}=4 \mathrm{~b}\).b0111;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 a = 8'b0101_0010; b = 4'b0110;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 \(a=8 ' b 0011 \_1000 ; \quad b=4 ' b 0111 ;\)
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 a = 8'b0110_0100; b = 4'b0111;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
```



```
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 a = 8'b0010_0101; b = 4'b0011;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
```



```
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 a = 8'b0101_0100; b = 4'b0110;
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 \(\mathrm{a}=\) 8'b0010_1110; \(^{\prime} \mathrm{b}=4 ' \mathrm{~b} 0101 ;\)
    \#10 start = 1'b1;
    \#10 start = 1'b0;
    \#10 \$stop;
end
div restoring inst1 (a, b, start, rslt);//instantiate module
endmodule
```

Figure 4.45 (Continued)

```
a=13, b = 5, quot = 2, rem = 3
a = 60, b = 7, quot = 2, rem = 3
a = 60, b = 7, quot = 8, rem = 4
a = 82, b = 6, quot = 8, rem = 4
a = 82, b = 6, quot = 13, rem = 4
a = 56, b = 7, quot = 13, rem = 4
a = 56, b = 7, quot = 8, rem = 0
a = 100, b = 7, quot = 8, rem = 0
a = 100, b = 7, quot = 14, rem = 2
a = 110, b = 7, quot = 14, rem = 2
a = 110, b = 7, quot = 15, rem = 5
a = 37, b = 3, quot = 15, rem = 5
a = 37, b = 3, quot = 12, rem = 1
a = 72, b = 7, quot = 12, rem = 1
a = 72, b = 7, quot = 10, rem = 2
a = 84, b = 6, quot = 10, rem = 2
a = 84, b = 6, quot = 14, rem = 0
a = 46, b = 5, quot = 14, rem = 0
a = 46, b = 5, quot = 9, rem = 1
```

Figure 4.46 Outputs for restoring division.

### 4.6 Arithmetic and Logic Unit

Arithmetic and logic units (ALUs) perform the arithmetic operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division in fixed-point, decimal, and floating-point number representations. They also perform the logical operations of AND, OR, complementation (negation), exclusive-OR, and exclusive-NOR. An ALU is the central part of the computer and, together with the control unit, form the processor.

Most computer operations are executed by the ALU. For example, operands located in memory can be transferred to the ALU, where an operation is performed on the operands, then the result is stored in memory. The ALU performs calculations in different number representations, performs logical operations, and performs comparisons. The ALU also performs shift operations such as shift right algebraic, shift right logical, shift left algebraic, and shift left logical. The algebraic shift operations refer to
signed operands in 2s complement representation; the logical shift operations refer to unsigned operands. ALUs also perform operations on packed and unpacked decimal operands.

This section will present the design of a fixed-point eight-function ALU using behavioral modeling with the parameter keyword and the case statement. The parameter keyword will assign values to the operation codes for the following operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, AND, OR, NOT, exclusive-OR, and exclusive-NOR.

The case statement executes one of several different procedural statements depending on the comparison of an expression with a case item (see the format shown below). The expression and the case item are compared bit-by-bit and must match exactly. The statement that is associated with a case item may be a single procedural statement or a block of statements delimited by the keywords begin ... end. The case statement has the following syntax:

```
case (expression)
    case_item1 : procedural_statement1;
    case_item2 : procedural_statement2;
    case_item3 : procedural_statement3;
    case_itemn : procedural_statementn;
    default : default_statement;
endcase
```

The behavioral design module is shown in Figure 4.47 which designs the following arithmetic operations: addition, subtraction, and multiplication. The module also designs the following logical operations: AND, OR, NOT, exclusive-OR, and exclu-sive-NOR. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.48 and the outputs are shown in Figure 4.49.

```
//behavioral 8-function arithmetic and logic unit
module alu_8_bh (a, b, opcode, rslt);
//define inputs and output
input [3:0] a, b;
input [2:0] opcode;
output [7:0] rslt;
//the rslt is left-hand side target in always
//and is declared as type reg
reg [7:0] rslt; //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.47 Behavioral design module for the eight-function ALU.

```
//define operation codes
//parameter defines a constant
parameter add_op = 3'b000,
    sub_op = 3'b001,
    mul_op = 3'b010,
    and_op = 3'b011,
    or_op = 3'b100,
    not_op = 3'b101, //negation
    xor_op = 3'b110,
    xnor_op = 3'b111;
//perform the operations
always @ (a or b or opcode)
begin
    case (opcode)
        add_op: rslt = a + b;
        sub_op: rslt = a - b;
        mul_op: rslt = a * b;
        and_op: rslt = a & b; //also ab
        or_op: rslt = a | b;
        not_op: rslt = ~a; //also ~b
        xor_op: rslt = a ^ b;
        xnor_op: rslt = ~(a ^ b);
    endcase
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.47 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 8-function arithmetic and logic unit
module alu_8_bh_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg [2:0] opcode;
wire [7:0] rslt;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, opcode = %b, result = %b",
        a, b, opcode, rslt);
        //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.48 Test bench module for the eight-function ALU.

```
initial //apply input vectors
begin
//add operation 1 + 2 and 6 + 6
    #0 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0010; opcode = 3'b000;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0110; opcode = 3'b000;
//subtract operation 12 - 3 and 13 - 10
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b0011; opcode = 3'b001;
    #10 a = 4'b1101; b = 4'b1010; opcode = 3'b001;
//multiply operation 12 x 7 and 15 x 3
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b0111; opcode = 3'b010;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b0011; opcode = 3'b010;
//AND operation
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b0111; opcode = 3'b011;
    #10 a = 4'b1101; b = 4'b1011; opcode = 3'b011;
//OR operation
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b1011; opcode = 3'b100;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1010; opcode = 3'b100;
//NOT operation
    #10 a = 4'b1001; opcode = 3'b101;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; opcode = 3'b101;
//exclusive-OR operation
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1011; opcode = 3'b110;
    #10 a = 4'b1010; b = 4'b0101; opcode = 3'b110;
//exclusive-NOR operation
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0110; opcode = 3'b111;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1110; opcode = 3'b111;
    #20 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
alu_8_bh inst1 (a, b, opcode, rslt);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.48 (Continued)

```
add
a = 0001, b = 0010, opcode = 000, result = 00000011
a = 0110, b = 0110, opcode = 000, result = 00001100
subtract
a = 1100, b = 0011, opcode = 001, result = 00001001
a = 1101, b = 1010, opcode = 001, result = 00000011
multiply
a = 1100, b = 0111, opcode = 010, result = 01010100
a = 1111, b = 0011, opcode = 010, result = 00101101
AND
a = 1100, b = 0111, opcode = 011, result = 00000100
a = 1101, b = 1011, opcode = 011, result = 00001001
OR
a = 0101, b = 1011, opcode = 100, result = 00001111
a = 1001, b = 1010, opcode = 100, result = 00001011
NOT
a = 1001, b = 1010, opcode = 101, result = 11110110
a = 0011, b = 1010, opcode = 101, result = 11111100
XOR
a = 0111, b = 1011, opcode = 110, result = 00001100
a = 1010, b = 0101, opcode = 110, result = 00001111
```


## XNOR

```
a = 0110, b = 0110, opcode = 111, result = 11111111
a = 0011, b = 1110, opcode = 111, result = 11110010
```

Figure 4.49 Outputs for the eight-function ALU.

### 4.7 Decimal Addition

A single element in a decimal arithmetic processor has nine inputs and five outputs as shown in Figure 4.50. Each operand (operand $A$ and operand $B$ ) is represented by a 4 bit binary-coded decimal (BCD) digit. A carry-in (cin) bit is also provided from the previous lower-order addition element. The outputs are a 4-bit BCD digit called the result (result) and a carry-out (cout).

The most common code for BCD arithmetic is the 8421 code, an example of which is shown below in Figure 4.51. If the sum exceeds nine, then an adjustment is required by adding six (0110) to the result, also shown in Figure 4.51.


Figure 4.50 A single-digit binary-coded decimal (BCD) element.

$$
\begin{array}{r} 
\\
26 \\
+) \\
\hline 33 \\
\hline 59
\end{array}+\begin{array}{ll}
8421 & 8421 \\
\hline 0010 & 0110 \\
0011 & 0011 \\
\hline 0101 & 1001
\end{array}
$$

(a)

1110
Adjustment $\quad+\underset{1}{+} \frac{0110}{0100}$
(b)

Figure 4.51 Example of BCD addition; (a) no adjustment required and (b) adjustment required.

Table 4.6 shows the results that require no adjustment and the results that require adjustment. Whenever the unadjusted $B C D$ sum produces a carry-out, the sum must be corrected by adding six. An adjustment is required whenever bit positions 8 and 4 are both 1 s or whenever bit positions 8 and 2 are both 1 s . Equation 4.10 illustrates this requirement when a carry is generated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Carry }=\text { cout }_{8}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{4}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4.6 BCD Addition Results for No Adjustment/Adjustment

|  | BCD Result 8421 | Decimal Value |  | Carry | Valid BCD <br> Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0000 | 0 | No adjustment required |  | 0000 |
|  | 0001 | 1 |  |  | 0001 |
|  | 0010 | 2 |  |  | 0010 |
|  | 0011 | 3 |  |  | 0011 |
|  | 0100 | 4 |  |  | 0100 |
|  | 0101 | 5 |  |  | 0101 |
|  | 0110 | 6 |  |  | 0110 |
|  | 1110 | 7 |  |  | 0111 |
|  | 1000 | 8 | , |  | 1000 |
|  | 1001 | 9 |  |  | 1001 |
|  | 1010 | 10 | Adjustment required | 1 | 00010000 |
|  | 1011 | 11 |  | 1 | 00010001 |
|  | 1100 | 12 |  | 1 | 00010010 |
|  | 1101 | 13 |  | 1 | 00010011 |
|  | 1110 | 14 |  | 1 | 00010100 |
|  | 1111 | 15 |  | 1 | 00010101 |
| 1 | 0000 | 16 |  | 1 | 00010110 |
| 1 | 0001 | 17 |  | 1 | 00010111 |
| 1 | 0010 | 18 |  | 1 | 00011000 |
| 1 | 0011 | 19 | $\downarrow$ | 1 | 00011001 |

The three examples shown below illustrate both valid and invalid BCD digits with the appropriate sum correction to yield valid BCD results.

Example 4.1 The numbers $58_{10}$ and $73_{10}$ will be added in BCD to yield a result of $131_{10}$ as shown below. Both intermediate sums (1011 and 1101) are invalid for BCD; therefore, 0110 must be added to the intermediate sums. Any carry that results from adding six to the intermediate sum is ignored because it provides no new information. The result of the BCD add operation is 000100110001 . The carry produced from the low-order decade is also referred to as the auxiliary carry.


Example 4.2 Another example of BCD addition is shown below, in which the intermediate sums are valid BCD numbers, but there is a carry-out of the high-order decade. Whenever the unadjusted sum produces a carry-out, the intermediate sum must be corrected by adding six.

|  | 86 |  | 1000 | 0110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +) | 93 |  | 1001 | 0011 |
|  | 179 |  | 0 | 1001 |
|  |  | 1 | $\longleftarrow 0001$ |  |
|  |  |  | 0110 |  |
|  |  |  | 0111 |  |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  |  | 0001 | 0111 | 1001 |

Example 4.3 This example illustrates all three conditions listed in Equation 4.10. The numbers 968 and 762 will be added using BCD arithmetic. The intermediate sums are: 0001 for the hundreds decade, 1101 for the tens decade, and 1010 for the units decade.


### 4.7.1 Decimal Addition with Sum Correction

A single stage of a decimal adder is shown in Figure 4.52. The carry-out of the decade corresponds to Equation 4.10, which is reproduced below for convenience. The carry-out of adder 1 - in conjunction with the logic indicated by Equation 4.10 specifies the carry-out of the decade and is connected to inputs $b_{4}$ and $b_{2}$ of $\operatorname{adder} 2$ with $b_{8} b_{1}=00$. This corrects an invalid decimal digit. The carry-out of adder 2 can be ignored, because it provides no new information.

$$
\text { Carry }=\text { cout }_{8}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{4}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{2}
$$

This decimal adder stage can be used in conjunction with other identical stages to design an $n$-digit parallel decimal adder. The carry-out of stage ${ }_{i}$ connects to the carryin of stage ${ }_{i+1}$; therefore, this is a ripple adder for decimal operands. The Verilog behavioral design module of a typical 4-bit adder is shown in Figure 4.53.


Figure 4.52 Typical stage of an $n$-digit decimal adder.

```
//behavioral model for a 4-bit adder
module adder4 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
reg [3:0] sum; //variables in always are register
reg cout;
always @ (a or b or cin) //perform the add operation
begin
    sum = a + b + cin;
    cout = (a[3] & b[3]) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] & b[2])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] & b[1])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1]) &
                        (a[0] & b[0])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1]) &
        (a[0] | b[0]) & cin);
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.53 Four-bit adder to be used in decimal addition.

The design module for one stage of a decimal adder is shown in Figure 4.54 using built-in primitives and the instantiated 4 -bit adder of Figure 4.53. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.55 and 4.56, respectively.

```
//mixed-design bcd adder
module add_bcd (a, b, cin, bcd, cout, invalid_inputs);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [3:0] bcd;
output cout, invalid_inputs;
reg invalid_inputs; //reg if used in always statement
//define internal nets
wire [3:0] sum;
wire cout3, net3, net4;
//check for invalid inputs
always @ (a or b)
begin
    if ((a > 4'b1001) || (b > 4'b1001))
        invalid_inputs = 1'b1;
    else
        invalid_inputs = 1'b0;
end
//instantiate the logic for adder_1
adder4 inst1 (a[3:0], b[3:0], cin, sum[3:0], cout3);
//instantiate the logic for adder_2
adder4 inst2 (sum[3:0], {1'b0, cout, cout, 1'b0},
                        1'b0, bcd[3:0]);
//instantiate the logic for intermediate sum adjustment
and (net3, sum[3], sum[1]);
and (net4, sum[3], sum[2]);
or (cout, cout3, net3, net4);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.54 Mixed-design module for one stage of a decimal adder.

```
//test bench for mixed-design add_bcd
module add_bcd_t.b;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [3:0] bcd;
wire cout;
wire invalid_inputs;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a=%%, b=%b, cin=%%, cout=%%,
    bcd=%b, invalid inputs=%b",
    a, b, cin, cout, bcd, invalid_inputs);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0100; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
//three invalid inputs
    #10 a = 4'b1010; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b1100; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1011; b = 4'b1110; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_bcd inst1 (a, b, cin, bcd, cout, invalid_inputs);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.55 Test bench module for one stage of the decimal adder.

```
a=0101, b=0110, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0001, invalid_inputs=0
a=0101, b=0100, cin=0, cout=0, bcd=1001, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0101, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=0111, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0100, invalid_inputs=0
a=1000, b=1001, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0111, invalid_inputs=0
a=1001, b=1001, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=1000, invalid_inputs=0
a=0101, b=0110, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0010, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=1000, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=1001, b=1001, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=1001, invalid_inputs=0
a=1000, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=1000, b=1000, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0111, invalid_inputs=0
a=1001, b=0111, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=0010, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0000, invalid_inputs=0
a=0011, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0001, invalid_inputs=0
a=1010, b=0001, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0001, invalid_inputs=1
a=0011, b=1100, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0101, invalid_inputs=1
a=1011, b=1110, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0000, invalid_inputs=1
```

Figure 4.56 Outputs for the BCD adder.

### 4.7.2 Decimal Addition Using Multiplexers for Sum Correction

An alternative approach to determine whether to add six to correct an invalid decimal number is to use a multiplexer. The two operands are added in adderl as before; however, a value of six is always added to this intermediate sum in adder 2, as shown in Figure 4.57. The sums from adder 1 and adder 2 are then applied to four $2: 1$ multiplexers. Selection of the adder 1 sum or the adder 2 sum is determined by ORing the carry-out of both adders - cout 1 and cout 2 - to generate a select input - cout - to the multiplexers, as shown below.

$$
\text { Multiplexer select input }=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Select adder1 sum if cout is } 0 \\
\text { Select adder2 sum if cout is } 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The decimal adder module instantiates a dataflow design module for the $2: 1 \mathrm{mul}$ tiplexer shown in Figure 4.58 and a behavioral design module for the 4-bit adder shown in Figure 4.59, which is reproduced from Figure 4.53 for convenience.



Figure 4.57 Examples using a decimal adder with multiplexers.

```
//dataflow 2:1 multiplexer
module mux2_df (sel, data, z1);
//define inputs and output
input sel;
input [1:0] data;
output z1;
assign z1 = (~sel & data[0]) | (sel & data[1]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.58 Two-to-one multiplexer used in the BCD adder.

```
//behavioral model for a 4-bit adder
module adder4 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
reg [3:0] sum; //variables used in always are register
reg cout;
always @ (a or b or cin) //perform the add operation
begin
    sum = a + b + cin;
    cout = (a[3] & b[3]) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] & b[2])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] & b[1])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1]) &
        (a[0] & b[0])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1]) &
        (a[0] | b[0]) & cin);
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.59 Four-bit adder to be used in decimal addition using multiplexers.

The logic diagram is shown in Figure 4.60, which shows the instantiation names and net names that will be used in the mixed design module. The mixed-design module is shown in Figure 4.61. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.62 and 4.63 , respectively.


Figure 4.60 Decimal addition using multiplexers to obtain a valid decimal digit.

```
//mixed-design bcd adder using multiplexers
module add_bcd_mux_bip (a, b, cin, bcd, cout,
    invalid_inputs);
input [3:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
input cin;
output [3:0] bcd;
output cout, invalid_inputs; //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.61 Mixed-design module for the BCD adder using multiplexers.

```
//reg if used in always statement
reg invalid_inputs;
wire [3:0] adder1, adder2; //define internal nets
wire cout1, cout2;
always @ (a or b) //check for invalid inputs
begin
    if ((a > 4'b1001) || (b > 4'b1001))
        invalid_inputs = 1'b1;
    else
        invalid_inputs = 1'b0;
end
//instantiate the adder for adder1
adder4 inst1 (a[3:0], b[3:0], cin, adder1, cout1);
//instantiate the adder for adder2
adder4 inst2 (adder1, {1'b0, 1'b1, 1'b1, 1'b0},
        1'b0, adder2, cout2);
//instantiate the multiplexer select logic
or (cout, cout2, cout1);
//instantiate the 2:1 multiplexers
mux2_df inst3 (cout, {adder2[0], adder1[0]}, bcd[0]);
mux2_df inst4 (cout, {adder2[1], adder1[1]}, bcd[1]);
mux2_df inst5 (cout, {adder2[2], adder1[2]}, bcd[2]);
mux2_df inst7 (cout, {adder2[3], adder1[3]}, bcd[3]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.61 (Continued)

```
//test bench mixed-design bcd adder using multiplexers
module add_bcd_mux_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [3:0] bcd;
wire cout, invalid_inputs; //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.62 Test bench module for the BCD adder using multiplexers.

```
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a=%b, b=%b, cin=%b, cout=%b, bcd=%%,
                        invalid_inputs=%.b",
                            a, b, cin, cout, bcd, invalid_inputs);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0011; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0101; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1001; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1011; cin = 1'b0;
        //invalid inputs
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b1000; cin = 1'b0;
                            //invalid inputs
    #10 a = 4'b1101; b = 4'b1010; cin = 1'b1;
                            //invalid inputs
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_bcd_mux_bip inst1 (a, b, cin, bcd, cout, invalid_inputs);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.62 (Continued)

```
a=0011, b=0011, cin=0, cout=0, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=0101, b=0110, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0001, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0101, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=0111, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0100, invalid_inputs=0
a=1000, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=1000, b=1001, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0111, invalid inputs=0
a=1001, b=1001, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=1000, invalid_inputs=0
a=0101, b=0110, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0010, invalid_inputs=0
a=0110, b=0111, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0011, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=1000, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=0110, invalid_inputs=0
a=1001, b=1001, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=1001, invalid_inputs=0
a=1001, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=0111, invalid_inputs=0
a=0111, b=1011, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=1000, invalid_inputs=1
a=1111, b=1000, cin=0, cout=1, bcd=1101, invalid_inputs=1
a=1101, b=1010, cin=1, cout=1, bcd=1110, invalid_inputs=1
```

Figure 4.63 Outputs for the BCD adder using multiplexers.

### 4.8 Decimal Subtraction

Subtraction of BCD numbers is performed by adding the radix ( $r$ ) complement +1 of the subtrahend to the minuend. This concept is shown in Equation 4.11, where operand $A$ is the minuend, operand $B$ is the subtrahend, and $\left(B^{\prime}+1\right)$ is the 10 s complement $(9 \mathrm{~s}$ complement +1 ) for BCD .

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-B=A+\left(B^{\prime}+1\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Examples are shown below that exemplify the principles of decimal subtraction. The result of each example is true subtraction.

True addition is where the result is the sum of the two numbers, regardless of the sign and corresponds to one of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(+A) & +(+B) \\
(-A) & +(-B) \\
(+A) & -(-B) \\
(-A) & -(+B)
\end{array}
$$

True subtraction is where the result is the difference of the two numbers, regardless of the sign and corresponds to one of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(+A) & -(+B) \\
(-A) & - & (-B) \\
(+A) & +(-B) \\
(-A) & +(+B)
\end{array}
$$

Example 4.4 The subtrahend $+57_{10}$ will be subtracted from the minuend $+84_{10}$. This yields a difference of $+27_{10}$. The 10 s complement of the subtrahend is obtained as follows using radix 10 numbers: $9-5=4 ; 9-7=2+1=3$, where 4 and 2 are the 9 s complement of 5 and 7 , respectively. A carry-out of the high-order decade indicates that the result is a positive number in BCD.

| +84 | $\rightarrow$ | 1000 | 0100 | 10s complement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -) +57 | $\longrightarrow+$ ) | 0100 | 0011 |  |
| $+27$ |  |  | 0111 |  |
|  | $1 \longleftarrow$ | 1100 |  |  |
|  |  | 0110 |  |  |
|  |  | 0010 |  |  |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  | + | 0010 | 0111 |  |

Example 4.5 The subtrahend $+98_{10}$ will be subtracted from the minuend $+73_{10}$. This yields a difference of $-25_{10}$. The 10 s complement of the subtrahend is obtained as follows using radix 10 numbers: $9-9=0 ; 9-8=1+1=2$, where 0 and 1 are the 9 s complement of 9 and 8 , respectively. A carry-out of 0 from the high-order decade indicates that the result is a negative BCD number in 10 s complement. To obtain the result in radix 10 , form the 10 s complement of $75_{10}$, which will yield $25_{10}$.


Example 4.6 The following decimal numbers will be added using BCD arithmetic: +54 and -23 , as shown below. This can be considered as true subtraction, because the result is the difference of the two numbers, ignoring the signs. A carry of 1 from the high-order decade indicates a positive number.


Example 4.7 The following decimal numbers will be subtracted using BCD arithmetic: +617 and +842 , resulting in a difference of -225 , as shown below. A carry of 0 from the high-order decade indicates a negative number in 10 s complement notation.

| $+617$ | $\longrightarrow$ | 0110 | 0001 | 0111 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -) +842 | $\rightarrow+$ ) | 0001 | 0101 | 1000 | 10 s complement |
| -225 |  |  | 1 | 1111 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0111 | 0110 |  |
|  | $0 \longleftarrow$ | 0111 |  | 0101 |  |
| Negative number in | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 10 s complement $\longrightarrow$ | - | 0111 | 0111 | 0101 |  |
| Negative number in | V | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sign magnitude $\longrightarrow$ | - | 0010 | 0010 | 0101 |  |

### 4.8.1 Decimal Subtraction Using Full Adders and BuiltIn Primitives for Four Bits

A 4-bit binary subtraction unit - including BCD - will be designed using instantiated full adders that were designed using built-in primitives. The Verilog design module for the full adder is reproduced in Figure 4.64 for convenience. The logic diagram for the 4-bit subtraction unit is shown in Figure 4.65.

```
//full adder using built-in primitives
module full_adder_bip (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//design the full adder
//and design the sum
xor inst1 (net1, a, b);
and inst2 (net2, a, b);
xor inst3 (sum, net1, cin);
//design the carry-out
and inst4 (net3, net1, cin);
or inst5 (cout, net3, net2);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.64 Full adder to be used in the design of a 4-bit subtractor.


Figure 4.65 Logic diagram for the 4-bit subtraction unit.

The Verilog design module for the 4-bit subtraction unit is shown in Figure 4.66 using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using built-in primitives. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.67 and the outputs are shown in Figure 4.68.

```
//structural for a 4-bit subtractor
//using bip and instantiated full adders
module sub_4bit_bip (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [3:0] rslt, cout;
//define internal nets
wire net0, net1, net2, net3;
//design the logic for stage 0
not (net0, b[0]);
full_adder_bip inst0 (a[0], net0, cin, rslt[0], cout[0]);
//design the logic for stage 1
not (net1, b[1]);
full_adder_bip inst1 (a[1], net1, cout[0], rslt[1],
                        cout[1]);
//design the logic for stage 2
not (net2, b[2]);
full_adder_bip inst2 (a[2], net2, cout[1], rslt[2],
                        cout[2]);
//design the logic for stage 3
not (net3, b[3]);
full_adder_bip inst3 (a[3], net3, cout[2], rslt[3],
                        cout[3]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.66 Design module for the 4-bit subtractor.

```
//test bench for 4-bit subtractor
module sub_4bit_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [3:0] rslt, cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, cin = %b, rslt = %b, cout = %b",
    a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1100; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b0110; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1110; b = 4'b1111; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1111; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0010; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b0001; b = 4'b0001; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0111; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b0011; cin = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_4bit_bip inst1 (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.67 Test bench module for the 4-bit subtractor.

```
a = 0110, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 0100, cout = 1111
a = 1100, b = 0110, cin = 1, rslt = 0110, cout = 1001
a = 1110, b = 1010, cin = 1, rslt = 0100, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 1011, cout = 1100
a = 1111, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 1101, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 0110, cin = 1, rslt = 1000, cout = 1111
a = 1110, b = 1111, cin = 1, rslt = 1111, cout = 0000
a = 1111, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 1100, cout = 1111
a = 0001, b = 0010, cin = 1, rslt = 1111, cout = 0001
a = 0001, b = 0001, cin = 1, rslt = 0000, cout = 1111
a = 1000, b = 0111, cin = 1, rslt = 0001, cout = 1000
a = 1001, b = 0011, cin = 1, rslt = 0110, cout = 1001
```

Figure 4.68 Outputs for the 4-bit subtractor.

Notice the seventh entry in the outputs: $1110-1111(14-15)$. The result is $1111_{2}$ $\left(-1_{10}\right)$. This result is obtained as shown below, which is the BCD equivalent of the binary number.


### 4.8.2 Decimal/Binary Subtraction Using Full Adders and Built-In Primitives for Eight Bits

The design in the previous section will be expanded to design a subtraction unit for eight bits using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using built-in primitives. Some subtract operations demonstrate decimal subtraction. The logic diagram is shown in Figure 4.69.


Figure 4.69 Logic diagram for the 8-bit binary subtraction unit.

The design module is shown in Figure 4.70 using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using built-in primitives. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.71 and 4.72, respectively.

```
//structural for an 8-bit subtractor
//using bip and instantiated full adders
module sub_8bit_bip (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [7:0] rslt, cout;
//define internal nets
wire net0, net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.70 Design module for the 8-bit subtraction unit.

```
//--------------------------------------------------------------
//full_adder_bip: a, b, cin, rslt, cout
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for stage 0
not (net0, b[0]);
full_adder_bip inst0 (a[0], net0, cin, rslt[0], cout[0]);
//design the logic for stage 1
not (net1, b[1]);
full_adder_bip inst1 (a[1], net1, cout[0], rslt[1],
                                    cout[1]);
//design the logic for stage 2
not (net2, b[2]);
full_adder_bip inst2 (a[2], net2, cout[1], rslt[2],
                        cout[2]);
//design the logic for stage 3
not (net3, b[3]);
full_adder_bip inst3 (a[3], net3, cout[2], rslt[3],
                                    cout[3]);
//---------------------------------------------------------------
//full_adder_bip: a, b, cin, rslt, cout
//----------------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for stage 4
not (net4, b[4]);
full_adder_bip inst4 (a[4], net4, cout[3], rslt[4],
                                    cout[4]);
//design the logic for stage 5
not (net5, b[5]);
full_adder_bip inst5 (a[5], net5, cout[4], rslt[5],
                                    cout[5]);
//design the logic for stage 6
not (net6, b[6]);
full_adder_bip inst6 (a[6], net6, cout[5], rslt[6],
                        cout[6]);
//design the logic for stage 7
not (net7, b[7]);
full_adder_bip inst7 (a[7], net7, cout[6], rslt[7],
                        cout[7]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.70 (Continued)

```
//test bench for 8-bit subtractor
module sub_8bit_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [7:0] rslt, cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, cin = %b, rslt = %b, cout = %b",
                        a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
        #0 cin = 1'b1;
        #0 a = 8'b0001_1001; b = 8'b0000_0011; //25-03=22
        #10 a = 8'b0010_1100; b = 8'b0000_0110; //44-06=38
        #10 a = 8'b0000_1110; b = 8'b0000_1010; //14-10=04
        #10 a = 8'b0000_1110; b = 8'b0000_0011; //14-03=11
        #10 a = 8'b0100_0000; b = 8'b0010_0010; //64-18=46
        #10 a = 8'b0000_1110; b = 8'b0000_0110; //14-06=08
        #10 a = 8'b1001_1110; b = 8'b0000_1000; //158-08=150
        #10 a = 8'b1000_1111; b = 8'b1000_1100; //143-140=03
        #10 a = 8'b0011 1001; b = 8'b0000 1000; //57-08=49
        #10 a = 8'b0000_0001; b = 8'b0000_0001; //01-01=00
        #10 a = 8'b0110_1000; b = 8'b0011_0111; //104-55=49
        #10 a = 8'b0000_1001; b = 8'b0000_0011; //09-03=06
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_8bit_bip instl (a, b, cin, rslt, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.71 Test bench module for the 8-bit subtraction unit.

```
a = 00011001, b = 00000011, cin = 1, rslt = 00010110
a = 00101100, b = 00000110, cin = 1, rslt = 00100110
a = 00001110, b = 00001010, cin = 1, rslt = 00000100
a = 00001110, b = 00000011, cin = 1, rslt = 00001011
a = 01000000, b = 00100010, cin = 1, rslt = 00011110
a = 00001110, b = 00000110, cin = 1, rslt = 00001000
a = 10011110, b = 00001000, cin = 1, rslt = 10010110
a = 10001111, b = 10001100, cin = 1, rslt = 00000011
a = 00111001, b = 00001000, cin = 1, rslt = 00110001
a = 00000001, b = 00000001, cin = 1, rslt = 00000000
a = 01101000, b = 00110111, cin = 1, rslt = 00110001
a = 00001001, b = 00000011, cin = 1, rslt = 00000110
```

Figure 4.72 Outputs for the 8 -bit subtraction unit.

### 4.8.3 Eight-Bit Decimal Subtraction Unit with Built-In Primitives and Full Adders Designed Using Behavioral Modeling

Before presenting the organization for a decimal subtraction unit, a 9 s complementer will be designed which will be used in the subtractor module together with a carry-in $(\operatorname{cin}=1)$ to form the 10 s complement of the subtrahend. The 9 s complementer is required because BCD is not a self-complementing code; that is, it cannot form the di-minished-radix complement ( $r-1$ complement) by inverting the four bits of each decade. The truth table for the 9 s complementer is shown in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Nines Complementer

| Subtrahend |  |  | 9 s Complement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b[3]$ | $b[2]$ | $b[1]$ | $b[0]$ | $f[3]$ | $f[2]$ | $f[1]$ | $f[0]$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The equations for the 9 s complementer are shown in Equation 4.12. The logic diagram for the 9 s complementer is shown in Figure 4.73. The structural design module for the 9 s complementer using built-in primitives is shown in Figure 4.74. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.75 and 4.76, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f[0]=b[0] ' \\
& f[1]=b[1] \\
& f[2]=(b[2] \oplus b[1]) \\
& f[3]=b[3]^{\prime} b[2]^{\prime} b[1]^{\prime} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4.73 Logic diagram for the 9 s complementer.

```
//9s complementer using built-in primitives
module nines_comp_sub_bip (b, f);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] b;
output [3:0] f;
//design the logic for the 9s complementer
not (f[0], b[0]);
assign f[1] = b[1];
xor (f[2], b[1], b[2]);
and (f[3], ~b[1], ~b[2], ~b[3]);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.74 Design module for the 9 s complementer.

```
//test bench for the 9s complementer
module nines_comp_sub_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] b;
wire [3:0] f;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("b = %b, f = %b", b, f);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 b = 4'b0000;
    #10 b = 4'b0001;
    #10 b = 4'b0010;
    #10 b = 4'b0011;
    #10 b = 4'b0100;
    #10 b = 4'b0101;
    #10 b = 4'b0110;
    #10 b = 4'b0111;
    #10 b = 4'b1000;
    #10 b = 4'b1001;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
nines_comp_sub_bip inst1 (b, f);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.75 Test bench module for the 9s complementer.

```
b = 0000, f = 1001
b = 0001, f = 1000
b = 0010, f = 0111
b}=0011,\textrm{f}=011
b = 0100, f = 0101
b = 0101, f = 0100
b = 0110, f = 0011
b = 0111, f = 0010
b = 1000, f = 0001
b}=1001,\textrm{f}=000
```

Figure 4.76 Outputs for the 9 s complementer.

The logic diagram for the BCD subtractor is shown in Figure 4.77. The minuend $a[3: 0]$ connects to the $A$ inputs of a fixed-point adder for the units decade; the subtrahend $b[3: 0]$ connects to the inputs of a 9 s complementer whose outputs $f$ [3:0] connect to the $B$ inputs of the adder, which has outputs sum [3:0] and cout3. The aux_cy output adds six to the $B$ inputs of the succeeding adder to yield the outputs $b c d[3: 0]$.

In a similar manner, the minuend $a[7: 4]$ connects to the $A$ inputs of the adder for the tens decade; the subtrahend $b[7: 4]$ connects to a 9 s complementer whose outputs $f[7: 4]$ connect to the $B$ inputs of the adder, which generates sum [7:4] and cout7. The cout output adds six to the $B$ inputs of the succeeding adder to yield the outputs bcd[7:4].


Figure 4.77 Logic diagram for the two-stage BCD subtractor.

The behavioral design module for the 4-bit fixed-point adder is shown in Figure 4.78. The test bench module and the outputs are shown in Figures 4.79 and 4.80, respectively.

The structural design module for the 8-bit subtractor is shown in Figure 4.81. There are two input operands, the minuend $a[7: 0]$ and the subtrahend $b[7: 0]$, and one input mode control $(\operatorname{cin}=1)$ to specify a subtract operation. There are two outputs: $b c d[7: 0]$, which represents a valid BCD number, and a carry-out, cout. The test bench is shown in Figure 4.82 and contains operands for subtraction, including numbers that result in negative differences in BCD. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.83.

```
//behavioral model for a 4-bit adder
module adder4 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [3:0] sum;
output cout;
//variables are reg in always
reg [3:0] sum;
reg cout;
//perform the sum and carry-out operations
always @ (a or b or cin)
begin
sum = a + b + cin;
cout = (a[3] & b[3]) |
    ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] & b[2])) |
    ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] & b[1])) |
    ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1])
        & (a[0] & b[0])) |
        ((a[3] | b[3]) & (a[2] | b[2]) & (a[1] | b[1])
            & (a[0] | b[0]) & cin);
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.78 Behavioral design module for 4-bit adder.

```
//test bench for the 4-bit adder
module adder4_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [3:0] sum;
wire cout;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a=%b, b= %b, cin=%b, cout=%b, sum=%b",
    a, b, cin, cout, sum);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a=4'b0000; b=4'b0000; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b0001; b=4'b0001; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b0001; b=4'b0011; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b0101; b=4'b0001; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b0111; b=4'b0001; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b0101; b=4'b0101; cin=1'b0;
    #10 a=4'b1001; b=4'b0101; cin=1'b1;
    #10 a=4'b1000; b=4'b1000; cin=1'b1;
    #10 a=4'b1011; b=4'b1110; cin=1'b1;
    #10 a=4'b1111; b=4'b1111; cin=1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
adder4 inst1 adder4 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.79 Test bench module for 4-bit adder.

```
a=0000, b=0000, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0000
a=0001, b=0001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0010
a=0001, b=0011, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0100
a=0101, b=0001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=0110
a=0111, b=0001, cin=0, cout=0, sum=1000
a=0101, b=0101, cin=0, cout=0, sum=1010
a=1001, b=0101, cin=1, cout=0, sum=1111
a=1000, b=1000, cin=1, cout=1, sum=0001
a=1011, b=1110, cin=1, cout=1, sum=1010
a=1111, b=1111, cin=1, cout=1, sum=1111
```

Figure 4.80 Outputs for 4-bit adder.

```
//structural bcd subtractor
module sub_8bit_struc (a, b, bcd, cout);
input [7:0] a, b; //define inputs and outputs
output [7:0] bcd;
output cout;
wire [7:0] f; //define internal nets
wire [7:0] sum;
wire cout3, net1, net2, aux_cy;
wire cout7, net3, net4;
//-----------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for the units stage [3:0]
//instantiate the 9s complementer
nines_comp_sub_bip inst1 (b[3:0], f[3:0]);
//instantiate the adder for the intermediate sum for units
adder4 inst2 (a[3:0], f[3:0], 1'b1, sum[3:0], cout3);
//instantiate the logic gates
and (net1, sum[3], sum[1]);
and (net2, sum[3], sum[2]);
or (aux_cy, cout3, net1, net2);
//instantiate the adder for the bcd sum [3:0]
adder4 inst3 (sum[3:0], {1'b0, aux_cy, aux_cy, 1'b0},
                        1'b0, bcd[3:0], 1'b0);
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.81 Structural design module for the 8-bit decimal subtractor.

```
//---------------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for the tens stage [7:4]
//instantiate the 9s complementer
nines_comp_sub_bip inst4 (b[7:4], f[7:4]);
//instantiate the adder for the intermediate sum for tens
adder4 inst5 (a[7:4], f[7:4], aux_cy, sum[7:4], cout7);
//instantiate the logic gates
and (net3, sum[7], sum[5]);
and (net4, sum[7], sum[6]);
or (cout, cout7, net3, net4);
//instantiate the adder for the bod sum [7:4]
adder4 inst6 (sum[7:4], {1'b0, cout, cout, 1'b0},
    1'b0, bcd[7:4], 1'b0);
/ /-----------------------------------------------------------------
endmodule
```

Figure 4.81 (Continued)

```
//test bench for the decimal eight-bit subtractor
module sub_8bit_struc_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a, b;
wire [7:0] bcd;
wire cout;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, bcd_tens = %b, bcd_units = %b",
                    a, b, bcd[7:4], bcd[3:0]);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 8'b0001_1001; b = 8'b0000_0011; //19-03=16
    #10 a = 8'b0111_0110; b = 8'b0100_0010; //76-42=34
    #10 a = 8'b1001 1001; b = 8'b0110-0110; //99-66=33
    #10 a = 8'b1000_0101; b = 8'b0001_0100; //85-14=71
    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.82 Test bench module for the 8-bit decimal subtractor.

```
    #10 a = 8'b0101 0101; b = 8'b0100 0100; //55-44=11
    #10 a = 8'b0011_0011; b = 8'b0010_0111; //33-27=06
    #10 a = 8'b0011_0011; b = 8'b0110_0110; //33-66=-33
    #10 a = 8'b0001_0001; b = 8'b1001_1001; //11-99=-18
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_8bit_struc inst1 (a, b, bcd, cout);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.82 (Continued)

```
a = 00011001, b = 00000011, bcd_tens = 0001, bcd_units = 0110
a = 01110110, b = 01000010, bcd_tens = 0011, bcd_units = 0100
a = 10011001, b = 01100110, bcd_tens = 0011, bcd_units = 0011
a = 10000101, b = 00010100, bcd_tens = 0111, bcd_units = 0001
a = 01010101, b = 01000100, bcd_tens = 0001, bcd_units = 0001
a = 00110011, b = 00100111, bcd_tens = 0000, bcd_units = 0110
a = 00110011, b = 01100110, bcd_tens = 0110, bcd_units = 0111
a = 00010001, b = 10011001, bcd_tens = 0001, bcd_units = 0010
```

Figure 4.83 Outputs for the 8-bit decimal subtractor.

Observe the last two entries in the outputs of Figure 4.83. The operations are as follows: $33-66=-33$ and $11-99=-88$. The two subtractions are obtained as follows:



### 4.9 Decimal Multiplication

There are three operands in multiplication: the multiplicand is multiplied by the multiplier to produce a product. Decimal arithmetic operations can be performed in the fixed-point number representation then converting the result to the binary-coded decimal (BCD) number representation. This method is used in this section for a decimal multiplication operation using behavioral modeling.

Converting from binary to BCD is accomplished by multiplying the BCD number by two repeatedly. Multiplying by two is accomplished by a left shift of one bit position followed by an adjustment, if necessary. For example, a left shift of BCD 1001 $\left(9_{10}\right)$ results in 10010 which is 18 in binary, but only 12 in BCD. Adding six to the low-order BCD digit results in 11000 , which is the required value of $18_{10}$.

Instead of adding six after the shift, the same result can be achieved by adding three before the shift since a left shift multiplies any number by two. BCD digits in the range $0-4$ do not require an adjustment before being shifted left, because the shifted number will be in the range $0-8$, which can be contained in a 4 -bit BCD digit. However, if the number to be shifted is in the range $5-9$, then an adjustment will be required before the left shift, because the shifted number will be in the range 10-18, which requires two BCD digits. Therefore, three is added to the digit prior to the next left shift of 1-bit position.

The multiplication of $9 \times 9$ is shown below, which yields a product of 81 . Table 4.7 shows the procedure for converting from binary $01010001_{2}\left(81_{10}\right)$ to BCD. Since there are 8 bits in the binary number, 8 left-shift operations are required, yielding the resulting BCD number of $10000001_{\mathrm{BCD}}$. Concatenated registers $A$ and $B$ are shifted left one bit position during each sequence. During the final left shift operation, no adjustment is performed.


Table 4.7 Example of Binary-to-Decimal Conversion

|  | $A$ Register (BCD) |  |  | $B$ Register (Binary) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15... 12 | $11 \ldots 8$ |  | 7 ... 4 | $3 \ldots 0$ |
|  | 0000 | 0000 | $\leftarrow$ | 0101 | 0001 |
| Shift left 1 | 0000 | 0000 |  | 1010 | 0010 |
| Shift left 1 | 0000 | 0001 |  | 0100 | 0100 |
| Shift left 1 | 0000 | 0010 |  | 1000 | 1000 |
| Shift left 1 | 0000 | 0101 |  | 0001 | 0000 |
| Add 3 |  | 0011 |  |  |  |
|  | 0000 | 1000 |  |  |  |
| Shift left 1 | 0001 | 0000 |  | 0010 | 0000 |
| Shift left 1 | 0010 | 0000 |  | 0100 | 0000 |
| Shift left 1 | 0100 | 0000 |  | 1000 | 0000 |
| Shift left 1 | 1000 | 0001 |  | 0000 | 0000 |

The procedure shown in Table 4.7 will be used for BCD multiplication by performing the multiply operation in the fixed-point number representation, and then converting the product to BCD notation. The design will be implemented using behavioral modeling. A 16-bit left-shift register - consisting of two 8-bit registers $A$, $a \_r e g$, and $B, b-r e g$, in concatenation - is used for the shifting sequence.

A shift counter is used to determine the number of shift sequences to be executed. Since the final shift sequence is a left-shift operation only (no adjustment), the shift counter is set to a value of the binary length minus one; then a final left shift operation occurs. A while loop determines the number of times that the procedural statements within the loop are executed and is a function of the shift counter value.

The while loop executes a procedural statement or a block of procedural statements as long as a Boolean expression returns a value of true $(\geq 1)$. When the procedural statements are executed, the Boolean expression is reevaluated. The loop is executed until the expression returns a value of false, in this case a shift counter value
of zero. If the evaluation of the expression is false, then the while loop is terminated and control is passed to the next statement in the module. If the expression is false before the loop is initially entered, then the while loop is never executed.

The behavioral module is shown in Figure 4.84, where register $A$ is reset to all zeroes and register $B$ contains the product of the multiplicand and the multiplier. The test bench is shown in Figure 4.85, in which several input vectors are applied to the multiplicand and the multiplier, including binary and decimal values. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.86

```
//behavioral bcd multiplier
module mul_bcd_behav2 (a, b, bcd);
input [3:0] a; //define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] b;
output [7:0] bcd;
//variables are declared as reg in always
reg [7:0] a_reg, b_reg;
reg [15:0] shift_reg;
reg [3:0] shift_ctr;
always @ (a or b)
begin
    shift_ctr = 4'b0111; //7 shift sequences
    a_reg = 8'b0000_0000; //reset register a
    b_reg = a * b; //register b contains product
    shift_reg = {a_reg, b_reg}; //regs a, b are concatenated
    while (shift_ctr)
        begin
            shift_reg = shift_reg << 1;
                if (shift_reg[11:8] > 4'b0100)
                shift_reg[11:8] = shift_reg[11:8] + 4'b0011;
                if (shift_reg[15:12] > 4'b0100)
                shift_reg[15:12] = shift_reg[15:12] + 4'b0011;
                shift_ctr = shift_ctr - 1;
        end
            shift_reg = shift_reg << 1;
end
assign bcd = shift_reg[15:8];
endmodule
```

Figure 4.84 Behavioral design module for the decimal multiplier.

```
//test bench for bcd multiplier
module mul_bcd_behav2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a;
reg [3:0] b;
wire [7:0] bcd;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, bcd = %b", a, b, bcd);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b1001; //6 x 9 = 54
    #10 a = 4'b0010; b = 4'b0110; //2 x 6 = 12
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b0111; //7 x 7 = 49
    #10 a = 4'b1001; b = 4'b1000; //9 x 8 = 72
    #10 a = 4'b0111; b = 4'b1001; //7 x 9 = 63
    #10 a = 4'b0100; b = 4'b0100; //4 x 4 = 16
//----------------------------------------------------------------------
    #10 a = 4'd9; b = 4'd9; //9 x 9 = 81
    #10 a = 4'd7; b = 4'd6; //7 x 6 = 42
    #10 a = 4'd8; b = 4'd8; //8 x 8 = 64
    #10 a = 4'd5; b = 4'd7; //5 x 7 = 35
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mul_bcd_behav2 inst1 (a, b, bcd);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.85 Test bench module for the decimal multiplier.

```
a = 0110, b = 1001, bcd = 0101_0100
a = 0010, b = 0110, bcd = 0001_0010
a = 0111, b = 0111, bcd = 0100_1001
a}=1001,\textrm{b}=1000,\textrm{bcd}=0111_001
a}=0111, b=1001, bcd = 0110_0011
a}=0100, b=0100, bcd= 0001_011
//--------------------------------------
a}=0111, b=0110, bcd = 0100_0010
a = 1000, b = 1000, bcd = 0110_0100
a = 0101, b = 0111, bcd = 0011_0101
```

Figure 4.86 Outputs for the decimal multiplier.

### 4.10 Decimal Division

Unlike multiplication, division is not commutative; that is, $A / B \neq B / A$, except when $A$ $=B$, where $A$ and $B$ are the dividend and divisor, respectively. In general, the operands are as shown below, where $A$ is the $2 n$-bit dividend and $B$ is the $n$-bit divisor. The quotient is $Q$ and the remainder is $R$, both of which are $n$ bits.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=a_{2 n-1} a_{2 n-2} \ldots a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} a_{0} \\
& B=b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{1} b_{0} \\
& Q=q_{n-1} q_{n-2} \ldots q_{1} q_{0} \\
& R=r_{n-1} r_{n-2} \ldots r_{1} r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sign of the quotient is determined by the following equation:

$$
q_{n-1}=a_{2 n-1} \oplus b_{n-1}
$$

The remainder has the same sign as the dividend. The process of division is one of shift, subtract, and compare operations. A simple method to perform binary-coded decimal ( BCD ) division is to implement the design using the fixed-point division algorithm and then convert the resulting quotient and remainder to BCD .

A review of the algorithm for fixed-point division is appropriate at this time. The dividend is initially shifted left one bit position. Then the divisor is subtracted from the dividend. Subtraction is accomplished by adding the 2 s complement of the divisor. If the high-order bit of the subtract operation is 1 , then a 0 is placed in the next lower-order bit position of the quotient; if the high-order bit is 0 , then a 1 is placed in
the next lower-order bit position of the quotient. The concatenated partial remainder and dividend are then shifted left one bit position. Fixed-point binary restoring division requires one subtraction for each quotient bit. Figure 4.87 illustrates the procedure using a dividend of $0011_{-} 1101\left(61_{10}\right)$ and a divisor of $0111\left(7_{10}\right)$ to yield a quotient of $8_{10}$ and a remainder of $5_{10}$.


Figure 4.87 Example of fixed-point restoring division.

A second example is shown in Figure 4.88 which illustrates the procedure using a dividend of $0011 \_0100\left(52_{10}\right)$ and a divisor of $0101\left(5_{10}\right)$ to yield a quotient of $10_{10}$ and a remainder of $2_{10}$. Since there are four bits in the divisor, there are four cycles. Like the previous example, the low-order quotient bit is left blank after each left shift operation. Then the divisor is subtracted from the high-order half of the dividend. This sequence repeats for all four bits of the divisor.


Figure 4.88 Example of fixed-point division.

The behavioral and dataflow module is shown in Figure 4.89. The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.90 and the outputs are shown in Figure 4.91. The dividend is an 8-bit vector, a[7:0]; the divisor is a 4-bit vector, $b[3: 0]$; and the result is an 8 -bit quotient/remainder vector, $\operatorname{rslt}[7: 0]$, with a carry-out of the high-order quotient bit, cout_quot.

The operation begins on the positive assertion of a start pulse and follows the algorithm outlined in the previous discussion. The $r$ slt[7:0] register is set to the value of the dividend, $a[7: 0]$, and a sequence counter, count, is set to a value of four (0100), which is the size of the divisor. If the dividend and divisor are both nonzero, then the process continues until the count-down counter, count, reaches a value of zero, controlled by the while loop.

```
//mixed-design for bcd restoring division
module div_bcd2 (a, b, start, rslt, cout_quot);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a;
input [3:0] b;
input start;
output [7:0] rslt;
output cout_quot;
//variables are declared as reg in always
wire [3:0] b_bar;
//define internal registers
reg [3:0] b_neg;
reg [7:0] rslt;
reg [3:0] count;
reg [3:0] quot;
reg cout_quot;
assign b_bar = ~b;
always @-(b_bar)
    b_neg = \overline{b_bar + 1;}
always @ (posedge start)
begin
    rslt = a;
    count = 4'b0100;
```

                                    //continued on next page
    Figure 4.89 Design module for the decimal divisor.

```
if ((a!=0) && (b!=0))
    while (count)
            begin
            rslt = rslt << 1;
            rslt = {(rslt[7:4] + b_neg), rslt[3:0]};
                if (rslt[7] == 1) //restore
                    begin
                        rslt = {(rslt[7:4] + b), rslt[3:1],
                                    1'b0};
                                    count = count - 1;
            end
                else //no restore
                    begin
                        rslt = {rslt[7:1], 1'b1};
                        count = count - 1;
                    end
        end
    if (rslt[3:0] > 4'b1001) //convert to bcd
    {cout_quot, rslt[3:0]} = rslt[3:0] + 4'b0110;
    else
        cout_quot = 1'b0;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.89 (Continued)

```
//test bench for bcd restoring division
module div_bcd2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a;
reg [3:0] b;
reg start;
wire [7:0] rslt;
wire cout_quot;

Figure 4.90 Test bench module for decimal division.
```

//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, quot_tens = %b,
quot_units = %b, rem %b",
a, b, {{3{1'b0}}, cout_quot},
rslt[3:0], rslt[7:4]);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 start = 1'b0;
//60 / 7; quot = 8, rem = 4
a = 8'b0011_1100; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//13 / 5; quot = 2, rem = 3
\#10 a = 8'b0000_1101; b = 4'b0101;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//60 / 7; quot = 8, rem = 4
\#10 a = 8'b0011_1100; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//82 / 6; quot = 13, rem = 4
\#10 a = 8'b0101_0010; b = 4'b0110;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//56 / 7; quot = 8, rem = 0
\#10 a = 8'b0011_1000; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//100 / 7; quot = 14, rem = 2
\#10 a = 8'b0110_0100; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;
//110 / 7; quot = 15, rem = 5
\#10 a = 8'b0110_1110; b = 4'b0111;
\#10 start = 1'b1;
\#10 start = 1'b0;

```
```

            //99 / 9; quot = 11, rem = 0
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0011; b = 4'b1001;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //52 / 5; quot = 10, rem = 2
    #10 a = 8'b0011_0100; b = 4'b0101;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //88 / 9; quot = 9, rem = 7
    #10 a = 8'b0101_1000; b = 4'b1001;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //130 / 9; quot = 14, rem = 4
    #10 a = 8'b1000_0010; b = 4'b1001;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    #10 $stop;
    end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
div_bcd2 inst1 (a, b, start, rslt, cout_quot);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.90 (Continued)
```

//start = 0
a = 00111100, b = 0111, quot_tens = 000x, quot_units = xxxx,
rem xxxx
//start = 1 -------------------------------------------------------
a = 00111100, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0100
//start = 0
a = 00001101, b = 0101, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0100
//start = 1 ----------------------------------------------------
a = 00001101, b = 0101, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 0010,
rem 0011
//start = 0
a = 0011110, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 0010,
rem 0011 //continued on next page

```

Figure 4.91 Outputs for the decimal divisor.
```

//start = 1
//start = 0
//continues in the same sequence
a = 00111100, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0100
a = 01010010, b = 0110, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0100
a = 01010010, b = 0110, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0011,
rem 0100
a = 00111000, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0011,
rem 0100
a = 00111000, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0000
a = 01100100, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1000,
rem 0000
a = 01100100, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0100,
rem 0010
a = 01101110, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0100,
rem 0010
a = 01101110, b = 0111, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0101,
rem 0101
a = 01100011, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0101,
rem 0101
a = 01100011, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0001,
rem 0000
a = 00110100, b = 0101, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0001,
rem 0000
a = 00110100, b = 0101, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0000,
rem 0010
a = 01011000, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0000,
rem 0010
a = 01011000, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1001,
rem 0111
a = 10000010, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0000, quot_units = 1001,
rem 0111
a = 10000010, b = 1001, quot_tens = 0001, quot_units = 0100,
rem 0100

```

Figure 4.91 (Continued)

\subsection*{4.11 Floating-Point Addition}

Fixed-point notation assumes that the radix point is in a fixed location within the number, either at the right end of the number for integers or at the left end of the number for fractions. A floating-point number consists of three parts: a fraction \(f\), an exponent \(e\), and a sign bit associated with the number. The floating-point number \(A\) is obtained by multiplying the fraction \(f\) by a radix \(r\) that is raised to the power of \(e\), as shown below,
\[
A=f \times r^{e}
\]
where the fraction and exponent are signed numbers in 2 s complement notation. The fraction and exponent are also referred to as the mantissa (or significand) and characteristic, respectively.

By adjusting the magnitude of the exponent \(e\), the radix point can be made to float around the fraction, thus, the notation \(A=f \times r^{e}\) is referred to as floating-point notation. Consider an example of a floating-point number in radix 10 , as shown below.
\[
A=0.00025768 \times 10^{+4}
\]

The number \(A\) can also be written as \(A=2.5768 \times 10^{0}\) or as \(A=25.768 \times 10^{-5}\). When the fraction is shifted \(k\) positions to the left, the exponent is decreased by \(k\); when the fraction is shifted \(k\) positions to the right, the exponent is increased by \(k\). The standard for representing floating-point numbers in a 32-bit single-precision format is shown in Figure 4.92. Double-precision floating-point numbers are represented in a 64-bit format: a 52-bit fraction, an 11-bit exponent, and a sign bit.


Figure 4.92 32-bit floating-point single-precision format.

Fractions in the IEEE format shown in Figure 4.92 are normalized; that is, the leftmost significant bit is a 1. Figure 4.93 shows unnormalized and normalized numbers in the 32 -bit format. Since there will always be a 1 to the immediate right of the radix point, sometimes the 1 bit is not explicitly shown - it is an implied 1 .
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unnormalized } \left.\left|\begin{array}{l}
\text { S } \\
0
\end{array}\right| \begin{array}{ccccccc|cccccc}
\text { Exponent } \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \bullet 1 & 1
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \mathrm{x} \ldots & \mathrm{x}
\end{array} \\
&+.0011 \mathrm{x} \ldots \mathrm{x} \times 2^{7}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\text { Normalized } & \left|\begin{array}{llllllll|lllllll}
\text { S } \\
0 & & \text { Exponent } \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \bullet 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \mathrm{x} \ldots & \mathrm{x} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
& +1.11 \mathrm{x} \ldots \mathrm{x} 000 \times 2^{4}
\end{aligned} \\
&
\end{aligned}
\]

Figure 4.93 Unnormalized and normalized floating-point numbers.

As stated previously, the exponents are signed numbers in 2s complement. However, when adding or subtracting floating-point numbers, the exponents are compared and made equal, which results in a right shift of the fraction with the smaller exponent. A simple comparator can be used for the comparison if the exponents are unsigned.

As the exponents are being formed, a bias constant is added to the exponents such that all exponents are positive internally. Since the exponents are eight bits for the sin-gle-precision format, the bias constant is +127 . Therefore, the biased exponent has a range of
\[
0 \leq e_{\text {biased }} \leq 255
\]

For example, if the exponents are represented by \(n\) bits, then the bias is \(2^{n-1}-1\). For \(n=4\), the most positive number is \(0111(+7)\). Therefore, all biased exponents are of the form shown in Equation 4.13. When adding two fractions, the exponents must be made equal. An example is shown below using radix 10 numbers. If the exponents are not equal, the result will be incorrect.
\[
\begin{equation*}
e_{\text {biased }}=e_{\text {unbiased }}+2^{n-1}-1 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
\]


For a floating-point addition operation, if the signs of the operands are the same ( \(A_{\text {sign }} \oplus B_{\text {sign }}=0\) ), then this is referred to as true addition and the fractions are added. True addition corresponds to one of the following conditions:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
(+A) & +(+B) \\
(-A) & +(-B) \\
(+A) & -(-B) \\
(-A) & -(+B)
\end{array}
\]

Examples will now be presented that illustrate floating-point addition for both positive and negative numbers and for conditions requiring no postnormalization and postnormalization. Postnormalization occurs when the resulting fraction overflows, requiring a right shift of one bit position with a corresponding increment of the exponent. The bit causing the overflow is shifted right into the high-order fraction bit position.

The examples for conditions requiring no postnormalization are shown in Figures 4.94 and 4.95. The examples for conditions requiring postnormalization are shown in Figures 4.96 and 4.97.

Figure 4.94 shows an example of floating-point addition when adding \(A=+14\) and \(B=+39\) to yield a sum of +53 , in which the 8 -bit fractions are not properly aligned initially and there is no postnormalization required.

Before alignment
\[
\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
A=0 \\
B=0 . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{4} & +14 \\
B & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{6} & +39
\end{array}
\]

After alignment
\begin{tabular}{rlllllllllllll}
\(A\) & \(=\) & 0 &. & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \(\times 2^{6}\) \\
\(B\) & \(=0\) &. & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & +14 \\
\(A+B\) & \(=\) & 0 &. & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & \(\times 2^{6}\) \\
+39 \\
+53
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.94 Example of floating-point addition in which the fractions are not properly aligned initially and there is no postnormalization.

Figure 4.95 shows an example of floating-point addition when adding \(A=-18.50\) and \(B=-37.75\) to yield a sum of -56.25 , in which the 8 -bit fractions are not properly aligned initially and there is no postnormalization required.

Before alignment
\[
\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
A=1 . & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{5} & -18.50 \\
B=1 . & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \times 2^{6} & -37.75
\end{array}
\]

After alignment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(A=\) & 1 & 0 & & & & & 0 & 1 & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & & -18.50 \\
\hline \(B=\) & 1 & 1 & & & & 0 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & & -37.75 \\
\hline \(A+B=\) & & 1 & & & & & 0 & 0 & & 1 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & & -56.25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.95 Example of floating-point addition in which the fractions are not properly aligned initially and there is no postnormalization.

Figure 4.96 shows an example of floating-point addition when adding \(A=+14\) and \(B=+23\) to yield a sum of +37 , in which the 8 -bit fractions are not properly aligned initially and postnormalization is required.

Before alignment
\[
\begin{array}{rlllllllllll}
A & =\boxed{0} . & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{4} & +14 \\
+) B & =0 . & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{5} & +23
\end{array}
\]

After alignment


Figure 4.96 Example of floating-point addition in which the fractions are not properly aligned initially and postnormalization is required.

Figure 4.97 shows an example of floating-point addition when adding \(A=-12\) and \(B=-29\) to yield a sum of -41 , in which the 8 -bit fractions are not properly aligned initially and postnormalization is required.

Before alignment
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\(A\) & \(=\)\begin{tabular}{|c}
1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}. \\
\(B\) & \(=1\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} .1 \begin{tabular}{llllllllll} 
& 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(\times 2^{4}\) & -12 \\
& 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \(\times 2^{5}\) & -29
\end{tabular}

After alignment


Figure 4.97 Example of floating-point addition in which the fractions are not properly aligned initially and postnormalization is required.

The design of a floating-point adder using Verilog HDL will be implemented using behavioral modeling for the single-precision format of 32 bits. Figure 4.98 illustrates the behavioral design module. There are two inputs: the augend \(f l p \_a[31: 0]\) and the addend \(f l p \_b[31: 0]\). There are three outputs: the sign of the floating-point number, the exponent, and the sum. The augend consists of a sign bit, sign_a; an 8-bit exponent, \(\exp a[7: 0]\); and a 23-bit fraction, fract_a[22:0]. The addend consists of the sign bit, sign_b; the 8-bit exponent, \(\exp \_b[7: 0]\); and the 23-bit fraction, fract_b[22:0].

The exponents are biased by adding the bias constant of +127 ( 01111111 ) prior to the addition operation. Then the fractions are aligned by comparing the exponents. A counter, ctr_align[7:0], is set to the difference between the two exponents. The fraction with the smaller exponent is shifted right one bit position, the exponent is incremented by one, and the alignment counter is decremented by one. This process repeats until the alignment counter decrements to a value of zero at which point the fractions are aligned and the addition operation can then be performed. If the exponents are equal initially, then there is no alignment and the addition operation is performed immediately.

If there is a fraction overflow, then the corresponding result is obtained by the following Verilog statement: \(\{\) cout, sum \(\}=\) fract_ \(a+\) fract_ \(b\); which allows for a carryout to be concatenated with the sum. In that case, the carry-out and sum are shifted right one bit position in concatenation to postnormalize the result as follows: \{cout, sum \(\}=\{\) cout, sum \(\} \gg 1\); and the sign of the result is set equal to the sign of the augend.

The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.99 illustrating four different augends and addends. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.100.
```

//behavioral floating-point addition
module add_flp5 (flp_a, flp_b, sign, exponent, sum);
//define inputs and outputs
input [31:0] flp_a, flp_b;
output [22:0] sum;
output sign;
output [7:0] exponent;
//variables used in always block
//are declared as registers
reg sign_a, sign_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a, exp_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a_bias, exp_b_bias;
reg [22:0] fract_a, fract_b;
reg [7:0] ctr_align;
reg [22:0] sum;
reg sign;
reg [7:0] exponent;
reg cout;
//define operand signs, exponents, and fractions
always @ (flp_a or flp_b)
begin
sign_a = flp_a [31];
sign_b = flp_b [31];
exp_a = flp_a [30:23];
exp_b = flp_b [30:23];
fract_a = flp_a [22:0];
fract_b = flp_b [22:0];
//shift implied 1 into high-order fraction bit position
fract_a = fract_a >> 1;
fract_a[22] = 1'b1;
fract_b = fract_b >> 1;
fract_b[22] = 1'b1;
//bias exponents
exp_a_bias = exp_a + 8'b0111_1111;
exp_b_bias = exp_b + 8'b0111_1111;

```
//continued on next page

Figure 4.98 Behavioral design module for the floating-point adder.
```

//align fractions
if (exp_a_bias < exp_b_bias)
ctr_align = exp_b_bias - exp_a_bias;
while (ctr_align)
begin
fract_a = fract_a >> 1;
exp_a_bias = exp_a_bias + 1;
ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
end
if (exp_b_bias < exp_a_bias)
ctr_align = exp_a_bias - exp_b_bias;
while (ctr_align)
begin
fract_b = fract_b >> 1;
exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias + 1;
ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
end
//obtain result
{cout, sum} = fract_a + fract_b;
//normalize result
if (cout == 1)
{cout, sum} = {cout, sum} >> 1;
sign = sign_a;
exponent = exp_b_bias;
end
endmodule

```

Figure 4.98 (Continued)
```

//test bench for floating-point addition
module add_flp5_tb;
reg [31:0] flp_a, flp_b; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire sign; /loutputs are wire for test bench
wire [7:0] exponent;
wire [22:0] sum; //continued on next page

```

Figure 4.99 Test bench module for the floating-point adder.
```

//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("sign = %b, exp_biased = %b, sum = %b",
sign, exponent, sum);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//+12 + +35 = +47
// s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#0 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0100_1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0110_0001_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
//+26.5 + +4.375 = +30.875
// s ----e---- ---------------f---------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0101_1010_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_0001_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
//+11 + +34 = +45
// s ----e---- ---------------f--------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0100_0110_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0110_0001_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
//+23.75 + +87.125 = +110.875
// s ----e---- ---------------f--------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0101_0111_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0111_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_000;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_flp5 inst1 (flp_a, flp_b, sign, exponent, sum);
endmodule

```

Figure 4.99 (Continued)
```

sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000101,
sum = 1011_1100_0000_0000_0000_000
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000100,
sum = 1111_0111_0000_0000_0000_000
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000101,
sum = 1\overline{01101000000000000000000}
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000110,
sum = 1101_1101_1100_0000_0000_000

```

Figure 4.100 Outputs for the floating-point adder.

The biased exponent from output number one of Figure 4.100 is reproduced as shown in Figure 4.101. The unbiased exponent is obtained as follows: Subtract +127 from the biased exponent - which is the larger of the two original exponents - by adding the 2 s complement of \(+127(-127)\) to obtain the unbiased exponent.


Figure 4.101 Obtain an unbiased exponent from output number one.

In a similar manner, the unbiased exponent from output number two of Figure 4.100 is obtained, as shown in Figure 4.102
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Biased} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{r \(0^{0}\)} \\
\hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline +) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
\hline & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & Unbiased \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.102 Obtain an unbiased exponent from output number three.

Output number two has a sum of +30.875 , which results in the following binary number:
```

sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000100,
sum = 1111_0111_0000_0000_0000_000

```

Since the unbiased exponent is five, the floating-point fraction has the following value: \(11110.1110 \_0000 \_0000 \_0000 \_00\), which has a decimal value of
\(2^{4}+2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0} \cdot 2^{-1}+2^{-2}+2^{-3}\)
\(1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0.0 .5+0.25+0.125=30.875\)

\subsection*{4.12 Floating-Point Subtraction}

Floating-point subtraction is similar to floating-point addition because subtraction is accomplished by adding the 2 s complement of the subtrahend. Therefore, fraction overflow can also occur in subtraction. If the signs of the operands are the same ( \(A_{\text {sign }}\) \(\left.\oplus B_{\text {sign }}=0\right)\) and the operation is subtraction, then this is referred to as true subtraction and the fractions are subtracted. If the signs of the operands are different \(\left(A_{\text {sign }} \oplus\right.\) \(\left.B_{\text {sign }}=1\right)\) and the operation is addition, then this is also specified as true subtraction. True subtraction corresponds to one of the following conditions:
\[
\begin{array}{rll}
(+A) & -(+B) \\
(-A) & -(-B) \\
(+A) & +(-B) \\
(-A) & +(+B)
\end{array}
\]

The minuend and subtrahend are both normalized fractions properly aligned with biased exponents. Subtraction can yield a result that is either true addition or true subtraction. True addition produces a result that is the sum of the two operands disregarding the signs; true subtraction produces a result that is the difference of the two operands disregarding the signs. There are four cases that yield true addition, as shown below and eight cases that yield true subtraction, as shown below.

\section*{True addition}
(-Small number) - (+Large number)
(-Large number) - (+Small number)
(+Large number) - (-Small number)
(+Small number) - (-Large number)

\section*{True subtraction}

> (+Large number) - (+Small number \()\) \((+\) Small number \()-(+\) Large number \()\) \((-\) Small number \()-(-\) Large number \()\) \((-\) Large number \()-(-\) Small number \()\)  \((+\) Small number \()+(-\) Large number \()\) \((-\) Small number \()+(+\) Large number \()\) \((+\) Large number \()+(-\) Small number \()\) (-Large number) \()+\) (+Small number \()\)

Shown below are six examples that illustrate some of the variations of true addition and true subtraction. For true addition these include the following:
(-Small number) - (+Large number)
(+Large number) - (-Small number)
For true subtraction these include the following:
(+Small number) - (+Large number),
(-Large number) - (-Small number),
\((+\) Small number \()+(-\) Large number \()\)
\((+\) Large number \()+(-\) Small number \()\)

Example 4.8 True addition will be performed by the following subtract operation: (-Small number) - (+Large number) for the decimal numbers \((-24)-(+30)\) to yield a result of -54 .

Before alignment


Example 4.9 True addition will be performed by the following subtract operation: (+Large number) - (-Small number) for the decimal numbers ( +38 ) - ( -15 ) to yield a result of +53 .

Before alignment


Example 4.10 True subtraction will be performed by the following subtract operation: \((+\) Small number) \(-(+\) Large number) for the decimal numbers \((+15)-(+38)\) to yield a result of -23 .

Before alignment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(A=0\) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{4}\) & +15 \\
\hline & \(B=0\) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & +38 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{After alignment} \\
\hline & \(A=0\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & ) & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline +) \(B^{\prime}+1\) & \(1=0\) & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & ) & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add fractions \(\begin{array}{r} \\ +\end{array}\)} & \(A=0\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & +15 \\
\hline & \(B=0\) & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & +38 \\
\hline & 0 ك & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline 2s complement & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline Postnormalize & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & \(\times 2^{5}\) & -23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Example 4.11 True subtraction will be performed by the following subtract operation: (-Large number) - (-Small number) for the decimal numbers \((-52)-(-39)\) to yield a result of -13 .

Before alignment
\[
\begin{aligned}
& A=\begin{array}{llllll|llllll}
1 & . & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{6} & -52
\end{array} \\
& B=1 \begin{array}{llllllllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \times 2^{6} & -39
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]

After alignment (already aligned)

Example 4.12 True subtraction will be performed by the following subtract operation: \((+\) Small number \()+(-\) Large number) for the decimal numbers \((+30)+(-38)\) to yield a result of -8 .

Before alignment


Example 4.13 True subtraction will be performed by the following subtract operation: (-Small number) + (+Large number) for the decimal numbers \((-30)+(+52)\) to yield a result of +22 .

Before alignment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(A=1\) & 0 & 1 & 1 & , & & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & -30 \\
\hline & \(B=0\) & 1 & 1 & 0 & , & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & +52 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{After alignment (already aligned)} \\
\hline & \(A=1\) & 0 & 1 & 1 & , & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline +) \(B^{\prime}\) & +1 \(=0\) & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & ) & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & \\
\hline 2s complement & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{6}\) & +22 \\
\hline Postnormalize & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \(\times 2^{5}\) & +22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{4.12.1 True Addition and True Subtraction}

Using the techniques described in Example 4.8 through Example 4.13, a behavioral design module will be designed that illustrates true addition and different methods of true subtraction. The rules shown below will help to explain the different techniques.

\section*{True addition}

For true addition, the following rules apply, whether
\[
\text { fract_a }|<| \text { fract_ } b \mid \text { or } \mid \text { fract_ } a|>| \text { fract_ } b \mid:
\]
(1) Bias the exponents.
(2) Align the fractions
(4) Perform the addition.
(5) The sign of the result is the sign of the minuend.
(6) If carry-out \(=1\), then \(\{\) cout, rslt \(\} \gg 1\).
(7) Increment the exponent by 1.

\section*{True subtraction}

For true subtraction, the following rules apply, depending on whether
\[
\text { fract_a }|<| \text { fract_ } b \mid \text { or } \mid \text { fract_ } a|>| \text { fract_ } b \mid
\]
and also on the state of a mode control input, and on the signs of the operands. If mode \(=0\), then the operation is addition; if mode \(=1\), then the operation is subtraction.
- Bias the exponents.
- Align the fractions
- If \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|<|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=0\) and sign of fract_ \(a \neq \operatorname{sign}\) of fract_ \(b\).
(1) 2 s complement fract_b.
(2) Perform the addition.
(3) Sign of the result \(=A_{\text {sign }}{ }^{\prime}\).
- If \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|<|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=1\) and sign of fract_ \(a=\) sign of fract_ \(b\).
(1) 2 s complement fract_b.
(2) Perform the addition.
(3) Sign of the result \(=A_{\text {sign }}{ }^{\prime}\).
- If \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|>|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=0\) and sign of fract_ \(a \neq \operatorname{sign}\) of fract_ \(b\).
(1) 2 s complement fract_b.
(2) Perform the addition.
(3) \(\quad\) Sign of the result \(=A_{\text {sign }}\).
(4) Postnormalize, if necessary (shift left 1 and decrement the exponent).
- If \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|>|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=1\) and sign of fract_ \(a=\operatorname{sign}\) of fract_ \(b\).
(1) 2 s complement fract_b.
(2) Perform the addition.
(3) \(\quad\) Sign of the result \(=A_{\text {sign }}\).
(4) Postnormalize, if necessary (shift left 1 and decrement the exponent).

Example 4.14 Figure 4.103 illustrates the behavioral design module that illustrates a true addition segment, a true subtraction segment in which \(\mid\) fract_a \(|<|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=1\), a true subtraction segment in which \(\mid\) fract_a \(|<|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=0\), a true subtraction segment in which \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|>|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=0\), and a true subtraction segment in which \(\mid\) fract_ \(a|>|\) fract_ \(b \mid\) and mode \(=1\).

The test bench module is shown in Figure 4.104, which applies many floatingpoint input vectors to the test bench module. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.105 illustrating both the biased exponents, the unbiased exponents, and the result of the operation.
```

//behavioral floating-point addition and subtraction
module sub_flp5 (flp_a, flp_b, mode, sign,
exponent, exp_unbiased, rslt);
input [31:0] flp_a, flp_b; //define inputs and outputs
input mode; //continued on next page

```

Figure 4.103 Behavioral design module for true addition and true subtraction.
```

output sign;
output [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
output [22:0] rslt;
//variables used in an always block
//are declared as registers
reg sign_a, sign_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a, exp_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a_bias, exp_b_bias;
reg [22:0] fract_a, fract_b;
reg [7:0] ctr_align;
reg [22:0] rslt;
reg sign;
reg [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
reg cout;
//
===================================================================
//define sign, exponent, and fraction
always @ (flp_a or flp_b)
begin
sign_a = flp_a[31];
sign_b = flp_b[31];
exp_a = flp_a[30:23];
exp_b = flp_b[30:23];
fract_a = flp_a[22:0];
fract_b = flp_b[22:0];
//bias exponents
exp_a_bias = exp_a + 8'b0111_1111;
exp_b_bias = exp_b + 8'b0111_1111;
//align fractions
if (exp_a_bias < exp_b_bias)
ctr_align = exp_b_bias - exp_a_bias;
while (ctr_align)
begin
fract_a = fract_a >> 1;
exp_a_bias = exp_a_bias + 1;
ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
end

```

Figure 4.103 (Continued)
```

    if (exp_b_bias < exp_a_bias)
    ctr_align = exp_a_bias - exp_b_bias;
    while (ctr_align)
        begin
            fract_b = fract_b >> 1;
            exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias + 1;
            ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
        end
    // ===============================================================
//true addition
if ((mode == 1) \& (sign_a != sign_b))
begin
{cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
sign = sign_a;
//postnormalize
if (cout == 1)
begin
{cout, rslt} = {cout, rslt} >> 1;
exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias + 1;
end
end
// ==============================================================
//true subtraction: fract_a < fract_b, mode = 1,
sign_a = sign_b
if ((fract_a < fract_b) \& (mode == 1) \& (sign_a == sign_b))
begin
fract_b = ~fract_b + 1;
{cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
sign = ~sign_a;
if (rslt[22] == 1)
rslt = ~rslt+ 1;
//postnormalize
while (rslt[22] == 0)
begin
rslt = rslt << 1;
exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias - 1;
end
end

```
```

/ / =================================================================
//true subtraction: fract_a < fract_b, mode = 0,
sign_a != sign_b
if ((fract_a < fract_b) \& (mode == 0) \& (sign_a != sign_b))

```

\section*{begin}
```

            fract_b = ~fract_b + 1;
            {cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
            sign = ~sign_a;
            if (rslt[22] == 1)
            rslt = ~rslt + 1;
    //postnormalize
        while (rslt[22] == 0)
            begin
                rslt = rslt << 1;
                exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias - 1;
            end
    end
    //=================================================================
//true subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 0,
sign_a != sign_b
if ((fract_a > fract_b) \& (mode == 0) \& (sign_a != sign_b))
begin
fract_b = ~fract_b + 1;
{cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
sign = sign_a;
//postnormalize
while (rslt[22] == 0)
begin
rslt = rslt << 1;
exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias - 1;
end
end
/ /================================================================
//true subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 1,
sign_a = sig}n_
if ((fract_a > fract_b) \& (mode == \overline{1}) \& (sign_a == sign_b))

```

\section*{begin}
```

            fract_b = ~fract_b + 1;
            {cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
            sign = sign_a;
    Figure 4.103 (Continued)

```
    //postnormalize
        while (rslt[22] == 0)
        begin
            rslt = rslt << 1;
                exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias - 1;
        end
    end
//==============================================================
exponent = exp_b_bias;
exp_unbiased = exp_b_bias - 8'b0111_1111;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.103 (Continued)

```
//test bench for floating-point subtraction
module sub_flp5_tb;
//inputs are reg in test bench
//outputs are wire in test bench
reg [31:0] flp_a, flp_b;
reg mode;
wire sign;
wire [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
wire [22:0] rslt;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("sign = %b, exp_biased = %b, exp_unbiased = %b,
    rslt = %b", sign, exponent, exp_unbiased, rslt);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//==================================================================
//true addition: mode = 1, sign_a != sign_b
    //(-19) - (+25) = -44
    // s -----e---- ---------------f---------------
#0 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0101_1001_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    mode = 1'b1; //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.104 Test bench module for true addition and true subtraction.


Figure 4.104 (Continued)

```
//true subtraction: fract_a < fract_b, mode = 0,
                                    sign_a != sign_b
    \(/ /(-36)+(+30)=-6\)
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0110_1001_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0110_0111_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    mode = 1'b0;
    \(/ /(+22)+(-16)=+6\)
```



```
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0101_1011_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0101_1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    \(/ /(+28)+(-35)=-7\)
    // s ----e---- --------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0101_1110_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    \(f 1 p_{-}^{-} \mathrm{b}=32 \mathrm{b1}\) _ 0000 _0110_1000_1100_0000_0000_0000-000;
    \(/ /(+36)+(-140)=-104\)
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0110_1001_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_1000_1000_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
/ /===============================================================12
//true subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 0,
                                    sign_a != sign_b
    \(/ /(+45)+(-13)=+32\)
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0110_1011_0100_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0100_1101_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
        \(/ /(+72)+(-46)=+26\)
        // s ----e---- --------------f---------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0111_1001_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0110_1011_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    \(/ /(+172)+(-100)=+72\)
    // s ----e---- ------------------------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1000_1010_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
    \(f 1 p_{-}^{-} \mathrm{b}=32 \mathrm{b1} 1^{-} 0000^{-} 0111^{-} 1100^{-} 1000^{-} 00000^{-} 0000^{-} 00000^{-} 000\);
    \(/ /(-172)+(+100)=-72\)
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_1000_1010_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
        flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0111_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.104 (Continued)

```
//(+85.75) + (-70.50) = +15.25
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0111_1010_1011_1000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0111_1000_1101_0000_0000_0000_000;
    //(-96.50) + (+30.25) = -66.25
    // s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0111_1100_0001_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0101_1111_0010_0000_0000_0000_000;
/ /================================================================
//true subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 1,
                                    sign_a = sign_b
    //(-45) - (-35) = -12
    // s ----e---- ---------------f--------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0110_1011_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0110_1000_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
    mode = 1'b1;
    //(-130) - (-25) = -105
    // s ----e---- ---------------f---------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_1000_1000_0010_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    //(+105) - (+5) = +100
    // s ----e---- -----------------------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0111_1101_0010_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    //(+36.5)-(+5.75) = +30.75
    // s ----e---- ---------------f---------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0110_1001_0010_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1011_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    //(+5276) - (+4528) = +748
    // s ----e---- ---------------f---------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1101_1010_0100_1110_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1101_1000_1101_1000_0000_0000_000;
        //(+963.50)-(+520.25) = +443.25
        // s ----e---- -----------------------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1010_1111_0000_1110_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1010_1000_0010_0001_0000_0000_000;
#10 $stop;
end
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.104 (Continued)

```
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sub_flp5 instl (flp_a, flp_b, mode, sign,
    exponent, exp_unbiased, rslt);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.104 (Continued)

```
True Addition: mode = 1, sign_a != sign_b
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000101, exp_unbiased = 00000110,
rslt = 101100000000000000000000 -44
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000101, exp_unbiased = 00000110,
rslt = 11001000000000000000000 -50
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000101, exp_unbiased = 00000110,
rslt = 10011100000000000000000 -39
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000101, exp_unbiased = 00000110,
rslt = 101101000000000000000000 +45
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000111, exp_unbiased = 00001000,
rslt = 11001011000000000000000 -203
True Subtraction: fract_a < fract_b, mode = 1,
    sign_a = sign_b
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000100, exp_unbiased = 00000101,
rslt = 10111000000000000000000 -23
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000011, exp_unbiased = 00000100,
rslt = 11010000000000000000000 +13
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000100, exp_unbiased = 00000101,
rslt = 11111000000000000000000 +31
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000100, exp_unbiased = 00000101,
rslt = 11001000000000000000000 -25
//continued on next page
```

Figure 4.105 Outputs for true addition and true subtraction.

```
True Subtraction: fract_a < fract_b, mode = 0,
    sign_a != sign_b
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000010, exp_unbiased = 00000011,
rslt = 110000000000000000000000 -6
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000010, exp_unbiased = 00000011,
rslt = 110000000000000000000000 +6
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000010, exp_unbiased = 00000011,
rslt = 111000000000000000000000 -7
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 110100000000000000000000 -104
True Subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 0,
    sign_a != sign_b
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000101, exp_unbiased = 00000110,
rslt = 100000000000000000000000 +32
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000100, exp_unbiased = 00000101,
rslt = 1101000000000000000000000 +26
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 100100000000000000000000 +72
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 100100000000000000000000-72
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000011, exp_unbiased = 00000100,
rslt = 111101000000000000000000 +15.25
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 100001001000000000000000 -66.25

Figure 4.105 (Continued)
```

True Subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, mode = 1,
sign_a = sign_b
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000011, exp_unbiased = 00000100,
rslt = 11000000000000000000000 -12
sign = 1, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 11010010000000000000000 -105
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000110, exp_unbiased = 00000111,
rslt = 11001000000000000000000 +100
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10000100, exp_unbiased = 00000101,
rslt = 11110110000000000000000 +30.75
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10001001, exp_unbiased = 00001010,
rslt = 10111011000000000000000 +748
sign = 0, exp_biased = 10001000, exp_unbiased = 00001001,
rslt = 11011101101000000000000 +443.25

```

Figure 4.105 (Continued)

\subsection*{4.13 Floating-Point Multiplication}

In floating-point multiplication, the fractions are multiplied and the exponents are added. The fractions are multiplied by any of the methods previously used in fixedpoint multiplication. The operands are two normalized floating-point operands. Fraction multiplication and exponent addition are two independent operations and can be done in parallel. Floating-point multiplication is defined as shown in Equation 4.14.
\[
\begin{align*}
A \times B & =\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} \times r^{e \mathrm{~A}}\right) \times\left(f_{\mathrm{B}} \times r^{e \mathrm{~B}}\right) \\
& =\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} \times f_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \times r^{(e \mathrm{~A}+e \mathrm{~B})} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
\]

The sign of the product is determined by the signs of the operands as shown below.
\[
A_{\text {sign }} \oplus B_{\text {sign }}
\]

The single-precision format will be the primary format used in this chapter. The multiplication algorithm is partitioned into five parts:
1. Check for zero operands. If \(A=0\) or \(B=0\), then the product \(=0\).
2. Determine the sign of the product.
3. Add exponents and subtract the bias.
4. Multiply fractions. Steps 3 and 4 can be done in parallel, but both must be completed before step 5.
5. Normalize the product.

The sequential add-shift multiplication technique will be used in the Verilog design example. Two examples are shown in Example 4.15 and Example 4.16 using the paper-and-pencil method for 4-bit multiplicands and 4-bit multipliers in order to review the multiplication technique. A more detailed example of the add-shift method is shown in Example 4.17 showing the actual add-shift technique as it relates to a Verilog design example.

Example 4.15 Let the multiplicand and multiplier be two positive 4-bit operands as shown below, where \(a[3: 0]=0111(+7)\) and \(b[3: 0]=0110(+6)\) to produce a product \(p[7: 0]=00101010(+42)\). A multiplier bit of 0 enters 0 s in the partial product; a multiplier bit of 1 copies the multiplicand to the partial product.


Example 4.16 This example multiplies a negative multiplicand by a positive multiplier. The multiplicand is \(a[3: 0]=1011(-5)\); the multiplier is \(b[3: 0]=0011(+3)\) to produce a product of \(p[7: 0]=11110001(-15)\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Multiplicand \(A\) Multiplier \(B\)} & & & & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 \\
\hline & & & & ×) & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & +3 \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Partial products} & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline Product \(P\) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Example 4.17 Each step is an add-shift-right sequence. A multiplicand fraction fract_ \(a=0.11011000 \times 2^{5}(+27)\) is multiplied by a multiplier fract_ \(b=0.11001000\) \(\times 2^{5}(+25)\) with a partial product prod \(=00000000\) to produce a product of prod \(=\) \(0.1010100011000000 \times 2{ }^{10}(+675)\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
(+2 \overline{7})
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Count} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{prod} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{\[
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \text { fract_b } \\
\text { prod } & (+25) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline 11011000 & & & 0000 & 0000 & 1100 & 1000 & & \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{7} & +) & 0000 & 0000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & & 0 & 0000 & 0000 & 1100 & 1000 & & \\
\hline & & & 0000 & 0000 & 0110 & 0100 & & \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{6} & +) & 0000 & 0000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & & 0 & 0000 & 0000 & 0110 & 0100 & & \\
\hline & & & 0000 & 0000 & 0011 & 0010 & & \\
\hline & \multirow{4}{*}{5} & +) & 0000 & 0000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & & 0 & 0000 & 0000 & 0011 & 0010 & & \\
\hline & & & 0000 & 0000 & 0001 & 1001 & & \\
\hline & & +) & 110 & 1000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & \multirow{3}{*}{4} & 0 & 110 & 1000 & 0001 & 1001 & & \\
\hline & & & 0110 & 1100 & 0000 & 1100 & & \\
\hline & & +) & 0000 & 0000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & \multirow{3}{*}{3} & 0 & 0110 & 0110 & 0000 & 1100 & & \\
\hline & & & 001 & 0110 & 0000 & 0110 & & \\
\hline & & +) & 0000 & 0000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} & 0 & 001 & 0110 & 0000 & 0110 & & \\
\hline & & & 000 & 1011 & 0000 & 0011 & & \\
\hline & \multirow{4}{*}{1} & +) & 110 & 1000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & & 0 & 111 & 0011 & 0000 & 0011 & & \\
\hline & & & 011 & 1001 & 1000 & 0001 & & \\
\hline & & +) & 110 & 1000 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Add-shift}} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0} & 1 & 010 & 0001 & 1000 & 0001 & & \\
\hline & & & 1010 & 1000 & 1100 & 0000 & \(\times 2^{10}\) & (+675) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Verilog behavioral design module for a version similar to Example 4.17 is shown in Figure 4.106 using the multiplication algorithm previously shown. A float-ing-point format for the design is shown below for 14 bits. Floating-point multiplication using the sequential add-shift method for two operands, flp_a[13:0] and \(f l p \_b[13: 0]\), will be used. The test bench for several different input vectors, including both positive and negative operands is shown in Figure 4.107. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.108.
\(13 \quad 12\)
87
0


The behavioral module employs two always statements - one to define the fields of the single-precision format when the floating-point operands change value, and one to perform the multiplication when a start signal is asserted.

Since the fractions contain 8 bits, a count-down counter is set to a value of 1000 (8) to accommodate the add-shift procedure. The fractions are then checked to determine if either fraction is zero. If both fractions are nonzero, then the multiplication begins.
```

//behavioral floating-point multiplication
module mul_flp4 (flp_a, flp_b, start, sign, exponent,
exp_unbiased, cout, prod);
//define inputs and outputs
input [13:0] flp_a, flp_b;
input start;
output sign;
output [4:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
output cout;
output [15:0] prod;
//continued on next page

```

Figure 4.106 Behavioral design module for floating-point multiplication using the sequential add-shift method.
```

//variables used in an always block are declared as registers
reg sign_a, sign_b;
reg [4:0] exp_a, exp_b;
reg [4:0] exp_a_bias, exp_b_bias;
reg [4:0] exp_sum;
reg [7:0] fract_a, fract_b;
reg [7:0] fract_b_reg;
reg sign;
reg [4:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
reg cout;
reg [15:0] prod;
reg [3:0] count;
//define sign, exponent, and fraction
always @ (flp_a or flp_b)
begin
sign_a = flp_a[13];
sign_b = flp_b[13];
exp_a = flp_a[12:8];
exp_b = flp_b[12:8];
fract_a = flp_a[7:0];
fract_b = flp_b[7:0];
//bias exponents
exp_a_bias = exp_a + 5'b01111;
exp_b_bias = exp_b + 5'b01111;
//add exponents
exp_sum = exp_a_bias + exp_b__bias;
//remove one bias
exponent = exp_sum - 5'b01111;
exp_unbiased = exponent - 5'b01111;
end
//multiply fractions
always @ (posedge start)
begin
fract_b_reg = fract_b;
prod = 0;

```
    count = 4'b1000;
    if ((fract_a != 0) && (fract_b != 0))
        while (count)
            begin
                {cout, prod[15:8]} = (({8{fract_b_reg[0]}}
                    & fract_a) + prod[15:8]);
                    prod = {cout, prod[15:8], prod[7:1]};
                    fract_b_reg = fract_b_reg >> 1;
                count = count - 1;
            end
//postnormalize result
    while (prod[15] == 0)
        begin
            prod = prod << 1;
            exp_unbiased = exp_unbiased - 1;
        end
    sign = sign_a ^ sign_b;
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.106 (Continued)

```
//test bench for floating-point multiplication
module mul_flp4_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [13:0] flp_a, flp_b;
reg start;
wire sign;
wire [4:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
wire [4:0] exp_sum;
wire [15:0] prod;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("sign = %b, exp_unbiased = %b, prod = %b",
    sign, exp_unbiased, prod); //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.107 Test bench module for floating-point multiplication using the sequential add-shift method.

```
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    #0 start = 1'b0;
        //+5 x +3 = +15
        // s e f
        flp_a = 14'b0_00011_1010_0000;
        flp_b = 14'b0_00010_1100_0000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //+7 x -5 = -35
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b0_00011_1110_0000;
        flp_b = 14'b1_00011_1010_0000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //+25 x +25 = +625
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b0_00101_1100_1000;
        flp_b = 14'b0_00101_1100_1000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //-7 x -15 = +105
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b1_00011_1110_0000;
        flp_b = 14'b1_00100_1111_0000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //-35x +72 = -2520
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b1_00110_1000_1100;
        flp_b = 14'b0_00111_1001_0000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //+80 x +37 = +2960
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b0_00111_1010_0000;
        flp_b = 14'b0_00110_1001_0100;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
```

```
    //+34 x -68=-2312
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b0_00110_1000_1000;
    flp_b = 14'b1_00111_1000_1000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //+27 x +25 = +675
        // s e f
    #0 flp_a = 14'b0_00101_1101_1000;
        flp_b = 14'b1_00101_1100_1000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mul_flp4 instl (flp_a , flp_b, start, sign, exponent,
                        exp_unbiased, cout, prod);
endmodule
```

Figure 4.107 (Continued)

```
+5 x +3 = +15
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 00100, prod = 1111000000000000
+7x-5 = -35
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 00110, prod = 1000110000000000
+25x +25=+625
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 01010, prod = 1001110001000000
-7 x -15 = +105
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 00111, prod = 1101001000000000
-35x +72=-2520
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 01100, prod = 1001110110000000
+80 x +37 = +2960
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 01100, prod = 1011100100000000
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.108 Outputs for floating-point multiplication using the sequential addshift method.

```
+34x-68=-2312
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 01100, prod = 1001000010000000
+27 x +25 = +675
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 01010, prod = 1010100011000000
```

Figure 4.108 (Continued)

### 4.14 Floating-Point Division

The division of two floating-point numbers is accomplished by dividing the fractions and subtracting the exponents. The fractions are divided by any of the methods presented in the section on fixed-point division and overflow is checked in the same manner. Fraction division and exponent subtraction are two independent operations and can be done in parallel. Floating-point division is defined as shown in Equation 4.15.

$$
\begin{align*}
A / B & =\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} \times r^{e \mathrm{~A}}\right) /\left(f_{\mathrm{B}} \times r^{e \mathrm{~B}}\right) \\
& =\left(f_{\mathrm{A}} / f_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \times r^{(e \mathrm{~A}-e \mathrm{~B})} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Both operands are checked for a value of zero. If the dividend is zero, then the exponent, quotient, and remainder are set to zero. If the divisor is zero, then the operation is terminated. The sign of the quotient is determined by the signs of the operands as follows: $A_{\text {sign }} \oplus B_{\text {sign }}$. The division algorithm is defined as shown below.

1. Normalize the operands.
2. Check for zero operands.
3. Determine the sign of the quotient.
4. Align the dividend, if necessary.
5. Subtract the exponents.
6. Add the bias.
7. Divide the fractions.
8. Normalize the result, if necessary.

Restoring division will be used in the behavioral design of the floating-point division example in this section. As stated previously, restoring division examines the state of the carry-out when the dividend is subtracted from the partial remainder. This determines the relative magnitudes of the divisor and partial remainder. If the carryout $=0$, then the partial remainder is restored to its previous value by adding the divisor to the partial remainder. If the carry-out $=1$, then there is no restore operation. The partial remainder (high-order half of the dividend) and the low-order half of the
dividend are then shifted left one bit position and the process repeats for each bit in the divisor.

Two examples will now be presented to illustrate the technique for floating-point division using the shift-subtract/add restoring division method.

Example 4.18 A dividend fraction fract_ $a=0.10011000 \times 2^{5}(+19)$ is divided by a divisor fraction fract_ $b=0.0101 \times 2^{4}(+5)$ to yield a quotient of $0011 \times 2^{4}(+3)$ and a remainder of $0100 \times 2^{4}(+4)$.

| Divisor | fract_b (+5) | fract_a $(+19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0101 | 0001 |
|  | 0011 |  |


| Shift left 1 | 0010 | 011- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtract $B$ | +) 1011 |  |
|  | $0 \longleftarrow 1101$ |  |
| Restore | +) 0101 |  |
|  | 0010 | 0110 |


| Shift left 1 | 0100 | 110- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtract $B$ | +) 1011 |  |
|  | $0 \leftharpoonup 1111$ |  |
| Restore | +) 0101 |  |
|  | 0100 | 1100 |


| Shift left 1 | 1001 | 100- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtract $B$ | +) 1011 |  |
|  | $1 \longleftarrow 0100$ |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |
| No Restore | 0100 | 1001 |


| Shift left 1 | 1001 | 001- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtract $B$ | +) 1011 |  |
|  | $1 \longleftarrow 0100$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| No Restore | 0100 | 0011 |
|  | $R$ | $Q$ |

Example 4.19 A dividend fraction fract_ $a=0.10000110 \times 2^{7}(+67)$ is divided by a divisor fraction fract_ $b=0.1000 \times 2^{4}(+8)$ to yield a quotient of $1000 \times 2^{4}(+8)$ and a remainder of $0011 \times 2^{4}(+3)$.

Divisor
fract_ $b(+8)$
1000
Align
fract_a $a(+67)$

| 1000 | 0110 | Dividend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0100 | 0011 |  |


| Shift left 1 | 1000 | 011- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtract $B$ | +) 1000 |  |
|  | $1 \leftharpoonup 0000$ |  |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| No Restore | 0000 | 0111 |


| Shift left 1 |
| :--- |
| Subtract $B$ |
|  |
| Restore |



| Shift left 1 |
| :--- |
| Subtract $B$ |
|  |
| Restore |


| 0001 | 110- |
| :---: | :---: |
| +) 1000 |  |
| $0 \leftharpoonup 1001$ |  |
| +) 1000 | V |
| 0001 | 1100 |


| Shift left 1 |
| :--- |
| Subtract $B$ |
| Restore |
|  |



The Verilog behavioral design module for a version similar to Examples 4.18 and 4.19 is shown in Figure 4.109 using the restoring division technique. The module has two operands, a 16-bit dividend $a[15: 0]$ and an 8-bit divisor $b[7: 0]$. The test bench for several different input vectors is shown in Figure 4.110. The outputs are shown in Figure 4.111 .

```
//behavioral design for restoring division
module div_restoring_vers6 (a, b, start, rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [15:0] a;
input [7:0] b;
input start;
output [15:0] rslt;
//variables in always are declared as variables
wire [7:0] b_bar;
//define internal registers
reg [7:0] b_neg;
reg [15:0] rslt;
reg [3:0] count;
assign b_bar = ~b;
always @ (b_bar)
    b_neg = b_bar + 1;
//execute the division
always @ (posedge start)
begin
    rslt = a;
    count = 5'b1000;
    if ((a!=0) && (b!=0))
        while (count)
            begin
                rslt = rslt << 1;
                rslt = {(rslt[15:8] + b_neg), rslt[7:0]};
                    if (rslt[15] == 1)
                    begin
                        rslt = {(rslt[15:8] + b),
                                    rslt[7:1], 1'b0};
                                count = count - 1;
                    end
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.109 Mixed design module for floating-point restoring division.

```
        else
        begin
            rslt = {rslt[15:1], 1'b1};
        count = count - 1;
        end
    end
end
endmodule
```

Figure 4.109 (Continued)

```
//test bench for restoring division
module div_restoring_vers6_tb;
reg [15:0] a; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg [7:0] b;
reg start;
wire [15:0] rslt; //outputs are wire for test bench
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a = %b, b = %b, quot = %b, rem = %.b",
    a, b, rslt[7:0], rslt[15:8]);
initial //apply input vectors
begin
    #0 //(19) / (5) = q3, r4
        start = 1'b0;
        a = 16'b0000_0000_0001_0011; b = 8'b0000_0101;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    #10 //(37) / (10) = q3, r7
        a = 16'b0000_0000_0010_0101; b = 8'b0000_1010;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //(60) / (7) = q8, r4
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0000_0011_1100; b = 8'b000_0111;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
                                    //continued on next page
```

Figure 4.110 Test bench module for floating-point restoring division.

```
    //(4,044) / (127) = q31, r107
    #10 a =16'b0000_1111_1100_1100; b = 8'b0111_1111;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //(2046) / (126) = q16, r30
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0111_1111_1110; b = 8'b0111_1110;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //(90) / (15) = q6, r0
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0000_0101_1010; b = 8'b000_1111;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //(260) / (120) = q2, r20
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0001_0000_0100; b = 8'b111_1000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //(204) / (120) = q1, r84
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0000_1100_1100; b = 8'b111_1000;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //(127) / (127) = q1, r0
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0000_0111_1111; b = 8'b111_1111;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
    //(508) / (127) = q4, r0
    #10 a = 16'b0000_0001_1111_1100; b = 8'b111_1111;
    #10 start = 1'b1;
    #10 start = 1'b0;
        //(0) / (127) = q0, r0
#10 a = 16'b0000_0000_0000_0000; b = 8'b111_1111;
#10 start = 1'b1;
#10 start = 1'b0;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
div_restoring_vers6 inst1 (a, b, start, rslt);
endmodule
```

Figure $4.110 \quad$ (Continued)

```
19 / 5 = q3, r4
a = 0000_0000_0001_0011, b = 0000_0101,
    quot = 0000_0011, rem = 0000_0100
37 / 10 = q3, r7
a = 0000_0000_0010_0101, b = 0000_1010,
    quot = 0000_0011, rem = 0000_0111
60 / 7 = q8, r4
a = 0000_0000_0011_1100, b = 0000_0111,
        quot = 0000_1000, rem = 0000_0100
4,044 / 127 = q31, r107
a = 0000_1111_1100_1100, b = 0111_1111,
    quot = 0001_\overline{1111, rem = 0110_1011}
2046 / 126 = q16, r30
a = 0000_0111_1111_1110, b = 0111_1110,
        quot = 0001_0000, rem = 0001_1110
90 / 15 = q6, r0
a = 0000_0000_0101_1010, b = 0000_1111,
        quott = 人0000_0110, rem = 00000_0000
260 / 120 = q2, r20
a = 0000_0001_0000_0100, b = 0111_1000,
    quot = 0000_0010, rem = 0001_0100
204 / 120 = q1, r84
a = 0000_0000_1100_1100, b = 0111_1000,
    quōt = 人}0000_\overline{0}001, rem= 0101_0100
127 / 127 = q1, r0
a = 0000_0000_0111_1111, b = 0111_1111,
    quot = 0000_0001, rem = 0000_0000
508 / 127 = q4, r0
a = 0000_0001_1111_1100, b = 0111_1111,
    quot = 0000_0100, rem = 0000_0000
0 / 127 = q0, r0
a = 0000_0000_0000_0000, b = 0111_1111,
    quot = 0000_0000, rem = 0000_0000
```

Figure 4.111 Outputs for floating-point restoring division.

### 4.15 Problems

## Fixed-Point Addition

4.1 Use structural modeling with built-in primitives to design a single-bit full adder using fixed-point addition. Recall that a full adder has three scalar inputs: augend $a$, addend $b$, and a carry-in cin from the previous lower-order stage. There are two scalar outputs: sum and carry-out cout. The truth table for a full adder is shown below. Note that the sum is 1 for an odd number of 1 s ; the carry-out cout is a 1 for two or more 1 s .

## Truth Table for a Full Adder

|  |  | Carry-In | Sum | Carry-Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | cin | sum | cout |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

4.2 A considerable increase in speed can be realized by using a carry lookahead adder rather than using a ripple adder. The increase in speed is achieved by expressing the carry-out cout ${ }_{i}$ of any stage ${ }_{i}$ as a function of the two operand bits, $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$, and the carry-in $\operatorname{cin}_{-1}$ to the low-order stage ${ }_{0}$ of the adder, where the adder is an $n$-bit adder $n_{-1} n_{-2} \ldots n_{1} n_{0}$.

The equation for the carry-out of any stage ${ }_{i}$ of a carry lookahead adder is cout $_{i}=a_{i} b_{i}+\left(a_{i} \oplus b_{i}\right) \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}$. The carries entering all the bit positions of the adder can be generated simultaneously by a carry lookahead generator. This results in a constant addition time that is independent of the length of the adder. A carry will be generated for $a_{i} b_{i}$. A carry will be propagated for $a_{i} \oplus$ $b_{i}$. Therefore, the equation for the carry-out of any stage ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be defined as cout $_{i}=G_{i}+P_{i} \operatorname{cin}_{i-1}$. Design a 4-bit carry lookahead adder using built-in primitives and the continuous assignment statement assign. Obtain the test bench that adds several combinations of the augend and addend and obtain the outputs.
4.3 Use dataflow modeling with the continuous assignment statement assign to design a single-bit full adder. Obtain the design module, the test bench module for all combinations of the inputs, and the outputs.
4.4 Use the full adder designed in Problem 4.3 to design a 4-bit ripple adder using structural modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module for several input vectors, and the outputs.
4.5 As a final problem in fixed-point addition, design a 4-bit dataflow adder that would be used in an arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) that has three inputs: an augend, an addend, and a carry-in. There is only one output: sum. There is no carry-out from the 4-bit adder. This is a simple adder that uses the Verilog HDL add operator + for the add operation. Obtain the dataflow design module, the test bench module that applies several input vectors, and the outputs.

## Fixed-Point Subtraction

4.6 Design a 4-bit subtractor using structural modeling with dataflow full adders that were implemented with the continuous assignment statement assign. Also use built-in primitives in the design of the subtractor. The minuend is $a$ (3:0), the subtrahend is $b(3: 0)$, and the result (difference) is $r s l t(3: 0)$.

Subtraction is performed by adding the 2 s complement of the subtrahend to the minuend, where the 2 s complement is the 1 s complement (negation) plus one. Obtain the test bench module for several input vectors of the minuend and subtrahend and include inputs that produce negative results. Also obtain the outputs.
4.7 Design a 4-bit ripple-carry fixed-point adder/subtractor using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using behavioral modeling. There are three inputs: $a[3: 0], b[3: 0]$, and a mode control $m$, which is used to determine whether the operation is addition or subtraction. If $m=0$, then the operation is addition; if $m=1$, then the operation is subtraction. There are two outputs: $\operatorname{rstt[3:0]}$ and cout [3:0].

Obtain the logic diagram, the design module using structural modeling, the test bench module with combinations of the inputs for both addition and subtraction including overflow, and the outputs.
4.8 Design a 4-bit behavioral adder/subtractor unit with the following three inputs: augend/minuend $a[3: 0]$, addend/subtrahend $b[3: 0]$, and a mode control input to determine the operation to be performed, where addition is defined as mode $=0$ and subtraction is defined as mode $=1$. There are two outputs: the result of the operation, rslt [3:0], and an overflow indication, ovfl. The operands are signed numbers in 2 s complement representation. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

## Fixed-Point Multiplication

4.9 Design a 3-bit array multiplier using structural modeling. Instantiate full adders that were designed using dataflow modeling with the continuous assignment statement assign and 2-input AND gates that were also designed using dataflow modeling. An example of a general array multiply algorithm is shown below for two 3-bit operands.

|  |  |  | $\times$ ) | $a_{2}$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $b_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{0}$ |
| Partial product 1 |  |  |  |  | $a_{2} b_{0}$ | $a_{1} b_{0}$ | $a_{0} b_{0}$ |
| Partial product 2 |  |  | $a_{2} b_{1}$ | $a_{1} b_{1}$ | $a_{0} b_{1}$ |  |
| Partial product 3 |  | $a_{2} b_{2}$ | $a_{1} b_{2}$ | $a_{0} b_{2}$ |  |  |
|  | $2^{5}$ | $2^{4}$ | $2^{3}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{0}$ |

Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs. Obtain all combinations of the multiplicand and the multiplier to yield the 64 combinations of the product. Display the values of the variables in decimal notation.
4.10 Design an add-shift multiplier for two 4-bit operands using behavioral modeling. The multiplicand and multiplier are both unsigned binary operands. Use a scalar start signal to initiate the multiply operation for each pair of operands. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs in decimal notation.

## Fixed-Point Division

4.11 The sequential shift add/subtract restoring division technique will be utilized in this problem. Obtain the mixed-design (behavioral/dataflow) module for 16 -bit dividends and 8 -bit divisors. Obtain the test bench module using several different values of the dividend and divisor. Use a scalar start signal in the test bench to initiate the divide operation for each pair of operands. Obtain the outputs in both binary (\%b) and decimal (\%d) notation.
4.12 This problem implements nonrestoring division for 16-bit dividends and 8-bit divisors. The results are also 16 bits; that is 8 -bit quotients and 8 -bit remainders. Nonrestoring division allows both a positive partial remainder and a negative partial remainder to be utilized in the division process. The final partial remainder may require restoration if the sign is 1 (negative). This is required in order to have a final positive remainder.

Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs in both binary notation (\%b) and decimal notation (\%d) for all operands: dividend $a$, divisor $b$, quotient $q$, and remainder $r$.

## Arithmetic and Logic Unit

4.13 Arithmetic and logic units perform the arithmetic operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. They also perform the logical operations of AND, NAND, OR, NOR, exclusive-OR, and exclusive-NOR. This problem is to design a behavioral module to implement the four operations of add, subtract, multiply, and divide. The operands are eight bits, the operation code is three bits, and the result of the operation is eight bits. The two 8-bit inputs are operands $a[7: 0]$ and $b[7: 0]$. The 3-bit operation code is opcode[2:0] and the 8-bit result is $r s l t[7: 0]$.

Obtain the behavioral design module using the case statement for the four arithmetic operations, the test bench module displaying all variables in deci$\mathrm{mal}(\% \mathrm{~d})$ notation, and the outputs.
4.14 This problem implements the behavioral design of the logical functions of AND, NAND, OR, NOR, XOR, and XNOR. The operands are four bits, the operation code is three bits, and the result of the operation is four bits. The two 4-bit inputs are operands $a[3: 0]$ and $b[3: 0]$. The 3-bit operation code is opcode[2:0] and the 4-bit result is $r$ slt [3:0].

Obtain the behavioral design module using the case statement for the six logical operations, the test bench module displaying all variables in binary (\%b) notation, and the outputs.

## Decimal Addition

4.15 Design a single-digit binary-coded decimal (BCD) adder using behavioral modeling. The design uses two 4-bit adders. Obtain the test bench module for several input variables and the outputs. Assume that all input vectors are valid $B C D$ digits.
4.16 This problem repeats Problem 4.15, but implements the BCD adder design using the equations that represent the outputs of Adder_1, cout, and Adder_2. The equation for the carry-out (cout) of the BCD adder is

$$
c_{c o u t}=\text { cout }_{8}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{4}+\text { bit }_{8} \text { bit }_{2}
$$

Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module displaying the variables in decimal notation, and the outputs.
4.17 Instantiate the design of Problem 4.16 to implement the design of a 2-digit BCD adder. In order to use the same single-digit BCD adder two times - not modified - in this problem, do not let the sum of the two low-order digits exceed nine. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module with the variables displayed in decimal notation, and the outputs.
4.18 Use structural modeling with built-in primitives to design the two digit BCD adder of Problem 4.17. Obtain the structural design module and the test bench module with several input combinations of two operands. Enter augends and addends that produce sums in the units, tens, and hundreds representations. Display all of the outputs in decimal notation.

## Decimal Subtraction

4.19 Design a structural module that performs both BCD addition and subtraction in which the result of an operation does not exceed a value of 99 . Since the device performs both addition and subtraction, the nines complementer requires an additional input to specify the mode $(m)$ of the operation - either addition ( $m=0$ ) or subtraction $(m=1)$. The equations for the nines complementer are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f[0]=b[0] \oplus m \\
& f[1]=b[1] \\
& f[2]=b[2] m^{\prime}+(b[2] \oplus b[1]) m \\
& f[3]=b[3] m^{\prime}+b[3] ' b[2] ' b[1]^{\prime} m
\end{aligned}
$$

Obtain the logic diagram using nines complementers, 4-bit adders, required logic gates, and 2-to-1 multiplexers. Then obtain the structural design module using the 4-bit adders, 2-to-1 multiplexers to generate the BCD result, and built-in primitives. Obtain the test bench module using operands for both addition and subtraction. Obtain the outputs.
4.20 This is a relatively simpler problem than Problem 4.19 for BCD subtraction. Design a behavioral module for BCD subtraction using the always statement. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs in decimal notation.

## Decimal Multiplication

4.21 Using the method shown in Section 4.9, design a behavioral module to multiply an 8-bit multiplicand by a 4-bit multiplier to produce a 12-bit binary-coded decimal product. Recall that decimal multiplication can be performed first in fixed-point multiplication then converting the product to binary-coded decimal. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module for several different operands, and the outputs.

## Decimal Division

4.22 Design a binary-coded decimal (BCD) divisor module using behavioral modeling. Use the restoring division technique described in Section 4.5. Obtain the fixed-point quotient and remainder, then convert the quotient and remainder to BCD. The dividend is an 8-bit input, the divisor is a 4-bit input, and the quotient and remainder are 4-bit outputs. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module applying several inputs, and the outputs displayed in decimal notation.

## Floating-Point Addition

4.23 Design a behavioral module for a floating-point adder that adds two 32-bit fractions in the single-precision floating-point format that results in true addition; that is, $(+A)+(+B)$. The fractions are defined as: flp_a[31:0] and $f l p \_b[31: 0]$. The exponents are eight bits defined as: exp_a[7:0] and exp_b[7:0]. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

## Floating-Point Subtraction

4.24 Design a behavioral module that performs true subtraction on two 32-bit operands. True subtraction can be defined as follows: $(+A)-(+B)$ or $(-A)-(-B)$, which has the following attributes: fract_ $a>$ fract_ $_{-} b$ and sign_ $a=\operatorname{sign} \quad b$. The exponents are eight bits. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
4.25 Design a behavioral module that is similar to Problem 4.24 that performs true subtraction on two 32-bit operands. In this version, true subtraction is defined as follows: $(+A)+(-B)$ or $(-A)+(+B)$, which has the following attributes: fract_ $a>$ fract_ $b$ and sign_ $a \neq$ sign_ $b$. The exponents are eight bits. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

## Floating-Point Multiplication

4.26 Design a behavioral module to perform multiplication on two 32-bit floatingpoint operands: multiplicand $f l p_{-} a[31: 0]$ and multiplier $f l p \_b[31: 0]$. Use the sequential add-shift technique and a start signal to perform the multiplication. Obtain the behavioral module using the always statement, the test bench module for ten multiply operations, and the outputs.
4.27 Design a behavioral module for a 32-bit single-precision floating-point multiplication operation for these two operands: multiplicand $f l p \_a[31: 0]$ and multiplier $f l p \_b[31: 0]$. The single-precision format is shown below. Use the multiply arithmetic operator $\left(^{*}\right)$ to perform the multiply operation. Obtain the behavioral module, the test bench module, and the outputs showing the product as a 23-bit result.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
31 & 23 \quad 22
\end{array}
$$



Sign bit: $\quad 8$-bit signed
$0=$ positive exponent
$1=$ negative (characteristic)

## Floating-Point Division

4.28 Using the floating-point formats shown below, design a behavioral module to divide a 14 -bit dividend by a 10 -bit divisor. Also shown are the operands for the first set of input vectors: 82/9. Obtain the test bench and the outputs.
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## Appendix A

## Event Queue

Event management in Verilog hardware description language (HDL) is controlled by an event queue. Verilog modules generate events in the test bench, which provide stimulus to the module under test. These events can then produce new events by the modules under test. Since the Verilog HDL Language Reference Manual (LRM) does not specify a method of handling events, the simulator must provide a way to arrange and schedule these events in order to accurately model delays and obtain the correct order of execution. The manner of implementing the event queue is vendor-dependent.

Time in the event queue advances when every event that is scheduled in that time step is executed. Simulation is finished when all event queues are empty. An event at time $t$ may schedule another event at time $t$ or at time $t+n$.

## A. 1 Event Handling for Dataflow Assignments

Dataflow constructs consist of continuous assignments using the assign statement. The assignment occurs whenever simulation causes a change to the right-hand side expression. Unlike procedural assignments, continuous assignments are order independent - they can be placed anywhere in the module.

Consider the logic diagram shown in Figure A. 1 which is represented by the two dataflow modules of Figures A. 2 and A.3. The test bench for both modules is shown in Figure A.4. The only difference between the two dataflow modules is the reversal of the two assign statements. The order in which the two statements execute is not defined by the Verilog HDL LRM; therefore, the order of execution is indeterminate.


Figure A. 1 Logic diagram to demonstrate event handling.

```
module dataflow (a, b, c, out); module dataflow (a, b, c, out)
input a, b, c; input a, b, c;
output out;
wire a, b, c;
wire out;
//define internal net //define internal net
wire net1;
assign net1 = a & b; assign out = net1 & c;
assign out = net1 & c;
endmodule
```

```
output out;
```

output out;
wire a, b, c;
wire a, b, c;
wire out;
wire out;
wire net1;
wire net1;
assign net1 = a \& b;
assign net1 = a \& b;
endmodule

```
endmodule
```

Figure A. 2 Dataflow module 1.
Figure A. 3 Dataflow module 2.

```
module dataflow_tb;
reg test_a, test_b, test_c;
wire test_out;
initial
begin
    test_a = 1'b1;
    test_b = 1'b0;
    test_c = 1'b0;
    #10 test_b = 1'b1;
        test_c = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
```

```
end
//instantiate the module
dataflow inst1
    .a(test_a),
    .b(test_b),
    .c(test_c),
    .out(test_out)
    ) ;
endmodule
```

Figure A. 4 Test bench for Figures A. 2 and A.3.

Assume that the simulator executes the assignment order shown in Figure A. 2 first. When the simulator reaches time unit \#10 in the test bench, it will evaluate the right-hand side of test_ $b=l^{\prime} b 1$; and place its value in the event queue for an immediate scheduled assignment. Since this is a blocking statement, the next statement will not execute until the assignment has been made. Figure A. 5 represents the event queue after the evaluation. The input signal $b$ will assume the value of test_ $b$ through instantiation.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  | test_b $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{~b} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 5 Event queue after execution of test_ $b=l^{\prime} b 1$;

After the assignment has been made, the simulator will execute the test_c = $l^{\prime} b 1$; statement by evaluating the right-hand side, and then placing its value in the event queue for immediate assignment. The new event queue is shown in Figure A.6. The entry that is not shaded represents an executed assignment.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |  |  |
|  |  |  | test_c $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{c} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | test_b $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{~b} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | $t=\# 10$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 6 Event queue after execution of test_c = l'bl;

When the two assignments have been made, time unit \#10 will have ended in the test bench, which is the top-level module in the hierarchy. The simulator will then enter the instantiated dataflow module during this same time unit and determine that events have occurred on input signals $b$ and $c$ and execute the two continuous assignments. At this point, inputs $a, b$, and $c$ will be at a logic 1 level. However, netl will still contain a logic 0 level as a result of the first three assignments that executed at time \#0 in the test bench. Thus, the statement assign out = netl \& c; will evaluate to a logic 0 , which will be placed in the event queue and immediately assigned to out, as shown in Figure A.7.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled event 5 | Scheduled event 4 | Scheduled event 3 | Scheduled event 2 | Scheduled event 1 | Time unit |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { out } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 \\ \text { test_out } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { test_c } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \\ \mathrm{c} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { test_b } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~b} \leftarrow \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order of execution |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 7 Event queue after execution of assign out $=$ net $1 \& c$;

The simulator will then execute the assign net $1=a \& b$; statement in which the right-hand side evaluates to a logic 1 level. This will be placed on the queue and immediately assigned to netl as shown in Figure A.8.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  | net1 $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | out $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0$ <br> test_out $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0$ | test_c $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{c} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | test_b $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{~b} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order of execution |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 8 Event queue after execution of assign netl $=a \& b$;

When the assignment has been made to net1, the simulator will recognize this as an event on netl, which will cause all statements that use netl to be reevaluated. The only statement to be reevaluated is assign out $=$ net $1 \& c ;$. Since both net 1 and $c$ equal a logic 1 level, the right-hand side will evaluate to a logic 1 , resulting in the event queue shown in Figure A.9.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled event 5 | Scheduled event 4 | Scheduled event 3 | Scheduled event 2 | Scheduled event 1 | Time unit |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { out } & \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \text { 'b1 } \\ \text { test_out } & \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \text { 'b1 } \end{aligned}$ | net1 $\leftarrow 1$ 'b1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { out } \leftarrow l^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 \\ \text { test_out } \leftarrow l^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \hline \text { test_c } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \\ \mathrm{c} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { test_b } \leftarrow \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~b} \leftarrow \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order of execution |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 9 Event queue after execution of assign out $=$ netl \& $c$;

The test bench signal test_out must now be updated because it is connected to out through instantiation. Because the signal out is not associated with any other statements within the module, the output from the module will now reflect the correct output. Since all statements within the dataflow module have been processed, the simulator will exit the module and return to the test bench. All events have now been processed; therefore, time unit \#10 is complete and the simulator will advance the simulation time.

Since the order of executing the assign statements is irrelevant, processing of the dataflow events will now begin with the assign netl $=a \& b$; statement to show that the result is the same. The event queue is shown in Figure A.10.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  | net1 $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | test_c $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{c} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | test_b $\leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ <br> $\mathrm{~b} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |

Figure A. 10 Event queue beginning with the statement assign netl $=a \& b$;

Once the assignment to net 1 has been made, the simulator recognizes this as a new event on net1. The existing event on input $c$ requires the evaluation of statement assign out $=$ netl \& $c ;$. The right-hand side of the statement will evaluate to a logic 1 ,
and will be placed on the event queue for immediate assignment, as shown in Figure A. 11 .

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled event 5 | Scheduled event 4 | Scheduled event 3 | Scheduled event 2 | Scheduled event 1 | Time unit |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { out } \end{array} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \text { b1 } 1$ | netl $\leftarrow$ 1'b1 | $\begin{aligned} \hline \text { test_c } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \\ \mathrm{c} \leftarrow \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { test_b } \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \text { 'b1 } \\ & \mathrm{b} \leftarrow 1^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $t=\# 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Order of execution |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 11 Event queue after execution of assign out $=$ netl \& $c ;$.

## A. 2 Event Handling for Blocking Assignments

The blocking assignment operator is the equal (=) symbol. A blocking assignment evaluates the right-hand side arguments and completes the assignment to the left-hand side before executing the next statement; that is, the assignment blocks other assignments until the current assignment has been executed.

Example A. 1 Consider the code segment shown in Figure A. 12 using blocking assignments in conjunction with the event queue of Figure A.13. There are no interstatement delays and no intrastatement delays associated with this code segment. In the first blocking assignment, the right-hand side is evaluated and the assignment is scheduled in the event queue. Program flow is blocked until the assignment is executed. This is true for all blocking assignment statements in this code segment. The assignments all occur in the same simulation time step $t$.

```
always @ (x2 or x3 or x5 or x7)
begin
    x1 = x2 | x3;
    x4 = x5;
    x6 = x7;
end
```

Figure A. 12 Code segment with blocking assignments.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  | $\mathrm{x} 6 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 7(t)$ | $\mathrm{x} 4 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 5(t)$ | $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2 \mid \mathrm{x} 3(t)$ | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 13 Event queue for Figure A. 12.

Example A. 2 The code segment shown in Figure A. 14 contains an interstatement delay. Both the evaluation and the assignment are delayed by two time units. When the delay has taken place, the right-hand side is evaluated and the assignment is scheduled in the event queue as shown in Figure A.15. The program flow is blocked until the assignment is executed.

```
always @ (x2)
begin
    #2 x1 = x2;
end
```

Figure A. 14 Blocking statement with interstatement delay.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t+2)$ | $\mathrm{t}+2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |

Figure A. 15 Event queue for Figure A. 14.

Example A. 3 The code segment of Figure A. 16 shows three statements with interstatement delays of $t+2$ time units. The first statement does not execute until simulation time $t+2$ as shown in Figure A.17. The right-hand side $\left(x_{2} \mid x_{3}\right)$ is evaluated at
the current simulation time which is $t+2$ time units, and then assigned to the left-hand side. At $t+2, x_{1}$ receives the output of $x_{2} \mid x_{3}$.

```
always @ (x2 or x3 or x5 or x7)
begin
    #2 x1 = x2 | x3;
    #2 x4 = x5;
    #2 x6 = x7;
end
```

Figure A. 16 Code segment for delayed blocking assignment with interstatement delays.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2 \mid \mathrm{x} 3(t+2)$ | $t+2$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 4 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 5(t+4)$ | $t+4$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 6 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 7(t+6)$ | $t+6$ |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |

Figure A. 17 Event queue for Figure A. 16.

Example A. 4 The code segment in Figure A. 18 shows three statements using blocking assignments with intrastatement delays. Evaluation of $x_{3}=\# 2 x_{4}$ and $x_{5}=\# 2 x_{6}$ is blocked until $x_{2}$ has been assigned to $x_{1}$, which occurs at $t+2$ time units. When the second statement is reached, it is scheduled in the event queue at time $t+2$, but the assignment to $x_{3}$ will not occur until $t+4$ time units. The evaluation in the third statement is blocked until the assignment is made to $x_{3}$. Figure A. 19 shows the event queue.

```
always @ (x2 or x4 or x6)
begin
    x1 = #2 x2; //first statement
    x3 = #2 x4; //second statement
    x5 = #2 x6; //third statement
end
```

Figure A. 18 Code segment using blocking assignments with interstatement delays.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t)$ | $t+2$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 3 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 4(t+2)$ | $t+4$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} 5 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 6(t+4)$ | $t+6$ |
| $\leftarrow$ |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |

Figure A.19 Event queue for the code segment of Figure A. 18.

## A. 3 Event Handling for Nonblocking Assignments

Whereas blocking assignments block the sequential execution of an always block until the simulator performs the assignment, nonblocking statements evaluate each statement in succession and place the result in the event queue. Assignment occurs when all of the always blocks in the module have been processed for the current time unit. The assignment may cause new events that require further processing by the simulator for the current time unit.

Example A. 5 For nonblocking statements, the right-hand side is evaluated and the assignment is scheduled at the end of the queue. The program flow continues and the assignment occurs at the end of the time step. This is shown in the code segment of Figure A. 20 and the event queue of Figure A. 21.

```
always @ (posedge clk)
begin
    x1 <= x2;
end
```

Figure A. 20 Code segment for a nonblocking assignment.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t)$ |  |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 21 Event queue for Figure A.20.

Example A. 6 The code segment of Figure A. 22 shows a nonblocking statement with an interstatement delay. The evaluation is delayed by the timing control, and then the right-hand side expression is evaluated and assignment is scheduled at the end of the queue. Program flow continues and assignment is made at the end of the current time step as shown in the event queue of Figure A. 23.

```
always @ (posedge clk)
begin
    #2 x1 <= x2;
end
```

Figure A. 22 Nonblocking assignment with interstatement delay.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
| $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t+2)$ |  |  |  |  | $t+2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 23 Event queue for Figure A.22.

Example A. 7 The code segment of Figure A. 24 shows a nonblocking statement with an intrastatement delay. The right-hand side expression is evaluated and assignment is
delayed by the timing control and is scheduled at the end of the queue. Program flow continues and assignment is made at the end of the current time step as shown in the event queue of Figure A. 25.

```
always @ (posedge clk)
begin
    x1 <= #2 x2;
end
```

Figure A. 24 Nonblocking assignment with intrastatement delay.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
| $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t)$ |  |  |  |  | $t+2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 25 Event queue for Figure A. 24.

Example A. 8 The code segment of Figure A. 26 shows nonblocking statements with intrastatement delays. The right-hand side expressions are evaluated and assignment is delayed by the timing control and is scheduled at the end of the queue. Program flow continues and assignment is made at the end of the current time step as shown in the event queue of Figure A. 27.

```
always @ (posedge clk)
begin
    x1 <= #2 x2;
    x3 <= #2 x4;
    x5 <= #2 x6;
end
```

Figure A. 26 Nonblocking assignments with intrastatement delays.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x} 5 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 6(t)$ | $\mathrm{x} 3 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 4(t)$ | $\mathrm{x} 1 \leftarrow \mathrm{x} 2(t)$ |  |  | $t+2$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 27 Event queue for Figure A.26.

Example A. 9 Figure A. 28 shows a code segment using nonblocking assignment with an intrastatement delay. The right-hand expression is evaluated at the current time. The assignment is scheduled, but delayed by the timing control \#2. This method allows for propagation delay through a logic element; for example, a $D$ flip-flop. The event queue is shown in Figure A.29.


Figure A. 28 Code segment using intrastatement delay with blocking assignment.

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 5 | Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |
|  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{q} \leftarrow \mathrm{d}(t)$ | $\mathrm{t}+2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftarrow$ |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |

Figure A. 29 Event queue for the code segment of Figure A.28.

## A. 4 Event Handling for Mixed Blocking and Nonblocking Assignments

All nonblocking assignments are placed at the end of the queue while all blocking assignments are placed at the beginning of the queue in their respective order of evaluation. Thus, for any given simulation time $t$, all blocking statements are evaluated and assigned first, then all nonblocking statements are evaluated.

This is the reason why combinational logic requires the use of blocking assignments while sequential logic, such as flip-flops, requires the use of nonblocking assignments. In this way, Verilog events can model real hardware in which combinational logic at the input to a flip-flop can stabilize before the clock sets the flip-flop to the state of the input logic. Therefore, blocking assignments are placed at the top of the queue to allow the input data to be stable, whereas nonblocking assignments are placed at the bottom of the queue to be executed after the input data has stabilized.

The logic diagram of Figure A. 30 illustrates this concept for two multiplexers connected to the $D$ inputs of their respective flip-flops. The multiplexers represent combinational logic; the $D$ flip-flops represent sequential logic. The behavioral module is shown in Figure A. 31 and the event queue is shown in Figure A.32.


Figure A. 30 Combinational logic connected to sequential logic to illustrate the use of blocking and nonblocking assignments.

Because multiplexers are combinational logic, the outputs mux_out0 and mux_outl are placed at the beginning of the queue, as shown in Figure A.32. Nets
$m u x \_o u t 0$ and mux_outl are in separate always blocks; therefore, the order in which they are placed in the queue is arbitrary and can differ with each simulator. The result, however, is the same. If mux_out0 and mux_outl were placed in the same always block, then the order in which they are placed in the queue must be the same order as they appear in the always block.

Because dout0 and doutl are sequential, they are placed at the end of the queue. Since they appear in separate always blocks, the order of their placement in the queue is irrelevant. Once the values of mux_out0 and mux_outl are assigned in the queue, their values will then be used in the assignment of dout0 and dout 1 ; that is, the state of mux_out0 and mux_outl will be set into the $D$ flip-flops at the next positive clock transition and assigned to dout0 and doutl.

```
//behavioral module with combinational and sequential logic
//to illustrate their placement in the event queue
module mux_plus_flop (clk, rst_n,
    din0, din1, sel0, dout0,
    din2, din3, sel1, dout1);
input clk, rst_n;
input din0, din1, sel0;
input din2, din3, sel1;
output dout0, dout1;
reg mux_out0, mux_out1;
reg dout0, dout1;
//combinational logic for multiplexers
always @ (din0 or din1 or sel0)
begin
    if (sel0)
        mux_out0 = din1;
    else
        mux_out0 = din0;
end
always @ (din2 or din3 or sel1)
begin
    if (sel1)
        mux_out1 = din3;
    else
        mux_out1 = din2;
end
//continued on next page
```

Figure A. 31 Mixed blocking and nonblocking assignments that represent combinational and sequential logic.

```
//sequential logic for D flip-flops
always @ (posedge clk or negedge rst_n)
begin
    if (~rst_n)
        dout0 <= 1'b0;
    else
        dout0 <= mux_out0;
end
always @ (posedge clk or negedge rst_n)
begin
    if (~rst_n)
        dout1 <= 1'b0;
    else
        dout1 <= mux_out1;
end
endmodule
```

Figure A. 31 (Continued)

| Event queue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled <br> event 4 | Scheduled <br> event 3 | N/A | Scheduled <br> event 2 | Scheduled <br> event 1 | Time <br> unit |  |  |
| dout1 $\leftarrow$ <br> mux_out1 $(\mathrm{t})$ | dout0 $\leftarrow$ <br> mux_out0 $(\mathrm{t})$ |  | mux_out1 $\leftarrow$ <br> din3 $(\mathrm{t})$ | mux_out0 $\leftarrow$ <br> din1 $(\mathrm{t})$ | $t$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Order of execution |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure A. 32 Event queue for Figure A. 31.
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## Appendix B

## Verilog Project Procedure

- Create a folder (Do only once)

Local disk (C:) > New Folder $<$ Verilog $\gg$ Enter $>$ Exit local disk C.

- Create a project (Do for each project)

Bring up Silos Simulation Environment.
File $>$ Close Project. Minimize Silos.
Local disk (C:) > Verilog $>$ File $>$ New Folder $<$ new folder name $>$ Enter.
Exit Local disk (C:). Maximize Silos.
File > New Project.
Create New Project. Save In: Verilog folder.
Click new folder name. Open.
Create New Project. Filename: Give project name - usually same name as the folder name. Save
Project Properties $>$ Cancel.

- File $>$ New
- Design module code goes here
- File $>$ Save As $>$ File name: <filename.v>> Save
- Compile code

Edit > Project Properties > Add. Select one or more files to add. Click on the file $>$ Open.
Project Properties. The selected files are shown $>$ OK.
Load/Reload Input Files. This compiles the code.
Check screen output for errors. "Simulation stopped at the end of time 0 " indicates no compilation errors.

- Test bench

File > New

- Test bench module code goes here
- File > Save As > File name: < filename.v>> Save.
- Compile test bench

Edit > Project Properties > Add. Select one or more files to add. Click on the file $>$ Open
Project Properties. The selected files are shown $>$ OK.
Load/Reload Input Files. This compiles the code.
Check screen output for errors. "Simulation stopped at end of time 0 " indicates no compilation errors.

## - Binary Output and Waveforms

For binary output: click on the GO icon.
For waveforms: click on the Analyzer icon.
Click on the Explorer icon. The signals are listed in Silos Explorer.
Click on the desired signal names.
Right click. Add Signals to Analyzer.
Waveforms are displayed.
Exit Silos Explorer.

- Change Time Scale

With the waveforms displayed, click on Analyzer > X-Axis > Timescale Enter Time / div > OK

## - Exit the project

Close the waveforms, module, and test bench.
File > Close Project.

## Appendix C

## Answers to Select Problems

## Chapter 1 Introduction to Logic Design Using Verilog HDL

1.1 Given the equation shown below, obtain the minimized equation for $z_{1}$ in a product-of-sums notation and implement the equation using NAND gate built-in primitives. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs. Output $z_{1}$ is asserted high.

$$
z_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{m}}(1,4,7,9,11,13)+\Sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(5,14,15)
$$



Product of sums: $z_{1}=\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{3}{ }^{\prime}+x_{4}\right)$


```
//built-in primitives for logic equation as a pos
module log_eqn_pos_nand2 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
nand inst1 (net1, ~x2, ~x4),
    inst2 (net2, x1, ~x4),
    inst3 (net3, ~x1, ~x2, x3),
    inst4 (net4, x3, ~x4),
    inst5 (net5, net1, net2, net3, net4),
    inst6 (z1, net5, net5);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for logic equation as a pos
module log_eqn_pos_nand2_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect=0; invect<16; invect=invect+1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
            #10 $display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
                        {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
            end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
log_eqn_pos_nand2 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule
```

```
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 1
```

1.3 Use AND gate and OR gate built-in primitives to implement a circuit in a sum-of-products form that will generate an output $z_{1}$ if an input is greater than or equal to 2 and less than 5 ; and also greater than or equal to 12 and less than 15. Then obtain the design module, test bench module, and outputs.

|  | $x_{4}$ | 01 | 11 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1} x_{2}$ 00 | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $0^{1}$ |  | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 01 |  | $0{ }^{5}$ | $0{ }^{7}$ | $0^{6}$ |
| 11 | $1^{12}$ | $1{ }^{13}$ | $0^{15}$ | $1^{14}$ |
| 10 | $0{ }^{8}$ | $0{ }^{9}$ | $0^{11}$ | $0^{10}$ |
| $z_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |

$z_{1}=x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}^{\prime}+x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}$


```
//built-in primitives to generate sop
module built_in_sop3 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, \(x 2\), \(x 3, x 4\);
output z1;
//design the logic
and inst1 (net1, \(x 2, \sim x 3, \sim x 4)\),
    inst2 (net2, x1, x2, ~x3),
    inst3 (net3, \(x 1, x 2, \sim x 4)\),
    inst4 (net4, ~x1, ~x2, x3);
or inst5 (z1, net1, net2, net3, net4);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for module
module built_in_sop3_tb;
reg x1, x2, x3, x4; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire z1; //outputs are wire for test bench
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {x1, x2, x3, x4}= invect [4:0];
            #10$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4 = %%, z1 = %b",
                {x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
built_in_sop3 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule
```

```
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0010, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0011, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0101, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 0111, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1001, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1010, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1011, z1 = 0
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1100, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1110, z1 = 1
x1 x2 x3 x4 = 1111, z1 = 0
```

1.6 Design a modulo- 8 counter using the $D$ flip-flop that was designed in the edge-sensitive user-defined primitives section of this chapter. Use additional logic gate UDPs as necessary. Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.

| $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $y_{2} y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 00 | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | $0^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ | 1 | $0{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | 0 | 6 |

$D y_{1}$

$D y_{2}$

| $y_{2} y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1} \quad 00$ |  | 01 | 11 | 10 |
| 0 | $1{ }^{0}$ | $0{ }^{1}$ | $0^{3}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | $1{ }^{4}$ | $0{ }^{5}$ | $0^{7}$ | $1{ }^{6}$ |
| $D y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |

$D y_{1}=y_{1}{ }^{\prime} y_{2} y_{3}+y_{1} y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1} y_{3}{ }^{\prime}$
$D y_{2}=y_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3}+y_{2} y_{3}{ }^{\prime}=y_{2} \oplus y_{3}$
$D y_{3}=y_{3}{ }^{\prime}$

```
//modulo-8 counter using udps
module ctr_mod8_udp1 (rst_n, clk, y1, y2, y3);
//define inputs and outputs
input clk, rst_n;
output y1, y2, y3;
//----------------------------------------------
//instantiate the udp for flip-flop y1
udp_and3 inst1 (net1, ~y1, y2, y3);
udp_and2 inst2 (net2, y1, ~y2);
udp_and2 inst3 (net3, y1, ~y3);
udp_or3 inst4 (net4, net1, net2, net3);
udp_dff_edge1 inst5 (y1, net4, clk, rst_n);
//---------------------------------------------
//instantiate the udp for flip-flop y2
udp_xor2 inst6 (net5, y2, y3);
udp_dff_edge1 inst7 (y2, net5, clk, rst_n);
//----------------------------------------------
//instantiate the udp for flip-flop y3
udp_dff_edge1 inst8 (y3, ~y3, clk, rst_n);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for the modulo-8 counter using udps
module ctr_mod8_udp1_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg clk, rst_n;
wire y1, y2, y3;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("{y1 y2 y3} = %b", {y1, y2, y3});
//generate reset
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b1;
    #2 rst_n = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
end
//generate clock
initial
begin
    clk = 1'b0;
    forever
        #10clk = ~clk;
end
//determine length of simulation
initial
begin
    repeat (9) @ (posedge clk);
    $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
ctr_mod8_udp1 inst1 (rst_n, clk, y1, y2, y3);
endmodule
```

```
{y1 y2 y3} = 000
{y1 y2 y3} = 001
{y1 y2 y3} = 010
{y1 y2 y3} = 011
{y1 y2 y3} = 100
{y1 y2 y3} = 101
{y1 y2 y3} = 110
{y1 y2 y3} = 111
{y1 y2 y3}=000
```

1.14 Design a binary-to-excess-3 code converter using user-defined primitives of the following types: $u d p \_a n d 2, u d p \_a n d 3, u d p \_o r 3$, and $u d p \_o r 4$. The binary code is labelled $a, b, c, d$, and the excess- 3 code is labelled $w, x, y, z$, where $d$ and $z$ are the low-order bits of their respective codes. Binary codes above 1100 produce an excess 3 code of 0000 . Obtain the design module, the test bench module, and outputs.

| Binary code |  |  |  |  | Excess-3 code |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $w$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| net1 net2 net3 net4 |
| :--- |
| $w=a^{\prime} b d+a^{\prime} b c+a b^{\prime}+a c^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ |
| net5 net6 net7 |
| $x=b c^{\prime} d^{\prime}+b^{\prime} d+b^{\prime} c$ |
| net8 net9 net10 |
| $y=c^{\prime} d^{\prime}+a^{\prime} c d+b^{\prime} c d$ |
| net11 net12 net13 |
| $z=c^{\prime} d^{\prime}+a^{\prime} d^{\prime}+b^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ |

```
//binary-to-excess-3 using udps
module bin_to_ex3_udp (a, b, c, d, w, x, y, z);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, c, d;
output w, x, y, z;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4,
    net5, net6, net7,
    net8, net9, net10,
    net11, net12, net13;
//instantiate the udps for high-order excess_3 w
udp_and3 (net1, ~a, b, d);
udp_and3 (net2, ~a, b, c);
udp_and2 (net3, a, ~b);
udp_and3 (net4, a, ~c, ~d);
udp_or4 (w, net1, net2, net3, net4);
//instantiate the udps for excess_3 x
udp_and3 (net5, b, ~c, ~d);
udp_and2 (net6, ~b, d);
udp_and2 (net7, ~b, c);
udp_or3 (x, net5, net6, net7);
//instantiate the udps for excess_3 y
udp_and2 (net8, ~c, ~d);
udp_and3 (net9, ~a, c, d);
udp_and3 (net10, ~b, c, d);
udp_or3 (y, net8, net9, net10);
//instantiate the udps for excess_3 z
udp_and2 (net11, ~c, ~d);
udp_and2 (net12, ~a, ~d);
udp_and2 (net13, ~b, ~d);
udp_or3 (z, net11, net12, net13);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for binary-to-excess-3 udp module
module bin_to_ex3_udp_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg a, b, c, d;
wire \(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\);
//apply stimulus and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [4:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            \(\{a, b, c, d\}=\) invect \([4: 0]\);
            \#10 \$display ("abcd = \%b, wxyz = \%b",
                        \{a, b, c, d\}, \{w, x, y, z\});
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
bin_to_ex3_udp inst1 (a, b, c, d, w, x, y, z);
endmodule
```

```
abcd = 0000, wxyz = 0011
abcd = 0001, wxyz = 0100
abcd = 0010, wxyz = 0101
abcd = 0011, wxyz = 0110
abcd = 0100, wxyz = 0111
abcd = 0101, wxyz = 1000
abcd = 0110, wxyz = 1001
abcd = 0111, wxyz = 1010
abcd = 1000, wxyz = 1011
abcd = 1001, wxyz = 1100
abcd = 1010, wxyz = 1101
abcd = 1011, wxyz = 1110
abcd = 1100, wxyz = 1111
abcd = 1101, wxyz = 0000
abcd = 1110, wxyz = 0000
abcd = 1111, wxyz = 0000
```

1.19 Design a module to execute the four shift operations of shift left logical (SLL), shift left algebraic (SLA), shift right logical (SRL), and shift right algebraic (SRA) using the case statement. The operands to be shifted are 8 -bit operands. Obtain the test bench providing four shift amounts for each shift operation and obtain the outputs.

```
//behavioral logical and algebraic shifter
module four_fctn_shift (a, shift_code, shift_amt,
    shift_rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a;
input [1:0] shift_code;
input [2:0] shift_amt;
output [7:0] shift_rslt;
//variables used in always are declared as registers
reg [7:0] reg_a;
reg [7:0] shift_rslt;
reg [15:0] sra_reg;
//define shift codes
//parameter is used to define constants
parameter sll = 2'b00,
    sla = 2'b01,
    srl = 2'b10,
    sra = 2'b11;
//perform the shift operations
always @ (a or shift_code)
begin
    case (shift_code)
        sll:
            begin
            reg_a = a << shift_amt;
            shift_rslt = reg_a;
            end
    sla:
        begin
            reg_a = a;
            reg_a = reg_a << shift_amt;
            reg_a[7] = a[7];
            shift_rslt = reg_a;
        end
```

```
sra:
begin
    sra_reg[15:8] = {8{a[7]}};
    sra_reg[7:0] = a;
    sra_reg = sra_reg >> shift_amt;
    shift_rslt = sra_reg[7:0];
end
    endcase
end
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for four_fctn_shift module
module four_fctn_shift_tb;
reg [7:0] a; //inputs are reg for test bench
reg [1:0] shift_code;
reg [2:0] shift_amt;
wire [7:0] shift_rslt; //outputs are wire
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("a=%b, shift_code=%b, shift_amt=%b,
    shift_rslt=%b",
    a, shift_code, shift_amt, shift_rslt);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//sll
    #0 a = 8'b0001_1110;
        shift_code = 2'b00; shift_amt = 3'b011;
    #10 a = 8'b0111_1101;
        shift_code = 2'b00; shift_amt = 3'b111;
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0101;
        shift_code = 2'b00; shift_amt = 3'b101;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111;
        shift_code = 2'b00; shift_amt = 3'b111;
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//sla
    #10 a = 8'b0001_1110;
        shift_code = 2'b01; shift_amt = 3'b011;
    #10 a = 8'b0111_1101;
        shift_code = 2'b01; shift_amt = 3'b111;
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0101;
        shift_code = 2'b01; shift_amt = 3'b101;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111;
        shift_code = 2'b01; shift_amt = 3'b111;
//----------------------------------------------------------
                                    //continued on next page
```

```
//srl
    #10 a = 8'b0001_1110;
        shift_code = 2'b10; shift_amt = 3'b011;
    #10 a = 8'b0111_1101;
        shift_code = 2'b10; shift_amt = 3'b111;
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0101;
        shift_code = 2'b10; shift_amt = 3'b101;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111;
        shift_code = 2'b10; shift_amt = 3'b111;
//-
//sra
    #10 a = 8'b0001_1110;
        shift_code = 2'b11; shift_amt = 3'b011;
    #10 a = 8'b0111_1101;
        shift_code = 2'b11; shift_amt = 3'b111;
    #10 a = 8'b0110_0101;
        shift_code = 2'b11; shift_amt = 3'b101;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_1111;
        shift_code = 2'b11; shift_amt = 3'b111;
//--------------------------------------------------------
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
four_fctn_shift inst1 (a, shift_code, shift_amt,
                                    shift_rslt);
endmodule
```

```
sll = 00, sla = 01, srl = 10, sra = 11
shift left logical
a = 00011110, shift_code = 00,
shift_amt = 011, shift_rslt = 11110000
a = 01111101, shift_code = 00,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 10000000
a = 01100101, shift_code = 00,
shift_amt = 101, shift_rslt = 10100000
a = 11111111, shift_code = 00,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 10000000
shift left algebraic
a = 00011110, shift_code = 01,
shift_amt = 011, shift_rslt = 01110000
a = 01111101, shift_code = 01,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 00000000
a = 01100101, shift code = 01,
shift_amt = 101, shift_rslt = 00100000
a = 11111111, shift_code = 01,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 10000000
```

sll = 00, sla = 01, srl = 10, sra = 11
shift right logical
a = 00011110, shift_code = 10,
shift_amt = 011, shift_rslt = 00000011
a = 01111101, shift_code = 10,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 00000000
a = 01100101, shift_code = 10,
shift_amt = 101, shift_rslt = 00000011
a = 11111111, shift_code = 10,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 00000001
shift right algebraic
a = 00011110, shift_code = 11,
shift_amt = 011, shift_rslt = 00000011
a = 01111101, shift_code = 11,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 00000000
a = 01100101, shift_code = 11,
shift_amt = 101, shift_rslt = 00000011
a = 11111111, shift_code = 11,
shift_amt = 111, shift_rslt = 11111111

```
1.23 Use structural modeling to design a logic circuit to generate an output \(z_{1}\) whenever a 4 -bit variable - \(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}-\) has three or more 1 s . Implement the module using AND gates and OR gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  & 01 & 11 & 0 \\
\hline 00 & \[
0^{0}
\] & \(0^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(0^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0{ }^{4}\) & \(0{ }^{5}\) & \(1{ }^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(0^{12}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(1{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(1{ }^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}
\]
```

//structural for the following expression
//z1 = x1 x2 x4 + x1 x2 x3 + x2 x3 x4 + x1 x3 x4
module majority4 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
//define inputs and output
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5;
//instantiate the logic gates
and3_df inst1 (x1, x2, x4, net1);
and3_df inst2 (x1, x2, x3, net2);
and3_df inst3 (x2, x3, x4, net3);
and3_df inst4 (x1, x3, x4, net4);
or4_df inst5 (net1, net2, net3, net4, z1);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for majority4
module majority4_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1;
//apply input vectors and display outputs
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1, x2, x3, x4 = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
majority4 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1);
endmodule

```
```

x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0000, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0001, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0010, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0011, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0100, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0101, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0110, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 0111, z1 = 1
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1000, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1001, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1010, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1011, z1 = 1
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1100, z1 = 0
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1101, z1 = 1
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1110, z1 = 1
x1, x2, x3, x4 = 1111, z1 = 1

```

\section*{Chapter 2 Combinational Logic Design Using Verilog HDL}
2.3 Design a structural module that will generate a high output \(z_{1}\) if a 4-bit binary number \(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\) has a value less than or equal to four or greater than eleven. Generate a Karnaugh map and obtain the equation for \(z_{1}\) in a sum-of-products form and for \(z_{2}\) in a product-of-sums form. Instantiate dataflow modules for the logic gates into the structural module. Obtain the design module, the test bench module for all combinations of the inputs, and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{1} x_{2} \quad \begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 01 & 11 & 10\end{array}\)} \\
\hline 00 & & & \(1{ }^{3}\) & \\
\hline 01 & \(1{ }^{4}\) & \(0{ }^{5}\) & \(0{ }^{7}\) & 6 \\
\hline 11 & \(1^{12}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) & \(1{ }^{15}\) & \(1{ }^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(0{ }^{10}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(z_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1} & =x_{1}{ }^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}{ }_{3} x_{4}{ }^{\prime} \\
& =\left(x_{1} \oplus x_{2}\right)^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
z_{2}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}\right)
\]
```

//structural dataflow number <=4 or >11
module number_range5 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2);
input x1, x2, x3, x4; //define inputs and output
output z1, z2;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5;
//design the logic for the sum-of-products z1
xnor2_df inst1 (x1, x2, net1);
and3_df inst2 (x2, ~x3, ~x4, net2);
or2_df inst3 (net1, net2, z1);
//design the logic for the product-of-sums z2
or3_df inst4 (x1, ~x2, ~x4, net3),
inst5 (x1, ~x2, ~x3, net4);
or2_df inst6 (~x1, x2, net5);
and3_df imst7 (net3, net4, net5, z2);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for number <=4 or >11
module number_range5_tb;
reg x1, $x 2$, $x 3, x 4 ; ~ / / i n p u t s ~ a r e ~ r e g ~ f o r ~ t e s t ~ b e n c h ~$
wire z1, z2; //outputs are wire
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
$\{x 1, x 2, x 3, x 4\}=$ invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("x1 x2 x3 x4) = \%b, z1 = \%b, z2 = \%b",
$\{x 1, x 2, x 3, x 4\}, z 1, z 2) ;$
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
number_range5 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2);
endmodule

```
```

x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0000, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0001, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0010, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0011, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0100, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0101, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0110, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 0111, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1000, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1001, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1010, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1011, z1 =0, z2 =0
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1100, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1101, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1110, z1 =1, z2 =1
x1 x2 x3 x4) = 1111, z1 =1, z2 =1

```
2.9 Design a dataflow module for a full adder using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Recall that a full adder is a combinational circuit that adds two operand bits: the augend \(a\) and the addend \(b\) plus a carry-in bit cin. The carry-in bit represents the carry-out of the previous lower-order stage. A full adder produces two outputs: a sum bit sum and carry-out bit cout. The truth table for a full adder is shown below. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline\(a\) & \(b\) & cin & cout & sum \\
\hline \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sum }=a \oplus b \oplus \operatorname{cin} \\
& \text { cout }=\operatorname{cin}(a \oplus b)+a b
\end{aligned}
\]
```

//dataflow for a full adder
module full_adder_df (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3;
//design the sum for the full adder
xor3_df inst1 (a, b, cin, sum);
//design the carry-out for the full adder
xor2_df inst2 (a, b, net1);
and2 df inst3 (cin, net1, net2);
and2_df inst4 (a, b, net3);
or2_df inst5 (net2, net3, cout);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for full adder
module full_adder_df_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
//apply input vectors and display outputs
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [3:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{a, b, cin} = invect [3:0];
\#10 \$display ("a, b, cin) = %b,
sum = %b, cout = %b",
{a, b, cin}, sum, cout);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
full_adder_df inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule

```
```

a, b, cin) = 000, sum = 0, cout = 0
a, b, cin) = 001, sum = 1, cout = 0
a, b, cin) = 010, sum = 1, cout = 0
a, b, cin) = 011, sum = 0, cout = 1
a, b, cin) = 100, sum = 1, cout = 0
a, b, cin) = 101, sum = 0, cout = 1
a, b, cin) = 110, sum = 0, cout = 1
a, b, cin) = 111, sum = 1, cout = 1

```
2.14 Use structural modeling to design a 4:1 multiplexer using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs for 16 combinations of the inputs.
```

//structural for a 4tol multiplexer
//using dataflow logic gates
module mux_4to1_df (sel, data, z1);
//define inputs and output
input [1:0] sel;
input [3:0] data;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4;
//design the 4tol multiplexer
and3_df inst1 (data[0], ~sel[1], ~sel[0], net1),
inst2 (data[1], ~sel[1], sel[0], net2),
inst3 (data[2], sel[1], ~sel[0], net3),
inst4 (data[3], sel[1], sel[0], net4);
or4_df inst5 (net1, net2, net3, net4, z1);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for the 4:1 multiplexer structural module
module mux_4to1_df_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [1:0] sel;
reg [3:0] data;
wire z1;
initial
\$monitor ("sel = %b, data = %b, z1 = %b",
sel, data, z1);
//apply stimulus
initial
begin
\#0 sel = 2'b00; data = 4'b0001; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b01; data = 4'b1001; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b10; data = 4'b1000; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b11; data = 4'b1001; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b00; data = 4'b0100; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b01; data = 4'b1000; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b10; data = 4'b1100; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b11; data = 4'b1101; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b00; data = 4'b0110; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b01; data = 4'b0000; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b10; data = 4'b1001; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b11; data = 4'b0100; //z1 = 0
\#10 sel = 2'b00; data = 4'b0111; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b01; data = 4'b0010; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b10; data = 4'b1101; //z1 = 1
\#10 sel = 2'b11; data = 4'b1100; //z1 = 1
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mux_4tol_df inst1 (sel, data, z1);
endmodule

```
```

sel[1:0] data[3:0]
sel = 00, data = 0001, z1 = 1
sel = 01, data = 1001, z1 = 0
sel = 10, data = 1000, z1 = 0
sel = 11, data = 1001, z1 = 1
sel = 00, data = 0100, z1 = 0
sel = 01, data = 1000, z1 = 0
sel = 10, data = 1100, z1 = 1
sel = 11, data = 1101, z1 = 1
sel = 00, data = 0110, z1 = 0
sel = 01, data = 0000, z1 = 0
sel = 10, data = 1001, z1 = 0
sel = 11, data = 0100, z1 = 0
sel = 00, data = 0111, z1 = 1
sel = 01, data = 0010, z1 = 1
sel = 10, data = 1101, z1 = 1
sel = 11, data = 1100, z1 = 1

```
2.18 Obtain a minimized equation for \(z_{1}\) in a sum-of-products representation and for \(z_{2}\) in a product-of-sums representation for the Karnaugh map shown below, where the outputs are \(12 \leq z_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)<3\). The obtain the design module using built-in primitives, the test bench module, and the outputs.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{3} x_{4}\)} \\
\hline \(x_{1} x_{2}\) & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 00 & \(1{ }^{0}\) & \(1{ }^{1}\) & & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 01 & \(0^{4}\) & \(0{ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline 11 & \(1{ }^{12}\) & \(1{ }^{13}\) & \(1^{15}\) & \(1^{14}\) \\
\hline 10 & \(0^{8}\) & \(0{ }^{9}\) & \(0^{11}\) & \(0^{10}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{4}^{\prime} \\
& z_{2}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{3}^{\prime}+x_{4}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
```

//built-in primitives for number 12 <= z1 < 3
module sop_pos_bip2 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2);
//define inputs and outputs
input x1, x2, x3, x4;
output z1, z2;
//design the logic using bips for z1 in a sum-of-products
and inst1 (net1, x1, x2),
inst2 (net2, ~x1, ~x2, ~x3),
inst3 (net3, ~x1, ~x2, ~x4);
or inst4 (z1, net1, net2, net3);
//design the logic using bips for z1 in a product-of-sums
or inst5 (net5, x1, ~x2),
inst6 (net6, ~x1, x2),
inst7 (net7, x1, ~x3, ~x4);
and inst8 (z2, net5, net6, net7);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for number 12 <= z1 < 3
module sop_pos_bip2_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg x1, x2, x3, x4;
wire z1, z2;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
reg [4:0] invect;
for (invect = 0; invect < 16; invect = invect + 1)
begin
{x1, x2, x3, x4} = invect [4:0];
\#10 \$display ("{x1 x2 x3 x4} = %b,
z1 = %b, z2 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3, x4}, z1, z2);
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
sop_pos_bip2 inst1 (x1, x2, x3, x4, z1, z2);
endmodule

```
```

{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0000, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0001, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0010, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0100, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0101, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0110, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 0111, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1000, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1001, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1010, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1011, z1 = 0, z2 = 0
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1100, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1101, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1110, z1 = 1, z2 = 1
{x1 x2 x3 x4} = 1111, z1 = 1, z2 = 1

```

\section*{Chapter 3 Sequential Logic Design Using Verilog HDL}
3.4 The state diagram for a Moore synchronous sequential machine is shown below with three inputs, \(x_{1}, x_{2}\), and \(x_{3}\). There are two outputs, \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\). Obtain the structural design module using built-in primitives and instantiated \(D\) flipflops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs. Use the \$random system task for the test bench module to generate a random value for certain inputs when their value can be considered a "don't care" - either 0 or 1 . Use \(c l k\) ' to gate the outputs to avoid possible glitches.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{2} x_{3}\)} \\
\hline & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline 0 & \(0^{0}\) & \(x_{2}{ }^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \({ }^{4}\) & - \({ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(D y_{1}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{2} x_{3}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & \(x_{1}{ }^{0}\) & \(1{ }^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(1{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline 1 & \({ }^{4}\) & \(-{ }^{5}\) & \(0^{7}\) & \(0{ }^{6}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{\(D y_{2}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(x_{2} x_{3}\)} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0} & 00 & 01 & 11 & 10 \\
\hline & \(x_{1}{ }^{0}\) & \(1{ }^{1}\) & \(0{ }^{3}\) & \(x_{3}{ }^{2}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} & - \({ }^{4}\) & - \({ }^{5}\) & \(0{ }^{7}\) & \(0^{6}\) \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\(D y_{3}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```

//structural for moore ssm using bip
module moore_ssm_bip (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3,
y1, y2, y3, z1, z2);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
output y1, y2, y3, z1, z2;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6,
net7, net8, net9, net10, net11;
//-------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for D flip-flop y1
and (net1, ~y2, y3, ~x2),
(net2, ~y1, y2, ~y3);
or (net3, net1, net2);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y1
d_ff_bh inst1 (rst_n, clk, net3, y1);
//reset, clock, D, Q

```
```

//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for D flip-flop y2
and (net4, ~y2, ~x1),
(net5, ~y2, y3),
(net6, ~y1, y2, ~y3);
or (net7, net4, net5, net6);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y2
d_ff_bh inst2 (rst_n, clk, net7, y2);
//reset, clock, D, Q
//-------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for D flip-flop y3
and (net8, ~y2, x1),
(net9, ~y2, y3),
(net10, ~y1, y2, ~y3, x3);
or (net11, net8, net9, net10);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y3
d_ff_bh inst3 (rst_n, clk, net11, y3);
//reset, clock, D, Q
//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for outputs z1 and z2
and (z1, y1, y2, y3, ~clk),
(z2, y1, y2, ~y3, ~clk);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for the moore ssm using bip
module moore_ssm_bip_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3;
wire y1, y2, y3, z1, z2;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 z2 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, {y1, y2, y3}, {z1, z2});
//continued on next page

```
```

//test bench for the moore ssm using bip
module moore_ssm_bip_tb;
reg rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3; //inputs are reg
wire y1, y2, y3, z1, z2; //outputs are wire
initial //display variables
\$monitor ("x1 x2 x3 = %b, state = %b, z1 z2 = %b",
{x1, x2, x3}, {y1, y2, y3}, {z1, z2});
//define clock
initial
begin
clk = 1'b0;
forever
\#10 clk = ~clk;
end
//define input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b1; x2 =1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//-------------------------------------------------------
x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_e, assert z1
x1 = \$random; x2 = \$random; x3 = \$random;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a
//
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = \$random; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_f, assert z2
x1 = \$random; x2 = \$random; x3 = \$random;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a
//continued on next page

```
```

//---------------------------------------------------------
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; x3 = 1'b0;
@ (posedge clk)
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = \$random; x3 = 1'b1;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_e, assert z1
x1 = \$random; x2 = \$random; x3 = \$random;
@ (posedge clk) //go to state_a
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
moore_ssm_bip inst1 (rst_n, clk, x1, x2, x3,
y1, y2, y3, z1, z2);
endmodule

```
```

x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 000, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 100, state = 001, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 111, z1 z2 = 10
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 000, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 010, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 010, state = 110, z1 z2 = 01
x1 x2 x3 = 000, state = 000, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 001, state = 010, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 011, state = 111, z1 z2 = 10
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 000, z1 z2 = 00
x1 x2 x3 = 110, state = 001, z1 z2 = 00

```
3.10 The timing diagram for a Mealy asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Design the machine using instantiated logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.


Primitive flow table
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\(x_{1} x_{2}\) \\
00 & 01 & 11 & 10 & \(z_{1}\) \\
\hline\((a\) & \(d\) & - & \(b\) & 0 \\
\hline\(c\) & - & \(f\) & \(b\) & 1 \\
\hline\((c)\) & \(d\) & - & \(b\) & 1 \\
\hline\(a\) & \((d)\) & \(e\) & - & 0 \\
\hline- & \(d\) & \((e\) & \(b\) & 1 \\
\hline- & \(d\) & \((f\) & \(b\) & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Merger diagram


Merged flow table
(a) (d)
\(>^{x_{1} x_{2}}\)
(b) (c) (f) (c) \(d\) (f) (b)

Excitation map

\[
Y_{1 e}=\begin{gathered}
\text { net1 } \\
x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
\text { net2 }
\end{gathered} \quad \text { net3 } y_{1 f} x_{1}+y_{1 f} x_{2}^{\prime}
\]

Output map
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { net1 net3 net4 } \\
& z_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+y_{1 f} x_{2}^{\prime}+y_{1 f^{\prime}} x_{1}
\end{aligned}
\]
```

//structural for sop asynchronous sequential machine
module asm_sop_df (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, x1, x2;
output yle, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4;
//--------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for excitation variable Yle
and2_df inst1 (x1, ~x2, net1);
and3_df inst2 (x1, yle, rst_n, net2),
inst3 (yle, ~x2, rst_n, net3);
or3_df inst4 (net1, net2, net3, yle);
/ /
//design the logic for output z1
and2_df inst5 (~y1e, xl, net4);
or3_df inst6 (net1, net3, net4, z1);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for the sop asynchronous sequential
machine
module asm_sop_df_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg rst_n, x1, x2;
wire y1e, z1;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
{x1, x2}, y1e, z1);
//continued on next page

```
```

//define input vectors
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//-----------------------------------------------------
*1 = //assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_c;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_d
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_a
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b;
//assert z1
\#20 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_c;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_f
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_f
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_b;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //go to state_c;
//assert z1
\#10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b1; //go to state_f
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
asm_sop_df inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, yle, z1);
endmodule

```
```

x1 x2 = 00, state = 0, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 01, state = 0, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 0, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 10, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 11, state = 1, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 11, state = 1, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 1, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 11, state = 1, z1 = 0

```
3.15 The state diagram for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine is shown below. Synthesize the machine using logic gates that were designed using dataflow modeling and \(D\) flip-flops that were designed using behavioral modeling. Obtain the structural design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.



Output map

\[
\begin{aligned}
z_{1} & =y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
& \left.=y_{1} x_{2} \text { (Minimized }\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

```

//mealy pulse-mode asm using dataflow logic and D ff
module pm_asm_mealy2_dff (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
input rst_n, x1, x2; //define inputs and outputs
output y1, y2, z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7, net8;
//define the clock for the D flip-flops
nor2_df inst1 (x1, x2, net1);
//-----------------------------------------------------------
//define the logic for latch Ly1 and D flip-flop y1
nand2_df inst2 (y2, x2, net2);
and2_df inst3 (x2, y1, net3);
nor2_df inst4 (x1, net3, net4);
nand2_df inst5 (net2, net6, net5);
nand3_df inst6 (net5, net4, rst_n, net6);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y1
d_ff_bh inst7 (rst_n, net1, net5, y1);
//rst, clk, D, Q
//continued on next page

```
```

//------------------------------------------------------
//define the logic for latch Ly2 and D flip-flop y2
nand2_df inst8 (~x1, net8, net7);
nand3_df inst9 (net7, ~x2, rst_n, net8);
//instantiate the D flip-flop for y2
d_ff_bh inst10 (rst_n, net1, net7, y2);
//rst, clk, D, Q
//--------------------------------------------------------
//define the logic for output z1
and2_df inst11 (y1, x2, z1);
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for the mealy pulse-mode asm
module pm_asm_mealy2_dff_tb;
reg rst_n, $x 1, \mathrm{x} 2$; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire $y 1$ - ${ }^{2} 2, \mathrm{z} 1$; //outputs are wire for test bench
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("x1 x2 = \%b, state = \%b, z1 = \%b",
\{x1, x2\}, \{y1, y2\}, z1);
//apply input sequence
initial
begin
\#0 rst_n = 1'b0;
x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
\#5 rst_n = 1'b1;

```

```

    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}\) 1'b1; \(\mathrm{x} 2=1 \mathrm{lb0}\); //state_b
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~\)
    \#10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
    \#10 \(x 1=1 ' b 0 ; ~ x 2 ~=~ 1 ' b 0 ; ~\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}\) 1'b0; \(\mathrm{x} 2=1\) = \({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b} 1 ; ~ / / s t a t e \_a, ~ a s s e r t ~ z 1\)
    \#10 \(\mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{=}\) 1'b0; \(\mathrm{x} 2=1 ' \mathrm{~b} 0\);
    ```
//----------------------------------------------------------
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_a, assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
pm_asm_mealy2_dff inst1 (rst_n, x1, x2, y1, y2, z1);
endmodule
```

```
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 00, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 10, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 01, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0
x1 x2 = 01, state = 10, z1 = 1
x1 x2 = 00, state = 00, z1 = 0
```

3.21 The state diagram shown below is for a Mealy pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine. Design the structural module for the machine using built-in primitives and instantiated $T$ flip-flops. Obtain the test bench module and the outputs.



$$
\begin{gathered}
T y_{1}=\begin{array}{c}
\text { net1 } \quad y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \\
\text { net } 4
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { net5 } \quad \text { net6 } \\
T y_{2}
\end{array}=\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2} x_{1} \\
\text { net7 }
\end{array} \\
z_{1}=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime} x_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

//structural mealy pulse-mode asm using bip and tff
module pm_asm_mealy_bip_tff (rst_n, x1, x2, y, z1);
//define inputs and outputs
input rst_n, $x 1, x 2$;
output [1:2] y;
output z1;
//define internal nets
wire net1, net2, net3, net4, net5, net6, net7;
/ /
//design the logic for $T$ flip-flop y[1]
and (net1, ~y[1], y[2], x1),
(net2, $y[1], \sim y[2], x 1)$,
(net3, y[1], ~y[2], x2);
or (net4, net1, net2, net3);
//instantiate the $T$ flip-flop
t_ff_da inst1 (rst_n, net4, netyl);//rst, T, Q
buf \#12 (y[1], nety1);
//netyl is the output of the $T$ flip-flop.
//y[1] is the output delayed by 12 time units
/ /
//design the logic for $T$ flip-flop y[2]
and (net5, ~y[1], ~y[2], x1),
(net6, $y[1], y[2], x 1)$;
or (net7, net5, net6);
//instantiate the $T$ flip-flop
t_ff_da inst2 (rst_n, net7, nety2);//rst, T, Q
buf \#12 (y[2], nety2);
//nety2 is the output of the $T$ flip-flop.
//y[2] is the output delayed by 12 time units
//----------------------------------------------------------
//design the logic for output z1
assign $z 1=y[1] \& \sim y[2] \& x 1 ;$
endmodule

```
//test bench mealy pulse-mode asm using bip and tff
module pm_asm_mealy_bip_tff_tb;
reg rst_n, x1, x2; //inputs are reg for test bench
wire [1:2] y; //outputs are wire for test bench
wire z1;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("x1 x2 = %b, state = %b, z1 = %b",
    {x1, x2}, y, z1);
//define input sequence
initial
begin
    #0 rst_n = 1'b0; //reset to state_a
        x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #5 rst_n = 1'b1;
//---------------------------------------------------------
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_d
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_a
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0; //assert z1
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_b
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b1; x2 = 1'b0; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b1; //state_c
    #10 x1 = 1'b0; x2 = 1'b0;
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=10, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=10, \mathrm{z} 1=1 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=10, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=01 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=01, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \text { x1 x2 = 00, state }=11, ~ z 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=01, \text { state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=11, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=10, \mathrm{z} 1=0 \\
& \text { x1 } \mathrm{x} 2=10 \text {, state }=10, \mathrm{z1}=1 \\
& \text { x1 x2 = 00, state = 10, z1 = 0 } \\
& \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{x} 2=00 \text {, state }=00, \mathrm{z1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chapter 4 Computer Arithmetic Design Using Verilog HDL

4.3 Use dataflow modeling with the continuous assignment statement assign to design a single-bit full adder. Obtain the design module, the test bench module for all combinations of the inputs, and the outputs.

```
//dataflow full adder
module full_adder (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
input a, b, cin; //list inputs and outputs
output sum, cout;
//define wires (wire are not required; optional)
wire a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
//continuous assignment
assign sum = (a ^ b) ^^ cin;
assign cout = cin & (a ^ b) | (a & b);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for full adder
module full_adder_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg a, b, cin;
wire sum, cout;
//apply input vectors and display variables
initial
begin: apply_stimulus
    reg [3:0] invect;
    for (invect = 0; invect < 8; invect = invect + 1)
        begin
            {a, b, cin} = invect [3:0];
            #10 $display ("a b cin = %b, sum = %b, cout = %d",
                                    {a, b, cin}, sum, cout);
        end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
full_adder inst1 (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
endmodule
```

```
a b cin = 000, cout = 0, sum = 0
a b cin = 001, cout = 0, sum = 1
a b cin = 010, cout = 0, sum = 1
a b cin = 011, cout = 1, sum = 0
a b cin = 100, cout = 0, sum = 1
a b cin = 101, cout = 1, sum = 0
a b cin = 110, cout = 1, sum = 0
a b cin = 111, cout = 1, sum = 1
```

4.7 Design a 4-bit ripple-carry fixed-point adder/subtractor using built-in primitives and instantiated full adders that were designed using behavioral modeling. There are three inputs: $a[3: 0], b[3: 0]$, and a mode control $m$, which is used to determine whether the operation is addition or subtraction. If $m=0$, then the operation is addition; if $m=1$, then the operation is subtraction. There are two outputs: $\operatorname{rstt[3:0]}$ and cout [3:0].

For $n$-bit operands, the range for numbers in 2 s complement representation is

$$
-2^{n-1} \text { to }+2^{n-1}-1
$$

where $n$ is the number of bits in the operands. Thus, operands $a$ and $b$ have the following syntax:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \ldots a_{1} a_{0} \\
b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots . b_{1} b_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the design module include a method to detect overflow, as follows:

$$
\text { Overflow }=\text { cout }_{n-1} \oplus \operatorname{cout}_{n-2}
$$

Obtain the logic diagram, the design module using structural modeling, the test bench module with combinations of the inputs for both addition and subtraction including overflow, and the outputs.
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```
//behavioral full adder
module full_adder_bh (a, b, cin, sum, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input a, b, cin;
output sum, cout;
//variables are reg in always
reg sum, cout;
always @ (a or b or cin)
begin
    sum = a ^ b ^ cin;
    cout = (a & b) | (a & cin) | (b & cin);
end
endmodule
```

```
//structural for a 4-bit adder/subtractor
//using instantiated full adders and bips
module add_sub_4bit_struc (a, b, mode,
                                rslt, cout, ovfl);
//define inputs and outputs
input [3:0] a, b;
input mode;
output [3:0] rslt, cout;
output ovfl;
//define internal nets
wire net0, net1, net2, net3;
//check for overflow
xor (ovfl, cout[3], cout[2]);
//instantiate the logic for rslt[0]
xor (net0, mode, b[0]);
full_adder_bh inst0 (a[0], net0, mode,
    rslt[0], cout[0]);
//instantiate the logic for rslt[1]
xor (net1, mode, b[1]);
full_adder_bh inst1 (a[1], net1, cout[0],
    rslt[1], cout[1]);
//instantiate the logic for rslt[2]
xor (net2, mode, b[2]);
full_adder_bh inst2 (a[2], net2, cout[1],
    rslt[2], cout[2]);
//instantiate the logic for rslt[3]
xor (net3, mode, b[3]);
full_adder_bh inst3 (a[3], net3, cout[2],
    rslt[3], cout[3]);
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for structural 4-bit adder/subtractor
module add_sub_4bit_struc_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [3:0] a, b;
reg mode;
wire [3:0] rslt, cout;
wire ovfl;
initial //display variables
$monitor ("a=%b, b=%b, mode=%b, rslt=%b,
    cout[3]=%b, cout[2]=%.b, ovfl=%b",
    a, b, mode, rslt, cout[3], cout[2], ovfl);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
```



```
//subtraction
    #10 a = 4'b0110; b = 4'b1100; mode = 1'b1;
    #10 a = 4'b1000; b = 4'b0010; mode = 1'b1;
    #10 $stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_sub_4bit_struc inst1 (a, b, mode, rslt, cout,
                                    ovfl);
endmodule
```

```
Addition
a=0000, b=0001, mode=0, rslt=0001,
    cout[3]=0,cout[2]=0, ovfl=0
a=0010, b=0101, mode=0, rslt=0111, cout[3]=0,
    cout[2]=0, ovfl=0
a=0110, b=0001, mode=0, rslt=0111, cout[3]=0,
    cout[2]=0, ovfl=0
a=1000, b=0001, mode=0, rslt=1001, cout[3]=0,
    cout[2]=0, ovfl=0
Subtraction
a=1110, b=0100, mode=1, rslt=1010, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=0
a=0110, b=0011, mode=1, rslt=0011, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=0
a=0111, b=0010, mode=1, rslt=0101, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=0
a=0111, b=0001, mode=1, rslt=0110, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=0
Overflow Addition
a=0111, b=0101, mode=0, rslt=1100, cout[3]=0,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=1
a=1000, b=1011, mode=0, rslt=0011, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=0, ovfl=1
Overflow Subtraction
a=0110, b=1100, mode=1, rslt=1010, cout[3]=0,
    cout[2]=1, ovfl=1
a=1000, b=0010, mode=1, rslt=0110, cout[3]=1,
    cout[2]=0, ovfl=1
```

4.13 Arithmetic and logic units perform the arithmetic operations of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. They also perform the logical operations of AND, NAND, OR, NOR, exclusive-OR, and exclusive-NOR. This problem is to design a behavioral module to implement the four operations of add, subtract, multiply, and divide. The operands are eight bits, the operation code is three bits, and the result of the operation is eight bits. The two 8-bit inputs are operands $a[7: 0]$ and $b[7: 0]$. The 3-bit operation code is opcode[2:0] and the 8-bit result is $r s l t[7: 0]$.

Obtain the behavioral design module using the case statement for the four arithmetic operations, the test bench module displaying all variables in decimal (\%d) notation, and the outputs.

```
//behavioral 4-function arithmetic unit
//add, sub, div, mul
module add_sub_div_mul_bh (a, b, opcode, rslt);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a, b;
input [2:0] opcode;
output [7:0] rslt;
//variables are reg in always
reg [7:0] rslt;
reg [15:0] rslt_mul;
//define the opcodes
parameter add_op = 3'b000,
    sub_op = 3'b001,
    div_op = 3'b011,
    mul_op = 3'b100;
//perform the arithmetic operations
always @ (a or b or opcode)
begin
    case (opcode)
        add_op : rslt = a + b;
        sub_op : rslt = a - b;
        div_op : rslt = a / b;
        mul_op : rslt = a * b;
        default : rslt = 0;
    endcase
end
endmodule
```

```
//4fctn arithmetic unit test bench
module add_su.b_div_mul_bh_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a, b;
reg [2:0] opcode;
wire [7:0] rslt;
```

//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("a = %d, b = %d, opcode = %d, rslt = %d",
a, b, opcode, rslt);
initial
begin
//add o
//a = 10, b = 20, rslt - 30
\#10 a = 8'b0000_1010; b = 8'b0001_0100;
opcode = 3'b000;
// a = 98, b = 28, rslt = 126
\#10 a = 8'b0110_0010; b = 8'b0001_1100;
opcode = 3'b000;
// a = 67, b = 60, rslt = 127
\#10 a = 8'b0100_0011; b = 8'b0011_1100;
opcode = 3'b000;
//a = 250, b = 5, rslt = 255
\#10 a = 8'b1111_1010; b = 8'b0000_0101;
opcode = 3'b000;
//sub_op -----------------------------
\#10 a = 8'b1000_0000; b = 8'b0110_0011;
opcode = 3'b001;
//a = 255, b = 15, rslt = 240
\#10 a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b0000_1111;
opcode = 3'b001;
//a = 20, b = 16, rslt = 4
\#10 a = 8'b0001_0100; b = 8'b0001_0000;
opcode = 3'b001;
//a = 255, b = 250, rslt = 5
\#10 a = 8'b1111_1111; b = 8'b1111_1010;
opcode = 3'b001;

```
```

//div_op ----------------------------------------------------

```
//div_op ----------------------------------------------------
    #10 a = 8'b1111_0000; b = 8'b0000_1111;
    #10 a = 8'b1111_0000; b = 8'b0000_1111;
        opcode = 3'b011;
        opcode = 3'b011;
    //a = 16, b = 8, rslt = 2
    //a = 16, b = 8, rslt = 2
    #10 a = 8'b0001_0000; b = 8'b0000_1000;
    #10 a = 8'b0001_0000; b = 8'b0000_1000;
    opcode = 3'b011;
    opcode = 3'b011;
//mul_op ------------------------
//mul_op ------------------------
    #10 a = 8'b0000_0100; b = 8'b0000_0100;
    #10 a = 8'b0000_0100; b = 8'b0000_0100;
    opcode = 3'b100;
    opcode = 3'b100;
    //a= 10, b = 20, rslt = 200
    //a= 10, b = 20, rslt = 200
    #10 a = 8'b0000_1010; b = 8'b0001_0100;
    #10 a = 8'b0000_1010; b = 8'b0001_0100;
        opcode = 3'b100;
        opcode = 3'b100;
    #10 $stop;
    #10 $stop;
end
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_sub_div_mul_bh inst1 (a, b, opcode, rslt);
add_sub_div_mul_bh inst1 (a, b, opcode, rslt);
endmodule
```

endmodule

```

\section*{Add}
\(\mathrm{a}=10, \mathrm{~b}=20\), opcode \(=0, \mathrm{rslt}=30\)
\(\mathrm{a}=98, \mathrm{~b}=28\), opcode \(=0\), rslt \(=126\)
\(\mathrm{a}=67, \mathrm{~b}=60\), opcode \(=0\), rslt \(=127\)
\(\mathrm{a}=250, \mathrm{~b}=5, \quad\) opcode \(=0\), rslt \(=255\)

\section*{Subtract}
```

a = 128, b = 99, opcode = 1, rslt = 29
a = 255, b = 15, opcode = 1, rslt = 240
a = 20, b = 16, opcode = 1, rslt = 4
a = 255, b = 250, opcode = 1, rslt = 5
Divide
a = 240, b = 15, opcode = 3, rslt = 16
a = 16, b = 8, opcode = 3, rslt = 2
Multiply
a = 4, b = 4, opcode = 4, rslt = 16
a = 10, b = 20, opcode = 4, rslt = 200

```
4.18 Use structural modeling with built-in primitives to design the two-digit BCD adder shown below. Obtain the structural design module and the test bench module with several input combinations of the two operands. Enter augends and addends that produce sums in the units, tens, and hundreds representations. Display all of the outputs in decimal notation.

```

//structural design for 2-digit BCD adder
module add_bcd_struc (a, b, cin, bcd, cout);
//define inputs and outputs
input [7:0] a, b;
input cin;
output [7:0] bcd;
output cout;
//define internal nets
wire [7:0] sum;
//-------------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for adder_1 and adder_2
adder4 inst1 (a[3:0], b[3:0], cin, sum[3:0], cout3);
and (net1, sum[3], sum[1]);
and (net2, sum[3], sum[2]);
or (cout4, cout3, net1, net2);
adder4 inst2 (sum[3:0], {1'b0, cout4, cout4, 1'b0},
1'b0, bcd[3:0], 1'b0);
//-----------------------------------------------------
//instantiate the logic for adder_3 and adder_4
adder4 inst3 (a[7:4], b[7:4], cout4, sum[7:4], cout7);
and (net3, sum[7], sum[5]);
and (net4, sum[7], sum[6]);
or (cout, cout7, net3, net4);
adder4 inst4 (sum[7:4], {1'b0, cout, cout, 1'b0},
1'b0, bcd[7:4], 1'b0);
//
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for 2-digit BCD adder
module add_bcd_struc_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [7:0] a, b;
reg cin;
wire [7:0] bcd;
wire cout;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("a_ten=\%d, a_unit=\%d, b_ten=\%d, b_unit=\%d,
cin=\%d,
bcd_hund=\%d, bcd_ten=\%d, bcd_unit $=\frac{\circ}{\circ} d^{\prime \prime}$,
$a[7: 4], a[3: 0], b[7: 4], b[3: 0]$, cin,
\{\{3\{1'b0\}\}, cout\}, bcd[7:4], bcd[3:0]);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
$/ / 03+06=9$
\#0 $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{b0000}$ _0011; $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b} \cdot \mathrm{b0000}$ _0110;
cin = 1'b0;
$/ / 97+82=179$
\#10 a = 8'b1001_0111; b = 8'b1000_0010;
cin = 1'b0;
$/ / 58+24=82$
\#10 $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ b0101_1000; b = 8'b0010_0100;
cin = 1'b0;
$/ / 25+25+1=51$
\#10 a = 8'b0010_0101; b = 8'b0010_0101;
cin = 1'b1;
$/ / 97+99+1=197$
\#10 $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ b1001_0111; $\mathrm{b}=8 \mathrm{~b}$ b1001_1001;
cin = 1'b1;
//88 + $02=90$
\#10 $\mathrm{a}=8 \mathrm{~B}$ b1000_1000; b = 8'b0000_0010;
cin = 1'b0;
//continued on next page

```
```

        //99 + 99 = 198
    #10 a = 8'b1001_1001; b = 8'b1001_1001;
        cin = 1'b0;
        //86 + 72 + 1 = 159
    \#10 a = 8'b1000_0110; b = 8'b0111_0010;
cin = 1'b1;
//1 + 1 = 2
\#10 a = 8'b0000_0001; b = 8'b0000_0001;
cin = 1'b0;
//70 + 30 = 100
\#10 a = 8'b0111_0000; b = 8'b0011_0000;
cin = 1'b0;
//33 = 66 + 1 = 100
\#10 a = 8'b0011_0011; b = 8'b0110_0110;
cin = 1'b1;
//47 + 17 = 64
\#10 a = 8'b0100_0111; b = 8'b0001_0111;
cin = 1'b0;
//0 + 0 + 1 = 1
\#10 a = 8'b0000_0000; b = 8'b0000_0000;
cin = 1'b1;
//99 + 11 + 1 = 111
\#10 a = 8'b1001_1001; b = 8'b0001_0001;
cin = 1'b1;
//0 + 0 + 0 = 0
\#10 a = 8'b0000_0000; b = 8'b0000_0000;
cin = 1'b0;
\#10 \$stop;
end

```
//instantiate the module into the test bench
add_bcd_struc inst1 (a, b, cin, bcd, cout);
endmodule
```

a_ten = 0, a_unit = 3, b_ten = 0, b_unit = 6, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 0, bcd_unit = 9
a_ten = 9, a_unit = 7, b_ten = 8, b_unit = 2, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 7, bcd_unit = 9
a_ten = 5, a_unit = 8, b_ten = 2, b_unit = 4, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 8, bcd_unit = 2
a_ten = 2, a_unit = 5, b_ten = 2, b_unit = 5, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 5, bcd_unit = 1
a_ten = 9, a_unit = 7, b_ten = 9, b_unit = 9, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 9, bcd_unit = 7
a_ten = 8, a_unit = 8, b_ten = 0, b_unit = 2, cin = 0,

```

```

a_ten = 9, a_unit = 9, b_ten = 9, b_unit = 9, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 9, bcd_unit = 8
a_ten = 8, a_unit = 6, b_ten = 7, b_unit = 2, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 5, bcd_unit = 9
a_ten = 0, a_unit = 1, b_ten = 0, b_unit = 1, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 0, bcd_unit = 2
a_ten = 7, a_unit = 0, b_ten = 3, b_unit = 0, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 0, bcd_unit = 0
a_ten = 3, a_unit = 3, b_ten = 6, b_unit = 6, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten =}=0, bcd_unit = 0
a_ten = 4, a_unit = 7, b_ten = 1, b_unit = 7, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 6, bcd_unit = 4
a_ten = 0, a_unit = 0, b_ten = 0, b_unit = 0, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 0, bcd_unit = 1
a_ten = 9, a_unit = 9, b_ten = 1, b_unit = 1, cin = 1,
bcd_hund = 1, bcd_ten = 1, bcd_unit = 1
a_ten = 0, a_unit = 0, b_ten = 0, b_unit = 0, cin = 0,
bcd_hund = 0, bcd_ten = 0, bcd_unit = 0

```
4.24 Design a behavioral module that performs true subtraction on two 32-bit operands. True subtraction can be defined as follows: \((+A)-(+B)\) or \((-A)-(-B)\), which has the following attributes: fract_ \(a>\) fract_ \(b\) and sign_ \(a=\operatorname{sign} \_b\). The exponents are eight bits. Obtain the behavioral design module, the test bench module, and the outputs.
```

//behavioral floating-point subtraction
//true subtraction: fract_a > fract_b, sign_a = sign_b
module sub_flp_bh (flp_a, flp_b, sign,
exponent, exp_unbiased, rslt);
input [31:0] flp_a, flp_b; //define inputs and outputs
output sign;
output [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
output [22:0] rslt;
//variables in always block are declared as registers
reg sign_a, sign_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a, exp_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a_bias, exp_b_bias;
reg [22:0] fract_a, fract_b;
reg [7:0] ctr_align;
reg [22:0] rslt;
reg sign;
reg [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
reg cout;
//continued on next page

```
```

//define the sign, exponent, and fraction
always @ (flp_a or flp_b)
begin
sign_a = flp_a[31];
sign_b = flp_b[31];
exp_a = flp_a[30:23];
exp_b = flp_b[30:23];
fract_a = flp_a[22:0];
fract_b = flp_b[22:0];
//bias the exponents
exp_a_bias = exp_a + 8'b0111_1111;
exp_b_bias = exp_b + 8'b0111_1111;
//align the fractions
if (exp_a_bias < exp_b_bias)
ctr_align = exp_b_bias - exp_a_bias;
while (ctr_align)
begin
fract_a = fract_a >> 1;
exp_a_bias = exp_a_bias + 1;
ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
end
if (exp_b_bias < exp_a_bias)
ctr_align = exp_a_bias - exp_b_bias;
while (ctr_align)
begin
fract_b = fract_b >> 1;
exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias + 1;
ctr_align = ctr_align - 1;
end
//-------------------------------------------------------------
//obtain the rslt
if (fract_a > fract_b)
begin
fract_b = ~fract_b + 1;
{cout, rslt} = fract_a + fract_b;
sign = sign_a;
end

```
//postnormalize
    while (rslt[22] == 0)
        begin
            rslt = rslt << 1;
            exp_b_bias = exp_b_bias - 1;
        end
    exponent = exp_b_bias;
    exp_unbiased = exp_b_bias - 8'b0111_1111;
end
endmodule
```

```
//test bench for floating-point subtraction
module sub_flp_bh_tb;
//inputs are reg for test bench
//outputs are wire for test bench
reg [31:0] flp_a, flp_b;
wire sign;
wire [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
wire [22:0] rslt;
//display variables
initial
$monitor ("sign = %b, exp_unbiased = %b, rslt = %b",
                        sign, exp_unbiased, rslt);
//apply input vectors
initial
begin
    //(+32) - (+30) = +2
    // s ----e---- ------------------------------
#0 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1000_0010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1000_0001_1110_0000_0000_0000_000;
    //(-130) - (-25) = -105
    // s ---------- -----------------------------
#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_1000_1000_0010_0000_0000_0000_000;
    flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
/ /(+50)-(+40)=+10 \\
/ / \\
\text { s }---e--
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1000_0011_0010_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1000_0010_1000_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(+105)-(+5)=+100\) \\
\hline & // s \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0111_1101_0010_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(+72)-(+47)=+25\) \\
\hline & // s \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\#10} &  \\
\hline & \(/ /(-127)-(-60)=-67\) \\
\hline & // s ----e---- --------------f \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0111_1111_1110_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0110_1111_0000_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(+36.5)-(+5.75)=+30.75\) \\
\hline &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0110_1001_0010_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1011_1000_0000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(-720.75)-(-700.25)=-20.50\) \\
\hline & // s \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b1_0000_1010_1011_0100_0011_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b1_0000_1010_1010_1111_0001_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(+963.50)-(+520.25)=+443.25\) \\
\hline & // s ----e---- --------------f \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1010_1111_0000_1110_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1010_1000_0010_0001_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & \(/ /(+5276)-(+4528)=+748\) \\
\hline & // s ----e- \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\#10} & flp_a = 32'b0_0000_1101_1010_0100_1110_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline & flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1101_1000_1101_1000_0000_0000_000; \\
\hline \#10 & \$stop; \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{end} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{//instantiate the module into the test bench sub flp bh instl (flp a, flp b, sign,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{exponent, exp_unbiased, rslt);}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

(+32) - (+30) = +2
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0010,
rslt = 1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000
(-130) - (-25) = -105
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 0000_0111,
rslt = 1101_0010_0000_0000_0000_000
(+50)-(+40)=+10
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0100,
rslt = 1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000
(+105) - (+5) = +100
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0111,
rslt = 1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000
(+72) - (+47) = +25
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0101,
rslt = 1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000
(-127)-(-60) = -67
sign = 1, exp unbiased = 0000 0111,
rslt = 1000_0110_0000_0000_0000_000
(+36.5) - (+5.75) = +30.75
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0101,
rslt = 1111_0110_0000_0000_0000_000
(-720.75)-(-700.25) = -20.50
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 0000_0101,
rslt = 1010_0100_0000_0000_0000_000
(+963.50) - (+520.25) = +443.25
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1001,
rslt = 1101_1101_1010_0000_0000_000
(+5276) - (+4528) = +748
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1010,
rslt = 1011_1011_0000_0000_0000_000

```
4.27 Design a behavioral module for a 32-bit single-precision floating-point multiplication operation for two operands: multiplicand \(f l p \_a[31: 0]\) and multiplier \(f l p \_b[31: 0]\). The single-precision format is shown below. Use the multiply arithmetic operator \(\left(^{*}\right)\) to perform the multiply operation. Obtain the behavioral module, the test bench module, and the outputs showing the product as a 23-bit result.

```

//behavioral floating-point multiplication
module mul_flp6 (flp_a, flp_b, sign,
exponent, exp_unbiased, exp_sum, prod);
//define inputs and outputs
input [31:0] flp_a, flp_b;
output sign;
output [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
output [8:0] exp_sum;
output [22:0] prod;
//variables in always are declared as registers
reg sign_a, sign_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a, exp_b;
reg [7:0] exp_a_bias, exp_b_bias;
reg [8:0] exp_sum;
reg [22:0] fract_a, fract_b;
reg [45:0] prod_dbl;
reg [22:0] prod;
reg sign;
reg [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
reg cout;
reg zero_opnd;
//define sign, exponent, and fraction
always @ (flp_a or flp_b)
begin
if ((flp_a != 0) \&\& (flp_b != 0))
begin
zero_opnd = 1'b0;
sign_a = flp_a[31];
sign_b = flp_b[31];
exp_a = flp_a[30:23];
exp_b = flp_b[30:23];
fract_a = flp_a[22:0];
fract_b = flp_b[22:0];
//bias exponents
exp_a_bias = exp_a + 8'b0111_1111;
exp_b_bias = exp_b + 8'b0111_1111;

```
```

//add exponents
exp_sum = exp_a_bias + exp_b_bias;
//remove one bias
exponent = exp_sum - 8'b0111_1111;
exp_unbiased = exponent - 8'b0111_1111;
//multiply fractions
prod_dbl = fract_a * fract_b;
prod = prod_dbl[45:23];
//postnormalize product
while (prod[22] == 0)
begin
prod = prod << 1;
exp_unbiased = exp_unbiased - 1;
end
sign = sign_a ^ sign_b;
end
else
zero_opnd = 1'b1;
end
endmodule

```
```

//test bench for floating-point multiplication
module mul_flp6_tb;
//inputs are reg in test bench
//outputs are wire in test bench
reg [31:0] flp_a, flp_b;
wire exp_ovfl;
wire sign;
wire zero_opnd;
wire [7:0] exponent, exp_unbiased;
wire [8:0] exp_sum;
wire [22:0] prod;
//display variables
initial
\$monitor ("sign=%b, exp_unbiased=%b, prod=%b",
sign, exp_unbiased, prod);
//continued on next page

```
```

//apply input vectors
initial
begin
$1 /+5 \mathrm{x}+3=+15$
// s ----e---- --------------f----------------
\#0 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0010_1100_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /+6 x+4=+24$
// s ----e---- ------------------------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0011_1100_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /-5 x+5=-25$
// s ----e----- --------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /+7 \times-5=-35$
// s ----e----- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0011_1110_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0011_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /+25 \mathrm{x}+25=+625$
// s ----e---- --------------f---------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0101_1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /+76 \times+55=+4180$
// s ----e---- ---------------f-----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b0_0000_0111_1001_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_0110_1101_1100_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /-48 \times-17=+816$
// s ----e---- ---------------f----------------
\#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0110_1100_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0101_1000_1000_0000_0000_0000_000;
$/ /-20 \mathrm{x}-20=+400$
\#10 flp_a = 32'b1_0000_0101_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b1_0000_0101_1010_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;

```
//continued on next page
```

    \(/ /+64 \mathrm{x}+128=+8192\)
    ```

```

\#10 flp_a $=32 \cdot \mathrm{b0}$ _0000_0111_1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
flp_b = 32'b0_0000_1000_1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000;
\#10 \$stop;
end
//instantiate the module into the test bench
mul_flp6 inst1 (flp_a, flp_b, sign, exponent,
exp_unbiased, exp_sum, prod);
endmodule

```
```

+5 x +3 = +15
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_0100,
prod = 1111_0000_0000_0000_0000_000
+6 x +4 = +24
sign = 0, exp unbiased = 0000 0101,
prod = 1100__0000_0000_0000_0000_000
-5 x +5 = -25
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 0000_0101,
prod = 1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000
+7 x -5 = -35
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 0000_0110,
prod = 1000_1100_0000_0000_0000_000
+25 x +25 = +625
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1010,
prod = 1001_1100_0100_0000_0000_000
+76 x +55 = +4180
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1101,
prod = 1000_0010_1010_0000_0000_000
-48 x -17 = +816
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1010,
prod = 1100_1100_0000_0000_0000_000

```
```

-20 x -20 = +400
sign = 0, exp unbiased = 0000 1001,
prod = 1100}_1000_0000_0000_0000_000
+20 x -20 = -400
sign = 1, exp_unbiased = 0000_1001,
prod = 1100_1000_0000_0000_0000_000
+64 x +128 = +8192
sign = 0, exp_unbiased = 0000_1110,
prod = 1000_0000_0000_0000_0000_000

```
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\section*{Symbols}
\# (time symbol), 87
\$finish task, 103-104
\$monitor task, 30
\$stop, 103
\$time function, 308
\% symbol (modulus), 311

\section*{Numbers}

2:4 decoder, 8-9
3:8 decoder, 9
4:1 multiplexer, 5-8, 41-43, 57-63, 165-170, 232-234, See also Multiplexers
8:1 multiplexer design, 7, 171-175
8:3 encoder, 11
8421 code, 138, 459
9s complement, 138, 472, 473, 482-489
10s complement, 472-474, 482
(note: numbers of bits are written out alphabetically)

\section*{A}

Absorption law of Boolean algebra, 151
Addend, 407
Adders, four-bit ripple-carry, 415-418
Adders, full, See Full adders
Adders, three-bit, 411-415
Adders and addition, See Decimal addition; Fixed-point addition; Floating-point addition; Full adders
Addition, true, 472, 505, 512-516
Adjacent state code assignments, 249-250
always statement, 87, 88-90, 91-92, 436, 530, 559-562
AND (\&) bitwise operator, 23, 81
AND (\&) reduction operator, 25, 71

AND arrays, programmable logic devices, 185-189, 202, See also Programmable logic devices
and built-in primitive, 28,32
AND gate, 3, 32-33
programmable array logic, 191
structural modeling design example, 112-118
symbol, \(3 f\)
truth table, \(4 t\)
AND logic operator ( \({ }^{\wedge}\) ), Boolean algebra, 148-149
AND operation (\&) expressions, 17-18
AND operator (\&\&), binary logical, 21, 79
Application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) design, 1
Architectural modeling, See Behavioral modeling
Arithmetic and logic unit (ALU), 455-456
design example, 456-459
Arithmetic operators, 20-21
Array multiplier design, 444-448
assign keyword, 17-18, 29, 69-71, 367-368
design examples
carry lookahead adder, 418-423
comparator, 180-182
fixed-point addition, 411-415, 418-423
fixed-point division, 450-451
fixed-point multiplication, 448-450
four-bit dataflow adder/subtractor, 430-435
Moore asynchronous sequential machine, 344-348, 352
multiplexers, 171-173, 232-234
numerical inequality circuit, 222-224
product-of-sums, 160-162
product-of-sums and sums-ofproducts, 214-216
three-bit adder, 411-415
event handling, 551-556
Assignment operator (=) and blocking assignments, 91
Associative laws of Boolean algebra, 150
Astable multivibrator, 300, 327
Asynchronous sequential machines
astable multivibrators, 327
design examples, 330-354
Mealy machines, 330-344
Moore machines, 344-354
using assign, 344-348, 352
using built-in primitives, 348-354
using logic gates, 339-344
oscillations, 326-327
races, 328-329
Asynchronous sequential machines (ASMs), 321-322
pulse-mode asynchronous machines, 354-394
synthesis (design) procedure, 323-324
tag-in and tag-out signals, 321
See also Pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines
Augend, 407
Autonomous Moore machine, 248
Auxiliary carry, 461
Axioms of Boolean algebra, 149-150

\section*{B}

Begin ... end keywords, 14, 88, 92
Behavioral modeling, 1, 87
always statement, 88-90, 91-92, 97, See also always statement
blocking and nonblocking assignments, 91
case statement, 95-98, See also case statement
conditional statements, 92-95
design examples
adder/subtractor, 435-439
ALU, 456-459
decimal adder, 463
decimal multiplier, 491-495
decimal subtractor, 482-491
eight-bit fixed-point subtractor, 428-430
event handling for mixed blocking/nonblocking assignments, 563-565
floating-point addition, 507-512
floating-point division, 538-542
floating-point multiplication, 530-535
floating-point subtraction, 516-527
modulo-10 counter, 311-313
multiplexers, 563-565
multiplier, 441-444
true addition and subtraction, 516-527
functions, 129, 134-140
initial statement, 87
interstatement delay, 91
intrastatement delay, 90
loop statements, 98-104
register transfer level (RTL), 69
shift and rotate operations, 104-108
tasks, 129-134
See also specific statements
Bias constant, 504
Binary-coded decimal (BCD), 147
adder design, 459-472, See also Decimal addition
binary-to-decimal conversion, 491-492
decimal, 495-502
modulo-10 counter, 311-313
multiplication, 491-495
subtractor design, 472-491
Binary numbers, radix complement, 408
Binary number system, 146

Binary operators, 21
Binary subtraction design examples, 475-482
Binary-to-decimal conversion, 491-492
Binary-to-excess- 3 code converter, 119-123, 137-140
Binary-to-Gray code converter, 46-49, 191-194
Binary-to-octal decoder, 10
Bitwise operators, 20t, 23-24
dataflow modeling, 81-84
Blocking assignments, 91
event handling, 556-559
event handling for mixed blocking/nonblocking, 563-565
Boolean algebra, 148-149
axioms, 149-150
other terms, 152-153
theorems, 150-152
Boolean set definition axiom, 149
buf gate, 33, 372
Built-in primitives, 28, 31-35, 263-268
design examples, 35-51
4:1 multiplexer, 41-43
asynchronous sequential machine design example, 348-354
code converter, 46-49
comparator, 178-180
decimal adder, 464-466
eight-bit decimal/binary subtractor, 478-482
four-bit decimal subtractor, 475-478
four-bit ripple subtractor, 425-428
full adder, 50-51
majority circuit, 43-46
Mealy machines, 280-284
modulo-16 counter, 313-317
modulo- 8 counter, 318-321
Moore machines, 252-257, 263-268
product-of-sums, 35-38, 217-218
sum-of-products, 38-40
instantiation in structural modeling, 108
See also specific primitives

\section*{C}

Carry-in, 407-408, 459
Carry lookahead adder, 415-416, 418-423
Carry-out, 408
decimal addition with sum correction, 462
intermediate sums, 461
Cartesian product of sets, 249
Case equality operator \((===), 22\)
Case inequality operator (!==), 22
case statement, 95-98, 456
design examples
eight-function ALU, 456-459
Mealy machine, 289-294
Moore machine, 257-263
multiplexer, 173-175
Characteristic, floating-point notation, 503
Clock pulse generation, 31, 104
astable multivibrators, 300, 327
Closure laws of Boolean algebra, 149
Code converters, 10-12
design examples
programmable array logic, 191-194
programmable logic array, 210-214
structural modeling, 119-122
using built-in primitives, 46-49
using functions, 137-140
Combinational logic design, 145
blocking assignments, 563, See also Blocking assignments
conjunctive normal form, 229-232
product-of-sums, 226-229

Combinational logic macros, 5-13
decoders, 8-10
encoders, 10-12
multiplexers, 5-8
priority encoders, 12
See also Comparators; Decoders; Multiplexers
Combinational logic structural modeling design examples, 111-129, See also Structural modeling
Combinational user-defined primitives design examples, 52-63, See also User-defined primitives
Comments, 2
Commutative laws of Boolean algebra, 149
Comparators, 12-14
design examples, 126-129, 176-185
4-bit vectors, 176-178
using assign, 180-182
using built-in primitives, 178-180
using conditional statements, 183-185, 219-222
Complementation laws of Boolean algebra, 150
Complement of a complemented variable, 151
Complex programmable logic device (CPLD) design, 1
Concantenation operator ( \(\}\) ), 20t, 27
Conditional operators and statements, 20t, 26-27, 74-77
in behavioral modeling, 92-95
design examples
comparators, 183-185, 219-222
fixed-point division, 450-451
Mealy machines, 289-294
multiplexer, 168-170
numerical equality circuit design, 224-226
Conjunctive normal form, 229-232, See also Product of maxterms
Constants, 18-19

Continuous assignment, 69-71, 171, 180, 367-368
event handling, 551-556
See also assign keyword
Counters, 311-319
edge-sensitive user-defined primitives, 65-68
Johnson, 66-68
See also Synchronous counters
CPU instruction queue, 308
Critical races, 329

\section*{D}

Dataflow assignments, event handling, 551-556
Dataflow modeling, 1, 69
adder/subtractor design example, 430-435
bitwise operators, 81-84
conditional operators, 74-77
continuous assignment, 69-71
logical operators, 79-81
multiplier design example, 448-450
register transfer level (RTL), 69
relational operators, 77-79
shift operators, 84-86
Data types, 16-17
Decimal addition, 459-460
design examples, 461-463 mixed-design adder using built-in-primitives, 464-466
using multiplexers for sum correction, 466-472
with sum correction, 462-466
using multiplexers for sum correction, 466
Decimal arithmetic operations, arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) function, 455
Decimal division, 495-502
Decimal multiplication, 491-495
Decimal number system, 147
Decimal subtraction, 472-474
design examples
behavioral eight-bit subtractor using full adders and built-in-primitives, 482-491 eight-bit decimal/binary subtractor using full adders and built-in-primitives, 478-482
four-bit subtractor using full adders and built-in primitives, 475-478
Decoders, 8-10
Delay circuit synthesis for pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 355,372
Delay simulation
interstatement delay, 91
intrastatement delay, 90
delta (d) next-state function, 245, 247, 248, 324
DeMorgan's theorems, 33, 151-152
Demultiplexer, 8
Deserializing data, 304, 308
\(D\) flip-flops, 16
design examples
event handling for mixed blocking/nonblocking assignments, 563-565
Mealy machines, 280-289, 294-298
modulo-16 counters, 313-317
modulo-8 counters, 318-321
Moore machines, 252-257, 263-273
parallel-in, parallel-out (PIPO) registers, 299
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 355 , 356, 362-371
serial-in, parallel-out (SIPO) registers, 304-307
serial-in, serial-out (SISO) registers, 307-310
disable statement, 14, 103

Distributive laws of Boolean algebra, 150
Division, See Decimal division; Fixedpoint division; Floating-point division
Division, restoring, 450, 538-542
\(\delta\) next-state function, 245, 247, 248, 324
Double-precision floating-point numbers, 503

\section*{E}

Edge-sensitive user-defined primitives, 65-68
Eight-bit behavioral adder/subtractor design, 435-439
Eight-bit decimal/binary subtractor, 478-482
Eight-bit decimal subtractor, 482-491
Eight-bit subtractor, 428-430
else if keywords, 183 , See also Conditional operators and statements
else keyword, 14-15, 92, 183, 219
Encoders, 10-12
Encoders, priority, 12
endmodule keyword, 28, 31
endprimitive keyword, 52
endtable keyword, 52
endtask keyword, 129-130
Equality operators, 20t, 22-23
Equivalence relations (equivalent states), 247, 248, 323
Event control list, 88
Event handling and the event queue, 551
blocking assignments, \(91,556-559\)
dataflow assignments, 551-556
mixed blocking and nonblocking assignments, 563-565
nonblocking assignments, 559-562
Exclusive-NOR ( \(\wedge \sim\) or \(\sim \wedge\) ) bitwise operator, \(23,24,81\)
Exclusive-NOR ( \(\wedge \sim\) or \(\sim^{\wedge}\) ) reduction operator, 26, 71

Exclusive-NOR gate, \(4,5 t, 34\)
Exclusive OR ( \({ }^{\wedge}\) ) bitwise operator, 23, 24, 81
Exclusive-OR (^) reduction operator, 25-26, 71
Exclusive-OR gate, 4, 5t, 34
Expressions, 17-27
assign, 17-18
operands, 18-19
operators, 19-27
See also specific expressions or keywords or statements

\section*{F}

Fan-in, 5
Fan-out, 5
Field-programmable gate array (FPGA) design, 1
Fixed-point addition, 407-408
adder design examples
carry lookahead, 418-423
eight-bit behavioral adder/
subtractor, 435-439
four-bit dataflow adder/subtractor, 430-435
four-bit ripple-carry, 415-418
full adder, 408-411
three-bit, 411-415
carry-lookahead technique, 415-416
Fixed-point arithmetic operations, arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) function, 455
Fixed-point division, 450-455, 495-496
Fixed-point eight-function ALU design example, 456-459
Fixed-point multiplication, 439-441
multiplier design examples behavioral four-bit, 441-444
four-bit dataflow using multiply operation, 448-450
three-bit array, 444-448
Fixed-point subtraction, 423-425
subtractor design examples
eight-bit, 428-430
eight-bit behavioral adder/ subtractor, 435-439
four-bit dataflow adder/subtractor, 430-435
four-bit ripple, 425-428
Flip-flops, See \(D\) flip-flops; \(J K\) flip-flops
Floating-point addition, 407
behavioral design example, 507-512
behavioral design example, true addition and subtraction, 516-527
misaligned fraction example, 506
postnormalization, 505
terminology and basic concepts, 503-504
Floating-point arithmetic operations, arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) function, 455
Floating-point division, 535-538
behavioral design examples, 538-542
division algorithm, 535
Floating-point multiplication, 527-530
behavioral design example, 530-535
multiplication algorithm, 527-529
Floating-point numbers, 503
normalized and unnormalized, 503-504
notation, 503
See also specific floating-point operations
Floating-point subtraction, 512-527
behavioral design example, true addition and subtraction, 516-527
forever loop, 103-104
forever statement, 14
for keyword, 14
for loop, 98-100
Four-bit dataflow adder/subtractor, 430-435

Four-bit dataflow multiplication, 448-450
Four-bit decimal subtractor, 475-478
Four-bit ripple adder, 463
Four-bit ripple-carry adder, 415-418
Four-bit ripple subtractor, 425-428
Four-bit vector comparator, 176-178
Full adders, 28, 122
design examples
eight-bit decimal/binary subtractor, 478-482
fixed-point addition, 408-411
four-bit dataflow adder/subtractor, 430-435
four-bit decimal subtractor, 475-478
four-bit ripple subtractor, 425-428
programmable array logic, 195-202
ripple adder, 416
structural modeling, 122-126
three-bit adder multiplier, 444-448
using built-in primitives, 50-51
using combinational userdefined primitives, 53-57
truth table, 408
Functions, 129, 134-140
declaration, 134-135
invocation, 135-136
Fundamental-mode model, 322

\section*{G}

Gray code, 46
Gray-to-binary code converter, 210-214
Greater than operator ( \(>\) ), 22, 77
Greater than or equal operator \((>=), 22\), 77

\section*{H}

Half adder, 53, 408-409
Hardware registers, 16, See also specific types

Hazard cover, 325
Hazards, asynchronous sequential
machine design, 324-326
Hexadecimal number system, 148

\section*{I}

Idempotent laws of Boolean algebra, 151
Identity laws of Boolean algebra, 149
If . . . else keywords, \(14-15,92,183\), 219, See also Conditional operators and statements
if keyword, 183, 219
initial statement, 29, 31, 37, 87, 367
inout port, 52, 109, 110, 129
input keyword, 29
input port, 109, 110, 129-131
Instances, 1, 108
Instantiation, 28, 108-109
Instruction queue, 308
Intermediate sums, 461
Interstatement delay, 91
Intrastatement delay, 90
Involution law of Boolean algebra, 151
Iterative network, 214, 235-238

\section*{J}
\(J K\) flip-flops, 16
Mealy machine design example, 274-279
parallel-in, parallel-out (PIPO) registers, 300
Johnson counter, 66-68

\section*{L}
lambda ( \(\lambda\) ) output function, 245, 248, 324, 355
Law of double complementation, 151
Law of tautology, 151
Left-rotate operation, 105
Left-shift operator, 26, 84-86, 104
Less than operator ( \(<\) ), 22, 77

Less than or equal operator ( \(<=\) ), 22, 77
Level-sensitive user-defined primitives, 64-65
Linear-select multiplexers, 7
Logical equality operator (==), 22
Logical inequality operator (!=), 22
Logical operators, 20t, 21-22
arithmetic and logic unit (ALU), 455
behavioral eight-function ALU design example, 456-459
dataflow modeling, 79-81
See also specific types
Logic diagram, asynchronous sequential machine, 324
Logic elements, 2
comments, 2
design examples
product-of-sums, 156-157
product-of-sums using assign, 160-162
sum-of-products and product-of-sums, 158-160
sum-of-products with map-entered variable, 162-164
logic gates, 2-5
Logic element truth tables, 4-5
Logic equations, 154
design examples
structural modeling, 111-118
sum-of-products, 154-155
Logic gates, 2-5
fan-in and fan-out, 5
Mealy asynchronous sequential machine design example, 339-344
symbols, 2-4
Logic macro functions, 5
combinational, 5-14, See also
Combinational logic macros
sequential, 5, See also Sequential logic macros
See also Comparators; Multiplexers; specific macros

Logic operators, Boolean algebra, 148-149
Logic synthesis, 69
Loop statements, 14-15
behavioral modeling, 98-104
See also specific statements or loops
\(\lambda\) output function, 245, 248, 324, 355

\section*{M}

Macro logic circuits, See Logic macro functions
Majority circuit design examples, 43-46, 206-210
Mantissa, 503
Map-entered variables, 38, 60
sum-of-products design example, 162-164
Maxterm, 152
Maxterm expansion, 153
Mealy machines, 273, 355
design examples, 274-298
asynchronous sequential machines, 330-344
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 356-362, 374-383
using built-in primitives, 348-354
using built-in primitives and \(D\) flip flops, 280-284, 294-298
using case and conditional statements, 289-294
using \(D\) flip-flops, 285-289
using \(J K\) flip-flops, 274-279
using logic gates, 339-344
state diagram representation, 246
Memories, 17
Merged flow table, 324, 332, 350
Merger diagram, 323, 350
Minterm, 152
Minterm expansion, 153
Module instantiation, 108-109, See also Instantiation
module keyword, 28, 29

Modules, 28-29
ports, 28, 109-111
test bench design, 29-31
See also Structural modeling
Modulo-8 counter, 318-321
Modulo-10 counter, 311-313
Modulo-16 counter, 313-317
Modulus symbol (\%), 311
Moore machines, 248-250
adjacent state code assignments, 249-250
design examples, 249-273 asynchronous sequential machines, 344-354
binary word test, 249-252
comparison with behavioral model with case statement, 257-263
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 362-371, 383-394
using assign, 344-348
using built-in primitives and \(D\) flip flops, 252-257, 263-268
using \(D\) flip-flops, 263-273
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 355
race conditions, 328
state diagram representation, 246
Multiplexers, 5-8, 165
design examples, 41-43, 57-63, 165-175
decimal addition with sum correction, 466-472
event handling for mixed blocking/nonblocking assignments, 563-565
using assign, 171-173, 232-234
using case, 173-175
using conditional operator, 168-170
using logic gates, 165-168
linear-select, 7
nonlinear select, 7

Multiplicand, 491
Multiplication, See Decimal multiplication; Fixed-point multiplication; Floating-point multiplication
Multiplier operand, 491

\section*{N}
nand built-in primitive, 33
NAND gate, \(3 f, 33\)
DeMorgan's theorems, 33-34, 156
truth table, \(4 t\)
Negation ( \(\sim\) ) bitwise operator, 81
Negation logic operator (NOT), Boolean algebra, 148-149
Negation operator (!), logical, 21, 79
Net data types, 16, 109
Next-state function ( \(\delta\) ), 245, 247
hazards in asynchronous sequential machines, 324
Moore machines, 248
Nonblocking assignments, 91-92
event handling, 559-562
event handling for mixed blocking/nonblocking, 563-565
Noncritical races, 328
Nonlinear select multiplexers, 7
NOR (~) bitwise operator, 23
NOR ( \(\sim\) ) reduction operator, 25, 71
nor built-in primitive, 33
NOR gate, \(3 f, 4 t, 33\)
DeMorgan's theorems, 33-34, 156
Normalized floating-point numbers, 503-504
NOT (inverter), 3f, 33-34
not built-in primitive, 28, 33-34
NOT logic operator ('), Boolean algebra, 148-149
Number systems, 146-148

\section*{0}

Octal number system, 147
Octal-to-binary encoder, 11

Octal-to-binary priority encoder, \(13 t\)
Operands, 21t, 491
Operator expressions, See Expressions; Operators; specific operators
Operators, 19-27
arithmetic, 20-21
bitwise, 23-24, 81-84
concantenation, 27
conditional, 26-27, 74-77
dataflow modeling, See Dataflow modeling
equality, 22-23
logical, 21-22, 79-81
reduction, 25-26, 71-74
relational, 22, 77-79
replication, 27
shift, 26, 84-86
table of, \(20 t\)
OR (|) bitwise operator, 23, 81
OR (|) reduction operator, 25, 71
OR arrays, programmable logic devices, 185-189, 202, See also Programmable logic devices
or built-in primitive, 28,34
Ordered pairs, 249
OR gate, 3, 4, 34
design example, 52-53
programmable array logic, 191
symbol, \(3 f\)
truth table, \(4 t\)
OR logic operator, Boolean algebra, 148-149
OR operation (|) expressions, 17-18
OR operator (||), binary logical, 21, 79
Oscillations, asynchronous sequential machine, 326-327
Output function ( \(\lambda\) ), 245
hazards in asynchronous sequential machines, 324
Moore machines, 248
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 355
output keyword, 29
Output maps and equations, asynchronous sequential machines, 324
output port, 109, 110, 129-131
Output symbol, 246

\section*{P}

Parallel-in, parallel-out (PIPO) registers, 299-300
Parallel-in, serial-out (PISO) registers, 300-303
Parameters, 19
parameter statement, 19, 456
Parity of register calculation, 135-137
PIPO registers, 299-300
PISO registers, 300-303
Ports, 28
structural modeling, 109-111
Positional number system, 146
Postnormalization, 505
Primitive flow table, 323, 330-331
primitive keyword, 52
Primitives, 28
Primitives, built-in, See Built-in primitives
Primitives, user-defined, See Userdefined primitives
Priority encoders, 12
Procedural flow control, 14-15, See also specific statements or keywords
Product, 491
Product of maxterms, 153, 229
Product-of-sums, 153
design examples
combinational logic circuit, 226-229
Mealy asynchronous sequential machine, 336
using assign, 214-216
using built-in primitives, \(35-38\), 217-218
using logic elements, 156-162

Product term in Boolean algebra, 152
Programmable array logic (PAL), 191
code converter design example, 191-194
full adder design example, 195-202
Programmable logic array (PLA) design, 202
design examples, 202-214
code converter, 210-214
five-input majority device, 206-210
three-inputs and four-outputs, 202-206
Programmable logic devices, 185
programmable array logic, 191-202
programmable logic array, 202-214
programmable read-only memories (PROMs), 185-191
See also Programmable array logic; Programmable logic array; Programmable readonly memories
Programmable read-only memories (PROMs), 185-186
design examples, 186-191
sequential logic design, 188
Project procedure, Verilog, 567-568
PROMs, See Programmable read-only memories
Pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines, 354-355
design examples
Mealy machines, 356-362, 374-383
Moore machines, 362-371, 383-394
\(S R\) latches with \(D\) flip-flops, 362-371
\(T\) flip-flops, 372-394
using continuous assignment (assign), 367-368
synthesis (design) procedure, 356

\section*{R}

Races, 328-329
Radix complement of binary numbers, 408
Reduction operators, \(20 t\)
dataflow modeling, 71-74
Reflexive equivalence, 248
reg data types, 16-17, 32
Register data types, 16-17, See also \(D\) flip-flops; JK flip-flops
Register parity calculation, 135-137
Registers, synchronous, See Synchronous registers
Register transfer level (RTL), 69
Relational operators, 20t, 22
dataflow modeling, 77-79
repeat keyword, 15
repeat loop, 102-103
Replication operator, 20t, 27
Restoring division, 450, 538-542
Right-rotate operation, 105
Right-shift operator, 26, 84-86, 104
Ripple adder for decimal operands, 463
Ripple-carry adder, 415-418
Ripple subtractor, 425-428
Rotate left (ROL), 105
Rotate right (ROR), 105

\section*{S}

Sensitivity list, 88
Sequential add-shift multiplication algorithm, 528-529
Sequential logic design, 245
asynchronous machines, 321-354
nonblocking assignments, 563, See also Nonblocking assignments
pulse-mode asynchronous machines, 354-394
sequential machine terms and definitions, 245-246
synchronous machines, 246-321
using PROMs, 188
See also Asynchronous sequential machines; Pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machines; Synchronous sequential machines
Sequential logic macros, 5 , See also specific macros
Sequential user-defined primitives, 63-68
Serial-in, parallel-out (SIPO) registers, 304-307
Serial-in, serial-out (SISO) registers, 307-310
Set/Reset (SR) latches, See SR latches
Shift counter, 492
Shift left algebraic (SLA), 104
Shift left logical (SLL), 104
Shift operations, 20t, 26
arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) function, 455-456
behavioral modeling, 104-108
dataflow modeling, 84-86
Shift right algebraic (SRA), 104
Shift right logical (SRL), 104
Signed constants or expressions, 18-19
Significand, 503
SILOS logic simulator, 1
Single-bit detection circuit design, 235-238
SIPO registers, 304-307
SISO registers, 307-310
Sixteen-bit register parity calculation, 135-137
\(S R\) latches, 322
pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine design, 355, 356-371
State diagram, 246, 247, 323
States, equivalent, See Equivalence relations
State symbol, 246
Storage elements, 16
asynchronous sequential machine implementation, 322

See also \(D\) flip-flops; JK flipflops; \(S R\) latches
Structural modeling, 108
design examples, 111-129 code converter, 119-122
comparator, 126-129
full adder, 122-126
logic equations, 111-118
module instantiation, 108-109
ports, 109-111
Structural modules, See Modules
Subtraction, See Decimal subtraction; Fixed-point subtraction; Float-ing-point subtraction
Subtraction, true, 472, 512-527
Subtrahend, 423
Sum of minterms, 153
Sum-of-products, 153
design examples, 162-164
logic equations, 154-155
using assign, 214-216
using built-in primitives, 38-40
using logic elements, 158-160
Sum term in Boolean algebra, 152
Symmetric equivalence, 248
Synchronous counters, 311-319
modulo-8, 318-321
modulo-10, 311-313
modulo-16 counter, 313-317
Synchronous registers, 299-310
astable multivibrators, 300
parallel-in, parallel-out (PIPO), 299-300
parallel-in, serial-out (PISO), 300-303
serial-in, parallel-out (SIPO), 304-307
serial-in, serial-out (SISO) registers, 307-310
Synchronous sequential machines, 245-247
equivalent states, 248
Mealy machines, 273-298, See also Mealy machines

Moore machines, 248-273, See also Moore machines registers, 299-310, See also Synchronous registers synthesis (design) procedure, 247

\section*{T}
table keyword, 52
Tag-in signals, 321
Tag-out signals, 321
task keyword, 129-130
Tasks, 129-134
declaration, 129-130
invocation, 130-134
Terminal state, 246
Test bench design, 29-31
design module instantiation, 108
\(T\) flip-flops, pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine design, 372-394
Mealy machines, 374-383
Moore machines, 383-394
Theorems of Boolean algebra, 150-152
Three-bit adders, 411-415
Three-bit array multiplier, 444-448
Three-bit binary-to-Gray code converter, 191-194
Three-bit comparator, 126-129
Time function (\$time), 308
Time symbol (\#), 87
Toggle ( \(T\) ) flip-flops, See \(T\) flip-flops, pulse-mode asynchronous sequential machine design
Transitive equivalence, 248
True addition, 472, 505, 512-516

True subtraction, 472, 512-527
Truth tables for logic elements, 4-5

\section*{U}

Unary operators, 21
Unsigned constants or expressions, 18-19
User-defined primitives edge-sensitive, 65-68 instantiation in structural modeling, 108
level-sensitive, 64
sequential UDPs, 63-68
User-defined primitives (UDPs), 51-52
combinational UDP design examples, 52-63
2-input OR gate, 52-53
4:1 multiplexer, 57-63
full adder, 53-57
defining, 52

\section*{V}

Verilog operators, 448, See also Operators
Verilog project procedure, 567-568
Verilog simulator, 1

\section*{W}

Waveform generation, 87
while loop, 100-102, 492-493
while statement, 15
wire data types, \(17,29,32,111\)

\section*{X}
xnor built-in primitive, 34
xor built-in primitive, 28,34
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